



Family Assistance Legislation Amendment (Child Care Budget Measures) Bill 2010

Dale Daniels
Social Policy Section

Contents

Purpose.....	2
Background.....	2
Financial implications.....	4
Main provisions	4

Family Assistance Legislation Amendment (Child Care Budget Measures) Bill 2010

Date introduced: 26 May 2010

House: House of Representatives

Portfolio: Early Childhood Education, Childcare and Youth

Commencement: The day of Royal Assent.

Links: The [links](#) to the Bill, its Explanatory Memorandum and second reading speech can be found on the Bills page, which is at <http://www.aph.gov.au/bills/>. When Bills have been passed they can be found at ComLaw, which is at <http://www.comlaw.gov.au/>.

Purpose

To set the maximum per child amount of Child Care Rebate to \$7 500 per annum and suspend indexation until July 2014.

Background

The 2010–11 Budget provides \$273.7 million to support the introduction of the National Quality Framework (NQF) for early childhood education and child care.¹ The NQF will involve, amongst other matters, the progressive phase-in of improved carer-to-child ratios and higher qualification requirements for carers from January 2012.² From July 2010 a transition phase commences where services will begin to undergo assessment against the NQF's National Quality Standard. The NQF will increase the costs faced by child care

-
1. Council of Australian Governments (COAG), *National Partnership Agreement on the National Quality Agenda for Early Childhood Education and Care*, COAG, 2009, viewed 17 May 2010, http://www.coag.gov.au/coag_meeting_outcomes/2009-12-07/docs/nap_national_quality_agenda_early_childhood_education_care.pdf
 2. For further information, see Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations (DEEWR), 'National Quality Framework for Early Childhood Education and Care', DEEWR website, viewed 18 May 2010, http://www.deewr.gov.au/EarlyChildhood/Policy_Agenda/Quality/Pages/home.aspx

Warning:

This Digest was prepared for debate. It reflects the legislation as introduced and does not canvass subsequent amendments.

This Digest does not have any official legal status. Other sources should be consulted to determine the subsequent official status of the Bill.

services which will then, it is anticipated, pass those increased costs on to families using child care.³

Claims about the extent of fee increases vary from the Government's view (supported by the new operators of ABC Learning) that they will be small, to claims from some industry groups that they may be as high as \$12 to \$22 per day.⁴ These conflicting views are not new, but have been given renewed attention in light of the Government's decision to return the cap on the amount of Child Care Rebate (CCR) that can be claimed for each child in care to the 2008–09 level of \$7500 per annum. Indexation had taken this cap to \$7778 in 2009–2010. This measure will take effect from July 2010 and indexation will be suspended until July 2014. Savings of \$86.3 million over four years are expected.

The Government views this measure as a savings measure to offset some of the \$273.7 million to be spent implementing the NQF for early childhood education and child care. Deputy Prime Minister Gillard points out that the change will only affect three per cent of families receiving the rebate in 2010–11.⁵ That means that about 20 700 families will be affected, losing up to about \$9 a week (taking account of the indexation of the cap that was due in July 2010). As the indexation freeze progresses, the impact will grow due to increases in child care fees over that time. This is reflected in the growth in the estimated savings from \$5.7 million in 2010–11 to \$42 million in 2013–14. If the worst case fee increases projected by some in the child care industry come to pass then that would substantially increase the impact of this change.

The Government does expect expenditure on the CCR to increase as the NQF rolls out. Increased expenditure on CCR over the period 2010–11 to 2013–14, as a result of the improved child care standards, is identified in *Budget Measures: Budget Paper No. 2:*

-
3. Council of Australian Governments (COAG), *Regulation Impact Statement for Early Childhood Education and Care Quality Reforms*, COAG, 2009, viewed 17 May 2010, http://www.coag.gov.au/coag_meeting_outcomes/2009-12-07/docs/ris_early_childhood_education_care_quality_reforms.pdf
 4. Ibid. See also A Horin, 'New ABC childcare chief calls for calm on ratio change', *Sydney Morning Herald*, 15 May 2010, p. 12, viewed 17 May 2010, http://parlinfo/parlInfo/download/media/pressclp/JNPW6/upload_binary/jnpw60.pdf;fileType=application/pdf#search=%22horin%22; and J Massola and P Jean, 'Care changes to hit thousands of parents', *Canberra Times*, 13 May 2010, p. 6, viewed 14 May 2010, http://parlinfo/parlInfo/download/media/pressclp/3QOW6/upload_binary/3qow60.pdf;fileType=application/pdf#search=%22child%20care%22
 5. J Gillard (Minister for Education, Employment and Workplace Relations) and K Ellis (Minister for Early Childhood Education, Child Care and Youth), *\$273.7 million to boost the quality of child care and early childhood education for Australian children*, media release, 11 May 2010, viewed 14 May 2010, http://www.deewr.gov.au/Ministers/Gillard/Media/Releases/Pages/Article_100511_174044.aspx

Warning:

This Digest was prepared for debate. It reflects the legislation as introduced and does not canvass subsequent amendments.

This Digest does not have any official legal status. Other sources should be consulted to determine the subsequent official status of the Bill.

2010–11 under the heading ‘National Quality Agenda for Early Childhood Education and Care – national partnership implementation’. Expected CCR outlays climb from \$9.1 million in 2010–11 to \$64 million in 2013–14.⁶

However the impact of the change in dollar terms pans out, it is clearly going to fall mainly on those families who use a lot of the most expensive child care (usually located in expensive accommodation in central business district locations). It follows that these families are unlikely to be low income families.

The Greens are reported to be opposed to the CCR changes.⁷ The Coalition response was also critical.⁸

Financial implications

The measures in the bill are expected to result in savings of \$86.3 million over the four years from 2010-11 to 2013-14.

Main provisions

Item 2 adds **new paragraphs 84F(1)(d) to (f)** to the *Family Assistance Act 1999*. They fix the amount of the annual child care rebate (CCR) limit for each child for years 2010-11 to 2013-14 at \$7 500. Indexation under schedule 4 will recommence in July 2014.

Item 4 inserts **new subclause 3(6A)** into Schedule 4. This subclause specifies that the CCR limit will not be indexed in the years 2010 to 2013.

6. Australian Government, *Budget measures: budget paper no. 2: 2010–11*, Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra, 2010, p. 143.

7. Massola and Jean, ‘Care changes to hit thousands of parents’, op. cit.

8. S Stone (Shadow Minister for Early Childhood Education and Childcare), *Budget Child Care Rebate cut: Rudd Labor treats working families with contempt*, media release, 14 May 2010, viewed 31 May 2010, http://parlinfo/parlInfo/download/media/pressrel/HUQW6/upload_binary/huqw60.pdf;fileType=application/pdf#search=%22child%20care%20rebate%22

Warning:

This Digest was prepared for debate. It reflects the legislation as introduced and does not canvass subsequent amendments.

This Digest does not have any official legal status. Other sources should be consulted to determine the subsequent official status of the Bill.

© Copyright Commonwealth of Australia

This work is copyright. Except to the extent of uses permitted by the *Copyright Act 1968*, no person may reproduce or transmit any part of this work by any process without the prior written consent of the Parliamentary Librarian. This requirement does not apply to members of the Parliament of Australia acting in the course of their official duties.

This work has been prepared to support the work of the Australian Parliament using information available at the time of production. The views expressed do not reflect an official position of the Parliamentary Library, nor do they constitute professional legal opinion.

Feedback is welcome and may be provided to: web.library@aph.gov.au. Any concerns or complaints should be directed to the Parliamentary Librarian. Parliamentary Library staff are available to discuss the contents of publications with Senators and Members and their staff. To access this service, clients may contact the author or the Library's Central Entry Point for referral.

Members, Senators and Parliamentary staff can obtain further information from the Parliamentary Library on (02) 6277 2410.

Warning:

This Digest was prepared for debate. It reflects the legislation as introduced and does not canvass subsequent amendments.

This Digest does not have any official legal status. Other sources should be consulted to determine the subsequent official status of the Bill.