Since my last e mail I have received the CASA ICC Final Report into my complaint. It is a complete white- wash and contradicted by CASA documentation I have obtained under FOI. The misrepresentations are numerous so I have decided to address them one at a time. Attached are my objections to statements the ICC has made concerning the circumstances of a reported air safety incident in June 1999. I have chosen this one to begin because it does not contain any technical issues and demonstrates the nature of the ICC report. I have also sent this material to Minister Albanese. The reason these matters are ongoing is that my reputation was damaged and my privacy violated by Jetstar discussing material from my CAO 82 Pilot Records with a referee in 2005.

Michael Reidy

Minister Albanese,

This morning (Mon 16 Jun 08) I spoke with one of your staff concerning my complaint against CASA. I have been advised to forward material to your office in support of my contention that the CASA ICC has deliberately white-washed his final report. I received this report about the same time as the Senate Inquiry into CASA Administration was announced. The report is riddled with mistakes and limitations if not blatant lies all to CASA advantage. This gives me no confidence the report is impartial.

I repeat my request that my CAO 82 Pilot Records have all references to fabricated air safety incidents removed. How this is achieved does not bother me. If legislative reform is required then I ask you to do this.

Rather than post my copies of documents to you I will reference them with a CASA File No. This will remove any doubt as to the availability of the documents to the ICC. The person who originally supplied them to me is at CASA Office of Legal Council.

1 Please refer to CASA ICC Report concerning complaints from me (Michael Reidy) dated March 2008.

Para 5.2 States that was a Captain.

Contrary to the ICC Report was not nor to my knowledge not ever a Captain. He was ex RAAF and CASA. Contrary to the ICC report he was personally recomended to by CASA FOI to carry out some sort of private investigation into the circumstances of an air safety incident which resulted in a Non Compliance Notice being issued to Airlines.

Para 6.7 states that I am "of the view" that CASA was the instigator .It is not view it is fact supported by CASA documents. See e mail dated Wed 23 June 1999 1725 pmCASA File 97/354-07. Second and third last paragraphs. Note that the communication also invites reference input from Mr Bruce Byron. (at that time appointed to.)

- 2 In misrepresenting the connections the CASA ICC has deflected a source of criticism of CASA and the possible misconduct of these individuals..This misrepresentation is despite my letter to the ICC dated 27 Jun 07 which completely and clearly outlines this incident and includes the CASA reference of CASA documentation pertaining.
- 3 See also CASA document 97/354-07 dated 29 June 1999
 Email to Wed 7 Jul 1999 1018 am. Of particular interest to me is para 2.
 I was not invited to hear deliver his report.I was not given the opportunity to consider my legal options. I do not know the contents of Report.
- 4. The circumstances of this incident was to again deny me any natural justice, damage my reputation, allow me no recourse. This situation was deliberately set up. CASA was the instigator of a star chamber from which I was exluded.

In conclusion due to this misrepresentation and the many more to follow I urge you to give no weight to opinions expressed by CASA or the CASA ICC. It also makes me pause to consider what other information may be available that CASA is withholding.

Minister Albanese

I would now like address another area of the CASA ICC Report. Para 5.2 In reply to para 5.2 I will state that I provided the names and addresses of witnesses to the actual events that initiated this mess.

I also assured the ICC that these witnesses were willing to be contacted about the statements they provided to me.I passed these statements on to the ICC.Names include, Airline Passenger Agent,, and Safety Facilitator. CEO left only a couple of months ago. has only been retired from about twelve months and lives 20 min down the road from me. lives about 5 minutes away on still works at and despite his deceptive backstabbing attempts to dismiss me still holds CASA delegations. We actually get on reasonably well these days.

(Ex CASA and RAAF) who is instrumental in this state of affairs is readily contactable. Indeed ex CASA staff that I know recently attended a squadron reunion at in his honour. I believe he used to be the CO. He left CASA to join r and by last reports that I received has continued to do some consultancy work for them while in retirement. I again met CASA FOI at CASA during the Commonwealth Ombudsman's abortive investigation. It is true that CASA FOI and are deceased.

In short Para 5.2 is a fabrication. The ICC made no attempt at investigation and knobbled his own report. I request the Minister seek an explanation.

Minister Albanese

Attached is further objections to the CASA ICC Report concerning me. I will also add that all the main players hold CASA Licences and therefore are easily contactable. Those that do not like CEO are easily located. The written statements I provided to the ICC had contact details on them and contradict my pilot records concerning the incidents I have complained about.

The ICC has stated the AIRC decision addresses my grievance. How are incidents that occured after the AIRC hearing like the "Unauthorised Flight" addressed?

I was suspended yet again and prevented from working following the "Un Authorised Flight" incident. These suspensions cost me some income in allowances. Can you ask CASA who's lawful authority was invoked to suspend me? Michael Reidy