The Network for International Protection of Refuge ensitutional

post: 18 Shannon Place, Adelaide SA 5000, Australia

email: netipr@ senet.com.au

http://users.senet.com.au/~netipr



The additional submission to the Senate Legal and Constitutional References Committee re: Migration Zone Excision bill 2002 25 August 2002

2 9 AUG 2002

At the Senate Committee's public hearing on 6 August 2002, the NetIPR was asked by the Committee to provide information as to (1) the increase of women and children among asylum seekers who arrived by sea after Australian government enaction of Temporary Protection Visa Legislation and (2) the legal and constitutional inconsistencies of the government's September 2001 border protection legislation

1. The increase of women and children among Middle Eastern asylum seekers

There has been a marked increase of children and women on board refugee boats after the TPV legislation was introduced in 1999. The attached table shows that the increase in frequency of refugee boats with children on board as well as the percentage of children onboard those boats has increased. It is a clear indication that the asylum seekers have elected to take children (and women) after TPV legislation because of the legislations' restrictions.

No.of boats (passengers) No. of boat with children (no. of children onboard)	Pre-TPV (1995-1999 November) 57 (1902 passengers) 26 (148 children)	Post-TPV (1999 December-2001) 100 (7728 passengers) 83
Frequency of boat with children Percentage of children	46 %	(1779 children) 83 %
Among passengers	8 %	23 %

2. Legal and Constitutional inconsistencies of "TAMPA legislations"

So far, NetIPR has found no group or person(s) plainly stating that the "TAMPA Legislation" has specific legal or constitutional problem. However, given the extent to which the legislation is contravening various international human rights treaties that Australia has signed (as presented by the Human Rights Committee [Refugee Policy: is there a way out of the mess?, Racial Respect Seminar 21 February 2002] and Amnesty International Australia [Submission (25) to the Senate Select Committee on Certain Maritime Incident]) the conclusion can be drawn that legal and constitutional challenges against the "TAMPA Legislation" is inevitable in the future. The "TAMPA Legislation" obviously denied natural justice and procedural fairness to the asylum seekers. It is as a matter of time community and legal groups, through judiciary, will seek to challenge and overturn the legislation.

Network for International Protection of Refugees.

maneou)

The increase of children and women among Middle Eastern Asylum Seekers after the TPV legislation of October 1999 (source DIMIA fact sheet 74)

Ye	Year N		No. Tota			- .	N	 O.		Chilo	
					on-					Perc	
1					board			iila		age	CHIL
								n	•	age	
199	95	4	0	5		0				0	
199		6 44				0				ŏ	
199		57		7		-	0		7	0	
199	96	58	3	5			0	_	7	0	
199		6()	8	8		0		0		
199	6_	61		24	24		1		+	4 %	
199		62	<u> </u>	12	-	7	0		\dagger	0	
199	7	63	}	4			0		\dagger	0	
199	7	64		7		7	0		十	0	
199		66		9		1	Ō	_		0	
199		70		15	٠.	1	0	_	_	<u> </u>	
1997	7_	71		25	_	7	8		+	32 %	
1997		73		6		1	2			33 %	_
1998	3	75		22			2			9 %	
1998	}	89		15		0				<u> </u>	
1999		91	T	9			4		44		
1999		92		3			0		C		
1999		95	T	5		_)		C		
1999		96		10		+)	_	O		
1999	\perp	98	\neg	13		+-)	_	0		
1999	<u> </u>	100		12		7		7	_	8 %	
1999		103	- 1	8		C		+	ō		\dashv
1999		105	1	10		1		+		0 %	
1999	1	80		15	_	0		+	ō	<u> </u>	\dashv
1999	1	09		54		1		+		%	
1999	1	12	_	20		ö		+	ō		
1999		14	1	0		0		+	ŏ		
1999	1	18	1	0		0		-	<u></u>		\dashv
1999	1	19	8			1		_	_	2 %	\dashv
1999		20	7	6	7	_			3		\dashv
1999	_	23		2	$-\dagger$	<u>2</u>			<u> </u>		-
1999		24	5		1	7		_	_	%	\dashv
1999	1:	26	1		+	5		_		%	-
1999	12	28	7			o		C		70	-
1999	13	30	44	4		Ō		C	_		\dashv
1999	13	31	16	3	_	0		O	_		\dashv
1999	13	2		10		14		-	_	%	+
1999	13	3	8		_	<u> </u>		0	_	, ₀	+
1999	13		13	}	_	- 		_		%	1
999	13	5	24		12	_			%		1
										'-	j

						13		\mathbf{v}_{i}				
1	Yea	IF	N	o.	To			N			hild	_
ĺ					1	on-		of			Percen	
						board		child			ge	3f fl
		_	ł					ren		"	ge	
	199		136		98	98		15		1:	5 %	
	1999		137		35			1.			%	
	1999		13	38	14			0		0		_
	1999		13	39	6		0		_	0		
	1999		141		6		0			ō		
Ĺ	1999	$\overline{}$	14	2	49		1	3		6	%	_
	1999		14	4	21		7	o l		0		
	1999		14		23			1	;	4	%	_
	1999		14	6	62	_	1	5		8 9		_
	1999		14	7	110	_				19		_
	1999		148	8	12	_	_	2	_	16		
_	1999		149	9	24			2	_	8 %		_
_	1999		15	1	140	_		4	_	3 %		_
	<u> 1999</u>		152	2	26		1)	_	0		
	1999	15		3	355			29	+		<u> </u>	
_	<u> 1999</u>	15		Ŀ ſ	75	_	7			8 % 9 %		_
	1999	1	155		82		10)	_	0		_
_	TPV				TP\	<i></i>	1	_		_	Pγ	
_	1999	_	56		25		2			8 %		
	999		<u>57</u>		156		_	2	7	14		
_	999		58		23		0		_	0		
_	999	_	<u>59</u>	1;	31		7			23 9	6	_
	999	_	<u>60</u>	_	33_		3		7	9 %		_
	999		62		23		2	_	\top	8 %	_	\dashv
	999_		<u>63</u>	_ 2	24		5		1	20 %	6	-
_	999	_	<u>64</u>	1	51		24	1	_	16 %		7
_	999		55	2	28	7	3			11 %		\exists
	999	_	36	-	80		27	, -		15 %		7
	999	_	8	1	35		21		_	16 %		\dashv
	999	16	_	7			0)		7
	99	17			27	1	9		-	7 %		7
_	99	17		5	88	Ī	26			15 %		1
	99	17	_	5	3	Ţ	19			6 %		-
	99	17	-	2:	29	T	65		28 %			1
	99	17		3	5	T	15		_	3 %		1
	99	17		4		1	0		0			1
	00	17		11	8		15	.		3 %		1
200		178		44		1	2			%		
200		179	-	25			2			%	_	
200		180		54		7	7 .			3 %		
200	10	181		38		6	;			3 %		
							_					

10 m

Y	Year		Vo.	To	Total			0.	Child-	
	1			on	on-			:	Percent	
ļ				bo	board		ch	ild		
-	-	+	 -		 		re	n	1	
	2000		82	28	1	5) 、	18 %	_
	2000		83	47		6			13 %	_
	000	_	84	22			1		5 %	_
	00		85	14			0		0	
	00_	_	86	71	_	2			28 %	
20		_	87	21		0			0	
20 20		_	38	47	_		16	·	34 %	_
200			39	34	_	4	10		29 %	
200		19	0	70	_	4	8		11 %]
200				10	-	-	0		0	
200		19 19		62		\downarrow	16	_	26 %	
200		19		4			0	[0	
200		19		78		+	3	_	4 %]
200		19		66		+	14	\rightarrow	21 %	
200		19	_	17	_		2	-	12 %	
200	-	198		36		4		_	11 %	
200		199		3		28		_	25 %	
2000			-+	30		$\frac{1}{2}$		33 %		
2000		201		36		3			0	
2000		202		23	_	1			8 %	
2000		203		74		 	20		4 %	
2000		204		7 -		8		_	27 %	
2000	_ + _	05		01		_	0		10 %	
2000		06	2			0			30 %	
2000	-+-	07	4		7	1		0		
2000		08		, —	-	4	<u> </u>	_	1 %	
2000		09	9.		+	10	3		9 %	
2000		10	3:		\dashv	5			1 %	
2000		11	32		+	7			5 %	
2000		12	_	16	+	18			2 % 3 %	
2000		13	69		+-	7			3 %	
2000	21	4	24		$\overline{}$	0	 -	0	70	
2000	21	5	48		_	ō		0		
2000	21	6	10	0	_	0		0		
2000	21	7	3		_	<u> </u>		ō		
2000	21	8	11	7		33	- +		%	
2000	21		92		_	20	_	_	%	
2000	220	-	35	-	C			_ =		
2000	22	_	97		1	9		20	%	
2000	222	_	32		2			6 %		
2000	223	_	30		4	_		13		
2000	224		43		7			16		
2000	225		49		1	1		22		
2000	226	<u>`</u>	<u>177</u>	<u>' </u>	6	9		39		

	Yea	r N	lo.	Tota		No	<u>-</u> -	05:1	
		· • '		on-		of		Child	
i				boa	ch		Perc	ent	
				1000		rer		age	
·	2000) 22	27	68		19		28 %	
	2001	22	28	51	_	17	_	33 %	
	2001		29	84	_	21		25 %	
	2001	23	0	148		39		26 %	
	2001	23	1	49		10		20 %	
L	2001	23	2	115		32		28 %	
	2001	23	3	179		28		16 %	·
L	2001	23	4	62		16	-+	26 %	
	2001	23	5	169	_	48	-+	28 %	
	2001	230	-	196		61	\dashv	31 %	
	2001	23	7	22		4	+	18 %	
2	2001	238		14		10	\dashv	71 %	 -
2	2001	239		82	-	26	$\overline{}$		
2	2001	240		43		8	_	32 % 19 %	
2	2001	241	-	24		0	-	0	
2	001	242	_+-	94	+	12	-+-		
2	001	243		20	+	35		13 %	
2	001	244		98	+	32		29 %	
2	001	245		5		27		16 %	
20	001	246	2		_	0	_	2 %	
20	001	247		31	_	<u>0</u> 21	0		
	001	248	1	<u></u> -	$\overline{}$	5	_	6 %	_
20	001	249	5	4		25 ·	0		_
20	01	251		35		<u>23 </u>	-	8 %	_
	01	252	_	31		50 50	-	5 %	4
20		253)8	5		_	2 %	_
20		254	76				_	%	_
20		255	14			2	_	3 %	_
20		256	60		_			%	4
200	01	257	34		_	3 54		%	4
200		258	22		7			%	4
200		259	35		_	5		%	4
				-	_ 5		<u> 20</u>	%	

[* the nationality of all boat arrivals after 2000 is taken as Middle Eastern asylum seekers.]

Add-3

Add.

No and the