

-----Original Message-----

From: James Hopkins

Sent: Friday, 7 September 2007 4:15 PM

Subject: Further submission

3rd September 2007

Dear Senators

Further to my last letter I can't help but feel that the issue in hand, as grave as it may be, is in fact not the most important aspect of things but is the by-product of the issue that requires your utmost and urgent attention, and that is the "Whittling Down of the Time Frame of the Parliamentary Table". When you think about it, the time allocated to the Parliamentary table is in fact the very bed rock that supports the foundations of our democratic society on which our democracy and constitutional system has been built upon.

The watering down of this time frame, be it very subtle and no doubt unintentional, diminishes that very bedrock that our societies foundations are built upon, it is only when we incur the situations that we have at this present time that we are able to reflect on the severity and the gravity of the situation.

This sort of situation only emerges when we have the type of government in power that is prepared to exploit this situation for their own private agenda and not for the good and well being of our State, Country and People. To whom they swore an oath.

Considering the fact that he has not only trashed the Governor's ability to carry out her constitutional duties that she had bestowed on her by the Crown, but he is purposely dismantling the very fabric of our constitutional structure to such an extent that any acts that were founded with a Parliamentary table time frame greater than 13 days are now rendered useless and not worth the paper they are written on, simply because, the way things are now, means he can write whatever bill he likes, to exert his bogus authority due to the fact that he has the numbers in the house and with the Governor out of the equation, there is now no available way to address any rogue bill presented to the house. This then leaves us where? We can't shoot him, we can't behead him, we can't even slap his wrists, but what we can do, is pro-rogate him, and you Senators must surely have the know how, authority and the ability to in act such a process if not within the parameters of this enquiry then outside of it, this must surely happen for the well being of this beautiful State and wonderful country.

This, Senators is where you guys and the Governor come in by simply utilising the petition I have presented along with the case that I have put forward and any other worthwhile materials from any other source, that could be utilised thereby giving you the grounds to in act the "powers to pro-rogate the Government" whereby to pre-empt any further situations of such in the future.

I can all but hope that you can fore see where this may all end if the government of the day are not dissolved before he further corrupts and infiltrate the very structure of our society. I know this may sound far fetched and bizarre but history is littered with the likes of this dictator that we have at the very helm of this State.

The horse has surely bolted and the door has been slammed shut with the signing off of the bill. That doe's not mean the show is off, all that remains to be done is for the horse to be reigned in by what ever means our constitution will permit.

If I may make a suggestion, to fix the Parliamentary Tables Time Frame, all that needs to be done is to make it law that if any new bill alters, infringes, replaces, in acts on, encapsulates or effects or changes anything that is somehow covered either direct or indirect by other acts then the new bill must therefore sit on the Parliamentary Table for the same time period as the original. The reason being is that the old acts have stood the test of time up until these new time periods.

Governments are elected as you know to govern and not to dismantle our system which is obviously what's been happening over time. Heres hoping you find merit in the above, and that it can be of help.

Thanking you for your time, effort and considerations.

Yours Sincerely.

Mr James Edwin
Hopkins.

-----Original Message-----

From: James Hopkins

Sent: Sunday, 9 September 2007 1:31 PM

Subject: Cover letter with regards to petitions that are in the post.

8th September 2007

Dear Senators

In light of all that I have said, just ask yourselves, how is the State Government getting away with it all in regards to the public, ie why is the public not up in arms over it? Simple. Because the Government have had their spin doctors working flat chat to produce the propaganda that we are all witnessing through out all sectors of the media.

Now that being said, if this is not a gross misappropriation of public funds, then I don't know what is, and surely that in light of everything must make it a criminal act. People have been hung for less.

If we then stand back from the situation as in, think outside not the square, but the cube, it becomes blatantly obvious to me anyway ,just how endemic this kind of corruption is through out our system/society. And to back up what I just said, guess what word misconduct has replaced in certain acts, in theses recent years, you guessed it "corruption", if that's not corrupting corruption at our highest constitutional level then I'll eat my hat. This could only have been achieved by , dare I say it "The Whittling Down of the Parliamentary Tables Time Frame". Can you not see the picture that is emerging here?

It just goes to show how we need to be ever vigilant when it comes to these matters. What ever you do, Senators, please do not it for me or yourselves but do it for our children and their children so that they may grow up to enjoy the freedoms that we to day take so much for granted.

Thanking you all once again, most sincerely.

Mr James Edwin Hopkins

-----Original Message-----

From: James Hopkins

Sent: Thursday, 13 September 2007 7:18 PM

Subject: MOST IMPORTANT

In regards to the illegal "Forced Amalgamation of Local Councils" the State Government can not dissolve our councils next March when the Councillors are up for re-election simply because the councils belong to us the "Rate- Payers". This I know for a fact, because when we pay our rates we do two things.

Firstly. We pay over our money and in doing so the rates notice then becomes a "Bill of Sale" and also a "Certificate of ownership", for the time duration of the rates notice, both these aspects come under the umbrella of "Contractual Law" and "Corporate Law" and are thereby subject to all aspects appertaining to these laws. This is most important with regards to when the council elections are held.

Secondly. Not only do we pay our rates, but we also pay them, in advance, and in doing so, means that, the council has then by definition formed a contract between them selves and the rate payer. That being said, the council must therefore provide both the service's and structure of the council for the time period that has been paid for by the consumer, who now has become the private owner. This they must do under the aspects of "Contractual Law". But due to the fact that, we the ratepayers own the councils they must also retain their structure due to the aspects of "Corporate Law" for the due period covered by one's rates bill having being paid in advance and in full. For the Government to do anything other they would have to do what they have done in the past, to gain control of a private industry, they would have to "Nationalize the Shire Councils".

Now this is where things really start to get interesting, ask your self, why do you think the council elections are held in March and not at the end of the financial year? The yearly time period covered by one's rates bill. The reason being, is simply this. The above was structured in this way purposely, so that the councils could not be dissolved by anyone or any State body due to the fact that the underlining structure of the councils is protected by both "Contractual, Corporate but most importantly Common Law", and is therefore binding during the time period that is covered by the advanced payment of your rates. Now due to the fact that, the council elections are held in March, the time there after being already payed for by us "mere mortal rate payers", must therefore be honoured because of the acceptance of the advance payments to the councils, by the councils, and because of this fact alone are thereby bound and subject to the above laws and structure.

At this point I feel it appropriate to think of a word best befitting to our forefathers. Now if am missing something here, then do tell me please. When the pen is wheeled correctly it is truly, mightier than the sword, but only time shall tell. And on that note, may I thank you all for your time and consideration. God Bless.

Yours Sincerely,

Mr James Edwin Hopkins.

To the Speaker of the legislative Assemble

This petition of the residents of Queensland draws the attention of the House too:

The undemocratic abolition of local Shire Councils, through the dictatorial abuse of the "Parliamentary Powers" by the Queensland State Government. In doing so has taken away our immemorial rights as covered by common law and thereby relinquishing the private rate payers ownership of their local Shire Councils by stealth.

Your petitioners therefore ask the House to "Pro-rogue the rogue Government of Queensland" over the above matter and to repeal the recent act that covers the "Forced Amalgamation of local councils ".

[Signatories to petition attached]