SENATE FOREIGN AFFAIRS, DEFENCE AND TRADE REFERENCES COMMITTEE

INQUIRY INTO RECRUITMENT & RETENTION OF DEFENCE PERSONNEL

SUBMISSION

Submission No:	17	
Submittor:	Mr D Prall Lt RE (Retd)	
Address:	7 Cliff Place GOSNELLS WA 6110	
Telephone No:	(08) 9398 4332	
Fax:		
E-Mail:		
No. of Pages:	1	
Attachments:	Nil	

References Committee Australian Senate, Foreign Affairs, Defence & Trade Parliament House, Camberra, ACT., 2600 D. I. & M. PRALL 7 CLIFF PLACE GOSNELLS 6110 Western Australia (08) 9398 4332

Recruitment Strategies Effectiveness

Reasons against Australians enlisting :

- (A) Fear of Chemical & Siological Warfare
- (8) Disruption of Career
- (C) Repulsion of possibilty of having to combat time's own athorc group.

These are ral reasons against the voluntary enlighment of coost people.

NATIONAL SERVICE would be more practical :--

- (1) Compulsory 3 yr. full-time plus 10 yr reserve. or alternatively
- (2) 3 yr. Cadets plus 1 yr. full-time plus 10 yr. reserve.

Those reluctant for reasons (A), (8) & (C) above would probably choose (2) 3 yr. Cadets etc. where they learn discipline and are taught the advantages of NATIONAL SERVICE so hopefully they may even want to serve the 3 years full-time after all.

Compulsory Service would supply a better cross-section of the population instead of a disproportionate percentage of those who may not be successful in civvy-street.

My personal experience in England, Wales, Nigeria and Australia :--

(A)	3 yr	cadets	King's Royal Rifle Corps (age 13 -16)
(B)	$2\frac{1}{2}$	apprenticeship	Civil Engineering (17- 19)
(C)	$2\frac{1}{2}$	National Service	Royal Engineers (19- 21)
(D)	2	Reserve	Royal Engineers (25- 27)
(E)	43	work-force	Civil Engineering (22- 65)

I recommend Compulsory National Service for consideration.

yours faithfully,

Divall.

Derrick Prall Lt. RE. (retd.)