```
Dear Senator McEwen,
> This is my submission to the inquiry into the Great Barrier Reef
Marine Park and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2008.
> My name is Bob Harris
  I believe that proposed Conservation Zones are unnecessary in many
ways and there are other ways we can conserve sensitive areas which
will allow the average Australian to enjoy recreational fishing
especially families ( which the Rudd government portrays as being all
for Families) and still maintain the fishery and conservation of the
park.
> Criminal Convictions
> This law is extremely unfair.
                                  These people were fishing - and
from what I read may not have even caught a fish. These people have
all sorts of problems getting jobs visas and insurance - for
         This was a mistake in making the law. If it's a mistake
then the government needs to do the honest thing and fix it up. End
of all the criminal records and the fines can stay - that's fair.
> If it wasn't a mistake then it was on purpose then the people who
drafted the laws and pulled the wool over the eyes of the politicians
need to be addressed. A criminal record for fishing but no
compulsory record for first offenders - even for theft or assault or
worse. What are they thinking?
> Powers to Inspect
> From what I understand this law sounds like the fishing inspectors
will have more power to search and even frisk fishermen and women
that the police even have with terrorist suspects. You would be
giving incredible powers to a group . just for a few fish?
out or balance and needs to be dropped.
> Definition of fishing
> The Act doesn't just ban fishing in a green zone, it bans doing
anything like looking for a fish. That means turning on a sounder,
which is a responsible act of safety is banned. Again a law that
                         This law was in the previous version - but
needs to be thrown out.
so what? It's still wrong.
> Legal Defence
> As Senator Stephens points out, this act tries to take away basic
legal rights of defence. It says if you are in green zone you know
the boundaries. This Bill takes away basic legal rights and is not
fair or right. I ask you to strike out the clauses.
> 3 strikes and you are out
> Three convictions in ten years and a lifetime ban?
                                                      Again this is
out of balance. Can you tell me one other area - like speeding
fines where you lose your license for life for one offence every 3.3
```

years? This is worse than repeat drink drivers which cause innocent people to lose their lives 
This is excessive and needs to knocked out of the Act.

> Precautionary Principle

> This is a major problem. This Bill doesn't listen to the umpire. We complained that the green zones were not based on science - all the GBRMP representatives did was ask where we caught fish - saying they wanted to make sure they didn't take those areas - and that's how they made up the green zones. No science at all because they had none.

> Then there was a review in 2006 and the GBRMPA was told to use > 'transparent science". But they don't want to listen to the umpire they want a law that says they don't have to use science. Again this clause needs to be struck out.

> > The goals

> The biggest change is the goals. It suddenly changes from sharing and 'wise use" to conservation - a zoo a Museum and ordinary Australians who like fishing can go jump. This is only an anti fishing law - nothing else is affected. The reef is the most under fished reef in the world - less now since 2002.

> As Australian we have rights that other countries don't , here no one can own a beach or the ocean. But GBRMPA want to own it and lock us out or OUR Park. Who voted for them?

> Regards,
> Bob Harris