

**Mary J. Chandler,**

**23/8/08**

**Ms Cathy Skippington,  
Assistant Secretary,  
Environment Assessment Branch,  
Approvals and Wildlife Division,  
Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts,  
GPO Box 787,  
CANBERRA ACT 2601**

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF A REFERRAL DECISION UNDER  
THE EPBC ACT 1999 S78 REGARDING SUGARLOAF PIPELINE PROJECT,  
GOULBURN RIVER TO SUGARLOAF RESERVOIR VICTORIA EPBC  
2880/3960**

I wish to add additional information to my request that the Minister reconsider the referral decision of 13/02/2008 on the Sugarloaf pipeline project under the EPBC Act 1999 Section 78 clause (1)a) and aa) dated 27/7/08.

Every day there is more new and vital information coming out why there should be a referral decision for a full EES or more importantly, why this pipeline should not proceed at all. I realize we cannot keep forwarding informing but I would request that you accept the attached newspaper article and information with the rest of my material

Lindsay Is. Is one of the icon site and during the PIA the impact upon icon sites of the Goulburn and in this case the Murray River were not part of the referral. This is an unbelievable sight of the damage along the Murray River due to insufficient flows of water and I believe illustrates why no water must be taken from the Goulburn for the north-south pipeline project.

Mr. Garrett and the Federal Government must take a firm stance on this issue - are we to lose these magnificent icon areas just to allow Melbourne people to have a large amount of potable water at their fingertips at all times?

I implore Mr. Garrett to really think about the serious situation in the Murray Darling Basin and to act responsibly. Our Premier and his Government wont.

Yours sincerely,

Mary J. Chandler