

19<sup>th</sup> March 2009

Mr. Kevin Rudd  
Prime Minister of Australia  
Parliament House  
Canberra, ACT

Dear Prime Minister,

## **Re: The Global Warming**

I am very concerned about the narrow approach to climate change in that carbon dioxide build up cannot account for climate change in past centuries nor does it take into account the solar system's effect on climate.

Attached are the titles of five papers which would destroy the case for man made global warming if the contents are correct. Will you have the Government's Chief Scientist carefully study these papers and give his assessment of them?

If he agrees with these papers then it is time for a complete re-evaluation of global warming. This may save the nation billions of dollars in unnecessary mitigation procedures.

Yours faithfully,

Terry Croft  
B.Eng,

## Five climate change papers needing appraisal

Four papers presented at the 'Rehabilitating Carbon Dioxide' Workshop. These papers are available on the Lavoisier Group's website.

1. **Ray Evans' paper 'Rehabilitating Carbon Dioxide: An Overview'** A theoretical paper on global warming relative to the level of carbon dioxide. This paper shows that a quadrupling of the man made CO<sub>2</sub> component of the atmosphere would only increase the global temperature 0.4 degrees. A similar paper of Evans appeared in the March 2008 edition of Quadrant.

2. **Richard Mackay's paper 'Rhodes Fairbridge and the idea that the solar system regulates the Earth's climate'** This paper looks at the sun's impact on the earth i.e. gravity, the electromagnetic force and their interaction and the involvement of the planets in this process (the solar inertial motion hypothesis). The significance of this paper is that it provides a basis for a rational explanation of climate change over the 100, 000's of years for which we have ice cores and other data showing climate change. The global warming theory cannot do this.

3. **David Archibald's paper 'Solar Cycles 24 and 25 and Predicted Climate Response'** This paper predicts significant global cooling similar to the Dalton Minimum of 1796 to 1820 in the coming years. We could be looking at a cooler planet for the next 30 year with temperatures dropping 1.5 degrees on average for at least some of this time. Ironically for such a state we should be trying to produce as much CO<sub>2</sub> as possible in order to gain the small amount of global warming that such release would give us.

4. **Michael Hammer's paper 'A Theoretical Analysis of the Effect of Greenhouse Gases in the Atmosphere'** This paper shows how a more detailed and scientific analysis of global warming can radically change the predictions. Hammer shows that when one considers the sensitivity of water vapour and CO<sub>2</sub>, a rise in CO<sub>2</sub> levels to 560ppm and a predicted 2 – 4.5 degrees warming will only result in a 0.12 degree increase.

I understand Ray Evans has a B.Eng & a PhD and considering the quality and technical details of the other papers I would expect the other authors to have like scientific qualifications.

5. A paper published by the Oregon Group namely **Arthur Robinson et al's paper 'Environmental Effects of Increased Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide'**, Journal of American Physicians and Surgeons (2007) 12, 79-90. This is a comprehensive paper countering many of the claims surrounding global warming. This paper is available on the web, typing in the title will bring it up.