Appendix 3

A tiered model for jurisdiction¹

Tier 1 Agencies with significant law enforcement functions, close operational ties and high inherent corruption risks

Tier 2 Other agencies with important law enforcement functions and lower inherent corruption vulnerability

Tier 3 Other Commonwealth agencies

	How an investigation or inquiry may be commenced*						Addressing Corruption Risk		
	CEO must notify corruption issues to ACLEI (s 19)	CEO may refer corruption issues to ACLEI	Minister may refer a corruption issue (s 18)	Any other person may refer a corruption issue (s 23)	Integrity Commissioner on own initiative (s 38)	Minister may request a public inquiry (s 71)	Corruption risk assessment**	Providing corruption prevention advice**	Awareness raising about corruption risks
TIER 1	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
TIER 2		✓	~	Whistle- blowers only	§	/	On request	✓	~
TIER 3								On request	On request

^{*} The Integrity Commissioner should give priority to corruption issues that have a nexus to the law enforcement character of the agency.

1 Table source: ACLEI, Submission 14, p. 32.

^{**} To ensure detection and prevention systems are appropriately targeted and resourced.

[§] Please note that while ACLEI's submission did not include 'own initiative' inquiry and investigation for the second tier, the committee recommends that this be the case.