ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Question: 168

Division: CFD – Corporate Finance Division

Topic: Contractors

Proof Hansard Page: 5 (23/05/11)

Senator Colbeck asked:

At previous budget estimates and additional estimates, in response to question on notice No. 24, you indicated to us there that you were going to achieve efficiencies by prioritising some activities, by some restructuring and by reducing costs such as contractors and travel. I think at that point in time the 2011-12 estimate was \$3.58 million, to which you have to add another \$1.4 million, so you are looking at taking \$5.2 million out of the budget for 2011-12. Can you give us some sense of where the specific reductions to contractors referred to have been made?

Dr O'Connell: I would have to take that on notice and look at the contract numbers that we have.

Senator COLBECK: You do not have any of that information with you?

Dr O'Connell: I do not. We could probably get that before the day is done. I do not

have it on the table.

Answer:

There has been a net decrease in departmental expenditure for contractors from \$35.9 million in 2009-10 to \$34.3 million in 2010–11 (to 17 June 2011). The table below shows a breakdown of this expenditure under the major departmental programs.

			June 2011
Departmental Programs	June 2009	June 2010	(as at
			17/6/11)
Biosecurity Services	0	0	201,940
National Residue Survey	6,309	22,525	263,921
Aust Plague & Locusts	66,327	28,354	0
Other Product Integrity	514,200	1,649,438	274,253
AQIS	32,111,238	24,955,513	23,773,475
ABARE	162,810	136,605	
Bureau of Rural Sciences	3,361,231	1,590,202	415,693
Corporate Policy Division	570,355	614,655	413,504
Corporate Finance Division (excluding	696,157	1,188,509	900,677
Levies)	090,137	1,100,309	900,077
Levies	29,750	151,265	603,484
Corporate Services Division	2,472,134	4,450,908	5,908,998
Agricultural Productivity Division	132,999	49,385	320,680

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Sustainable Resource Management	1,022,532	601,710	643,294
Climate Change Division	673,887	29,254	180,139
Trade & Market Access	538,304	367,058	424,658
Total	42,371,254	35,891,382	34,324,714

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Question: 169

Division: CFD – Corporate Finance Division

Topic: Reduction in travel

Proof Hansard Page: 5 (23/05/11)

Senator Colbeck asked:

Senator COLBECK: You also referred to specific reductions in travel. How has your travel budget varied over the last 12 months?

Dr O'Connell: Again, I would have to get those numbers for you during the day, if that is okay.

Senator COLBECK: You do not have a consolidated travel figure for the portfolio?

Mr Schaeffer: We do, we just do not have that level of detail with us.

Answer:

The departmental budget for travel for 2010–11 was \$13.7 million. The department has spent \$11.6 million on travel (to 17 June 2011).

The table below shows the monthly departmental actuals and budget, cost recovered and appropriation funded for travel for 2010–11.

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

		Actual		Budget					
	Cost Recovered	Appropriation Funded	Total	Cost Recovered	Appropriation Funded	Total			
Jul	646,314	1,073,677	1,719,992	565,242	786,375	1,351,617			
Aug	605,568	851,153	1,456,720	533,824	798,452	1,332,276			
Sep	761,346	1,082,541	1,843,887	591,210	1,085,694	1,676,905			
Oct	736,397	1,185,709	1,922,105	554,135	908,803	1,462,939			
Nov	674,682	962,522	1,637,205	594,046	1,243,495	1,837,541			
Dec	556,164	991,770	1,547,934	548,771	807,829	1,356,601			
Jan	511,826	597,628	1,109,454	521,743	892,741	1,414,484			
Feb	529,228	810,502	1,339,729	579,864	1,351,462	1,931,326			
Mar	667,986	1,211,293	1,879,278	666,494	1,785,918	2,452,411			
Apr	684,752	1,247,279	1,932,031	665,773	1,328,352	1,994,124			
May	831,267	1,477,221	2,308,488	577,029	1,252,033	1,829,062			
Jun	491,719	1,070,842	1,562,560	590,678	1,470,036	2,060,714			
Total	7,697,248	12,562,134	20,259,383	6,988,810	13,711,191	20,700,001			

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Question: 170

Division: CFD – Corporate Finance Division

Topic: Reduction in Travel Proof Hansard Page: 6 (23/05/11)

Senator Colbeck asked:

Senator COLBECK: How do you mean 'cost-recovered'?

Mr Schaeffer: That is basically paid for by industry for activities that get applied to them under the legislation, under the Quarantine Act. It is difficult to do apples-toapples comparisons when you are just talking about travel in its own right, because there are some embedded reductions in our travel, in our funded areas, but there will be an increase in some parts of our travel budget due to increases in activity that are cost-recovered.

Dr O'Connell: The cost-recovery component overall of our budget is roughly 60 per cent, so it has a major impact upon the travel and it is probably more than that of the travel component, I think, because of the level of effort that is undertaken to do inspections and that sort of thing. We can give to you these numbers more precisely, if that is useful to you.

Senator COLBECK: Yes. You talk about an \$18 million—close to 19, 18.8 so far to April. You have still got May and June to go. What sort of budget reduction were you looking for out of travel as far as that particular area is concerned, over and above 2009-10?

Mr Schaeffer: The reductions are about 10 per cent but you cannot see it in the numbers because of the increases in activity that are cost-recovered. There is a netting-off in all that, so that is why we need to go back and get you those numbers.

Dr O'Connell: We can provide those broken down into cost-recovered and appropriation.

Senator COLBECK: You talked about quarantine, for example, as far as costrecovered.

Mr Schaeffer: Sea-cargo inspections, where people have to travel to get to the port. **Senator COLBECK:** What about the current process that you are going through in respect of reviewing all of the AQIS fees and charges? There has been a considerable amount of travel engaged in that. Is that an activity that would be cost recovered?

Mr Schaeffer: We would have to take that on notice.

Answer:

The departmental budget for travel for 2010–11 was \$13.7 million.

The table below shows the departmental budget, cost recovered and appropriation funded for travel for 2009–10 and 2010–11 against major program areas.

Travel incurred as part of the review of the export fees under the Export Certification Reform Package are funded by Government.

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Question: 170 (continued)

2009/2010 vs 2010/2011 Budget - Travel Expenses by Program

2009/2010 vs 2010/2011 Budget - Haver Expenses by Program									
	2009-2010		2010-2011						
Programs	Cost Recovered	Approp Funded	Cost Recovered	Approp Funded					
Outcome 1									
Agricultural Productivity	-	751,939	3,000	697,632					
Climate Change	-	1,166,336	15,186	732,487					
Sustainable Resource Management	485,993	686,261	433,483	435,398					
Trade and Market Access	-	1,866,012	-	2,121,178					
ABARES	-	1,035,423	558,297	895,703					
Total Outcome 1	485,993	5,505,971	1,009,965	4,882,399					
Outcome 2									
Animal Quarantine Operations	75,135	49,011	62,728	55,200					
Animal Export Operations	156,342	-	119,260	-					
Plant Export Operations	510,025	-	475,303	48,710					
Plant Quarantine	68,821	50,978	86,380	243,160					
Food Export	2,988,703	183,951	2,736,731	212,734					
Export Reform	-	696,020	-	1,112,426					
Cargo	1,459,655	67,818	1,769,980	30,000					
NAQS	-	401,080	-	427,896					
Passenger & Mail	56,379	581,811	44,500	701,580					
Other	391,442	5,356,897	683,962	5,997,086					
Total Outcome 2	5,706,501	7,387,565	5,978,845	8,828,793					
DAFF Total	6,192,494	12,893,537	6,988,810	13,711,191					

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Question: 171

Division: CFD – Corporate Finance Division

Topic: Cost recovery

Proof Hansard Page: 8-9 (23/05/11)

Senator Colbeck asked:

Senator COLBECK: What proportion of your biosecurity budget would be cost recovered?

Ms Mellor: It would be in the order of 60 to 65 per cent. The appropriation-funded activities are airports, technical science advice and Northern Australian Quarantine Strategy. For example, travel that is associated with the Northern Australian Quarantine Strategy, would come out of the appropriation budget not out of cost-recovered budget.

Senator COLBECK: I will be interested in seeing some more detailed information on this

Mr Schaeffer: If it is useful, we could provide on notice for you travel by program, if you like.

Senator COLBECK: Yes, and month so that I can get a sense of the cycles and the movement in the cycles.

Answer:

The tables below show departmental travel budget, cost recovered and appropriation funded, per month for the major programs under outcome 1 and outcome 2.

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Question: 171 (continued)

2010/2011 Budget - Travel Expenses by Outcome 1 and Outcome 2 programs by month

Programs	Total Ou	tcome 1	Total Ou	tcome 2	Total
Month	Cost Recovered	Approp Funded	Cost Recovered	Approp Funded	Month
Jul	58,533	218,963	506,709	567,412	1,351,617
Aug	75,734	234,526	458,090	563,927	1,332,277
Sep	71,303	374,471	519,908	711,223	1,676,905
Oct	77,075	280,376	477,060	628,427	1,462,939
Nov	92,528	482,445	501,518	761,049	1,837,541
Dec	68,309	300,693	480,462	507,136	1,356,601
Jan	79,953	293,070	441,790	599,671	1,414,483
Feb	89,414	423,788	490,450	927,675	1,931,326
Mar	126,377	726,873	540,117	1,059,044	2,452,411
Apr	94,274	463,755	571,498	864,597	1,994,124
May	88,669	383,020	488,361	869,014	1,829,063
Jun	87,795	700,419	502,883	769,617	2,060,714
Year	1,009,965	4,882,399	5,978,845	8,828,793	20,700,001

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Question: 171 (continued)

2010/2011 Budget - Travel Expenses by Outcome 1 programs by month Sustainable Resource **Trade and Market Climate Change Programs Agricultural Productivity** Management Access **ABARES Total Outcome 1** Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Approp Approp Approp Approp Approp Approp Month Recovered **Funded** Funded Recovered **Funded** Recovered Funded Recovered **Funded** Recovered **Funded** Recovered Jul 45,708 19,861 10,000 38,672 59,041 58,533 54,300 49,914 218,963 33,650 22,220 31,263 35,746 53,513 81,400 75,734 234,526 Aug 52,467 74,750 61,358 15,925 34,500 118,728 55,378 85,135 71,303 374,471 Sep 58,676 280,376 Oct 65,083 23,526 13,000 60,253 53,549 83,364 77,075 51,315 85,409 44,675 43,223 216,338 47,853 86,161 92,528 482,445 Nov 68,309 27 53,733 96,003 41,625 300,693 Dec 60,500 26,657 27,849 62,608 79,953 293,070 13,100 78,160 46,536 20,893 137,202 33,417 43,716 Jan 64,300 53,333 53,570 37,877 423,788 Feb 7,500 44,037 159,229 93,356 89,414 -115,692 126,377 88,651 82,633 49,536 67,632 372,265 76,841 726,873 Mar 56,550 40,737 94,274 80,333 50,537 39,333 200,043 87,496 463,755 Apr 3,000 May 87,400 7,659 51,533 46,036 55,090 147,337 34,974 41,660 88,669 383,020 54,440 22,737 43,937 39,045 528,122 43,858 56,075 87,795 700,419 Jun 697,632 15,186 732,487 433,483 435,398 2,121,178 558,297 895,703 1,009,965 4,882,399 Year 3,000

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

2010/201	l1 Budget	- Trave	l Expense	s by Ou	tcome 2 p	orograms	by mont	h														
	Animal Qua	arantine	Animal E	Export	Plant E	xport	Plant Qua	arantine					Passenger & Mail									
Programs	Operations	Branch	Operations	s Branch	Operation	s Branch	Brar	nch	Food Expo	ort Branch	Export Refo	orm Branch	Cargo E	ranch	NA	QS	Brai	nch	Oth	ner	Total Ou	utcome 2
	Cost	Approp	Cost	Approp	Cost	Approp	Cost	Approp	Cost	Approp	Cost	Approp	Cost	Approp	Cost	Approp	Cost	Approp	Cost	Approp	Cost	Approp
Month	Recovered	Funded	Recovered	Funded	Recovered	Funded	Recovered	Funded	Recovered	Funded	Recovered	Funded	Recovered	Funded	Recovered	Funded	Recovered	Funded	Recovered	Funded	Recovered	Funded
Jul	4,664	4,600	10,672	-	34,497	1,600	5,280	15,620	236,394	16,720	-	92,702	142,350	1,000	-	32,254	3,700	65,143	69,152	337,772	506,709	567,412
Aug	4,744	4,600	9,572	-	35,964	12,900	1,460	42,730	236,394	18,220	-	92,702	129,843	1,000	-	31,266	3,700	59,751	36,414	300,757	458,090	563,927
Sep	5,164	4,600	9,572	-	33,026	9,500	18,160	23,030	236,394	17,050	-	92,702	138,725	2,000	-	43,449	6,450	68,730	72,417	450,161	519,908	711,223
Oct	4,744	4,600	10,672	-	38,041	3,000	3,320	23,260	236,394	17,570	-	92,702	138,527	2,000	-	35,497	4,900	61,730	40,462	388,068	477,060	628,427
Nov	8,344	4,600	9,572	-	29,608	2,400	11,350	56,990	236,394	18,220	-	92,702	129,971	2,000	-	35,791	3,700	64,166	72,579	484,180	501,518	761,049
Dec	4,744	4,600	9,572	-	48,180	-	1,250	1,080	203,061	17,150	-	92,702	186,071	2,000	-	25,320	2,400	50,465	25,185	313,819	480,462	507,136
Jan	5,164	4,600	10,672	-	42,258	-	-	-	203,061	16,820	-	92,702	122,588	10,000	-	25,320	5,200	44,863	52,847	405,364	441,790	599,671
Feb	4,744	4,600	9,572	-	41,404	3,200	13,600	23,820	203,061	19,120	-	92,702	164,052	2,000	-	39,120	1,700	44,146	52,317	698,965	490,450	927,675
Mar	4,664	4,600	9,572	-	40,952	1,550	3,710	17,300	236,394	17,050	-	92,702	149,756	2,000	-	37,248	2,900	70,346	92,169	816,247	540,117	1,059,044
Apr	6,344	4,600	10,672	-	42,407	1,480	21,000	11,900	236,394	16,820	-	92,702	197,569	2,000	-	48,289	5,250	45,146	51,862	641,659	571,498	864,597
May	4,664	4,600	9,572	-	42,808	2,680	1,000	25,270	236,394	18,420	-	92,702	127,404	2,000	-	42,870	1,700	85,746	64,819	594,724	488,361	869,014
Jun	4,744	4,600	9,572	-	46,158	10,400	6,250	2,160	236,394	19,570	-	92,702	143,125	2,000	-	31,470	2,900	41,346	53,740	565,368	502,883	769,617
Year	62,728	55,200	119,260	-	475,303	48,710	86,380	243,160	2,736,731	212,734	-	1,112,426	1,769,980	30,000	-	427,896	44,500	701,580	683,962	5,997,086	5,978,845	8,828,793

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Question: 172

Division: CFD – Corporate Finance Division

Topic: Efficiency – Reduced spending on contractors

Proof Hansard Page: 9-10 (23/05/11)

Senator Colbeck asked:

Senator COLBECK: What about contractors? Has there been a reduction in the spending on contractors so far this year?

Mr Schaeffer: Once again, it is applicable to the relevant business unit so. If there is a need for contractors to increase due to the business activity increasing, that is allowed, but there is an overall objective to keep the contractors to a minimum. There is one area where we have reduced contractors or will be reducing contractors, which is in our IT area, under the Gershon policy that the government has set.

Senator COLBECK: How is that going to work?

Mr Schaeffer: The contractors come down there and then they will be replaced by employees, effectively.

Dr O'Connell: There will be some in-sourcing of skills. I do not have contractor numbers here but we can provide those to you, if you want, over the similar three-year period.

Senator COLBECK: Yes. It is only a saving, though, if you spend less on internal employees than you do on the contractors.

Answer:

There has been a net decrease in departmental expenditure for contractors in 2010–11 compared with 2008–09 and 2009–10. The table below shows the actuals for contractors for each of the last three financial years.

Year	Actual Departmental Expense
2008–09	42 371 254
2009–10	35 891 382
2010–11	34 324 714
(to 17 June 2011)	

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Question: 173

Division/Agency: CFD – Corporate Finance Division

Topic: Contractor and consultant payments

Proof Hansard Page: Written

Senator Heffernan asked:

- 1. Has the department/agency paid its accounts to contractors/consultants etc in accordance with Government policy in terms of time for payment (i.e. within 30 days)? If not, why not, and what has been the timeframe for payment of accounts? Please provide a breakdown, average statistics etc as appropriate to give insight into how this issue is being approached.)
- 2. For accounts not paid within 30 days, is interest being paid on overdue amounts and if so how much has been paid by the portfolio/department agency for the current financial year and the previous financial year?
- 3. Where interest is being paid, what rate of interest is being paid and how is this rate determined?

Answer:

1. Yes. Consultant and contractor related accounts paid by the department for the past financial year and current financial year to date are:

		1/7/10 to
	2009–10	15/6/11
	% of invoices	% of invoices
0-30 days	94.10	92.55
31-60 days	4.17	4.98
61-90 days	0.89	1.33
90-120 days	0.40	0.58
120+ days	0.44	0.56

The reasons for payments over 30 days include: invoice disputes, incorrect invoices, invalid ABN numbers and missing supplier information.

- 2. No interest has been paid on overdue accounts in the current financial year or the previous financial year.
- 3. N/A.

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Question: 174

Division: CFD – Corporate Finance Division

Topic: Reduction in Departmental appropriation

Proof Hansard Page: Written

Senator Colbeck asked:

Page 64 of the PBS shows a massive reduction in the Departmental appropriation in 2011–12 and the forward estimates.

- 1. Please provide details of the components of the reduction.
- 2. How much relates to export reform and how much to the efficiency dividend?
- 3. What other matters does it include?
- 4. Can you provide some detail as to what the efficiency dividend component will impact upon in practical terms and what the reduction specifically relates to?

Answer:

1. Page 64 relates to Program 2.1 Quarantine and Export. This section includes the funds for export reform which terminates in 2011–12 and the measure Reform of Australia's Biosecurity System-moving core business towards reform which also terminates in 2011–12.

The reduction is the result of a number of budget processes, which include the completion of terminating programs and government savings measures required to partially offset our expense measures and meet the efficiency dividend targets applied to all agencies in the Commonwealth.

- 2. The net reduction in Export Certification Reform Package from 2010–11 and 2011–12 is \$65 million. In 2011–12, the total efficiency dividend for the department is \$4 million and it allocation to specific programs has yet to be settled.
- 3. Refer to response provided for question 2.
- 4. The efficiency dividend will be delivered by undertaking a broad change management process across the whole organisation to strengthen our business delivery and policy direction, and consolidate and streamline our organisation. This process will eliminate red tape and duplicated functions and is yet to be settled.

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Question: 175

Division/Agency: CFD – Corporate Finance Division

Topic: Budget information for statutory research and development information

Proof Hansard Page: Written

Senator Colbeck asked:

Budget information has been provided for statutory research and development authorities. It is not clear where funding for other industry-owned companies that conduct research and development, such as Horticulture Australia Limited, are allocated within the Department.

Please provide details of where these budgets are allocated and details of the budget allocations for 2011–12 and the previous five financial years.

Answer:

Budget funding for both statutory research and development authorities and other industry-owned companies is allocated under the Special Appropriations within the department. For example, budget funding to Horticulture Australia Limited sits under *Horticultural Marketing and Research and Development Services Act 2000, s. 16*(9), and for 2011–12 can be found on page 15 of the 2011–12 Portfolio Budget Statements.

The table below shows where these funds are allocated for 2011–12 and the previous five financial years. The figures are extracted from the Portfolio Budget Statements for the respective financial years.

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Question: 175 (continued)

Budget Funding (Levy and Commonwealth Matching) for Statutory Research and Development Authorities and other Industry-owned Companies for the Financial Years 2006–07 to 2011–12

Source: Portfolio Budget Statements (PBS) for the financial years 2006–07 to 2011–12 **Special Appropriation Description Related Statutory** 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2007-08 2006-07 2008-09 PBS PBS **PBS** PBS **PBS** PBS research and development **Budget** Budget Budget **Budget Budget** Budget authority / Industry-owned company \$'000 \$'000 \$'000 \$'000 \$'000 \$'000 Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals AgVet Administration Act 1992, s. 58(3) 22,851 24,694 Australian Animal Health Council (Live-stock **Animal Health** Industries) Funding Act 1996, s. 5 (LRS) Australia 6,312 6,296 6,205 6,345 6,325 6,977 Australian Meat and Live-stock Industry Act Meat and 1997, s. 63(2) - payments to the industry 75,786 74,732 68,288 73,136 73,104 64,367 Livestock Australia marketing body (LRS) Australian Meat and Live-stock Industry Act Meat and 1997, s. 64(2) - payments to the industry Livestock Australia 24,293 24,179 20,552 22,420 22,428 20,904 research body (LRS)

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Special Appropriation Description	Related Statutory research and development authority / Industry-owned company	2011–12 PBS Budget	2010–11 PBS Budget	2009–10 PBS Budget	2008–09 PBS Budget	2007–08 PBS Budget	2006–07 PBS Budget
		\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
Australian Meat and Live-stock Industry Act 1997, s. 64A(2) - payments to the livestock	Livecorp	3,198	3,240	3,935	2,520	2,430	2,213
export marketing body (LRS)		3,233	-,- :-	,,,,,,	_,	_,	_,
Australian Meat and Live-stock Industry Act	Livecorp						
1997, s. 64B(2) - payments to the livestock		800	810	788	580	580	246
export research body (LRS)							
Australian Meat and Live-stock Industry Act	Australian Meat						
1997, s. 64C(2) - payment to the meat	Processor	5,603	4,935	6,430	4,890	-	-
processor marketing body (LRS)	Corporation						
Australian Meat and Live-stock Industry Act	Australian Meat						
1997, s. 64D(2) - payment to the meat	Processor	10,106	8,868	11,548	7,335	-	-
processor research body (LRS)	Corporation						
Australian Meat and Live-stock Industry Act	Meat and						
1997, s. 66(1) - Commonwealth contribution	Livestock Australia	42,206	41,798	39,390	45,000	40,370	40,500
to industry research body (LRS)							

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Special Appropriation Description	Related Statutory research and development authority / Industry-owned company	2011–12 PBS Budget	2010–11 PBS Budget	2009–10 PBS Budget	2008–09 PBS Budget	2007–08 PBS Budget	2006–07 PBS Budget
		\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
Australian Wine and Brandy Corporation Act 1980, s. 32 (LRS)	Australian Wine and Brandy Corporation	-	5,290	5,606	5,477	5,209	5,982
Dairy Produce Act 1986, s. 6(1) (LRS)	Dairy Australia	46,283	46,244	49,954	43,938	48,428	47,770
Dairy Produce Act 1986, Schedule 2, 83(3) - Dairy Industry Adjustment Program	Dairy Australia	-	-	-	233,055	-	-
Dairy Produce Act 1986, Schedule 2, 83(2) - Dairy Industry Restructure Package	Dairy Australia	-	-	-	-	228,756	226,556
Egg Industry Service Provision Act 2002, s. 8(1) (LRS)	Australian Egg Corporation Limited	8,946	6,128	5,267	4,832	4,221	4,900
Financial Management and Accountability Act 1997, s. 28(2) - payment of refunds not elsewhere appropriated (LRS)	Not applicable	2,000	1,725	1,725	2,725	2,725	2,725

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Special Appropriation Description	Related Statutory research and development authority / Industry-owned	2011–12 PBS Budget	2010–11 PBS Budget	2009–10 PBS Budget	2008–09 PBS Budget	2007–08 PBS Budget	2006–07 PBS Budget
	company	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
Fisheries Administration Act 1991, s. 80(2)	Australian Fisheries Management Authority	-	-	-	10,400	10,986	8,323
Forestry Marketing and Research and Development Services Act 2007, s. 9(1) payments and matching payments to an industry services body and Commonwealth administration expenses (LRS)	Forest and Wood Products Australia	10,186	9,117	7,872	9,982	-	-
Horticultural Marketing and Research and Development Services Act 2000, s. 16(9) (LRS)	Horticulture Australia Limited	75,135	75,166	63,600	63,600	63,600	61,110
National Cattle Disease Eradication Trust Account Act 1991, Schedule 5, 21(6)	Brucellosis & Tuberculosis Eradication Council	-	-	-	-	6	1

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Special Appropriation Description	Related Statutory research and development authority / Industry-owned company	2011–12 PBS Budget	2010–11 PBS Budget	2009–10 PBS Budget	2008–09 PBS Budget	2007–08 PBS Budget	2006–07 PBS Budget
		\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
National Residue Survey Administration Act	National Residue						
1992, s. 6 (LRS)	Survey	9,493	8,977	8,972	8,825	6,083	6,812
Pig Industry Act 2001, s. 10(1) (LRS)	Australian Pork						
	Limited	16,005	15,390	14,495	17,624	17,421	16,694
Plant Health Australia (Plant Industries)	Plant Health						
Funding Act 2002, s. 6 (LRS)	Australia	1,072	1,398	1,811	982	982	795
Plant Health Australia (Plant Industries)	Plant Health						
Funding Act 2002, s. 10B (LRS)	Australia	430	402	-	-	-	-
Primary Industries and Energy Research and	Cotton Research						
Development Act 1989, s. 30(3) - Cotton	and Development	14,819	6,717	6,569	4,694	5,359	8,941
R&D Corporation (LRS)	Corporation						
Primary Industries and Energy Research and	Forest and Wood						
Development Act 1989, s 30(3) - Forest and	Products Research	-	-	-	-	7,055	8,000
Wood Products R&D Corporation	and Development						
	Corporation						

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Special Appropriation Description	Related Statutory research and development authority / Industry-owned company	2011–12 PBS Budget	2010–11 PBS Budget	2009–10 PBS Budget	2008–09 PBS Budget	2007–08 PBS Budget	2006–07 PBS Budget
		\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
Primary Industries and Energy Research and Development Act 1989, s. 30(3) - Grains R&D Corporation - Other Grains (LRS)	Grains Research and Development Corporation	59,043	44,394	48,013	55,768	38,329	42,696
Primary Industries and Energy Research and Development Act 1989, s. 30(3) - Grains R&D Corporation - Wheat (LRS)	Grains Research and Development Corporation	81,363	65,744	80,392	70,091	62,280	66,314
Primary Industries and Energy Research and Development Act 1989, s. 30(3) - Grape & Wine R&D Corporation (LRS)	Grape and Wine Research and Development Corporation	24,728	25,834	25,857	23,394	27,219	25,315
Primary Industries and Energy Research and Development Act 1989, s. 30(3) - Rural Industries R&D Corporation (LRS)	Rural Industries Research and Development Corporation	7,190	4,823	4,530	4,414	3,320	5,505
Primary Industries and Energy Research and Development Act 1989, s. 30(3) - Sugar R&D Corporation (LRS)	Sugar Research and Development Corporation	9,644	8,938	9,263	10,193	10,069	10,712

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Special Appropriation Description	Related Statutory research and development authority / Industry-owned company	2011–12 PBS Budget	2010–11 PBS Budget	2009–10 PBS Budget	2008–09 PBS Budget	2007–08 PBS Budget	2006–07 PBS Budget
		\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
Primary Industries and Energy Research and Development Act 1989, s. 30A(3) - Fisheries R&D Corporation (LRS)	Fisheries Research and Development Corporation	16,447	16,548	17,488	16,525	16,415	16,670
Wheat Marketing Act 1989, s 10A(2)	Wheat Export Authority	-	-	-	-	2,860	3,716
Wine Australia Corporation Act 1980, s.32	Wine Australia Corporation	4,936	-	-	-	-	_
Wool Services Privatisation Act 2000, s. 31(4) (LRS)	Australian Wool Innovation	53,800	42,983	50,128	53,900	51,500	52,000
Total Special Appropriations managed by L Service	evies Revenue	609,824	550,676	558,678	802,645	780,911	781,438

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Question: 176

Division/Agency: CFD – Corporate Finance Division

Topic: Underspends

Proof Hansard Page: Written

Senator Colbeck asked:

- 1. Can you identify the total amount of underspending within the portfolio and the specific areas and amounts?
- 2. What are the reasons for these underspends?
- 3. Will any of the underspent funds be used for purposes other than originally intended?
- 4. Do you anticipate any underspends in 2011–12 and if so in what areas and why?

Answer:

1. The following tables identify the underspends for 2010–11. Agencies are currently being audited which could mean some variation to the figures presented.

Administered Underspends

Program	Underspend \$m	Reason
1.1: Tackling Climate Change – Australia's Farming Future –	7.6	Demand driven program dependant on uptake.
Climate Change		
1.3: Forestry Industry	1.2	Grantees delays in meeting established milestones and project completion with less cost than initially anticipated.
1.4: Fishing Industry	1.8	Completion with less cost than initially anticipated.
1.5: Horticulture Industry	0.7	Completion with less cost than initially anticipated.
1.11: Drought Programs	9.5	Demand driven program dependant on uptake in drought declared areas.
1.13: International Market Access	0.7	Completion of funding rounds at lower cost than initially anticipated.
2.1: Plant and Animal Heath	0.5	Mixture of delays in meeting established milestones, and completion with less cost than initially anticipated.

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Question: 176 (continued)

Departmental Appropriation Underspends

Departmental appropriation underspends will be \$3.5 million in 2010–11 primarily the result of the implementation of the Export Certification Reform Package.

Portfolio Agency Underspends

Agency	Underspend	Reason
	\$m	
Australian Fisheries Management	0.6	Decline in illegal fishing vessel
Authority		apprehensions.
Australian Pesticides and	1.0	Staff vacancies and delays in
Veterinary Medicines Authority		receiving scientific assessment
		services.
Cotton Research and Development	1.1	Project milestone payments
Corporation		delayed due to project
		extensions granted.
Fisheries Research and	0.2	Delays in project milestone
Development Corporation		payments.
Grains Research and Development	2.8	Revenue received was higher
Corporation		than anticipated.
Grape and Wine Research and	1.9	Project funding not contracted
Development Corporation		and operational savings due to
		prudent management and vacant
		positions.
Rural Industries Research and	4.2	Delays in initiating the Weeds
Development Corporation		Program and various other
		minor underspends.
Sugar Research and Development	1.3	Weather conditions impacting
Corporation		research, payments transferred
		to 2011–12. Delays in
		technology trials due to reduced
		cash flow and additional
		maintenance costs.
Wine Australia Corporation	0.1	A decline in orders received for
		the Australian Wine Overseas
		scheme and reduced property
		costs.
Wheat Exports Australia	1.2	Lower spending in accreditation
		and monitoring related activities
		and no expenditure in exporters
		cost recovery for external audits
		and Ministerial Investigations.
Total	14.4	

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

- 2. The reasons for these underspend are provided in the table at 1 above.
- 3. Some minor underspends will be re-allocated to demand driven capped programs.
- 4. The Budget for 2011–12 reflects the anticipated result for the year, which does not show any underspend.

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Question: 177

Division/Agency: CFD – Corporate Finance Division

Topic: Small business payments Proof Hansard Page: Written

Senator Colbeck asked:

- 1. Has the Department paid its accounts to small business in accordance with the Government policy in terms of time for payment i.e. within 30 days?
- 2. Please provide details on the number and percentages that are within and outside the timeframe?
- 3. Has any interest been paid on overdue accounts?

Answer:

- 1. Yes.
- 2. The figures below are based on the department's report for the Survey of Australian Government Payments to Small Business for the year ending 30 June 2010.

2009–10	Paid within 30 days	Paid within 31-44 days	Paid within 45-60 days	Not paid within 60 days	Total
Number of invoices	55,712	493	220	280	56,705
% of invoices	98.25	0.87	0.39	0.49	100

3. No.

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Question: 178

Division/Agency: CFD – Corporate Finance Division

Topic: Post-entry quarantine facilities

Proof Hansard Page: Written

Senator Nash asked:

In the Portfolio Budget Statement 2011–12, Section 1: Agency Overview and Resources, 1.1 Strategic Direction Statement, page 12, paragraph 5,it says "In 2011–12, the department will also invest in our post-entry quarantine facilities and work closely with industry sectors to bed down export certification reforms".

- 1. Where in the budget is the line item for the "investment in post-entry quarantine facilities"?
- 2. How much funding is allocated for this?
- 3. What exactly will you be investing in capital works? Infrastructure? Staff?
- 4. How many staff are Department staff? How many are contractors?
- 5. How are the contractors trained?

Answer:

1. In the Portfolio Budget Statement 2011–12, Table 1.2: Budget measures, page 21, the second measure titled 'Future Post-Entry Quarantine Arrangements – initial work' and also within the Capital measures, with the same title. Funding for the purchase of land has been provided in the Department of Finance and Deregulation's budget and is not for publication for commercial reasons.

2.

Expense measure	2011–12 (\$m)
Future post-entry quarantine arrangements – initial work	
Administered	0.4
Departmental	5.0
Capital measure	
Future post-entry quarantine arrangements – initial work	
Administered	
Departmental	13.7
Total	19.1

Budget Measures, Budget Paper No 2, 2011-12 also provides details of budget measures. Refer page 340 for more information in relation to 'Future Post-Entry Quarantine Arrangements – initial work'.

3. In the 2011–12 Budget, the government made a commitment to fund maintenance and refurbishment of existing post-entry quarantine facilities. Each site will

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Question: 178 (continued)

undergo some critical maintenance to allow each station to continue to operate at appropriate standards.

The government also funded development of future arrangements, including detailed design work, site assessment and procurement activities related to these future arrangements. The department will work in partnership with the Department of Finance and Deregulation and specialist consultants to progress further detailed design work for future facilities, assess potential sites for future facilities and conduct related procurement activities.

- 4. The program of specific works is still being developed and the measure does not fund operational staff at existing stations.
- 5. As the contractors are yet to be procured for this work, this is not yet known.

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Question: 179

Division/Agency: CFD – Corporate Finance Division

Topic: Treasury payments Proof Hansard Page: Written

Senator Nash asked:

On page 21, Table 1.2 Budget measures, footnote 2. It says "The government has allocated \$12.6 million in 2010-11 and \$2.8million in 2012-13 for the "Animal Pest and Disease Eradication programs" – meeting existing commitments measure. Payments will be made by the Department of the Treasury.

- 1. Please define what payments are being made by Treasury; and
- 2. Why are payments being made by Treasury?

Answer:

1. Funds for and payments by the federal government to the state and territory governments under the Federal Financial Relations Framework are administered by the Department of the Treasury. More details of the framework are provided at Australia's Federal Relations, Budget Paper No. 3, 2011–12, Appendix A, which starts at page 123. All Australian Government annual Budget papers are available at www.budget.gov.au.

The government will provide \$15.4 million over two years to meet commitments to eradicate or control existing animal and plant pest and disease incursions. These funds will be administered by the Department of the Treasury, through the Federal Financial Relations Framework, to meet commitments with state and territory governments and industries relating to pest and disease eradication.

Targeted incursions under those programs include chestnut blight, myrtle rust, red imported fire ants, electric ants, Asian honeybee, branched broomrape, European house borer, four tropical weeds (Koster's curse, limnocharis, mikania vine and some miconia species) and siam weed.

2. The reason why payments are made by the Treasury is outlined in the material referred to in our response to question 1.

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Question: 180

Division/Agency: CFD – Corporate Finance Division.

Topic: Funding to organisations Proof Hansard Page: Written

Senator Birmingham asked:

Has the Department provided any funding to any of the following organisations in the current financial year or in any of the previous three financial years? If so, please detail when it was provided and for what purpose.

- a. Australian Conservation Foundation
- b. Australian Council of Trade Unions
- c. Australian Youth Climate Coalition
- d. Climate Action Network Australia
- e. The Climate Institute
- f. Environment Victoria
- g. GetUp!
- h. Greenpeace Australia Pacific
- i. World Wildlife Fund Australia

Answer:

On 5 February 2008 the department made two payments to the World Wildlife Fund (WWF) Australia for \$1020.00 and \$315.08 (GST exclusive) for sitting fees and travel allowance for WWF representation (community representation) on the Communication Implementation Working Group and the National Weed Advisory Group meetings.

No other payments have been made to the organisations listed in the question.

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Question: 181

Division/Agency: CFD – Corporate Finance Division

Topic: Departmental efficiencies Proof Hansard Page: Written

Senator Colbeck asked:

- 1. Will Biosecurity Services Group be impacted in any way by the Departmental Efficiencies measure in BP2, p86?
- 2. If so, please provide details.

Answer:

- 1. Yes.
- 2. The efficiency dividend will focus the department in consolidating and streamlining our organisation, in an effort to eliminate red tape and duplicate functions across our corporate functions.

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Question: 182

Division/Agency: CFD – Corporate Finance Division **Topic: Future post-entry quarantine arrangements**

Proof Hansard Page: Written

Senator Back asked:

The Government will provide funding to the Department of Finance and Deregulation to purchase land and undertake initial scoping and design work required to address future post-entry quarantine arrangements. The capital expenditure associated with this part of the measure is not for publication (nfp) as the negotiations will be commercial-in-confidence.

- 1. In this Budget, \$5.4m of the \$19.1m will be allocated to DAFF for initial work What is this funding to be spent on Inspector-General of Biosecurity? Particularly as Finance has the lead of identifying and purchasing the facility?
- 2. Can you confirm this is a new expense?
- 3. Why does this allocation appear in Budget Paper 2 where does this appear and which appropriation bill provides for this money?
- 4. What role will DAFF play in identification / purchase of the Future Post-Entry Quarantine Facility?
- 5. When does the Government anticipate that this facility will be completed?
- 6. Will quarantine be delivered by government officers only or through a mix of other methods? Sub-contracted etc through private facilities?
- 7. What locations /organisations are being considered?

Answer:

- 1. Budget Measures, Budget Paper No. 2, 2011–12, page 340 provides the relevant details. It is for urgent repair and maintenance work and other expenses at existing post-entry quarantine sites.
- 2. Yes, this is a new expense.
- 3. The resourcing for agencies in 2011–12, which include the total amounts in the Appropriation Bills, is documented in Agency Resourcing, Budget Paper No. 4, 2011–12. The resourcing for the department is identified at page 89. The amount of \$5.4 million as referred in 1 above is included in the total funding for Outcome 2 under Appropriation Bill No. 1 (i.e. departmental appropriation of \$5.0 million and administered appropriation of \$0.4 million). The remainder of the \$19.1 million, ie \$13.7 million is for capital expenditure and is included in the total amount of "Equity Injections" (for departmental funds) under Appropriation Bill No. 2.

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

- 4. The department will work in partnership with the Department of Finance and Deregulation and specialist consultants to progress further detailed design work for future facilities, assess potential sites for future facilities, and conduct related procurement activities.
- 5. The government will determine the completion date for the facilities through the relevant work outlined to be undertaken at 4 above.
- 6. Quarantine services are provided by an array of delivery methods at the border through quarantine approved premises and post-entry quarantine stations. The government has announced a reform process which is considering arrangements for existing Commonwealth post entry quarantine functions. State and private sector post entry quarantine functions are outside the scope of the project. The project will not affect the wide range of arrangements in place for post entry quarantine facilities that are not operated by the Australian Government, including facilities which are Quarantine Approved Premises and facilities which operate under existing Compliance Agreements.
- 7. The details of any potential sites, land purchase or lease discussions are, at this stage, covered by the commercial-in-confidence requirement.

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Question: 183

Division: CFD – Corporate Finance Division

Topic: National Framework for Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals

Proof Hansard Page: Written

Senator Colbeck asked:

- 1. Page 50 of the PBS shows a reduction in the Departmental appropriation for Agricultural Resources of around \$1 million in 2012–13 compared with 2011–12 with further reductions over the forward estimates. Can you advise whether this just relates to the efficiency dividend or other matters?
- 2. Please provide some details as to what this will impact upon in practical terms and what the reduction specifically relates to?

Answer:

- 1. In 2011–12 this program will receive additional external revenue for research activities. Offsetting this revenue into the forward estimates is the application of the efficiency dividend.
- 2. The efficiency dividend will focus the department in consolidating and streamlining our organisation, in an effort to eliminate red tape and duplicate functions across our corporate functions.

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Question: 184

Division: CFD – Corporate Finance Division

Topic: National Framework for Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals

Proof Hansard Page: Written

Senator Colbeck asked:

- 1. Will Agricultural Productivity be impacted in any way by the Departmental Efficiency measures in PB2, p86?
- 2. If so, please provide details.

Answer:

- 1. Yes.
- 2. The efficiency dividend will focus the department in consolidating and streamlining our organisation, in an effort to eliminate red tape and duplicate functions across our corporate functions.

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Question: 185

Division/Agency: CFD – Corporate Finance Division

Topic: Contractors

Proof Hansard Page: Written

Senator Colbeck asked:

- 1. Please provide details of the specific reductions to contracts and contractors being made to achieve the targeted budget reduction for 2011 12.
- 2. Please provide details of how the work undertaken by contractors is being reallocated.
- 3. If this reallocation involves employment of staff, please provide details of the cost saving associated with moving from contractors to internal employees, including consideration of on-costs and coverage of activities during periods of staff leave.
- 4. If this reallocation involves redeployment of staff, please provide details of the costs associated with upskilling / reskilling and relocation of staff.

Answer:

1. A minimum of seven Information and Communication Technology (ICT) contractor positions have been targeted for conversion to Australian Public Service positions by December 2011.

The department is developing and implementing risk-based interventions throughout 2011–12 across all quarantine operations. The needs of each program are under constant review.

- 2. Contractors are employed at various capacities at airports, mail centres and in other supporting roles for example, information technology.
- 3. It is estimated that \$300 000 to \$600 000 will be saved in 2011–12 by converting ICT contractor positions to Australian Public Service positions.
- 4. No current DAFF employees will be redeployed due to contractor re-allocation.

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Budget Estimates May 2011

Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Question: 186

Division/Agency: CFD – Corporate Finance Division.

Topic: Additional efficiency dividend

Proof Hansard Page: Written

Senator Colbeck asked:

- 1. Can you outline how the additional efficiency dividend will impact on the Sustainable Resource Management areas of DAFF?
- 2. Specifically which program areas will be impacted, and what will be the reduction or change in activity?

Answer:

- 1. Yes.
- 2. The efficiency dividend will focus the department in consolidating and streamlining our organisation, in an effort to eliminate red tape and duplicate functions across our corporate functions.