## SENATE LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL LEGISLATION COMMITTEE ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DEPARTMENT

# Output 2.2

# Question No. 296

## Senator Ludwig asked the following question at the hearing on 31 October 2005:

a) Regarding the \$79,942 expended in the 2004/05 in private sector guarding, is it correct that this is not openly contracted?

- (i) Why is it not openly contract?
- (ii) Who are the service providers?
- (iii) How are they chosen?
- (iv) What exactly do they do?
- (v) What sort of security programs do they undertake?
- b) Are these security providers employed elsewhere by the AFP on any other basis?
  - (i) What sort of contacts do they have?
  - (ii) Are the services provided on an ongoing basis or an intermittent basis?

#### The answer to the honourable senator's question is as follows:

a) Yes.

(i) This service is not put out to open contract because of the infrequent requirement for it would not be appropriate for such a process.

(ii) South Australian Police Security Services, Chubb Security and SNP Security.

(iii) Chubb and SNP chosen on advice from local authorities and availability to fulfil a specific need at very short notice.

(iv) SA Police Services provide guarding for the UK Consulate-General in Adelaide. Chubb and SNP have provided guarding at other Diplomatic locations for periods of less than three consecutive days.

(v) All service providers are required to hold the relevant State or Territory licences.

b) No.