

SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ON LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS
COMMONWEALTH DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC PROSECUTIONS

Question No. 59

Senator Siewert asked the following question at the hearing on 14 February 2012:

For the financial years 2009-10 and 2010-11:

1. How much of the Director of Public Prosecutions financial resources (amount and percentage) was dedicated to tax fraud?
2. What are the financial savings to Government of this expenditure?
3. How many staff working on projects related to tax fraud?
4. How many convicted for tax fraud?
5. For the financial periods 2010-11 and 2011-12 and 31 July to 31 December 2011 please provide a breakdown of the sentencing outcomes of recipients convicted [for tax fraud offences] by the CDPP by type of sentence (eg. custodial sentence, good behaviour bond, community service order, etc). Please provide a breakdown of the sentencing outcomes by:
 - i. Age
 - ii. Gender
 - iii. State and Territory, and
 - iv. Indigenous/non-Indigenous background.

For all custodial sentences, detail the length of sentence and the value of the fraud involved.

The answers to the Honourable Senator's questions are as follows:

1. In 2009-2010, 2.4% of defendants dealt with before the courts were referred by the Australian Tax Office (ATO). In 2010-11, 2.2% of defendants dealt with before the courts were referred by the ATO. Detailed information about tax fraud prosecutions is at (4), (5) and (6) below. CDPP resource usage is at (3) below.
2. This is a matter for the Australian Taxation Office.
3. On 30 June 2010, 69 CDPP staff had open tax fraud files. On 30 June 2011, 60 CDPP staff had open tax fraud files.

4.

**NUMBER OF 'TAX FRAUD' CONVICTIONS PROSECUTED BY THE CDPP, BY
FINANCIAL YEAR**

FINANCIAL YEAR	NUMBER OF TAX FRAUD CONVICTIONS
2009-10	150
2010-11	105
TOTAL	255

* Tax fraud prosecutions are defined as those matters referred to the CDPP by the Australian Tax Office or sourced from the Australian Taxation Office. As at 21.02.2012.

5(i). Age

TAX FRAUD CONVICTIONS, BY FINANCIAL YEAR MATTER FINALISED, BY HIGHEST PENALTY AND AGE*

AGE	HIGHEST PENALTY	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12 (First Half)	TOTAL
20 to 29 years		10	3	2	15
	Gaol	3	1	1	5
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	2	1	0	3
	Fine	5	1	0	6
	Recognisance Order	0	0	1	1
30 to 39 years		32	31	7	70
	Gaol	9	16	5	30
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	4	4	2	10
	Periodic Detention	0	1	0	1
	Fine	12	6	0	18
	Community Service Order, etc.	1	0	0	1
	Recognisance Order	6	4	0	10
40 to 49 years		33	25	19	77
	Gaol	11	6	10	27
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	2	2	1	5
	Fine	15	9	4	28
	Community Service Order, etc.	0	2	0	2
	Recognisance Order	5	6	4	15
50 to 59 years		37	26	10	73
	Gaol	6	7	4	17
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	2	6	0	8
	Periodic Detention	1	0	0	1
	Fine	20	7	3	30
	Community Service Order, etc.	2	0	0	2
	Recognisance Order	6	6	3	15
60 to 69 years		18	12	10	40
	Gaol	5	2	5	12
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	0	3	1	4
	Fine	9	2	1	12
	Recognisance Order	4	5	3	12
70 years or over		20	8	7	35
	Gaol	4	2	1	7

	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	1	0	0	1
	Fine	13	3	4	20
	Recognisance Order	2	3	2	7
TOTAL		150	105	55	310

* Tax fraud prosecutions are defined as those matters referred to the CDPP by the Australian Tax Office or sourced from the Australian Taxation Office. 'Highest penalty' is calculated by taking the most severe penalty imposed on the defendant. For example, if a defendant received a fully suspended gaol sentence as well as a fine, only the fully suspended gaol sentence will be captured in this table. In this regard, the table is a count of defendants sentenced for tax fraud offences, not actual penalties imposed. As at 21.02.2012.

5(ii). Gender

**TAX FRAUD CONVICTIONS, BY FINANCIAL YEAR MATTER FINALISED, BY
HIGHEST PENALTY AND GENDER***

GENDER	HIGHEST PENALTY	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12 (First Half)	TOTAL
Female		27	18	12	57
	Gaol	9	8	6	23
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	4	3	3	10
	Periodic Detention	0	1	0	1
	Fine	8	2	0	10
	Community Service Order, etc.	0	1	0	1
	Recognisance Order	6	3	3	12
Male		110	80	39	229
	Gaol	29	25	20	74
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	7	13	1	21
	Periodic Detention	1	0	0	1
	Fine	54	23	9	86
	Community Service Order, etc.	3	1	0	4
	Recognisance Order	16	18	9	43
Company		13	7	4	24
	Gaol	0	1	0	1
	Fine	12	3	3	18
	Recognisance Order	1	3	1	5
TOTAL		150	105	55	310

* Tax fraud prosecutions are defined as those matters referred to the CDPP by the Australian Tax Office or sourced from the Australian Taxation Office. 'Highest penalty' is calculated by taking the most severe penalty imposed on the defendant. For example, if a defendant received a fully suspended gaol sentence as well as a fine, only the fully suspended gaol sentence will be captured in this table. In this regard, the table is a count of defendants sentenced for tax fraud offences, not actual penalties imposed. As at 21.02.2012.

5(iii). State and Territory

TAX FRAUD CONVICTIONS, BY FINANCIAL YEAR MATTER FINALISED, BY HIGHEST PENALTY AND STATE*

STATE	HIGHEST PENALTY	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12 (First Half)	TOTAL
NSW		57	42	23	122
	Gaol	10	14	8	32
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	3	4	1	8
	Periodic Detention	1	1	0	2
	Fine	29	12	6	47
	Community Service Order, etc.	2	0	0	2
	Recognisance Order	12	11	8	31
VIC		12	16	5	33
	Gaol	6	3	2	11
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	2	5	1	8
	Fine	3	2	1	6
	Community Service Order, etc.	0	1	0	1
	Recognisance Order	1	5	1	7
QLD		45	25	20	90
	Gaol	14	9	14	37
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	2	6	0	8
	Fine	19	4	2	25
	Community Service Order, etc.	1	1	0	2
	Recognisance Order	9	5	4	18
SA		13	6	1	20
	Gaol	3	3	0	6
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	3	1	1	5
	Fine	6	0	0	6
	Recognisance Order	1	2	0	3
WA		12	9	4	25
	Gaol	3	4	1	8
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	1	0	0	1
	Fine	8	5	3	16
TAS		5	2	1	8
	Gaol	2	0	1	3
	Fine	3	2	0	5
ACT		6	5	1	12
	Gaol	0	1	0	1
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	0	0	1	1
	Fine	6	3	0	9
	Recognisance Order	0	1	0	1
TOTAL		150	105	55	310

* Tax fraud prosecutions are defined as those matters referred to the CDPP by the Australian Tax Office or sourced from the Australian Taxation Office. 'Highest penalty' is calculated by taking the most severe penalty imposed on the defendant. For example, if a defendant received a fully suspended gaol sentence as well as a fine, only the fully suspended gaol sentence will be captured in this table. In this regard, the table is a count of defendants sentenced for tax fraud offences, not actual penalties imposed. As at 21.02.2012.

5(iv). Indigenous/non-Indigenous background

TAX FRAUD CONVICTIONS, BY FINANCIAL YEAR MATTER FINALISED, BY HIGHEST PENALTY AND INDIGENOUS STATUS

INDIGENOUS STATUS	HIGHEST PENALTY	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12 First Half	TOTAL
INDIGENOUS		2	0	0	2
	Gaol	1	0	0	1
	Fine	1	0	0	1
NOT INDIGENOUS		51	33	19	103
	Gaol	22	16	15	53
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	8	6	1	15
	Fine	16	4	1	21
	Community Service Order, etc.	0	1	0	1
	Recognisance Order	5	6	2	13
UNKNOWN		97	72	36	205
	Gaol	15	18	11	44
	Gaol (Fully Suspended)	3	10	3	16
	Periodic Detention	1	1	0	2
	Fine	57	24	11	92
	Community Service Order, etc.	3	1	0	4
	Recognisance Order	18	18	11	47
TOTAL		150	105	55	310

* Tax fraud prosecutions are defined as those matters referred to the CDPP by the Australian Tax Office or sourced from the Australian Taxation Office. 'Highest penalty' is calculated by taking the most severe penalty imposed on the defendant. For example, if a defendant received a fully suspended gaol sentence as well as a fine, only the fully suspended gaol sentence will be captured in this table. In this regard, the table is a count of defendants sentenced for tax fraud offences, not actual penalties imposed. As at 21.02.2012.

6(i). Length of sentence

TAX FRAUD CONVICTIONS RESULTING IN CUSTODIAL SENTENCE, BY FINANCIAL YEAR MATTER FINALISED AND HEAD SENTENCE*

Head sentence	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12 (First Half)	TOTAL
Less than 1 year	2	3	1	6
1 year	2	3	0	5
2 years	13	9	9	31
3 years	10	11	2	23
4 years	2	5	9	16
5 years	2	2	1	5
6 years	3	0	2	5
7 years	1	0	2	3
8 years	2	1	0	3
9 years	0	0	0	0
10 years	0	0	0	0
Over 10 years	1	0	0	1
TOTAL	38	34	26	98

* Tax fraud prosecutions are defined as those matters referred to the CDPP by the Australian Tax Office or sourced from the Australian Taxation Office. The 'head sentence' was calculated by rounding the maximum custodial term of imprisonment to the nearest whole year. As at 21.02.2012.

6(ii) Value of fraud involved

**TAX FRAUD CONVICTIONS RESULTING IN CUSTODIAL SENTENCE, BY FINANCIAL YEAR
MATTER FINALISED,
BY FRAUD AMOUNT AND HEAD SENTENCE***

Value of Fraud Proven	Head Sentence	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12 (First Half)	TOTAL
Less than \$50,000		4	2	0	6
	Less than 1 year	0	1	0	1
	1 year	1	1	0	2
	2 years	3	0	0	3
Between \$50,000 and \$100,000		6	5	6	17
	Less than 1 year	0	0	1	1
	1 year	1	0	0	1
	2 years	3	2	5	10
	3 years	2	3	0	5
Between \$100,000 and \$250,000		7	8	3	18
	1 year	0	1	0	1
	2 years	2	1	2	5
	3 years	4	5	0	9
	4 years	1	1	1	3
Between \$250,000 and \$500,000		3	3	4	10
	2 years	1	2	0	3
	3 years	2	1	0	3
	4 years	0	0	4	4
Between \$500,000 and \$750,000		0	2	1	3
	4 years	0	2	0	2
	5 years	0	0	1	1
Between \$750,000 and \$1,000,000		2	0	0	2
	6 years	2	0	0	2
Between \$1 and \$5 million		1	1	8	10
	3 years	0	0	1	1
	4 years	0	1	3	4
	5 years	1	0	0	1
	6 years	0	0	2	2
	7 years	0	0	2	2
Between \$5 and \$10 million		3	1	0	4
	5 years	1	0	0	1
	8 years	1	1	0	2
	11 years	1	0	0	1

Over \$10 million		2	0	0	2
	6 years	1	0	0	1
	7 years	1	0	0	1
NOT DEFINED		10	12	4	26
	Less than 1 year	2	2	0	4
	1 year	0	1	0	1
	2 years	4	4	2	10
	3 years	2	2	1	5
	4 years	1	1	1	3
	5 years	0	2	0	2
	8 years	1	0	0	1
TOTAL		38	34	26	98

* Tax fraud prosecutions are defined as those matters referred to the CDPP by the Australian Tax Office or sourced from the Australian Taxation Office. The 'head sentence' was calculated by rounding the maximum custodial term of imprisonment to the nearest whole year. As at 21.02.2012.