## SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ON LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS CLASSIFICATION BOARD

## **Question No. 36**

## Senator Barnett asked the following question at the hearing on 22 February 2011:

**Senator BARNETT**—Thank you. The majority of the films called in you indicated were complied with; is that right?

Mr D McDonald—Were not.

**Senator BARNETT**—They were not complied with. Can you provide details of how many were called in that six-month period [to 31 December 2010]?

Mr D McDonald—In that six-month period there were 32 films.

**Senator BARNETT**—And how many were complied with?

Mr D McDonald—None have complied.

. . . .

**Senator BARNETT**—So you will provide the details of those films? Again are the distributors different or are they broadly the same?

Mr D McDonald—Are they different distributors of the films within that number?

**Senator BARNETT**—Yes.

Mr D McDonald—Yes, I would say so.

**Senator BARNETT**—You can provide that on notice?

Mr D McDonald—We can.

## The answer to the honourable senator's question is as follows:

In the period 1 July to 31 December 2010, two separate notices were issued calling in a total of 32 films. On 4 November 2010 five films were called in from Primal Urge Pty Ltd, trading as Metro Interactive Australasia. Also on 4 November 2010, 27 films were called in from Hustler Australia.