Senate Legal & Constitutional Affairs Committee Additional Estimates 2009-2010 8-9 February 2010

Tabled Document No 2

By: Andrew metcalfe, DIAC

DIAC Additional Estimates Statements

Date: 9 2 2010

1.4.1 Additional estimates and variations to outcomes

The Departments' new funding model was not finalised until after the 2009-10 Budget. As a result, the notional allocation of corporate funding (such as finance, human resources and information technology functions) across the outcomes had to be adjusted. This adjustment in the notional allocation does not reflect any actual reduction or increase in corporate funding across the different outcomes and brings the current forward year estimates back in line with the approved funding model agreement.

The adjustment does not reflect any reduction in the direct resources devoted to service delivery, policy or program management for any outcomes. For example, the actual funding for boarder security operations in 2009-10 is \$344.6 million, compared with \$332.7 million in 2008-09 and \$324.6 million in 2007-08. In Table 1.4, this is described as 'Self balancing transfer' and is represented by footnote 2.

Table 1.4: Additional estimates and variations to outcomes from other variations

able 1.4: Additional estimates	Program impacted	2009-10 (\$'000)	2010-11 (\$'000)	2011-12 (\$'000)	2012-13 (\$'000)
Outcome 1					
Net impact on estimates for Outcome 1 (administered)	_		•		
Decrease in estimates (departmental) Changes in price and wage indices	1.1	-	(535)	(2,807)	(3,546)
Other changes in program specific	1.1	(19,275)	02	-	-
parameters ¹ Self balancing transfer ²	1.1	(1,040)	(1,040)	(1,040)	(1,040)
Net impact on estimates for Outcome 1 (departmental)	-	(20,315)	(1,575)	(3,847)	(4,586)
Outcome 2 Increase in estimates (administered)					
Other changes in program specific parameters	2.1	4,022	4,196	4,419	4,669
Other changes in program specific parameters	2.1	354	(754)	(773)	(793)
Changes in prices and wage indices		-	167	297	294
Net impact on estimates for Outcome 2 (administered)	\ <u>-</u>	4,376	3,609	3,943	4,170
Increase in estimates (departmental)					
Other changes in program specific parameters ¹	2.1	3,455		0 0 8	-
Self balancing transfer ²	2.1	8,382	8,382	8,382	8,382
Decrease in estimates (departmental) Changes in price and wage indices	2.1	:=1	(66)	(321)	(375)
Net impact on estimates for Outcome 2 (departmental)	-	11,837	8,316	8,061	8,007

¹ Funding levels have been adjusted based upon expected visa finalisations.

The red font represents changes that have been made in this table since the publication of this document.

Continued on next page.

² Changes reflect funding realignments of indirect overheads between programs as explained in the

^{&#}x27;1.4.1 Additional estimates and variations to outcomes' section.

Table 1.4: Additional estimates and variations to outcomes from other variations

	Program	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
	impacted	(\$'000)	(\$'000)	(\$'000)	(\$'000)
Outcome 3					
Net impact on estimates					
for Outcome 3 (administered)		-	-		-
Decrease in estimates (departmental)					
Changes in price and wage indices	3.1	4.73	(205)	(1,054)	(1,308)
Other changes in program specific parameters ¹	3.1	(6,756)			_
Self balancing transfer ²	3.1	(31,592)	(31,592)	(31,592)	(31,592)
Net impact on estimates	_				
for Outcome 3 (departmental)	-	(38,348)	(31,797)	(32,646)	(32,900)
Outcome 4					
Increase in estimates (administered)					
Other changes in program specific	4.3	25,500	-	-	-
parameters					
Decrease in estimates (administered)					
Other changes in program specific parameters	4.3	(354)	754	773	793
Changes in prices and wage indices		₹.	(278)	(139)	(406)
Net impact on estimates	-				0.07
for Outcome 4 (administered)		25,146	476	634	387
Increase in estimates (departmental)					
Other changes in program specific parameters	4.3	37,500	1 - 1		2
Self balancing transfer ²	4.2	5,944	5,944	5,944	5,944
Self balancing transfer ²	4.3	14,718	14,718	14,718	14,718
Self balancing transfer ²	4.4	4,895	4,895	4,895	4,895
Decrease in estimates (departmental)			fg.		(700)
Changes in price and wage indices	4.1	-	(114)	(582)	(706)
Changes in price and wage indices	4.2	-	(17)	(83)	(101)
Changes in price and wage indices	4.3	5.5.5	(30)	(147)	(176)
Changes in price and wage indices	4.4	-	(2)	(8)	(9)
Other changes in program specific parameters ¹	4.1	(3,053)	-	2	-
Self balancing transfer ²	4.1	(9,757)	(9,757)	(9,757)	(9,757)
Net impact on estimates	<u>~</u>				
for Outcome 4 (departmental)		50,247	15,637	14,980	14,808

¹ Funding levels have been adjusted based upon expected visa finalisations.

The red font represents changes that have been made in this table since the publication of this document.

Continued on next page.

² Changes reflect funding realignments of indirect overheads between programs as explained in the

^{&#}x27;1.4.1 Additional estimates and variations to outcomes' section.

Table 1.4: Additional estimates and variations to outcomes from other variations

(continued)					
	Program	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
	impacted	(\$'000)	(\$'000)	(\$'000)	(\$'000)
Outcome 5					
Increase in estimates (administered)					
Government decision	5.1	45	<u></u>	-	122
Changes in prices and wage indices			(624)	(316)	(970)
Net impact on estimates		6			
for Outcome 5 (administered)	_	45	(624)	(316)	(970)
Increase in estimates (departmental)					
Self balancing transfer ²	5.1	3,895	3,895	3,895	3,895
Decrease in estimates (departmental)					(100)
Changes in price and wage indices	5.1	=	(69)	(347)	(420)
Net impact on estimates	-				
for Outcome 5 (departmental)	_	3,895	3,826	3,548	3,475
Outcome 6					
Net impact on estimates					
Changes in prices and wage indices			(1)	(5)	(6)
for Outcome 6 (administered)	8-		(1)	(5)	(6)
Increase in estimates (departmental)					
Other changes in program specific parameters ¹	6.1	4,654	-		÷
Self balancing transfer ²	6.1	4,555	4,555	4,555	4,555
Decrease in estimates (departmental)					
Changes in price and wage indices	6.1	-	(78)	(406)	(490)
Net impact on estimates					
for Outcome 6 (departmental)	200	9,209	4,477	4,149	4,065

¹ Funding levels have been adjusted based upon expected visa finalisations.

The red font represents changes that have been made in this table since the publication of this document

 $^{^{2}}$ Changes reflect funding realignments of indirect overheads between programs as explained in the

^{&#}x27;1.4.1 Additional estimates and variations to outcomes' section.