

2005-06 ADDITIONAL BUDGET ESTIMATES HEARING

IMMIGRATION AND MULTICULTURAL AFFAIRS PORTFOLIO

QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE – 13 FEBRUARY 2006

No.	Senator	Output	Information/Material to be Provided
1.	Ludwig	Internal Product	In relation to questions taken on notice, of the total that were returned, what percentage would you say were returned after the return date? And when was the last batch of questions returned to the Committee?
2.	Ludwig	Internal Product	Regarding the release of the new 'Thesaurus of Australian Government Subjects' (TAGS)... 1. Has the department altered its website or any other publication, electronic or printed, as a result of the release of TAGS? 2. Does the department have any plans to alter its website or any other publication, electronic or printed, as a result of the release of TAGS? 3. If so, could you provide a list of the changes that have been made to the website or any other publication, electronic or printed, as a result of the release of TAGS or – if they have not been completed – the changes that are planned, and when they are intended to be made? 4. If not, why not? 5. Has the department distributed TAGS to its staff? 6. Has the department begun using TAGS as a guide to developing its website or any other publication, electronic or printed?
3.	Lundy	1.1	In relation to the 20 chefs from the Philippines, provide a spreadsheet outlining the circumstances of each employee.
4.	Stott-Despoja	1.1	Why does the Australian Government refuse Indigenous Papuans from Indonesia from applying for visas to Australia from Australian Embassy in Papua New Guinea when other Indonesian and International visitors to Papua New Guinea are able to apply for Australian visas?
5.	Hurley	1.1	How many student visa holders has gained permanent residence in Australia in relation to passing the NAATI translators test and how many fail in their attempt to secure permanence residence? • Can people who fail the test be given a second chance?
6.	Nettle	1.2	I presume that DIMA covered the costs of the lawyers who went out to visit the asylum seekers on Christmas Island in the last two weeks. With the list of costs that you are providing on notice, could I also have one for that?
7.	Nettle	1.2	How many West Papuans have been given protection? They may not have been boat arrivals—
8.	Bartlett	1.2	In relation to further protection visas, provide a breakdown of the temporary visa figures by nationality.
9.	Bartlett	1.2	<u>Ministerial Discretion:</u> 1.What was the total number of cases that was referred to Minister Cobb for intervention? 2.What was the number of cases whereby ministerial discretion was exercised by Minister Cobb?
10.	Bartlett	1.2	<u>Women at risk</u> 1.Please provide the numbers of women who have come under the Women at Risk category for 2002-2003, 2003-2004, 2004-2005, 2005-2006? 2.Can a break down of country they came from also be provided?
11.	Ludwig	1.3	Are the revised terms of reference for the new structure and expanded membership of the Immigration Detention Advisory Group available?
12.	Ludwig	1.3	Some of these are new positions. What I am going to establish is what information the committee may be able to obtain from the department about the review of those decisions—whether or not they have confirmed the original decision by the officers for detention or whether they have overruled them, and what has happened as a consequence.

No.	Senator	Output	Information/Material to be Provided
			(Mr Metcalfe—I do not have information available to me as to whether there is an aggregated tabular form of the activity of those DRMs. If there is that information, we will provide it to you. If it does not require huge amounts of work, we could take it on notice..... We will check whether there is information as to the number of occasions or circumstances in which a detention decision was changed as a result of the activity.....)
13.	Ludwig	1.3	Provide a copy of the key MSIs which have been reissued or newly published to the committee.
14.	Crossin	1.3	In relation to the electrified fencing at Villawood, how many volts go through there?
15.	Crossin	1.3	Would you be able to provide this committee with a copy of the detainee management procedures at Baxter, if they are not too onerous?
16.	Nettle	1.3	The first mental health issue that you mention in this table is the memorandum of understanding with the South Australian Department of Health. Is it possible for the committee to get a copy of that? What is the status of that?
17.	Nettle	1.3	Did GSL's endeavours to have a health advisory panel satisfy the contractual terms and was it penalised?
18.	Nettle	1.3	Provide a copy of the actual training material that was used for the pilot mental health training.
19.	Ludwig	1.3	Provide a breakdown of the \$55 million that has been allocated to the new maritime visa.
20.	Ludwig	1.3	How many additional seaport officers will be employed?
21.	Nettle	1.3	I think it was Mr Casey, who was at the table before, talking about the transfer of psychologists to Christmas Island. I want to get a cost for that as well. As a separate question, are there any other personnel who have been transferred to Christmas Island for dealing with the West Papuans or others there? I would like a cost for that.
22.	Crossin	1.3	I am interested in knowing who is on your consultative committee on Christmas Island. If you could provide me with a list of those people or associations, that would be useful.
23.	Nettle	1.3	For the West Papuans and the West Timorese who are currently there—I know you have given this figure before but there may be an updated one—what is the cost per detainee per day of being held in that facility and how does that compare with other mainland facilities? We have had those figures in the past, but it is always worth asking to see if there is an update.
24.	Nettle	1.3	The other issue in relation to West Papuans is: does the department have records of other West Papuan asylum seekers that have been intercepted at sea in the last few years? Is the department aware of any or is this the only group?
25.	Nettle	1.3	Provide the cost of flying the West Timorese from Darwin to Christmas Island.
26.	Nettle	1.3	Check on the precise arrangements as to what activities would have been undertaken by each of the two groups on Christmas Island.
27.	Nettle	1.3	Have there been any instances where the alternative detention arrangements option has been used for detainees who are waiting for security checks to be finalised?
28.	Ludwig	1.3	How many people have been held in detention, or are currently being detained in Queensland, for the last 12 months? I am referring to those held under some arrangement. Also, where are they held and what type of facility are they being held in? What is the maximum number held at any one time? Could we have that provided in a tabulated form that indicates the number of detainees and the length of their detention over the last 12 months? (Include figures for those who were first detained in Queensland then moved elsewhere and the duration of their detention elsewhere)
29.	Ludwig	1.3	Is the seven days in legislation, or is that a memorandum of understanding between Customs, AFMA and the immigration department?
30.	Ludwig	1.3	Provide the instructions and how DIMA manages the arrangements with Customs in relation to illegal fishers or other unlawful boat arrivals.
31.	Ludwig	1.3	In relation to the subsequent 27 cases referred to the Ombudsman, provide the nationality, the length of detention, when the decision was made to

No.	Senator	Output	Information/Material to be Provided
			review that case or determine that it was one of those that should be looked at, and whether the person has been released or is still in detention, as the case may be.
32.	Ludwig	1.3	What I am concerned about is that it seems that these concerns were raised with the department of immigration over a range of years. Is that correct?
33.	Ludwig	1.3	Of those 27, have any been removed overseas?
34.	Nettle	1.3	In relation to internet use by detainees, which facility is the pilot to be conducted in? What is the time frame for the start of it and the rollout to other facilities?
35.	Nettle	1.3	In relation to the person who spent 76 days in the management unit in Villawood, did they receive the visits by the psychiatrist in the management unit?
36.	Nettle	1.3	In relation to Mr SVT on page 26 of the Ombudsman's report, can you explain to me why they are not affected by the Nystrom decision?
37.	Nettle	1.3	Do you know the difference in cost between holding someone in an immigration detention facility and a residence determination? Can you provide a figure for how much DIMA has paid to agencies assisting with residence determinations?
38.	Bartlett	1.3	In relation to the RPBVs, could you let us know, of the one who had been removed, what country that was to? And also what happened to the other three—if they went on some sort of visa or back into detention or something.
39.	Bartlett	1.3	In relation to the migration agents integrity measures, I want to get a progress report on how that is going. I have heard you talk a few times about positive progress in identifying a microscopic number of undesirable, misbehaving migration agents. Your annual report mentioned six agents showing the prescribed level of vexatious activity, with one issued a show cause notice. Could you tell us what the outcome was with the show cause person, and also whether there have been any more vexatious alarms going off and any more show cause notices given?
40.	Hurley	1.3	In relation to Australian citizenship, with regard to the possibility of fraud, you mentioned that cases went to the investigations area and then to prosecutions if necessary. Can you tell me how many prosecutions were undertaken in the last year?
41.	Bartlett	1.3	<u>Bridging Visa E:</u> 1.What is the current number of BVE's that have been granted? 2.Can a breakdown be provided of BVE's according to state, nationality, gender and age of those who have been granted this visa. 3.If this information is not available, why have the Department's systems not been updated to be able to provide this data in light of the quarter of a billion of so dollars that has been committed into upgrading the whole systems in DIMIA?
42.	Crossin	1.3	<u>Darwin Detention Centre</u> 1. What is the budgeted amount for the upgrade of the Darwin Detention Centre? 2. What is the total amount spent to date and the itemised areas of expenditure under each item? 3. Has there been any consideration to rename the Detention Centre? If so please provide details? If not, why?

No.	Senator	Output	Information/Material to be Provided
43.	Crossin	1.3	<u>Darwin Detention Centre</u> 1. What are the contractual arrangements between DIMA, Defence, AFMA and Finance and Administration in relation to this Centre? 2. What discussions or arrangements have been made to excise the Detention Centre to allow the sale of the defence land it is on at the Defence establishment Berrimah? 3. Please outline AFMA's role and contractual arrangements in relation to the Detention Centre? 4. Are there any plans or budgeted costs to provide for a new entrance to the centre that would provide access without going through the Defence Establishment? 5. What is the annual amount of running and keeping this Centre?
44.	Crossin	1.3	<u>Darwin Detention Centre</u> 1. How many people, have been detained there since July 2005 and for how long on each occasion? 2. Given that the upgrade to the Centre has not been completed on what basis was the Centre used during December and January?
45.	Crossin	1.3	<u>Christmas Island Centre</u> 1. How many social workers are employed to visit or work with detainees on Christmas Island? 2. Where are these social workers based? 3. Are there plans to increase the number of social workers needed? 4. Was consideration given to employing local social workers who lived on the island? If not why? Will this change in the future?
46.	Kirk	1.3	<u>Bakhtiyari family</u> There were a number of Questions on Notice submitted during the last round of Estimates in November 2005 relating to Output 1.3: Enforcement of Immigration Law about the deportation of the Bakhtiyari family. Senator Kirk asked - Was the Asghar Ali person deemed to be Ali Bakhtiyari claimed by Roqia Bakhtiyari's cousin "as an Afghan who had no right to be sent to Pakistan" born in 1957, 1959, 1961 or 1971 as verified by the Pakistan embassy? <i>Question 118 was answered:</i> <i>Ali Asghar Bakhtiyari has been confirmed as having his date of birth in 1957 and this was verified by the Pakistani Government when they identified him.</i> - How was date of birth in 1957 confirmed given that: - In the letters sent from the Pakistan High Commission on 8 December 2003 it states only that a person named Asghar Ali was born in 1971. - In the letter dated 17 December 2004 given to the Senate and written by Mr Jim Williams he has asked for a travel document in the name of Asqar Ali Bakhtiyari born 1961. - On the travel document marked "Refused to Sign" it says Asghar Ali DOB 1957.

No.	Senator	Output	Information/Material to be Provided
			Can the Minister provide all of these documents as there seems to be a great deal of uncertainty within a span of 14 years?
47.	Kirk	1.3	Senator Kirk also asked - Does Pakistan have an arrangement for forced deportations to their country? And did the Pakistan High Commission ask to interview any members of the Bakhtiyari family before issuing emergency Pakistani travel documents? <i>It was answered that Australia does not have an agreement for forced returns to Pakistan from Australia and that the Pakistan High Commission did not request to interview the Bakhtiyari family before issuing emergency travel documents.</i> Further, Senator Kirk asked: What type of travel documents accompanied the Bakhtiyari family and gave them authority to be removed to Pakistan? Is the Minister willing to provide a copy of the travel documents used by the Bakhtiyari family to enter Pakistan for scrutiny? <i>It was answered that the Bakhtiyari family held Pakistani emergency travel documents issued by the Pakistani Government for one way travel, copies of which were provided. It is standard practice for countries to issue one way travel documents in these sorts of circumstances, in particular where people do not have their original passports.</i> In answers to those questions it is stated that no-one from Pakistan ever interviewed any member of the Bakhtiyari family, that forced returns to Pakistan are not permitted by law and that travel documents were then issued to the family by the Pakistan High Commission. - How was the family's immigration cleared in Pakistan with documents stating "refused to sign" and in the case of Roqia and the children not stamped by the High Commission in Canberra? - Who issued these documents as they state "this is not conclusive evidence of the fact of the Pakistani citizenship" and "this emergency passport must be handed into immigration on arrival" and the family were in the airport for more than a day? - How many other people have been deported to other countries by force with documents marked "refused to sign" and especially in countries where there are no agreements for forced returns. - As the Bakhtiyari family arrived on two different boats without documents, for which they were placed in detention, how could Pakistan issue emergency documents for people who did not at any time carry or be entitled to such passports?
48.	Ludwig	1.3	1.Do Customs officers have access to the DIMA watch list containing names and details of war crimes suspects? 2.If not, why not?
49.	Ludwig	1.3	In relation to another "very tragic case" of wrongful detention: - What is the name or identifier assigned to the individual? - Provide a general timeline regarding the person, indicating... - On what date was the woman first apprehended? - Where was she apprehended? - By whom was she apprehended? DIMA or another agency?

No.	Senator	Output	Information/Material to be Provided
			iii. Indicate the circumstances in which she was apprehended. iv. Why she was suspected to be an unlawful non-citizen at that time? What was the basis of the suspicion? v. After her apprehension, what further checks were undertaken to ensure that the reasonable suspicion was justified? b. If the woman was apprehended by an agency other than DIMA, on what date was the woman transferred to the custody of DIMA? i. How was she transferred to DIMA? ii. Were any health checks performed by DIMA at this point? iii. Precisely what checks did DIMA undertake regarding her immigration status? iv. At this stage, what did DIMA believe her immigration status was, including what steps they took to ensure that they could maintain a reasonable suspicion as to this status? c. After she was first apprehended by DIMA, where was she transferred? i. On what date did this occur? ii. Was she transferred to a detention centre, or to a prison? iii. Was she interviewed by DIMA? If so, on what date. If not, why not? iv. Was she allowed an interview with any other person? For instance, lawyers, community groups, consular officials, etc. v. If not, did she request such an interview? If so, give details and indicate why it was denied. d. If she was transferred to a prison... i. On what date was she transferred to the prison? ii. During her stay at the prison, was she subject to any disciplinary action and – if so – what? iii. During her stay at the prison, precisely what checks did DIMA undertake regarding her immigration status and what other inquiries DIMA was undertaking regarding her case? iv. Was she visited by v. On what date was she transferred to a detention centre from the prison? e. When she was transferred to a detention centre... i. On what date was she transferred to the detention centre? ii. What health checks were performed when she was transferred to the centre and on what dates were those checks performed? iii. During her stay at the detention centre, was she subject to any disciplinary action. If so, what was it? iv. During her stay, indicate precisely what action DIMA was undertaking to confirm her immigration status, and any other inquiries DIMA was undertaking regarding her case? f. When did DIMA come to the belief that she was not an unlawful non-citizen? i. How did DIMA come to this conclusion? ii. What actions were taken subsequently to this?
50.			<i>Question withdrawn.</i>
51.	Ludwig	1.3	Provide the cost of detention per detainee per day for all detention centres and residential housing projects.
52.	Ludwig	1.3	For each detention centre... 1. How many detainees are currently housed there? 2. What is the cost of operating the centre? 3. What is the average length of detention per person at this centre? 4. How many self-harm incidents have been reported at the centre? 5. Provide the number of asylum seekers or protection visa applicants currently in detention.

No.	Senator	Output	Information/Material to be Provided
53.	Ludwig	1.3	Provide a breakdown of the age and sex of children in detention.
54.	Ludwig	1.3	Provide the number of children that were born to mothers in detention in the current financial year.
55.	Ludwig	1.3	- How many criminal justice stay visas are currently issued? - Provide a breakdown of the length of each of those criminal justice stay visas. - How many were issued in 04-05 and 05-06 (to date)? - How many criminal justice stay visas have been cancelled? - Indicate the reasons they were cancelled.
56.	Nettle	1.3	The Department attempted the deportation of Mr Beyazkilinc to Turkey until stopped by a court injunction indicated that there was a ‘buffer zone’ of 140 seats booked on the airline. The Department issued a media release on 19 January that said: “The allocation of a block of unsold seats by the airline to facilitate the removal of Mr Beyazkilinc was a decision of the carrier and did not incur any expenditure by the Australian Government.” - What carrier offered the seats as a “buffer zone”? - Was this offer put in writing? - Are such offers a regular occurrence? - Why did the Department persist in the deportation until the court intervention, given the psychiatric assessment by Dr Jureidini concluded that Mr Beyazkilinc was not fit for travel? - Has DIMA deported other detainees deemed ‘not fit for travel’? If so, how many and when?
57.	Nettle	1.3	When do you expect the Ombudsman report on the 220 people?
58.	Nettle	1.3	The Minister commented in the Age that there is at least one serious case involving mental illness – when will this information be released?
59.	Nettle	1.3	Provide a table of the age, nationality, length of detention and the reason for the wrongful detention of the 27 extra ‘released unlawful’ cases discovered since the referral of the 220 to the Ombudsman.
60.	Nettle	1.3	What resources has the ombudsman been provided with to investigate the cases of the unlawful detentions?
61.	Nettle	1.3	What discussions has the ombudsman had with the secretary and Minister regarding the unlawful detentions?
62.	Nettle	1.3	How can the Australian public be confident that the problems with immigration are being fixed up if it is taking so long to investigate?
63.	Nettle	1.3	Ombudsman was very critical of DIMA in a recent report regarding 501s. Particularly about the use of wrong or incomplete information for long-term permanent residents. - How far does the DIMA computer system records go? - How far does the DIMA paper record go? - If DIMA was researching the background of a potential deportee who arrived in Australia many decades ago, would all the records be available to the officer?
64.	Nettle	1.3	- Has there been any problems with illicit drugs being used in detention centres? - How is DIMA dealing with GSL regarding the use of drugs in detention centres?
65.	Nettle	1.5	In relation to the security assessments (for the 2 persons on Nauru), are you aware of whether the detainees or their lawyers have been provided with any reasons for their adverse security assessments? Clearly they have been told, ‘No, you can’t come to Australia because we think you’re a security risk.’ What extra information other than that have they been provided?

No.	Senator	Output	Information/Material to be Provided
66.	Hurley	2.1	In relation to the IHSS, can you give me a list of the criteria that were used for assessing the tender?
67.	Hurley	2.1	Provide details of other meetings and conferences that are provided for in the IHSS contract.
68.	Hurley	2.1	Provide further information on the Yeayea Gbeadeh case.
69.	Hurley	2.1	Provide the details of the discussion with Mr Burgess.
70.	Hurley	2.1	In relation to settlement services, I want specifically to know whether there have been any complaints registered with your New South Wales office.
71.	Hurley	2.1	Do the service providers, as part of their package of information to refugees, give them information about where to go within DIMA if they have a problem with the caseworker and the service provider?
72.	Hurley	2.1	Provide details of the correspondence and discussions with Ms Grierson.
73.	Hurley	2.1	Provide details of the case that was raised in an email by Ms Grierson on 13 January.
74.	Hurley	2.1	Clarify when the IHSS contract with ACL was signed.
75.	Hurley	2.1	In relation to the standard accreditation mechanism for AMEP and quarterly reporting on key performance indicators, have there been any grievances or complaints reported?
76.	Hurley	2.1	Provide the figure for the departmental funds that cover the costs of administrative arrangements in support of the AMEP.
77.	Hurley	2.1	Provide a report on what a standard curriculum for AMEP students covers.
78.	Hurley	2.1	Provide a copy of the booklet that shows the range of locations where the AMEP is delivered.
79.	Hurley	2.1	Recommendation 7 of the Urbis key Young report suggests that DIMA should identify whether there are any ways of improving the accuracy information provided by overseas posts' • Currently how can you rate the level of accuracy of information provided by overseas post?
80.	Hurley	2.1	2004-2005 DIMA annual report p.173 reports about development of a national regionally based needs-based planning framework. • Has this needs based planning framework being completed? • Who is responsible for organising this framework? • Can you provide a detailed report on the progress or outcome of the needs based framework?
81.	Hurley	2.1	DIMA annual report 2004-2005 p.173 reports that in relation to client satisfaction on settlement planning and information delivery there are no complaints during the year. • How informed are clients about lodging complaints if not satisfied with service delivery? • How do you monitor complaints if there is any? • what plans are in place to help complainant • were there any complaints the previous year
82.	Hurley	2.1	According to the DIMA annual report 2004-2005 p.174, DIMA will analyse information gathered through this process, along with data from other sources such as the settlement database, to develop a national needs report that will provide a clearer picture of national settlement patterns and priority needs. • When will the national need report be available to public?
83.	Hurley	2.1	DIMA annual report 2004-2005 p.175 states that the department is redeveloping and upgrading the technology of the database and its reporting facilities to improve useability of the reports and data. • What technology is being used to upgrade the database and how is redevelopment progressing?

No.	Senator	Output	Information/Material to be Provided
84.	Hurley	2.1	DIMA annual report page 226 states that in 2004-05 International Social Services (ISS) approved 195 applications from former child migrants, • what is estimated figure of child migrants that are in need of this service • what are the criteria for approval,
85.	Hurley	2.1	What is the current state of the 566 offshore humanitarian minor's entrants? (DIMA annual report, p.227) • Which state has the highest number and how does the guardianship process work • is there any feedback mechanism to determine if minors are ok • who is responsible for feedback mechanism
86.	Hurley	2.1	What is the basis of proof that initial information and orientation assistance is effectively applied to new arrivals? • What feedback mechanism do you have in place to work out whether assistance rendered is sufficient to refugees?
87.	Hurley	2.1	According to DIMA annual report p. 176 proposers of these entrants are also eligible for proposer support. • What is the level of proposer support that exists at the moment considering that under the SGP refugees are expected to deal with migration agents to propose for their relatives?
88.	Hurley	2.1	Page 178 of DIMA annual report states that 84 percent of people assisted under IHSS requested an interpreter due to low level of English education. • 2004-2005 how many of the new arrivals enter university or TAFE and how many are working?
89.	Hurley	2.1	DIMA annual report 2004-2005 p.179 states that, a number of recommendations have been implemented to enhance the program's effectiveness (AUSCO). • Which recommendations have been implemented to enhance the program's effectiveness? • Who propose these recommendations? • When was it proposed? • How effective are implementations?
90.	Hurley	2.1	What facilities are available to help humanitarian minors adjust and cope in this new society?
91.	Hurley	2.1	Were all service agreements and work programs completed by MRC/MSA during last financial year? • Were there any specific breaches? • If yes what causes breaches? • How has it been solved?
92.	Hurley	2.1	Can you provide a detailed report on how the nine 21 or 33 months grants were distributed in the migrant communities and how much is the funding cost?
93.	Hurley	2.1	According to the DIMA annual report 2004-2005 21 organisations were audited. • Can you provide list of organisations audited? • What was the general finding of the audit? • What steps are been undertaken to improve organisations performance in the resettlement process?
94.	Hurley	2.1	In relation to standard accreditation mechanism for AMEP what is the quarterly reporting on key performance indicators and has there been any grievance reported.

No.	Senator	Output	Information/Material to be Provided
95.	Hurley	2.1	What strategies has been used by contract managers and service providers to rectify area of deficiency such as home tutor scheme enhancement program not meeting the requested target? What is the required target?
96.	Hurley	2.1	What strategy is been employed by the department to monitor the performance of AMEP administration? 2004-2005 how many migrants were enrolled for AMEP? - How many obtain their certificate? - Have there been any breaches in 2004-2005, if so how has it been handle
97.	Hurley	2.1	Page 218 of DIMA annual report confirms that 364 of which 135 were ongoing multi year grant work programs was funded for community settlement service school grants. What is the current status of this funding
98.	Nettle	2.1	1) Why have settlement services been outsourced to private companies such as ACL? 2) How much money does DIMA estimate it will save through the outsourcing of services?
99.	Nettle	2.1	How does DIMA monitor the performance of contractors such as ACL? How does DIMA ensure it does not breach its duty of care to refugees by contracting out settlement services?
100.	Nettle	2.1	1) Is there a system of standards and penalties for breaches of the contract similar to that of detention service providers? If so, please provide the standards. 2) Has a settlement services provider ever been breached?
101.	Nettle	2.1	1) Was ACL breached over the incident that ended in the tragic death of baby Niyonsaba's death? 2) What investigations have taken place about this incident?
102.	Hurley	2.2	Can you tell me how many African languages are being interpreted in the TIS at the moment?
103.	Hurley	2.2	Are the NAATI outputs publicly available?
104.	Hurley	2.2	According to your annual report 48,000 interpreting visit were recorded but in actual fact 46, 575 were actually achieved. - What is the story of the remaining 1425 visits that makes the difference? - Have you got a system to monitor customer satisfaction?
105.	Hurley	2.2	Can DIMA provide a list of the 60 languages tested by NAATI as stated in their 2004-05 annual report?
106.	Hurley	2.3	Are there any statistics available on the use of the discretion available under the existing Australian Citizenship Act to waive the residency period and how regularly that has been used?
107.	Hurley	2.3	On page 195 of the annual report, 'Decisions on citizenship status', under 'Measures' and 'Results', it says that less than one per cent of negative decisions were overturned at review and that 0.2 per cent of negative decisions were overturned at the review tribunal. How many applications did that represent?
108.	Hurley	2.3	Turning over to page 196, I want to note that 463 people are registered as having lost their Australian citizenship. Of those, how many were renounced or stripped of their citizenship?
109.	Hurley	2.4	2004-2005 DIMA annual report states that an access and equity feedback session was conducted at the Federation of Ethnic Communities Council's of Australia (FECCA) annual conference in May. - What was the result from the access and equity feedback sessions? - Who are the contributors on the feedback sessions? - What is the outcome of the deliberation?

No.	Senator	Output	Information/Material to be Provided
			Has deliberations from the feedback session been effected as of yet?
110.	Hurley	2.4	What specific activities was Council for Multicultural Australia (CMA) involved in to promote harmony day for 2004-2005. - How much is funding from DIMA to CMA - Is CMA funded activities monitored by DIMA? If yes can you provide a detailed report of how funding is dispensed? - How many ethnic groups are represented in the CMA composition?
111.	Hurley	2.4	2004-2005 p.168, DIMA annual report states that in 2004-2005, 63 out of the 64 government agencies meet all indicators applicable to them in relation to the charter of public service in a culturally diverse society. - What is the mode of assessment used by DIMA to confirm that these agencies met all indicators applicable to them? - can you provide a list of the 9 new agencies that has been applying the performance indicators relating to the charter of public service in a culturally diverse society
112.	Hurley	2.4	According to DIMA annual report 'Departmental officers met with community groups in Brisbane, Newcastle, Townsville and Wagga Wagga to discuss specific issues of racism and the potential for response through the community grant program.(203) - What was the outcome of this meetings - What implementations have been made in line with discussion
113.	Hurley	2.4	Page 208 of annual report states that community leaders continue to report satisfaction with ongoing liaison and consultation by the ministers and department, Are theses reports in writing and is there evidence of report?
114.	Bartlett	MRT	Provide a copy of the memorandum of understanding between DIMA and the Tribunals
115.	Lundy	1.1	Can you provide the committee with some information about where the department has specifically sought an interview or an interaction with an employee for the purposes of ascertaining the quality of their experience and whether or not their workplace conditions have been, as far as they know, in accordance with Australian law?