

SENATE LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL LEGISLATION COMMITTEE
AUSTRALIAN CRIME COMMISSION

Question No. 66

Senator Ludwig asked the following question at the hearing on 14 February 2006:

Regarding the three security audits mentioned on page 79 of the annual report:

- a) For each case, what was the problem that prompted the audit?
- b) How many recommendations were made by the audits?
- c) How many of those recommendations have been completely actioned?
- d) For the ones that have not been actioned, when are they expected to be?
- e) What was the cost of implementing them?

The answer to the honourable senator's question is as follows:

- a) The ANAO audit was part of a Multi Departmental routine audit (the ACC's response to question no. 65 refers). The ASIO T4 and DSD audits were at the ACC's request as part of its internal continuous improvement program.
- b) ANAO – 7. ASIO T4 – 5. DSD – 6.
- c) ANAO, all 7 have been implemented. ASIO T4 – while none of the recommendations have been completely actioned a rolling upgrade program is being conducted and over two thirds of the individual components of the recommendations have been implemented. DSD – 4 recommendations have been implemented.
- d) ANAO – N/A. The remaining recommendations from ASIO T4 and DSD are programmed into a set of rolling upgrades.
- e) The ANAO recommendations required relatively minor adjustments to ACC policies and documentation and were implemented by the ACC at no material additional cost. The ASIO T4 recommendations have not been costed individually as they form part of an agency wide upgrade program. The DSD recommendations have been either policy updates or activities that fit within the rolling set of systems upgrades and have not been costed individually.