## ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio

Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet

Budget Estimates Hearing 26 May - 27 May 2008



Question: PM99a

Outcome 1, Output 5.7

Topic: 2020 Summit - Submissions deemed offensive or unsuitable for

publication

Hansard Page: Written Question

Senator Fifield asked: I understand that all submissions were to be published, except those that according to the Australia 2020 Web Site, "contain offensive material or which are otherwise unsuitable for publication."

- How many submissions were deemed offensive or unsuitable for publication?

Answer: 104

### ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio

Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet

Budget Estimates Hearing 26 May - 27 May 2008



Question: PM99b

Outcome 1, Output 5.7

Topic: 2020 Summit - criteria for determining which public submissions were

offensive or unsuitable for publication

Hansard Page: Written Question

Senator Fifield asked: What criteria made submissions "offensive" or "unsuitable for publication."

Answer: Public submissions were not published if they:

- appeared to promote a specific product or service
- constituted an application to attend the Summit
- contained blank responses, nonsense content, or raised matters not relevant to Summit participants (i.e. general criticism of Summit, criticism of former governments, etc)
- contained gratuitous or offensive language likely to offend the community
- expressed sentiments that were liable to offend or vilify sections of the community
- had been submitted more than once
- · were potentially defamatory, or
- significantly exceeded the 500 word limit.

### ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio

Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet

Budget Estimates Hearing 26 May - 27 May 2008



Question: PM99c

Outcome 1, Output 5.7

Topic: 2020 Summit - Who decided whether public submissions were offensive

or unsuitable for publication

Hansard Page: Written Question

Senator Fifield asked: Who decided whether submissions met these criteria?

Answer: Submissions across each of the ten discussion streams were initially assessed by the following Commonwealth Departments: Treasury, DEEWR, DEWHA, DAFF, Health and Ageing, FaHCSIA, Attorney-General's and DFAT. Officers from these eight departments provided advice about whether submissions were suitable for publication, although the final decisions were made by officers of PM&C.

#### ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio

Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet

Budget Estimates Hearing 26 May - 27 May 2008



Question: PM99d

Outcome 1, Output 5.7

Topic: 2020 Summit - list of submissions not published

Hansard Page: Written Question

Senator Fifield asked: Can a list of the submissions refused publication be provided along with the reasons why they were classed as such?

**Answer:** A total of 104 submissions were either fully or partially excluded from publication for the following reasons:

- Promoting a specific product or service (18%).
- Expression of interest in attending the Summit (7%).
- Gratuitous or offensive language likely to offend the community (10%).
- Blank responses, nonsense content, or raised matters not relevant to Summit participants (22%).
- Expressed sentiments liable to offend or vilify sectors of the community (33%).
- Duplicate submission / or not published at the request of the author (7%).
- Potentially defamatory (2%).
- Significantly exceeded the word limit for submissions (1%).