Senate Standing Committee on Economics ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Treasury Portfolio
Additional Estimates
23 – 24 February 2011

Question No: AET 7

Topic: Gambling reform and pre-commitment

Hansard Page: Written

Senator Cash asked: What are the consequences of adopting mandatory pre-

commitment without a proper trial, as you recommended in

the report?

Answer:

A trial would elicit information on the detailed design features of pre-commitment about which the Commission was not in a position to be prescriptive, and reveal more about the costs and the effects on gamblers. However, the Commission did not specifically examine the consequences of adopting mandatory pre-commitment without a trial.