ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Treasury Portfolio

Additional Estimates

10 – 11 February 2010

Question: aet 100

Topic: ETS – Formal Modelling Process

Hansard Page: E51-E52 (11/02/2010)

Senator JOYCE asked:

Senator JOYCE—Mr Ewing, was your modelling process a formal modelling process?

Mr Ewing—I am sorry, I am not entirely sure what it is about our modelling process you are referring to.

Senator JOYCE—Was your modelling process peer reviewed?

Mr Ewing—We engaged a range of consultants with our modelling, including some of the foremost experts in this sort of modelling in Australia, and they reviewed many aspects of our modelling, yes.

Senator JOYCE—Was it holistically peer reviewed, across all facets of it?

Mr Ewing—I believe that every substantive facet of the modelling was peer reviewed, yes.

Senator JOYCE—Every substantive facet, but not all of it?

Mr Ewing—I would have to take that on notice. There were a lot of aspects of our modelling—

Senator JOYCE—There were a lot of aspects that were not formally modelled?

Mr Ewing—No, I am saying that there were a lot of aspects which go into assembling our formal modelling and I would have to take on notice the extent to which all of those aspects were peer reviewed. As you may be aware, we used a suite of models, there were different consultants and experts involved in each stage of the process, so I would need to take on notice the exact status of every single piece of the modelling.

Answer:

The design of the Government's CPRS policy has benefited from a substantial body of analysis, including economic modelling, in Australia and overseas, dating back over two decades.

The Government has undertaken the largest and most detailed modelling project in Australia in order to assess the economic implications of the CPRS. The Government's *Australia's Low Pollution Future: The Economics of Climate Change Mitigation* report along with the Green and White Papers all place substantial information in the public domain to inform the public of the likely economic implications of introducing emission pricing.

The following parties were consulted by Treasury as part of the Climate Change Modelling Program:

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Treasury Portfolio

Additional Estimates

10 - 11 February 2010

Domestic parties

A₃P Dow Chemicals (Australia) Limited

ACIL Tasman Energy Development Limited

Alstom Power Systems Energy Supply Association of Australia

(ESAA)

Anglo coal

Energy Users Association of Australia Asciano

(EUAA)

Australasian Railway Association Inc Environment Business Australia

Australia Petroleum Production and Frontier Economics Exploration Association (APPEA)

Future Fuels Forum participants Australian Aluminium Council

Geodynamics Australian Bureau of Agricultural and

Resource Economics (ABARE) Government Relations Australia

Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) Graeme Pearman

Australian Coal Association (ACA) Griffin Energy

Australian Conservation Foundation Hawker Britton

(ACF)

Heuris Australian Energy Regulator (AER)

Australian Gas and Light Company

InterGen (AGL)

International Power Australian Industry Greenhouse Network

(AIGN)

Australian Vinyls Corporation Pty Ltd

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) Australian Institute of Petroleum

Hydro Tasmania

Master Builders Association

McKinsey Basell Australia

McLennan Magasanik Associates **BHP** Billiton

(MMA)

Bluescope steel **National Generators Forum**

British Petroleum (BP) **NEMMCO**

Bureau of Infrastructure, Transport and

NSW Treasury Regional Economics (BITRE)

NT Treasury

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Treasury Portfolio

Additional Estimates

10 – 11 February 2010

Bureau of Rural Sciences (BRS) OneSteel

Business Council of Australia (BCA) Orica Australia Pty Ltd

Carbon Trust Origin Energy

Carnegie PB power

Cement Industry Federation (CIF) Plastics and Chemicals Industry

Centre of Policy Studies (COPs)

Association

Chevron Price Waterhouse

Climate Institute Productivity Commission

Qantas

COAG Complementary Measures Sub-Committee Qenos Pty Ltd.

Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Queensland Treasury

Research Organisation (CSIRO)

Reserve Bank of Australia

Coogee Energy Pty Ltd
Rio Tinto

CPR Communications
Santos

CRA International Tasmanian Treasury

CSR

The Centre for International Economics
Deloitte (CIE)

Department of Climate Change TruEnergy roundtable consultations: industry, nongovernment organisations and Victorian Treasury

agriculture/forestry sector

Warwick McKibbin

Department of Environment, Water,

Will Steffen

Heritage and the Arts

Will Steffen

Woodside Petroleum

Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade woodside Petroleum

Department of Innovation, Industry,

World Wildlife Fund – Australia

Department of Resources, Energy and

Tourism

Science and Research

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Treasury Portfolio

Additional Estimates

10 – 11 February 2010

International parties

EPRI Resource for the Future

IMF US EPA

Congressional Budget Office (US)

National Centre for Energy Policy

World Resources Institute King Review

OECD Centre for European Policy Studies

IEA Office of Climate Change

IETA UK DEFRA

Eurelectric Bank of England

EC Environment DG UK Dept for Business Enterprise &

EC Enterprise and Industry DG Regulatory Reform

UK Dept for Transport

HM Treasury Point Carbon

Chatham House Cambridge University

Centre for Clean Air Policy