AGENCY/DEPARTMENT: INNOVATION, INDUSTRY, SCIENCE AND RESEARCH

TOPIC: R&D Tax Concession

REFERENCE: Question on Notice, Hansard 10 February 2010, page E77

QUESTION No.: AI-106

Senator COLBECK—Is the size of the claim a factor in doing a risk review?

Mr Peel—One of the risk reviews that we do, which Dr Edwards referred to, is what we call the top 30. We will have a look at the higher claimants as part of our risk assessment process as well.

Senator COLBECK—Do any of those fall within the eight cases that we are arguing about at the moment?

Mr Peel—There is one I am thinking of. It is not quite in the eight yet but it will probably be in the nine.

Senator COLBECK—I suppose that depends on the attitude of the company. Those eight are current cases. What about resolved cases?

Dr Edwards—I have to take that on notice. I do not have that data with me.

Senator COLBECK—Would that be a significant number or would most of the cases be ongoing? **Dr Edwards**—My recollection is that, if you look back over the last few years, we have had fewer than we currently have. If you go back 10 years, we probably had a spike in activity about 10 years ago, but it is never a huge amount of claims. It is not abnormal.

Senator COLBECK—Is it reasonable to extrapolate the percentages? I would not have thought it necessarily was. We are talking about legitimate access to this concession as it currently stands. You are looking at, say, 350 per year, over a period of 10 years. You have eight or 20, so a couple per year out of 350.

Dr Edwards—I just do not have that data.

ANSWER

The table below details information on Administrative Appeals Tribunal (AAT) cases.

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10 as at 31 January 2010	Total
Commenced	4	0	5	10	5	24
Resolved	4	0	4	7	0	15
Outstanding	0	0	1	3	5	9

Table 1 – R&D Tax Concession AAT Cases