

The Senate

Environment and Communications
Legislation Committee

Budget estimates 2014–15

June 2014

© Commonwealth of Australia 2014

ISBN 978-1-76010-026-1

Committee address

PO Box 6100

Parliament House

Canberra ACT 2600

Tel: 02 6277 3526

Fax: 02 6277 5818

Email: ec.sen@aph.gov.au

Internet:

[http://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary Business/Committees/Senate/Environment and Communications](http://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Committees/Senate/Environment_and_Communications)

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Australia License.



The details of this licence are available on the Creative Commons website: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/au/>.

This document was produced by the Senate Standing Committee on Environment and Communications and printed by the Senate Printing Unit, Parliament House, Canberra.

Membership of the Committee

Members

Senator John Williams, Chair	NATS, New South Wales
Senator Anne Urquhart, Deputy Chair	ALP, Tasmania
Senator David Fawcett	LP, South Australia
Senator Louise Pratt	ALP, Western Australia
Senator Anne Ruston	LP, South Australia
Senator Larissa Waters	AG, Queensland

Secretariat

Ms Christine McDonald, Secretary
Ms Meryl Hampson, Research Officer

Table of Contents

Membership of the Committee	iii
Chapter 1.....	1
Budget estimates 2014–15	1
Introduction	1
Portfolio coverage	1
Hearings.....	1
Questions on notice and <i>Hansard</i> transcripts.....	2
Public interest immunity and commercial-in-confidence claims	2
Guidance document	3
Chapter 2.....	5
Portfolio specific issues.....	5
Environment portfolio	5
Communications portfolio.....	10
Labor Senators' additional comments	13
Greens Senator's additional comments.....	15
Appendix 1	17

Chapter 1

Budget estimates 2014–15

Introduction

1.1 On 13 May 2014, the Senate referred the following to the Senate Environment and Communications Legislation Committee (the committee):

- Particulars of proposed expenditure in respect of the year ending on 30 June 2015 [Appropriation Bill (No. 1) 2014–15]; and
- Particulars of certain proposed expenditure in respect of the year ending on 30 June 2015 [Appropriation Bill (No. 2) 2014–15].¹

1.2 The committee is required to report to the Senate on 24 June 2015.²

Portfolio coverage

1.3 The committee has responsibility for examining the expenditure and outcomes of the following:

- Environment portfolio; and
- Communications portfolio.³

Hearings

1.4 The committee held public hearings on the Environment portfolio on Monday, 26 and Tuesday, 27 May 2014. Public hearings on the Communications portfolio were held on Wednesday, 28 and Thursday, 29 May 2014.

1.5 The committee took evidence from Senator the Hon Mathias Cormann, Minister for Finance, and Senator the Hon Simon Birmingham, Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for the Environment, representing the Minister for the Environment; Senator the Hon Mitch Fifield, Assistant Minister for Social Services, representing the Minister for Communications; and officers of the relevant departments and agencies. The committee thanks the Ministers, departmental secretaries and officers for their assistance.

1.6 Low Carbon Australia was not required to attend the hearings.

Use of videoconference

1.7 Representatives of the Office of the Supervising Scientist appeared before the committee via videoconference. This method of appearance, a first for an estimates

1 *Journals of the Senate*, No. 27, 13 May 2014, p. 778.

2 *Journals of the Senate*, No. 6, 4 December 2013, p. 224.

3 *Journals of the Senate*, No. 1, 12 November 2013, p. 16.

hearing, resulted in significant savings for the authority while not impeding the work of the committee.

Questions on notice and *Hansard* transcripts

1.8 The committee notes that it had set Friday, 11 April 2014 as the date for the return of answers to questions taken on notice during the additional estimates hearings held in February 2014. At that date, 209 of 220 answers from the Department of the Environment were outstanding and all 446 answers from the Department of Communications were outstanding. Many late answers were submitted just prior to, and during, the May budget estimates hearings. The committee reiterates its expectation that answers to questions on notice should be provided to the committee by the due date.

1.9 In accordance with standing order 26(9)(a), the committee has set Friday, 11 July 2014 as the date for the return of written answers or additional information in response to questions placed on notice during the budget estimates hearings.

1.10 Written answers and information provided to the committee in response to questions on notice are tabled in the Senate and posted on the committee's web page. Links to the *Hansard* transcripts of these public hearings and to answers and additional information are available on the internet at:

http://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Senate_Estimates/ecctte/estimates/bud1415/index

Public interest immunity and commercial-in-confidence claims

1.11 The committee notes that two agencies, while providing a general response to a number of questions on notice, declined to provide specific information on the ground that the information was 'commercial-in-confidence'.

1.12 The committee refers agencies to the procedural order of the Senate of 30 October 2003 which states that:

The Senate and Senate committees shall not entertain any claim to withhold information from the Senate or a committee on the grounds that it is commercial-in-confidence, unless the claim is made by a minister and is accompanied by a statement setting out the basis for the claim, including a statement of any commercial harm that may result from the disclosure of the information.

1.13 In addition, the Senate's order of 13 May 2009 relating to public interest immunity claims provides that where there is a claim that disclosure of information would not be in the public interest, the committee is to be provided with a statement of the ground for that conclusion, specifying the harm to the public interest.

1.14 The Senate orders make it clear that a claim for not answering a question on the ground that the information is 'commercial-in-confidence' must be based on specified potential harm. The committee expects that this information will be included in any future answers to questions on notice which include commercial-in-confidence claims.

Guidance document

1.15 The committee thanks the Department of the Environment for providing an updated guidance document for budget estimates. The document clearly detailed the department's new post-budget outcome structure and assisted committee members in establishing the correct area in which to raise their questions.

Chapter 2

Portfolio specific issues

2.1 This chapter provides an overview of the matters raised during the committee's budget estimates hearings for 2014–15. The discussion followed outcome and agency structure. *The page references beside each matter refer to the proof committee transcript.*

Environment portfolio

Department of the Environment

2.2 The committee began the first day of hearings with general questions to the Department of the Environment. Dr Gordon de Brouwer, Secretary of the Department of the Environment, noted that the number and description of outcomes for the department had changed and that seven outcomes had been consolidated into four (p. 5). The matters raised by the committee included:

- the reduction in staffing levels through voluntary redundancies, carrying out a capability assessment of staff and limiting recruitment to meet budgetary and workload requirements (pp 6–18); and
- the proposed restructure of the department (p. 18).

Outcome 1: Conserve, protect and sustainably manage Australia's biodiversity, ecosystems, environment and heritage through research, information management, supporting natural resource management, establishing and managing Commonwealth Protected areas, and reducing and regulating the use of pollutants and hazardous substances.

2.3 Officers of the department were called in relation to Program 1.1: Sustainable Management of Natural Resources and the Environment. Matters raised included:

- Green Army operations and priority projects (pp 86–90 and 96–98);
- the Grants to Voluntary Environment, Sustainability and Heritage Organisations (GVESHO) program (p. 88 and 100–102);
- the Whale and Dolphin Protection Plan (p. 89);
- Coastal River Recovery Initiatives (p. 90);
- marine debris (pp 92–93);
- the National Landcare Program (pp 93–96); and
- the Australian Government Reef Program (pp 99–100).

2.4 Officers of the department were called in relation to Program 1.2: Environmental Information and Research. Matters raised included the National Environmental Science Program (pp 70–73) and whale research (pp 73–78).

2.5 Officers of the department were called in relation to Program 1.3: Carbon Pollution Reduction—Land Sector Initiatives. The discussion covered natural resource management planning for climate change (p. 78).

2.6 Matters raised in relation to Program 1.4: Conservation of Australia's Heritage and the Environment included:

- the potential World Heritage listing of royal reserves (p. 18);
- the Parramatta Female Factory site (pp 19–20);
- the request to delist part of the Tasmanian Wilderness World Heritage Area (pp 22–26 and 35–36);
- Community Heritage and Icons Grants (p. 27); and
- the Great Barrier Reef (pp 32–34).

2.7 Officers of the department were called in relation to Program 1.5: Environmental Regulation. Matters raised included:

- the proposed accreditation of state and territory environmental approval processes (pp 41–50 and 56–62);
- the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA) (pp 51–53); and
- Abbot Point (pp 53–56).

2.8 In relation to Program 1.6: Management of Hazardous Wastes, Substances and Pollutants, questions covered the Packaging Impacts Decision Regulation Impact Statement (pp 65–69) and Tyre Stewardship Australia (pp 69–70).

Outcome 2: Reduce Australia's greenhouse gas emissions, adapt to the impacts of climate change and contribute to the negotiation of an effective global solution to climate change, through developing and implementing a national response to climate change.

2.9 Officers of the department were called in relation to Program 2.1: Reducing Australia's Greenhouse Gas Emissions. Matters raised included:

- the Emissions Reduction Fund (pp 70–81);
- Solar Towns (pp 81–82);
- the Direct Action Plan (pp 82–86);
- fugitive emissions (pp 86–88); and
- the carbon tax (pp 88–90).

2.10 Matters raised in relation to Program 2.2: Adapting to Climate Change included the Australian Community Climate and Earth-System Simulator (ACCESS) (p. 98) and the Natural Climate Change Adaptation Research Facility (p. 99).

Outcome 3: Advancement of Australia's strategic, scientific, environmental and economic interests in the Antarctic by protecting, administering and researching the region.

2.11 The committee called officers of the department from the Australian Antarctic Division and canvassed matters including:

- the replacement of the *Aurora Australis* icebreaker (p. 33);
- maintaining Australia's presence in the Antarctic (pp 33–35 and 39–40); and
- the stability of the Antarctic ice sheets (pp 37–38).

Outcome 4: Improve the health of rivers and freshwater ecosystems and water use efficiency through implementing water reforms, and ensuring enhanced sustainability, efficiency and productivity in the management and use of water resources.

2.12 Officers of the department were called in relation to Program 4.1: Water Reform. Matters raised included:

- the Sustainable Rural Water Use and Infrastructure Program (SRWUIP) (p. 40);
- the National Performance Report (pp 45 and 47–48); and
- the National Water Initiative (pp 48–50).

2.13 The committee called officers of the department in relation to Program 4.2: Commonwealth Environmental Water, together with officers from the Commonwealth Environmental Water Office (CEWO). The matters raised are outlined in paragraph 2.18 below.

Bureau of Meteorology

2.14 Officers from the Bureau of Meteorology provided information on the installation of a new supercomputer (pp 20–23). Dr Rob Vertessy, Director of Meteorology, outlined the anticipated benefits of the supercomputer, which include pinpointing finer details in weather systems and providing more up-to-date forecasts. Dr Vertessy stated:

...for the first time, we will be able to run the models in on-demand mode. If a sudden severe weather event breaks out somewhere in the country, we will be able to spin up the model to run a simulation just for that event. In fact, we will be able to do that for four concurrent events at a time. So, whether we have cyclones, floods, fire, thunderstorms or volcanic ash events to the north happening, we will be able to set the model up to run a specialised, on-demand forecast for our emergency services. That is going to be an amazing step change.¹

2.15 Other matters raised included:

- revenue-raising activities (pp 25–27);

1 Dr Rob Vertessy, Director of Meteorology, *Proof Committee Hansard*, 26 May 2014, p. 23.

- El Nino (p. 29);
- web services and proposed smartphone apps (pp 26 and 31); and
- the bureau's use of volunteers, since 1908, to monitor rainfall, storms and river heights (p. 29).

Clean Energy Regulator

2.16 The committee called officers from the Clean Energy Regulator and questioned them on changes to the regulator's role and functions following the implementation of the Emissions Reduction Fund (pp 92–96).

Climate Change Authority

2.17 In light of the proposal to abolish the Climate Change Authority in 2014–15, the committee questioned officers on matters including:

- the transfer of the authority's staff and functions to other agencies (pp 36–38); and
- the completion of emissions reduction projects currently being undertaken by the authority (pp 38–41).

Commonwealth Environmental Water Office

2.18 Officers from the Commonwealth Environmental Water Office were questioned on current water holdings (p. 56), water trading in the Gwydir and Peel valleys (pp 56–59) and water plans (pp 59–60).

Director of National Parks

2.19 The Director of National Parks appeared before the committee and reported on the need to achieve a balance in working with the states to integrate tourism, conservation and opportunities for traditional owners. Ms Sally Barnes, Director of National Parks, stated:

We will continue to work together under the National Landscapes Program to link the best of the best, the best of nature, as a marketable icon for Tourism Australia to promote overseas...Our joint management strategy will look not only at tourism but at where there are other opportunities for Aboriginal corporations and groups to provide services to us to deliver on park.²

2.20 Other matters canvassed with the Director of National Parks included:

- the World Parks Congress (p. 13); and
- the visit to Uluru-Kata Tjuta National Park by the Duke and Duchess of Cambridge (p. 14).

2 Ms Sally Barnes, Director of National Parks, *Proof Committee Hansard*, 27 May 2014, p. 12.

Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority

2.21 The committee called officers from the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority. Matters raised included:

- five major initiatives for the authority (pp 103–104);
- Traditional Use Marine Resource Agreements (p. 106); and
- dredging at Abbot Point (pp 110–113).

Murray-Darling Basin Authority

2.22 The committee called officers of the Murray-Darling Basin Authority and examined matters including:

- water buybacks for the Murray-Darling Basin Plan (pp 61–62);
- cost-sharing between the Commonwealth and states to maintain river assets (pp 64–67);
- the Private Irrigation Infrastructure Program for South Australia (PIIP-SA) (p. 67); and
- the Menindee Lakes project (pp 68–70).

National Water Commission

2.23 The Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for the Environment, Senator the Hon Simon Birmingham, thanked staff of the National Water Commission for their valuable work, in recognition of the fact that the commission will cease operations in 2014–15. The committee questioned officers of the commission in relation to the transfer of the commission's functions to other agencies (pp 43–53), the impacts of mining on groundwater (pp 53–54) and the review of the Water Act (pp 54–55).

Office of the Supervising Scientist

2.24 The committee examined officers from the Office of the Supervising Scientist on matters including:

- the ongoing rehabilitation of Ranger Uranium Mine (pp 5–7);
- investigations into a leach tank failure in December 2013 (pp 7–9); and
- the failure and replacement of a ventilation shaft (p. 10).

Sydney Harbour Federation Trust

2.25 The committee examined officers of the Sydney Harbour Federation Trust on matters including revenue from leased properties, guided tours and paid parking (p. 15), and the proposed use of a trust site for an aged-care facility (pp 15–18).

Communications portfolio

Department of Communications

2.26 The committee began its examination of the Communications portfolio with general questions to the Department of Communications. Matters raised included:

- the restructure of the department (pp 3–8 and 13); and
- the Deregulation Roadmap 2014 (pp 9–11 and 14).

Outcome 1: Promote an innovative and competitive communications sector, through policy development, advice and program delivery, so all Australians can realise the full potential of digital technologies and communications services.

2.27 Officers of the department were called in relation to Program 1.1: Broadband and Communications Infrastructure. Matters raised included:

- NBN Co funding, corporate plan and broadband rollout (pp 41–55 and 56–75);
- the functions of the Telecommunications Universal Service Management Agency (pp 55–56); and
- the Mobile Black Spot Program (pp 75–79).

2.28 Matters raised in questioning under Program 1.2: Digital Economy and Postal Services included:

- e-Government (pp 70–72);
- the reform of postal services (pp 76–78); and
- the review of the spectrum policy and management framework (pp 78–79).

2.29 Officers from the department were called in relation to Program 1.3: Broadcasting and Digital Television. Matters raised included:

- the Deregulation Roadmap 2014 (pp 15–17);
- appointments to the ABC and SBS boards (pp 17–19);
- the review of the spectrum policy and management framework (pp 19–21); and
- the ABC and SBS efficiency study (pp 21–24 and 26–27).

Australia Post

2.30 Mr Ahmed Fahour, Managing Director and Chief Executive Officer, made an opening statement on the proposed changes to Australia Post's business, at the conclusion of which the committee questioned officers on matters including:

- mail delivery options (pp 82–86);
- profit, loss and superannuation liability (pp 87–96);
- StarTrack (pp 96–97);
- licensed post offices (pp 98–111); and

- MyPost digital mailbox (pp 111–112).

Australian Broadcasting Corporation

2.31 The committee canvassed the following matters with the ABC:

- legal action taken against the *Chaser* (pp 29–31 and 55–57);
- the ABC and SBS efficiency study (pp 36–39 and 58–61);
- the cessation of the Australia Network (pp 41–45, 51–54 and 63); and
- reporting bias (pp 57–58 and 66–68).

Australian Communications and Media Authority

2.32 Officers of ACMA were called and examined on matters including:

- cyber safety programs for schools (pp 5–6);
- the deregulation of reporting for telecommunications providers (pp 8–10 and 13–16); and
- the spectrum review (pp 16–18).

NBN Co

2.33 Officers of NBN Co were called and examined, following an opening statement by Mr Bill Morrow, Chief Executive Officer. Matters raised included:

- the Chief Executive Officer's previous employment (pp 81–96);
- the progress of the National Broadband Network rollout (pp 96–99 and 115–126);
- the coverage and potential use of satellite services (pp 99–107);
- equal access for retail service providers (pp 109–112); and
- services to remote communities (pp 114–115).

Special Broadcasting Service

2.34 Officers from the Special Broadcasting Service were questioned on matters including:

- the ABC and SBS efficiency study (pp 21–28 and 30);
- Eurovision 2014 and the soccer World Cup 2014 (pp 28–29); and
- the diversity of language programs (pp 19–20).

2.35 In response to a question on programming, Mr Michael Ebeid, Managing Director, commented that SBS Radio broadcasts in 74 languages. When asked whether any comparable broadcaster provides as many different language programs, Mr Ebeid stated:

We understand that the next closest organisation that does many languages would be the Vatican. The Vatican has 32 languages. Our former chairman

used to often use the line that we do double the number of languages that the voice of God does.³

Telecommunications Universal Service Management Agency

2.36 Officers from the Telecommunications Universal Service Management Agency were called and examined on matters including:

- the National Relay Service (p. 31);
- the transfer of TUSMA's operations to the Department of Communications (pp 32–35 and 39–40); and
- the migration of voice services to the National Broadband Network (pp 35 and 37–39).

Senator John Williams
Chair

3 Mr Michael Ebeid, Managing Director SBS, *Proof Committee Hansard*, 29 May 2014, p 20.

Labor Senators' additional comments

1.1 The committee notes that the agreed timetable for Thursday, 29 May 2014 was for the hearing to commence at 9 am and conclude at 11 pm.

1.2 The Chair, Senator Williams, interrupted proceedings at around 10.20 pm on Thursday, 29 May 2014 with the statement: 'We are four hours into this. We started half an hour early; we are finishing at 10.30.'¹

1.3 Senator Conroy expressed concern at the Chair's decision: 'No, no. You actually do not get to overturn a Senate resolution just because you have decided to. The Senate has decided that estimates takes place this week. Let's be very clear: no-one has previously closed the committee down. You are closing it down. You are not allowed to close down Senate estimates.'²

1.4 The Chair, Senator Williams, responded: 'Order! I will make this point. We have had four hours stipulated for NBN.'³

1.5 Senators Urquhart, Conroy and Pratt highlighted during the hearing that Coalition Senators had requested four hours on Australia Post for the evening of Wednesday, 28 May 2014 and that the committee finished early because few Coalition Senators were in attendance to seek explanations of Australia Post.⁴

1.6 Labor Senators note that when formulating the program for the Communications Portfolio, Labor Senators recommended less time on Australia Post and scheduling ACMA and/or TUSMA on Wednesday, 28 May 2014. Labor Senators sought a minimum of 5¼ hours for Labor Senators to question NBN Co, which is reasonable considering the new strategy deployed by the Government regarding the NBN. The Chair, Senator Williams, used his majority on the committee to restrict the time on NBN Co for all Senators. Labor Senators note that the requested time could easily have been accommodated if the Coalition had not requested such an excessive amount of time with Australia Post and moved other agencies to the Wednesday evening.

1.7 The committee finally held a private meeting from 10.25 pm to 10.28 pm on Thursday, 29 May 2014 where Coalition Senators voted to suspend the estimates committee at 10.35 pm.

1 Senator John Williams, *Proof Committee Hansard*, 29 May 2014, p. 126.

2 Senator Stephen Conroy, *Proof Committee Hansard*, 29 May 2014, p. 126.

3 Senator John Williams, *Proof Committee Hansard*, 29 May 2014, p. 126.

4 Senator Anne Urquhart, Senator Stephen Conroy, Senator Louise Pratt, *Proof Committee Hansard*, 29 May 2014, p. 128.

1.8 Labor Senators express their concern that the Coalition used their majority on the committee to suspend estimates 25 minutes before the time decreed by the Senate when Senators still had further explanations to seek of NBN Co.

Senator Anne Urquhart
Deputy Chair
Senator for Tasmania

Senator Louise Pratt
Senator for Western Australia

Greens Senator's additional comments

1.1 The Australian Greens support the comments of the Labor Senators and note that during Environment estimates the Chair similarly ended sessions on Monday, 26 May and Tuesday, 27 May early, rather than allocating saved time to outcomes where Senators (particularly Greens Senators) had many more questions. The Greens at the time requested that the saved time be used rather than finishing early but were overruled by the Chair.

Senator Larissa Waters
Senator for Queensland

Appendix 1

The Senate

BUSINESS OF COMMITTEES

This document is issued as a guide to Senators

Business listed is subject to change

It should be noted that times allocated for the consideration of outcomes, items and agencies within portfolios are indicative only.

Senators, staff and departments should liaise with secretariats on the progress of portfolios during the estimates process.

SENATE ENVIRONMENT AND COMMUNICATIONS LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

Public Hearings: BUDGET ESTIMATES FOR 2014-15

**Monday, 26 May to Thursday, 29 May 2014 and if required
Friday, 30 May 2014**

Committee Room 2S3

Parliament House

Canberra ACT

To be televised on Channel 111/Radio 91.1

http://www.aph.gov.au/News_and_Events/Watch_Parliament

MONDAY, 26 May 2014**Time Environment Portfolio**

Department of the Environment	
9.00 am	General questions of the Department
	Morning Tea: 10.30 am to 10.45 am
10.45 am	Bureau of Meteorology *
11.45 am	Outcome 3: Advancement of Australia's strategic, scientific, environmental and economic interests in the Antarctic by protecting, administering and researching the region.
	<i>Antarctica</i>
	Lunch: 12.45 pm to 1.45 pm
1.45 pm	Outcome 4: Improve the health of rivers and freshwater ecosystems and water use efficiency through implementing water reforms, and ensuring enhanced sustainability, efficiency and productivity in the management and use of water resources.
	<i>Program 4.1: Water Reform</i> National Water Commission
3.15 pm	<i>Program 4.2: Commonwealth Environmental Water</i> Commonwealth Environmental Water Office
	Afternoon Tea: 4.15 pm to 4.30 pm
4.30 pm	Murray-Darling Basin Authority
5.30 pm	Outcome 2: Reduce Australia's greenhouse gas emissions, adapt to the impacts of climate change and contribute to the negotiation of an effective global solution to climate change, through developing and implementing a national response to climate change.
	<i>Program 2.1: Reducing Australia's Greenhouse Gas Emissions</i>
	Dinner: 6.30 pm to 7.30 pm
7.30 pm	<i>Program 2.1: Reducing Australia's Greenhouse Gas Emissions (continued)</i>
8.30 pm	Clean Energy Regulator *
	Tea Break 9.15 pm to 9.30 pm
9.30 pm	<i>Program 2.2: Adapting to Climate Change</i>
10.45 pm	Adjournment

TUESDAY, 27 May 2014
Time Environment Portfolio

Department of the Environment	
9.00 am	Office of the Supervising Scientist <i>via videoconference</i>
9.30 am	Director of National Parks
	Morning Tea: 10.30 am to 10.45 am
10.45 am	Outcome 1: Conserve, protect and sustainably manage Australia's biodiversity, ecosystems, environment and heritage through research, information management, supporting natural resource management, establishing and managing Commonwealth Protected areas, and reducing and regulating the use of pollutants and hazardous substances.
10.45 am	Sydney Harbour Federation Trust *
11.00 am	<i>Program 1.4: Conservation of Australia's Heritage and the Environment</i>
	Lunch: 12.45 pm to 1.45 pm
1.45 pm	Climate Change Authority *
2.30 pm	<i>Program 1.5: Environmental regulation</i>
	Afternoon Tea: 3.30 pm to 3.45 pm
3.45 pm	<i>Program 1.6: Management of Hazardous Wastes, Substances and Pollutants</i>
4.30 pm	<i>Program 1.2: Environmental Information and Research</i>
5.15 pm	<i>Program 1.3: Carbon Pollution Reduction – Land Sector Initiatives</i>
	Dinner 6.00 pm to 7.00 pm
7.00 pm	<i>Program 1.1: Sustainable Management of Natural Resources and the Environment</i>
	Tea Break: 9.00 pm to 9.15 pm
9.15 pm	Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority *
10.15 pm	Adjournment

WEDNESDAY, 28 May 2014
Time Communications Portfolio

Department of Communications	
9.00 am	General questions of the Department
	Outcome 1: Promote an innovative and competitive communications sector, through policy development, advice and program delivery, so all Australians can realise the full potential of digital technologies and communications services.
10.00 am	<i>Program 1.3: Broadcasting and Digital Television</i>
	Break: 11.15 am to 11.30 am
11.30 am	Australian Broadcasting Corporation *
	Lunch: 1.00 pm to 2.00 pm
2.00 pm	Australian Broadcasting Corporation (<i>continued</i>)
	Afternoon Tea: 3.45 pm to 4.00 pm
4.00 pm	<i>Program 1.2: Digital Economy and Postal Services</i>
5.30 pm	Australia Post *
	Dinner 6.15 pm to 7.15 pm
7.15 pm	Australia Post (<i>continued</i>)
	Tea Break: 9.00 pm to 9.15 pm
9.15 pm	Australia Post (<i>continued</i>)
11.00 pm	Adjournment

THURSDAY, 29 May 2014

Time Communications Portfolio

Department of Communications	
9.00 am	Australian Communications and Media Authority *
	Morning Tea: 10.30 am to 10.45 am
10.45 am	Special Broadcasting Service *
12.15 pm	Telecommunications Universal Service Management Agency *
	Lunch: 1.15 pm to 2.15 pm
2.15 pm	<i>Program 1.1 Broadband and Communications Infrastructure</i>
	Afternoon Tea: 3.45 pm to 4.00 pm
4.00 pm	<i>Program 1.1 Broadband and Communications Infrastructure</i>
5.45 pm	NBN Co *
	Dinner 6.30 pm to 7.30 pm
7.30 pm	NBN Co (continued)
	Tea Break: 9.00 pm to 9.15 pm
9.15 pm	NBN Co (continued)
11.00 pm	Adjournment

Committee Chair: Senator John Williams
 Contact: Meryl Hampson 02 6277 3528; email: meryl.hampson@aph.gov.au
 Committee Room 2S3; Ph: 02 6277 5853

* denotes interstate agencies

