SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ON LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S PORTFOLIO

Group: 1

Program: Whole-of-Portfolio

Question No. SBE14/110

Senator Ludwig asked the following written question from the 20 November and 11 December 2014 hearings.

- 1. Has the department/Agency undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since Additional Estimates in February, 2014? If so:
- 2. Please detail why this name change / rebrand were considered necessary and a justified use of departmental funds?
- 3. Please provide a copy of any reports that were commissioned to study the benefits and costs associated with the rebranding.
- 4. Please provide the total cost associated with this rebrand and then break down by amount spent replacing:
 - a) Signage.
 - b) Stationery (please include details of existing stationery and how it was disposed of).
 - c) Logos
 - d) Consultancy
 - e) Any relevant IT changes.
 - f) Office reconfiguration.
- 5. How was the decision reached to rename and/or rebrand the department?
- 6. Who was involved in reaching this decision? ii. Please provide a copy of any communication (including but not limited to emails, letters, memos, notes etc) from within the department, or between the department and the government regarding the rename/rebranding.

The answer to the honourable senator's question is as follows:

1: Please see the response to question BE14/148. The remainder of the portfolio has not undergone a name change or any form of rebranding since 24 February 2014.