

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE
Supplementary Budget Estimates 2016-17

Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio

Department/Agency: Indigenous Business Australia

Outcome/Program: Outcome 2; Indigenous

Topic: Home Ownership Program

Senator: McCarthy

Question reference number: 111

Type of question: FPA Friday 21 October 2016, page 24

Date set by the committee for the return of answer: 2 December 2016

Number of pages: 2.

Question:

Senator McCARTHY: Can you break the 495 home loans down into what part of Australia they came from?

Mr Bator: Most definitely we can.

Mr O'Neill: Predominantly we would loan to regional or remote areas. That tends to be the make-up of our portfolio. The annual report also shows that by new housing loans in the last year, with 77 per cent of loans written in regional or remote areas. That tends to be the concentration. We can break it down by state. We will take that on notice.

Answer:

The following table provides the Regional/State geographical distribution of the 489 loans approved in 2015-16:

Geographical area	Major City	Regional	Remote	Total
ACT	4			4
Canberra	4			4
NSW	36	133	5	174
Sydney	18	10		28
Bourke		7	3	10
Central Coast	15	9		24
Coffs Harbour		43		43
Dubbo		17	2	19
Queanbeyan	3	17		20
Tamworth		10		10
Wagga Wagga		20		20

Northern Territory		9	5	14
Darwin		9		9
Alice Springs			2	2
Katherine			3	3
Tennant Creek				0
Queensland	45	94	6	145
Brisbane	45	35		80
Cairns		15	4	19
Mt Isa			1	1
Rockhampton		23		23
Roma		11	1	12
Townsville		10		10
South Australia	9	20	3	32
Adelaide	9	11		20
Ceduna		1	3	4
Port Augusta		8		8
Tasmania		24		24
Victoria	12	44		56
Western Australia	8	21	11	40
Perth	8	16		24
Broome			6	6
Geraldton		1	2	3
Kalgoorlie		4	2	6
Kununurra			1	1
TOTAL	114	345	30	489
	23%	71%	6%	

Geographical areas are based on the Australian Bureau of Statistics Australian Indigenous Classification and Accessibility / Remoteness Index of Australia