

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE
SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET ESTIMATES 2015

Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio

Department/Agency: Australian National Audit Office

Outcome/Program:

Topic: Departmental Rebranding

Senator: Senator Ludwig

Question reference number: 318

Type of question: Written

Date set by the committee for the return of answer: 4 December 2015

Number of pages: 2

Question:

1. Has the department/Agency undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since the leadership change in September, 2015? If so:
 - a. Please detail why this name change / rebrand were considered necessary and a justified use of departmental funds?
 - i. Please provide a copy of any reports that were commissioned to study the benefits and costs associated with the rebranding.
 - b. Please provide the total cost associated with this rebrand and then break down by amount spent replacing:
 - i. Signage.
 - ii. Stationery (please include details of existing stationery and how it was disposed of).
 - iii. Logos
 - iv. Consultancy
 - v. Any relevant IT changes.
 - vi. Office reconfiguration.
 - c. How was the decision reached to rename and/or rebrand the department?
 - i. Who was involved in reaching this decision? ii. Please provide a copy of any communication (including but not limited to emails, letters, memos, notes etc) from within the department, or between the department and the government regarding the rename/rebranding.
1. Following the changes does the department share any goods/services/accommodation with other departments?
2. What resources/services does the department share with other departments; are there plans to cease sharing the sharing of these resources/services?

3. What were the costs to the department prior to the Machinery of Government changes for these shared resources? What are the estimated costs after the ceasing of shared resource arrangements?

Answer:

The ANAO has not undergone any departmental rebranding since the leadership change in September 2015.

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE
Budget Estimates Hearing October 2015
Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio

Department/Agency:	Office of the Inspector-General of Intelligence and Security
Outcome/Program:	Outcome 1
Topic:	Departmental rebranding
Senator:	Ludwig
Question reference number:	318
Type of question:	Written
Date set by the committee for the return of answer:	4 December 2015
Number of pages:	1
Question:	

- 1) Has the department/Agency undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since the leadership change in September, 2015? If so:
 - a) Please detail why this name change / rebrand were considered necessary and a justified use of departmental funds?
 - i. Please provide a copy of any reports that were commissioned to study the benefits and costs associated with the rebranding.
 - b) Please provide the total cost associated with this rebrand and then break down by amount spent replacing:
 - i. Signage.
 - ii. Stationery (please include details of existing stationery and how it was disposed of).
 - iii. Logos
 - iv. Consultancy
 - v. Any relevant IT changes.
 - vi. Office reconfiguration.
 - c) How was the decision reached to rename and/or rebrand the department?
 - i. Who was involved in reaching this decision? ii. Please provide a copy of any communication (including but not limited to emails, letters, memos, notes etc) from within the department, or between the department and the government regarding the rename/rebranding.
- 2) Following the changes does the department share any goods/services/accommodation with other departments?
- 3) What resources/services does the department share with other departments; are there plans to cease sharing the sharing of these resources/services?
- 4) What were the costs to the department prior to the Machinery of Government changes for these shared resources? What are the estimated costs after the ceasing of shared resource arrangements?

Answer:

1. No. IGIS has not undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since the leadership change in September, 2015.
2. Not applicable.
3. Not applicable.
4. Not applicable.

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
ANSWERS TO WRITTEN QUESTIONS ON NOTICE
SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET ESTIMATES 2015-2016

Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio

Department/Agency: Office of the Commonwealth Ombudsman

Outcome/Program:

Outcome 1. Fair and accountable administrative action by Australian Government entities and prescribed private sector organisations, by investigating complaints, reviewing administrative action and statutory compliance inspections and reporting.

Topic: Departmental Rebranding

Senator: Senator Ludwig

Question reference number: 318

Type of question: Written

Date set by the committee for the return of answer: 4 December 2015

Number of pages: 2

Question:

Senator LUDWIG:

1. Has the department/agency undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since the leadership change in September, 2015? If so:
 - a. Please detail why this name change / rebrand were considered necessary and a justified use of departmental funds?
 - i. Please provide a copy of any reports that were commissioned to study the benefits and costs associated with the rebranding.
 - b. Please provide the total cost associated with this rebrand and then break down by amount spent replacing:
 - i. Signage.
 - ii. Stationery (please include details of existing stationery and how it was disposed of).
 - iii. Logos
 - iv. Consultancy
 - v. Any relevant IT changes.
 - vi. Office reconfiguration.
 - c. How was the decision reached to rename and/or rebrand the department?
 - d. Who was involved in reaching this decision?
 - i. Please provide a copy of any communication (including but not limited to emails, letters, memos, notes etc) from within the department, or between the department and the government regarding the rename/rebranding.

- e. Following the changes does the department share any goods/services/accommodation with other departments?
- f. What resources/services does the department share with other departments; are there plans to cease sharing the sharing of these resources/services?
- g. What were the costs to the department prior to the Machinery of Government changes for these shared resources? What are the estimated costs after the ceasing of shared resource arrangements?

Answer:

1. No. However, the Office initiated an Internet Redevelopment project in early 2015, which is anticipated to be launched in December 2015.

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
Supplementary Estimates – October 2015
ANSWER TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Prime Minister and Cabinet portfolio

Department/Agency: Office of the Official Secretary to the Governor-General

Program 1: Support for the Governor-General and Official Activities

Outcome 1: The performance of the Governor-General's role is facilitated through the organisation and management of official duties, management and maintenance of the official household and property and administration of the Australian Honours and Awards system.

Topic: Departmental Rebranding

Senator: Ludwig

Question reference number: 318

Type of Question: Written

Date set by the committee for the return of answer: 4 December 2015

Number of Pages: 1

1. Has the department/Agency undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since the leadership change in September, 2015?

Answer:

No.

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE
Supplementary Budget Estimates Hearings 19-23 October 2015

Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio

Department/Agency: Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet

Topic: Departmental Rebranding - All Agency Indigenous Bodies

Senator: Senator Ludwig

Question reference number: 318

Type of question: Written

Date set by the committee for the return of answer: 4 December 2015

Number of pages: 2

Question:

1) Has the department/Agency undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since the leadership change in September, 2015? If so:

a) Please detail why this name change / rebrand were considered necessary and a justified use of departmental funds?

i. Please provide a copy of any reports that were commissioned to study the benefits and costs associated with the rebranding.

b) Please provide the total cost associated with this rebrand and then break down by amount spent replacing:

i. Signage.

ii. Stationery (please include details of existing stationery and how it was disposed of).

iii. Logos

iv. Consultancy

v. Any relevant IT changes.

vi. Office reconfiguration.

c) How was the decision reached to rename and/or rebrand the department?

i. Who was involved in reaching this decision? ii. Please provide a copy of any communication (including but not limited to emails, letters, memos, notes etc) from within the department, or between the department and the government regarding the rename/rebranding.

2) Following the changes does the department share any goods/services/accommodation with other departments?

3) What resources/services does the department share with other departments; are there plans to cease sharing the sharing of these resources/services?

4) What were the costs to the department prior to the Machinery of Government changes for these shared resources? What are the estimated costs after the ceasing of shared resource arrangements?

Answer:

None of the Indigenous Affairs agencies within the portfolio has undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since the leadership change in September 2015.

Senate Finance and Public Administration Committee

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE
SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET ESTIMATES 2015-16

Prime Minister and Cabinet

Department/Agency: National Australia Day Council
Outcome/Program Group: All
Topic: Departmental Rebranding

Senator: Senator Ludwig
Question reference number: 318
Type of question: Written

Date set by the committee for the return of answer: 4 December 2015
Number of pages: 1

Question:

1. Has the department/Agency undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since the leadership change in September, 2015? If so:
 - a. Please detail why this name change / rebrand were considered necessary and a justified use of departmental funds?
 - i. Please provide a copy of any reports that were commissioned to study the benefits and costs associated with the rebranding.
 - b. Please provide the total cost associated with this rebrand and then break down by amount spent replacing:
 - i. Signage.
 - ii. Stationery (please include details of existing stationery and how it was disposed of).
 - iii. Logos
 - iv. Consultancy
 - v. Any relevant IT changes.
 - vi. Office reconfiguration.
 - c. How was the decision reached to rename and/or rebrand the department?
 - i. Who was involved in reaching this decision? ii. Please provide a copy of any communication (including but not limited to emails, letters, memos, notes etc) from within the department, or between the department and the government regarding the rename/rebranding.

Following the changes does the department share any goods/services/accommodation with other departments?

What resources/services does the department share with other departments; are there plans to cease sharing the sharing of these resources/services?

What were the costs to the department prior to the Machinery of Government changes for these shared resources? What are the estimated costs after the ceasing of shared resource arrangements?

Answer:

Answer: No the NADC has not undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since the leadership change in September, 2015

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE
SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET ESTIMATES 2015

Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio

Department/Agency: Australian Public Service Commission

Outcome/Program: 1.1

Topic: Departmental Rebranding

Senator: Ludwig

Question reference number: 318

Type of question: Written

Date set by the committee for the return of answer: 4 December 2015

Number of pages: 2

Question:

1. Has the department/Agency undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since the leadership change in September, 2015? If so:

a) Please detail why this name change / rebrand were considered necessary and a justified use of departmental funds?

i. Please provide a copy of any reports that were commissioned to study the benefits and costs associated with the rebranding.

b) Please provide the total cost associated with this rebrand and then break down by amount spent replacing:

i. Signage.

ii. Stationery (please include details of existing stationery and how it was disposed of).

iii. Logos

iv. Consultancy

v. Any relevant IT changes.

vi. Office reconfiguration.

c) How was the decision reached to rename and/or rebrand the department?

i. Who was involved in reaching this decision? ii. Please provide a copy of any communication (including but not limited to emails, letters, memos, notes etc) from within the department, or between the department and the government regarding the rename/rebranding.

2. Following the changes does the department share any goods/services/accommodation with other departments?

3. What resources/services does the department share with other departments; are there plans to cease sharing the sharing of these resources/services?

4. What were the costs to the department prior to the Machinery of Government changes for these shared resources? What are the estimated costs after the ceasing of shared resource arrangements?

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE
SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET ESTIMATES 2015

Answer:

1. No

2. - 4. Not Applicable

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE
Supplementary Budget Estimates Hearing 19-23 October 2015

Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio

Department/Agency: Digital Transformation Office
Topic: Departmental Rebranding

Senator: Ludwig

Question reference number: 318

Type of question: Written

Date set by the committee for the return of answer: 4 December 2015

Number of pages: 2

Question:

- 1) Has the department/Agency undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since the leadership change in September, 2015? If so:
 - a) Please detail why this name change / rebrand were considered necessary and a justified use of departmental funds?
 - i) Please provide a copy of any reports that were commissioned to study the benefits and costs associated with the rebranding.
 - b) Please provide the total cost associated with this rebrand and then break down by amount spent replacing:
 - i) Signage.
 - ii) Stationery (please include details of existing stationery and how it was disposed of).
 - iii) Logos
 - iv) Consultancy
 - v) Any relevant IT changes.
 - vi) Office reconfiguration.
 - c) How was the decision reached to rename and/or rebrand the department?
 - i) Who was involved in reaching this decision?
 - ii) Please provide a copy of any communication (including but not limited to emails, letters, memos, notes etc) from within the department, or between the department and the government regarding the rename/rebranding.

15

PM 19

Answer:

- 1) No, the Digital Transformation Office is in the process of establishing guidelines for the CEO to assist decision making in relation to the hiring of non-Australian citizens.
- 2) No
- 3) There are no provisions to overrule an Agency Head's decision to waive citizenship as a condition of engagement, provided the employee meets all requirements under the *Migration Act 1958*, including a visa with work rights.

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Senate Estimates October 2015

Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio

Department/Agency: Office of National Assessments

Outcome/Program: 1

Topic: Departmental Rebranding

Senator: Senator Ludwig

Question reference number: 318

Type of Question: Written

Date set by the committee for the return of answer:

Number of pages: 1

Question:

1. Has the Department/Agency Undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding?

ONA Response:

No,

The Office of National Assessments has not undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding.

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE
Supplementary Budget Estimates 19-23 October 2015

Prime Minister and Cabinet Portfolio

Department/Agency: Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet
Outcome/Program: Outcome 1: Prime Minister and Cabinet
Topic: Departmental Rebranding

Senator: Senator the Hon Joe Ludwig

Question reference number: 318

Type of question: written

Date set by the committee for the return of answer: 4 December 2015

Number of pages: 2

Question:

1) Has the department/Agency undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since the leadership change in September, 2015? If so:

a) Please detail why this name change / rebrand were considered necessary and a justified use of departmental funds?

i. Please provide a copy of any reports that were commissioned to study the benefits and costs associated with the rebranding.

b) Please provide the total cost associated with this rebrand and then break down by amount spent replacing:

i. Signage.

ii. Stationery (please include details of existing stationery and how it was disposed of).

iii. Logos

iv. Consultancy

v. Any relevant IT changes.

vi. Office reconfiguration.

c) How was the decision reached to rename and/or rebrand the department?

i. Who was involved in reaching this decision? ii. Please provide a copy of any communication (including but not limited to emails, letters, memos, notes etc) from within the department, or between the department and the government regarding the rename/rebranding.

2) Following the changes does the department share any goods/services/accommodation with other departments?

3) What resources/services does the department share with other departments; are there plans to cease sharing the sharing of these resources/services?

4) What were the costs to the department prior to the Machinery of Government changes for these shared resources? What are the estimated costs after the ceasing of shared resource

Answer:

1) No.

2) There have been no changes to the Department's existing shared service arrangements as a result of the leadership change.

3) The Department has shared service arrangements for corporate and enabling services with four Commonwealth Agencies.

The Department of Human Services (DHS) provides PM&C with select business and financial services including; credit card, fleet management, property, travel and select HR services including employee assistance, learning and development services and code of conduct investigations. As at 30 September, PM&C has paid DHS \$4m for shared services this financial year. The total cost for the 2014-15 financial year was \$18.6m.

The Department of Social Services (DSS) provides PM&C with select property services and ICT services including hosting PMC staff on their Unclassified ICT network, and providing grants management services including hosting grants systems FOFMS and GMS. As at 30 September, PM&C has not made any payments to DSS for shared services this financial year. The total cost for the 2014-15 financial year was \$14.6m.

The Department of Employment (Employment) provides PM&C with services associated with the hosting of the grants management system ESS, related systems and associated financial services. As at 30 September, PM&C has not made any payments to Employment for shared services this financial year. The total cost for the 2014-15 financial year was \$0.5m.

The Shared Services Centre (SSC), a partnering initiative established by the Department of Education and Training and the Department of Employment, provides PM&C with services associated with hosting of the grants management system INDIGO and associated financial and ICT services, property services as well as financial viability assessment services. As at 30 September, PM&C has not made any payments to SSC for shared services this financial year. The total cost for the 2014-15 financial year was \$0.4m.

There are no plans to change the existing shared service arrangements with the exception of property management. DHS currently manages PM&C properties for the Regional Network of the Indigenous Affairs Group. These services are transitioning back into PM&C via a contract with Jones Lang Lasalle as this is a more cost effective service delivery option.

4) Please see the answer to Question 3.