

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee
ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE
ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES 2015-16

Finance Portfolio
9 February 2016

Department/Agency: Department of Finance

Outcome/Program: 2/2.3

Topic: The Lodge – project manager contract

Senator: McAllister

Question reference number: F32

Type of question: Hansard Proof, F&PA Committee, Page 101, 9 February 2016

Date set by the committee for the return of answer: Friday, 1 April 2016

Number of pages: 1

Question:

Senator McALLISTER: In this instance was that person an external contractor?

Ms Hall: It was a firm, GHD— Gutteridge, Haskins and Davey. Then we have a couple of staff internal to the department who are contract managers, essentially. They manage those contracts for the delivery of the works.

Senator McALLISTER: Was the nature of the contract with GHD that any of their fee was at risk or associated with performance?

Ms Hall: I would have to take that on notice.

Answer:

The GHD contract fees were based on a fixed fee for approved scope and associated program for the works.

Failure to deliver the scope of services in accordance with the quality and program requirements would trigger 're-performance' clauses under the contract.

Variations for additional works, not in the original contract, were based on the fixed schedule of rates agreed at the time of engagement.