

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
1.	PM&C	Ryan	Analysis of emergency department performance	<p>1. A Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet contract (CN 362888) for “management advisory services” relating to the “analysis of emergency department performance” from the University of Wollongong See https://www.tenders.gov.au/?event=public.cn.view&CNUUID=EE9F4E65-CB78-3B89-E0584F7AD8BD703A</p> <p>2. Which department is being reviewed for its performance?</p> <p>3. Which individual is leading the review of emergency department performance?</p> <p>4. Will the report be released publicly? When will it be released?</p> <p>5. What are the terms of reference?</p>	Written	15/04/11	
2.	PM&C 1	Abetz	PM&C Enterprise agreement – public holiday	<p>Senator ABETZ—That is right, but it does not overcome, with respect, either the department or the minister’s shortfall in not providing an answer and I would ask you to go back and provide an actual answer to the question that was asked.</p> <p>Mr Lewis—We are happy to go back and check this answer, but I doubt if we can come up with anything more definitive. It was a gazetted public holiday.</p>	78 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	
3.	PM&C 1	Abetz	INTANDEM services	<p>Did the Department engage the services of “INTANDEM” to supply</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Public relations services? b. Promotional material in the last 24 months? If so, for each instance <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. What services? ii. Who requested these services? iii. What did they cost? 	Written	15/04/11	

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

4.	PM&C 1	Abetz	Office Furniture	Has Prime Minister and Cabinet purchased or rented any office furniture since 1 January 2009? If so, for each instance a. How much has been spent? b. Broadly what was purchased? c. For which buildings was this furniture?	Written	15/04/11	
5.	PM&C 1	Fifield	Staffing	1. How many staff have left your Department since 24 June 2010? 2. How many staff have started in your Department since 24 June 2010?	Written	15/04/11	
6.	PM&C 1.1.1	Payne	COAG – National Partnership Agreement	Senator PAYNE —... How does COAG allow the review of a national partnership implementation plan to be delayed until six weeks after the end of the reporting period, which means the council does not have an adequate opportunity to review the plans properly and in a timely way? Mr English —I would have to take that particular example on notice. I am not aware of the background of that one, I am sorry. Again, I will see what I can do while we are here today.	83-84 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	
7.	PM&C 1.1.1	Fierravanti-Wells	Health reforms announcement	Senator FIERRAVANTI-WELLS —Minister Evans, given the supposed involvement of Health Minister Roxon, why was Minister Roxon not next to the Prime Minister when this announcement was made? Senator Chris Evans —...I cannot help you with who was at the particular announcement. I saw Minister Roxon on media in the days following the announcement, explaining the decisions and the government's approach. I can take that question on notice if you would like, but I have no idea why, on that particular occasion, Minister Roxon was not at the official post-COAG announcement.	87 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

8.	PM&C 1.1.1	Back	Gascoyne Flood Funding	<p>Senator BACK—I was asking, are you aware that the Premier of Western Australia corresponded with the Prime Minister at the end of December alerting her to the fact that the Gascoyne floods constituted a natural disaster and the Prime Minister acknowledged that in writing to that effect and therefore certain action should be taken under the national disaster recovery scheme?</p> <p>Ms Cross—I would have to actually check the details because there were large numbers of exchanges of correspondence towards the end of December and early January on a range of different natural disasters. I can recall correspondence from the Western Australian Premier but could not go to the detail of that correspondence without checking for you.</p> <p>[...]</p> <p>Senator BACK—... The reason for my question, and that which went to Minister Ludwig last week, was to ask why—the Premier having written that letter and the Prime Minister having responded—the people in the Gascoyne did not then receive the \$1,000 per adult, \$400 per child under the natural disaster recovery scheme, which, of course, is not means tested. I am trying to get to the point of understanding why that funding did not flow.</p> <p>Ms Cross—As I said, I will check the details for you and take that question on notice.</p>	91-92 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	
9.	PM&C 1.1.1	Coonan	National relief and recovery arrangements	<p>Senator COONAN—... What states are in prospect to qualify for Commonwealth disaster relief for state expenditure to restore or repair essential public assets in relation to the recent natural disasters?</p> <p>Ms Cross—The Commonwealth assistance is normally activated by a request from a state or territory government, which is then—</p> <p>Senator COONAN—Which states and territories have made requests?</p> <p>Ms Cross—That is then assessed to see whether it meets the criteria for assistance. Certainly, there have been events in Queensland, New South Wales and Western Australia—from memory, in South Australia as well over this recent period, but in different years there are different requests and there may have been some from Victoria as well. I would have to go and check, but it has been fairly widespread this year.</p>	92 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

10.	PM&C 1.1.1	Ryan	PM's Business Taskforce	<p>Senator RYAN—The question was why was a representative of small business not included? I have the press release in front of me. They may have attended the meeting. Why was a representative of small business not included then in the announcement of the Prime Minister's Business Taskforce?</p> <p>Ms Cross—I will have to take that on notice.</p>	95 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	
11.	PM&C 1.1.1	Cormann	Mining tax proposal to the February COAG meeting	<p>Senator CORMANN—...Has there been at any point in time a suggestion to take the mining tax proposal to the February COAG meeting?</p> <p>Dr de Brouwer—I am not aware of anything like that. I will take it on notice, but I am not aware of that.</p>	95 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	
12.	PM&C 1.1.1	Boyce	Social Inclusion – Department list	<p>Senator BOYCE—Will each department report on social inclusion?</p> <p>Mr Ronalds—Certainly most departments will, any departments that are undertaking activities that are relevant to social inclusion.</p> <p>Senator BOYCE—On notice could you give me the list of the departments that will?</p> <p>Mr Ronalds—I would be very happy to do that.</p> <p>Senator BOYCE—When will they start doing that?</p>	98 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	
13.	PM&C 1.1.1	Boyce	Natural Disaster Relief and Recovery Arrangements - QLD	<p>Senator BOYCE—Did you note that not-for-profit organisations were not on the list of organisations to receive government grants or assistance?</p> <p>Mr Ronalds—In relation to Queensland?</p> <p>Senator BOYCE—Yes, in relation to Queensland.</p> <p>Mr Ronalds—Yes.</p> <p>Senator BOYCE—As a consequence what happened then?</p> <p>Mr Ronalds—It is really a matter of policy in terms of the action that is taken from that perspective. I am not sure—</p> <p>Senator BOYCE—When did you note this?</p> <p>Mr Ronalds—It was known to us very shortly after the formal announcements were made in relation to the first round of NDRRA in relation to Queensland.</p> <p>Senator BOYCE—Are you able to put a date on that?</p> <p>Mr Ronalds—Not offhand.</p> <p>Senator BOYCE—Would you be able to do that on notice?</p>	98 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

14.	PM&C 1.1.1	Trood	Wikileaks – Assange’s illegality	<p>Senator TROOD—Did the taskforce request the AFP to undertake an assessment as to Mr Assange’s illegality?</p> <p>Dr McCarthy—Not that I know. Not that I recall. That is a matter for the Australian Federal Police.</p> <p>Senator TROOD—Can you tell us who it was that asked the AFP to undertake an assessment as to whether or not Mr Assange had been guilty of some offence?</p> <p>Dr McCarthy—I might take that question on notice. I imagine it would have been the Attorney-General.</p>	101 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	
15.	PM&C 1.1.1	Abetz	Tabacco product packaging	<p>I refer to the former Prime Minister’s announcement of 29th April 2010 to introduce legislation to mandate plain packaging of tobacco products. I also refer to his doorstep interview of 29th April where he states “we’re very confident that we can take this action” and “the government will not be paying any compensation to any tobacco company anywhere.</p> <p>1. Has the Prime Minister or the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet received any advice in relation to the Federal Government’s exposure to compensation payments to companies arising out of the introduction of the so called plain packaging proposal?</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">a. Was that external advice?</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">b. Who provided that advice?</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">c. When was that advice received?</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">d. Has Minister Roxon and/or the Prime Minister received or been briefed on that advice?</p> <p style="padding-left: 80px;">i. If so, on what date did this occur?</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">e. Did this advice categorically rule out compensation payments to tobacco companies?</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Please provide a full copy of this advice/s.</p> <p>2. What has the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet budgeted for in relation to potential legal costs arising out of a possible action by tobacco companies suing the Commonwealth Government for compensation? Please provide full copies of budgetary estimates and costs.</p>	Written	15/04/11	
16.	PM&C 1.1.1	Payne	COAG – Next meeting	When will the next COAG meeting be held?	Written	15/04/11	

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

17.	PM&C 1.1.1	Payne	COAG – Indigenous reform working group	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. When will the indigenous reform working group be wound up?2. Which standing councils, select councils, legislative and governance for a, and working groups, under the new COAG structure, will then be responsible for indigenous matters?3. Will there be a central group that will coordinate the work across these councils?	Written	15/04/11	
-----	---------------	-------	---	---	---------	----------	--

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

18.	PM&C 1.1.1	Siewert	Ministerial Council for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Affairs	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Why was the Ministerial Council for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Affairs and dissolved? 2. Can you give a summary of the work up-to –date of the Council? 3. Can you outline which tasks remained incomplete at the time that the Council was dissolved? 4. Which body is now responsible for the completion of each of those tasks? 5. Has this body received additional resources to undertake these tasks? Specifically: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Who is now responsible for the six targets of the National Indigenous reform agreement? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Close the life expectancy gap within a generation • Halve the gap in mortality rates for Indigenous children under five within a decade • Ensure all Indigenous four year olds in remote communities have access to early childhood education within five years • Halve the gap for Indigenous students in reading, writing, numeracy within a decade • Halve the gap for Indigenous students in Year 12 attainment or equivalent attainment rates by 2020 • Halve the gap in employment outcomes between Indigenous and non-Indigenous Australians within a decade. b. Who is now responsible for the associated National Partnership Agreements? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. National Partnership on Closing the Gap in Indigenous Health Outcomes 2. National Partnership on Remote Indigenous Housing 3. National Partnership on Indigenous Early Childhood Development 4. National Partnership on Indigenous Economic Participation 5. National Partnership on Remote Indigenous Public Internet Access 6. National Partnership on Remote Service Delivery 7. National Partnership on Closing the Gap in the Northern Territory 	Written	15/04/11	
-----	---------------	---------	---	---	---------	----------	--

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

19.	PM&C 1.1.1	Boyce	Social Inclusion	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Could you please supply the date of the first letter from Queensland Premier Anna Bligh to the Prime Minister asking for Disaster Recovery assistance for Queensland community and 'not-for-profit' groups adversely affected by flood or cyclone? 2. Can you confirm whether this letter had to be sent back to be reformulated by the Queensland Premier? 3. If so, why was wrong with that letter? 4. How did the second reformulated letter differ from the first one sent by the Queensland Premier? 5. What was the date that second reformulated letter requesting Disaster Recovery assistance for Queensland community and 'not-for-profit' groups was received by the office of the Prime Minister? 6. Did the Social Inclusion Unit provide advice or make suggestions to any sector of the Federal or Queensland Governments when they became aware that not-for-profits were not covered by the initial Qld NDRRA activation? Please provide details of the tenor of the advice/suggestions provided? 7. Social Inclusion is focussed on providing policy solutions for vulnerable and marginalised people. Please advise the definition that you use in regard to “unmet need”? 8. If the Unit uses more than one definition of "Unmet Need" for different policy areas, eg homelessness, unemployment etc, please provide details of the definitions and their focus? 9. Please detail the national and international research background for the definition/s used? 	Written	15/04/11	
20.	PM&C 1.1.4	Abetz	SBE QoN PM15 – drafting responsibility	<p>Senator ABETZ—One would hope that it was done by political staff without departmental staff.</p> <p>Senator Chris Evans—Yes. PM&C have indicated that they were not involved.</p> <p>Senator ABETZ—Do you know what? It would have been so easy if you had told us that—</p> <p>Senator Chris Evans—I will take it formally on notice so that I can check. But the obvious conclusion is that, if PM&C were not involved, it was done in the PMO.</p>	78 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

21.	PM&C 1.1.4	Trood	Wikileaks – Briefing the PM	<p>Senator TROOD—I am trying to determine whether or not there was an individual in the Prime Minister’s department that provided the Prime Minister with advice as to the AFP’s conclusions, or any advice, with regard to the allegation of illegality in relation to Mr Assange. Did Mr Lewis, perhaps, brief the Prime Minister on this subject?</p> <p>Mr Lewis—No. We could take that question on notice. I believe the answer is no, and if we did, in fact, provide a brief, it would have been to convey the AFP’s determination.</p> <p>Senator TROOD—I appreciate the point that you are putting to me. I am interested to know how the information was conveyed to the Prime Minister.</p> <p>Mr Lewis—We will see if we can get a quick answer for you.</p> <p>Dr McCarthy—We would have provided briefs to the Prime Minister that conveyed information about that issue, but I do not have the exact details.</p> <p>Mr Lewis—Perhaps we could take that on notice.</p> <p>Senator TROOD—You do not know what the information was?</p> <p>Dr McCarthy—The AFP made a determination and, as I understand it, published on its own website the conclusions that it drew, so certainly—and I can check; as I said, we can take it on notice—any briefing to the Prime Minister on the conclusion the AFP drew would simply have conveyed to the Prime Minister what the AFP had concluded and itself made public.</p>	102 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	
22.	PM&C 1.1.4	Abetz	Official Photographers	<p>Did the Department engage the services of official photographers this year? If so, for each instance</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. For what reason? b. How many photographs were produced? c. Where did they appear? d. Is it possible to have a copy of any publication in which they appeared? e. What was the cost of this official photography? f. Who requested these services? g. Was this service provided by the Department of Finance? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. If so, why? 	Written	15/04/11	

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

23.	PM&C 1.1.4	Ryan	Government Staffing Committee/ Code of Conduct for ministerial staff	<p>1. Who are the members of the Government Staffing Committee?</p> <p>2. Does it have specific guidelines or remit?</p> <p>3. Is there are Government Staffing Committee Secretariat?</p> <p>4. Have any Ministerial Staff or electorate officers been sanctioned under the Code of Conduct for Ministerial Staff?</p> <p>5. How many times has the government staffing committee met? If so when did they meet?</p>	Written	15/04/11	
24.	PM&C 1.1.4	Trood	National Security Committee of Cabinet	<p>1. How many times has the NSCC met in 2011?</p> <p>2. In response to answers to questions on notice from the Supplementary Budget Estimates 2010 about the NSCC states “the NSC met 22 times in 2008, 26 times in 2009 and to date 18 times in 2010.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o In total, how many times did the NSCC meet in 2010? o What is the breakdown for the number of meetings held per month for the years 2008, 2009, 2010, at 2011 to date? 	Written	15/04/11	
25.	PM&C 1.2	Ronaldson	Indicative cost of PM's visit to America	<p>Senator RONALDSON—...What is the approximate cost of this trip going to be, do you know?</p> <p>Mr Lewis—I have no idea. The cost will obviously be tabled after the visit. The best we could do is give some sort of indication of what previous visits to the United States may have cost. Certainly, I could provide figures on that. We would have to do some research.</p> <p>Senator RONALDSON—So, there is no indicative cost at this stage?</p> <p>Mr Lewis—As I say, we can give you the cost of a previous like visit, but the costs of this visit would not be available until after the accounts were settled.</p>	70 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

26.	PM&C 1.2	Ronaldson	Official Functions – 6 March 2011	<p>Senator RONALDSON—At this stage you do not know whether there are any official functions on the 6th?</p> <p>Mr Lewis—No.</p> <p>Senator RONALDSON—Will you take that on notice?</p> <p>Mr Lewis—Yes, I can take that on notice.</p>	73 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	
27.	PM&C 1.2	Fierravanti-Wells	Official Establishments – House manager advertisement	<p>Senator FIERRAVANTI-WELLS—Was that the normal procedure in the past and who did the interviews for this position? I am happy for the officer to just stay at the table, Mr Terrell.</p> <p>Mr Terrell—If we get into a lot of these details, perhaps. The then first assistant secretary of the Ministerial Support Unit, John Cairns, chaired the panel with one other official from the support unit and I understand perhaps one other person, but I can check that, if you like.</p>	103 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	
28.	PM&C 1.2	Fierravanti-Wells	Official Establishments – House manager advertisement	<p>Senator FIERRAVANTI-WELLS—They do not spell out very much in the advertisement, Mr Lewis. Did we get lots of applicants?</p> <p>...</p> <p>Mr Terrell—We do not have that information; we will have to take that on notice.</p>	104 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

29.	PM&C 1.2	Fierravanti- Wells	Official Establishment s – House manager	<p>Senator FIERRAVANTI-WELLS—Decided to resign; okay. What was the basis of that resignation and when did that person resign?</p> <p>Mr Terrell—I think I might take that on notice, but I do not think we have a reason for that resignation.</p> <p>Senator FIERRAVANTI-WELLS—There must have been a reason if they resigned.</p> <p>Mr Terrell—I am sure that there was but I do not think we have it.</p> <p>Senator FIERRAVANTI-WELLS—Could you take on notice and perhaps if we could have a copy of the letter of resignation? If you want to take out the name, please by all means do so. So, when did this person resign?</p> <p>Mr Lewis—I am not sure, with regard to the letter of resignation and so forth, whether it is proper to be sharing that. That would be a personal matter between the individual and their employer. We will check and see whether it can be released. I do not know; I just could not guarantee it.</p> <p>Senator FIERRAVANTI-WELLS—Perhaps, can I just ask this one? How long had the previous occupant been in the position?</p> <p>Mr Terrell—I do not think we have that information with us; we will have to take that on notice as well.</p> <p>Senator FIERRAVANTI-WELLS—Perhaps you could take on notice how long they had been in the job, because it seems strange that the position was advertised after the last federal election and yet one almost begs the question, if the occupant resigned—there is a natural inference here—I am curious to know why that person has resigned so closely after the federal election. When did Ms Gillard move into The Lodge, by the way?</p> <p>Mr Terrell—I believe it was 26 September 2010.</p> <p>Senator FIERRAVANTI-WELLS—When did this person resign?</p> <p>Mr Terrell—I have not got that information with me. We would have to take that on notice.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Senator FIERRAVANTI-WELLS—In addition to the person that resigned, were there other resignations?</p> <p>Mr Terrell—Not that I am aware of.</p> <p>Senator FIERRAVANTI-WELLS—Could you take that on notice?</p>	104-105 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	
-----	-------------	-----------------------	---	---	----------------------	----------	--

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

30.	PM&C 2.1	Humphries	Resale Royalties Scheme	<p>Senator HUMPHRIES—We will wait with bated breath to see what comes out of that. Can you tell me about progress with the resale royalties scheme? How many qualifying sales have there been under the scheme to date?</p> <p>Mr Eccles—At 31 January there had been 467 qualifying resales of artwork.</p> <p>Senator HUMPHRIES—How many artists have received a royalty? Is it 467?</p> <p>Mr Eccles—I am not sure whether or not there might be some artists that have multiple pieces.</p> <p>Ms Bean—It would be close to that, but it is not necessarily one piece.</p> <p>Senator HUMPHRIES—If you can take that on notice it would be useful.</p>	117-118 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	
31.	PM&C 1.2	Fifield	Official Establishments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Can you give provide us with a full listing of the Prime Minister’s wine cellar at Kiribilli and The Lodge? 2. Can you provide us with a full list of functions held at The Lodge or Kiribilli since 24 June 2010? 3. Can you provide us with the total cost of those functions, broken down by catering, additional staff required, entertainment etc? 4. Can you also provide details of how many guests attended these functions? 5. Can you provide the total cost of the relocation services following former Prime Minister Kevin Rudd moving out of the official residences and Prime Minister Julia Gillard moving in? 6. Have any alterations been made to the official residences since September 2010? Can you provide details of any alterations and their cost? 7. What has been the staff turnover at the official residences since June 2010? 8. Can you calculate the total carbon emissions of the official residences since September 2010? 	Written	15/04/11	
32.	PM&C 1.2	Fifield	Official Gifts	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please see Contract CN301281 - \$35,000 of spending on official gifts. Can you outline what these gifts are, and why they were chosen? 2. And can you provide details of whom these official gifts have been given to so far? 	Written	15/04/11	

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

33.	PM&C 2.1	Humphries	National Cultural Policy	<p>Senator HUMPHRIES—So in the intervening year there has not been any other public consultation about the cultural policy?</p> <p>...</p> <p>Senator HUMPHRIES—What about arts providers or peak arts organisations? Which of those have been consulted?</p> <p>Mr Eccles—I would have to take it on notice, but it has been a topic of discussion with every stakeholder that I have met with. Over the last few months I have met with a large number of them. I would need to take it on notice to provide you with information about each of the organisations that I have met with.</p>	116-117 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	
34.	PM&C 3.1	Ronaldson	FFA Open Tenders	<p>Senator RONALDSON—What were the open tenders?</p> <p>Mr Eccles—I do not have that information with me. I might be able to have that later in the day.</p> <p>Senator RONALDSON—Were there any?</p> <p>Mr Eccles—I do not know. I will need to look into that.</p>	76 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	
35.	PM&C 3.1	Ronaldson	World Cup Bid	<p>Senator RONALDSON—Yes, I know. Minister, will you give an undertaking that the full financials in relation to the government’s \$45.86 million bid will be released publicly?</p> <p>Senator Chris Evans—Of course. That is what estimates is here for. The office has given you what they have so far and at the next estimates, or on notice, they will give you any other information that is available.</p>	77 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	
36.	PM&C 1.4	Trood	Aust. Crisis Co-ord Centre	<p>1. During a Press Conference on 2 February 2011, the Prime Minister, Julia Gillard, made reference on two occasions to the “Australian Crisis Coordination Committee.” Is this a new Committee or was the Prime Minister referring to either the Australian Government Crisis Committee (AGCC) or the National Crisis Committee (NCC)? If so, which committee was she referring to?</p> <p>a. Who are the members of these committees?</p> <p>b. What role and function did they perform during the Queensland and Victorian floods, Cyclone Yasi and the Western Australian bushfires?</p>	Written	15/04/11	

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

37.	Office of the Official Secretary to the Governor-General	Ronaldson	Unit citation of 6RAR	<p>Senator RONALDSON—Do you know whether there would be a large number? Because this was a Queensland based regiment, is it likely there would be more of the unit based in Queensland than there would be in Canberra?</p> <p>Mr Brady—We would hope so.</p> <p>Senator RONALDSON—Mr Brady, would you make inquiries of Defence as to how many people they will fly to Brisbane in relation to the matter?</p>	55 (21/2/11)	14/04/11	
38.	Office of the Official Secretary to the Governor-General	Ronaldson	Honours Secretariat – membership and meetings	<p>Senator RONALDSON—... Can you advise me, or take on notice, the attendance schedules of the board members at the meetings for the last couple of years?</p> <p>Mr Brady—Yes.</p>	58 (21/2/11)	14/04/11	
39.	Office of the Official Secretary to the Governor-General	Ronaldson	Honours Secretariat – types	<p>Mr Brady—My advice is sought when an order is to be cancelled, when a recommendation goes to the Governor-General on whether an order is to be cancelled. It then falls between me and the chairman to discuss that issue.</p> <p>Senator RONALDSON—Those who have been in your position who have received an award after they have left, do you know whether they, in the main, have received an AM or an AO?</p> <p>Mr Brady—I think it has been a mix.</p> <p>Senator RONALDSON—Would you take that on notice for me, please?</p>	63 (21/2/11)	14/04/11	
40.	Office of the Official Secretary to the Governor-General	Ronaldson	Unit citation of 6RAR - invitations	<p>Ms Prendergast—The department liaised directly with 6RAR. There was no involvement from the Governor-General’s office. We simply issued the invitation. There was no discussion or correspondence between the department and Government House.</p> <p>Senator RONALDSON—How many invitations were actually extended?</p> <p>Ms Prendergast—I would have to take that on notice. I do not have that number here.</p>	65 (21/2/11)	14/04/11	

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

41.	Office of the Official Secretary to the Governor-General	Ronaldson	Unit citation of 6RAR - invitations	<p>Senator RONALDSON—Did anyone at Government House see the invitation? Ms Prendergast—I cannot answer for other people. I would have to take that on notice. Senator RONALDSON—Can you take on notice, please, as to whether Government House ticked-off on them? I cannot imagine that you would allow an invitation to be sent out under the Governor-General’s name without your seeing the invitation but if you say you are not sure, can you take that on notice? Can you provide me with a copy of that invitation? Can you provide me with details of every one of those people who were officially invited on behalf of the Governor-General?</p>	66 (21/2/11)	14/04/11	
42.	Office of the Official Secretary to the Governor-General	B. Brown	Ms Karen Kline - Complaint	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Have you investigated a complaint made by Ms Karen Kline on 16 November 2010? 2. Has the investigation found that Ms Kline’s allegation that documents lodged with her 2007 nomination have gone missing is correct? 3. What response has been given to Ms Kline’s request that her complaint be thoroughly investigated and who has given this response? 4. Is it possible that any member of the secretariat wrote or spoke to the 2007 nomination from Ms Kline as part of the Council’s decision making process? 	Written	14/04/11	
43.	Office of the Official Secretary to the Governor-General	B. Brown	Council for the Order of Australia	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What are the criteria the Council for the Order of Australia uses to assess nominees against the desired attributes of demonstrated achievement, a contribution over and above what might be reasonably expected, and voluntary contribution that stands out from others? 2. The membership of the council is heavily weighted towards academics and government representatives, what attempts are made to invite community and NGO representatives to join the council? 3. Are nominations for voluntary work given extra weight over people who are nominated for work in their paid employment? 4. Is there a cap on the number of awards that are allocated in each category? Does the council have this in mind when approving or rejecting nominations? 5. Please provide figures for the past five years of the number of awards issued for contributions to the environmental and disabilities sectors. 	Written	14/04/11	

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

44.	Office of the Official Secretary to the Governor-General	Fifield		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Can you provide a list of the Governor-General's official visits, both within Australia and overseas, since 1 July 2010? 2. Can you provide the total cost of the Governor-General's air travel since 1 July 2010? 3. What was the expenditure encountered by the Governor-General in her travel to the World Cup bid? 4. How many staff travelled with the Governor General to the World Cup bid? What was the associated cost of taking those staff? 5. Did the Governor General host any functions whilst overseas on the World Cup bid tour? If so, what costs were associated with that? 6. Does the Governor General have a budget for gifts? If so, could you please provide a breakdown of any gifts the Governor-General has given in her official capacity, and the cost of those gifts? 7. Can you provide a list of gifts the Governor-General has received while on overseas visits? 8. Could you please detail the expenses in upgrades/building additions/renovations and general house maintenance for the financial year 2009/10 and financial year to date at Government House and Admiralty House? 9. The Governor-General's annual report 2009-2010 states that there were 136 functions held at Government House and 65 events held at Admiralty House. Could you provide a list of each function including the cost each function? 	Written	14/04/11	
45.	OIGIS	Trood	Inquiry in relation to ASIO	<p>Dr Thom—That inquiry has been completed. It was a matter of timeliness and I think there was a matter of administrative oversight, but it has been resolved now; that inquiry has been completed.</p> <p>Senator TROOD—A matter of administrative oversight in ASIO; is that it?</p> <p>Dr Thom—From memory, I think it was both in ASIO and in the department of immigration, but I would have to check that and get back to you.</p>	105 (21/2/11)	28/03/11	

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

46.	OIGIS	Trood	Complaints received this financial year in relation to ASIO	<p>Senator TROOD—When do you regard the matter as having been settled so that you can move on to some other issue or that you can put it to one side?</p> <p>Dr Thom—When we have followed up with ASIO and they have assured us that they are progressing that application.</p> <p>Senator TROOD—So, how many are in that category?</p> <p>Dr Thom—I do not have those figures at the moment. I would have to get back to you on that.</p>	106 (21/2/11)	28/03/11	
47.	OIGIS	Trood	Whistleblowers	<p>1. Pages 194-195 of the Mid-Year Economic and Fiscal Outlook 2010-11 states that the Government will provide the Office of the Inspector General of Intelligence (OIGIS) with additional funds of \$300 000 over three years to authorise OIGIS to undertake investigation, monitoring and reporting functions for public interest disclosures in Commonwealth agencies. The OIGIS will have responsibilities relating to intelligence and security agencies.</p> <p>a. How does OIGIS intend to allocate these funds?</p> <p>b. Will additional staff be employed?</p> <p>c. Have any inquiries begun?</p>	Written	28/03/11	
48.	OIGIS	Trood	Exchange of information with foreign liaisons	<p>1. According to the OIGIS Annual Report 2009-2010 “Mr Carnell was generally satisfied that the internal policy guidance which ASIO developed in 2006 provided a sound basis for the passage and receipt of information, however, the inspections which this office carried out in 2008-09 and 2009-10 revealed that there are some deficiencies in record keeping. In some instances there is a lack of documentary evidence that the considerations articulated in the policy are being given effect.</p> <p>b. What were the deficiencies identified in 2008-09 and 2009-10</p> <p>c. Have the deficiencies identified been rectified?</p> <p>d. Is OIGIS continuing to monitor ASIO’s record keeping in relation to the exchange of information with foreign liaisons?</p> <p>e. What measures are in place to ensure this occurs?</p>	Written	28/03/11	

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

49.	PM&C	Humphries	Replacing the Australia Council	<p>Senator HUMPHRIES—He was quoted as saying there that he was considering a push for a new body to replace the Australia Council. Was there a correction or clarification published in the <i>Age</i> in the subsequent days as the minister read, in his own home town newspaper, that he had been misquoted or misrepresented in some way?</p> <p>Mr Eccles—I do not know.</p> <p>Senator HUMPHRIES—I put it to you that there was not.</p> <p>Senator Arbib—I do not think that is what it said. I am happy to see it, but I do not think that is what it said. I am happy for you to put words in the minister’s mouth, but I certainly will not be agreeing with your interpretation.</p> <p>Senator HUMPHRIES—The words have been placed there by the <i>Age</i> and I do not think the minister has objected to them. Minister Arbib, can you take it on board for the minister to clarify what he meant by the statement that was attributed to him in the <i>Age</i>? It would be useful, rather than going through this at every estimates, if we have a clear statement from the minister about whether he does or does not intend to initiate some process to replace the Australia Council. He was quoted as saying that he was considering a push for a new body to replace the Australia Council in the <i>Age</i>. If that is inaccurate, a clear statement from the minister saying, ‘I have no intention of doing this,’ would be useful. I will table that document again.</p>	119 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	
50.	Australia Council	Humphries	Additional Funds for organisations - NBN	<p>Ms Keele—Yes, we have helped or supported a number of productions to be put through the digital channels. We also have a program called Geek in Residence, which is placing resources in arts organisations to help them develop various digital projects. We have another program called Digital Culture Fund, which actually does fund specific projects. So, those are pilot programs—they are not permanent programs—to help arts organisations to develop not only content but also their infrastructure so that they can participate.</p> <p>Senator HUMPHRIES—Could I ask you to take on notice the tabling of information about the specific additional funds that have been provided to the organisations that you fund that are designed to help them take advantage of opportunities like the NBN?</p>	121-122 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

51.	Australia Council	Humphries	Efficiency Dividend	<p>Could I come to the question of funding? We discussed on the previous occasion the question of how much was being quarantined of the funds that the Australia Council administers from the efficiency dividends. We were told that, I think, 58 per cent of the total funding that the council administers was for major performing arts organisations and was not subject to the dividend; that they were offsetting funds made available so the dividend was not payable on those amounts. I think we also had an answer provided on notice that suggested that the effect of the dividend would be \$800,000 on—</p> <p>Ms Keele—Depending on what the fiduciary assets were.</p> <p>Senator HUMPHRIES—Can you give us any more information, now that the financial year is mostly more than half over, of how that \$800,000 will be delivered? [...]</p> <p>Ms Keele—So, their funding has not been reduced; it just has not had the total amount of indexation that we do get on a net basis after the efficiency dividend.</p> <p>Senator HUMPHRIES—Could you provide us on notice with more information about how that \$800,000 is likely to be divided up, please? Again, I have other questions; I will put those on notice.</p>	121-122 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	
52.	National Gallery of Australia	Humphries	Staff lost by attrition	<p>Dr Radford—Yes. We have long said that, with the successive efficiency dividend and the successive governments, we are going to lose staff by attrition and then have to restructure.</p> <p>Senator HUMPHRIES—More specifically, have you lost staff by attrition this financial year?</p> <p>Dr Radford—Yes.</p> <p>Senator HUMPHRIES—How many staff?</p> <p>Dr Radford—I would have to take that on notice, but something like six so far.</p>	124 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	
53.	National Gallery of Australia	Humphries	Travelling exhibitions	<p>Senator HUMPHRIES—Could you take on notice a list of what travelling exhibitions the gallery has undertaken this financial year?</p> <p>Dr Radford—Yes.</p> <p>Senator HUMPHRIES—If you have any indication of which travelling exhibitions will have to be reconsidered, that would be helpful, but I appreciate that you might not be able to tell us that.</p> <p>Dr Radford—Thank you.</p>	125 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

54.	ASADA	Xenophon	ASADA's protocols to deal with lead athlete's cheating	<p>Senator XENOPHON—... How can ASADA assure the Australian public that its lead athletes, including its highest paid athletes, are not cheating? In other words, what protocols do you have in place in terms of dealing with that? You may want to take it on notice.</p> <p>Ms Andruska—ASADA runs a world-leading process in terms of looking at anti-doping. In particular, we use testing, both as targeted testing and for deterrence, but we combine that with work that we do through our intelligence gathering and also through our results management process. It is a process where one thing feeds into the other and it means that the work that we do is highly targeted in terms of the testing.</p> <p>Senator XENOPHON—You may want to elaborate on notice in relation to the whole issue of the testing.</p>	129 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	
55.	ASADA	Xenophon	Drug test statistics	<p>Senator XENOPHON—Could you provide on notice details of how many tests are undertaken, under what circumstances those tests are undertaken and whether it is under the user-pays or government funded arrangement?</p> <p>Ms Andruska—I can give you those figures now.</p> <p>Senator XENOPHON—Perhaps not. If they are on the record then that is fine. I am happy to get them on notice because I am conscious of time. There is a concern as to whether ASADA is merely providing a service to professional sports rather than being a regulator. In other words, are you satisfied as to the rigour of that testing regime? Is it sufficiently random to appropriately assess the level of doping in sports or to ensure that you are catching the cheats? You give notice of some tests, do you not?</p>	129-130 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	
56.	ASADA	Xenophon	ASADA – Independency and transparency	<p>Senator XENOPHON—An issue raised with me is how ASADA can claim to be providing an independent, robust and transparent anti-doping program when sports have the power to decide what their program looks like. Is that a fair accusation? To what extent can the actual sporting code determine the nature of any testing regime or program?</p> <p>Ms Andruska—I do not believe that is a fair accusation, and I would be happy to give you some more detail about that. I do not know if one of the team want to talk about the issue of the contract and so forth.</p> <p>Senator XENOPHON—You can provide that on notice.</p>	130 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

57.	OOSGG	B. Brown	Luigi Casagrande – withdrawal of honour	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Has the secretariat investigated withdrawing the Order of Australia given to Luigi Casagrande in 2009 following allegations of fraud made against him regarding his educational qualifications? 2. What decision was made and why? 3. Do secretariat staff note in their investigations of nominations whether the person has made donations to political parties? 	Written	14/04/11	
58.	Office of the Arts	Humphries	Voluntary redundancies	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Can the Department/Agency confirm that it is seeking voluntary redundancies from staff? 2. How many positions is the Department/Agency planning to shed through voluntary redundancies? 3. Can the Department/Agency confirm it was offering 2 weeks pay for every year of service? 4. How many expressions of interest has the Department/Agency received from staff? 5. Has the Department/Agency accepted any offers of voluntary redundancy yet? 6. If so, has the Department/Agency met desired targets for redundancies? 7. If not, is the Department/Agency likely to enforce redundancies or rely on natural attrition? 8. What is the current turnover rate within the Department/Agency? 9. What are the reasons given for staff leaving the Department/Agency- can we please have a breakdown i.e. retirement, moving to another job, sacking etc). 	Written	15/04/11	
59.	Screen Australia	Birmingham	Investment Returns	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Can Screen Australia provide a table showing investment and recoupment to date for all screen investment titles over the past five years? 	Written	15/04/11	

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

60.	PM&C	Kroger	World Cup bid - Video	<p>Senator KROGER—Was it tested with focus groups before it was put to air?</p> <p>Mr Eccles—I do not know. That is something that we would need to check with the FFA.</p> <p>Senator KROGER—If you could take that on notice that would be great.</p>	127 (21/2/11)	15/04/11	
61.	PM&C	Ronaldson	World Cup Bid	<p>Senator Arbib—I would like to make a quick correction to something that was said earlier. Senator Ronaldson made a couple of comments and there was also a response from one of the officials regarding the Governor-General on when she received notification and the invitation to present on behalf of the Australian people. My understanding is that she received two weeks notice. We will check on that for you, but one of the officials said it was a couple of days, and that certainly is not correct. I think two weeks was the time frame.</p>	129 (referring to p. 57) (21/2/11)	15/04/11	
62.	PM&C and all agencies.	Abetz	ACTU contracts	<p>Has the Department and/or agencies within the portfolio</p> <p>a. Obtained any</p> <p>i. Goods</p> <p>ii. Services, including consultancy advice from the ACTU or any unions since December 2007</p> <p>b. Paid any monies to the ACTU or any unions for any purpose since December 2007? If so, in each instance, what</p> <p>i. Goods and services have been obtained?</p> <p>ii. Has been the purpose of these payments?</p> <p>iii. What amounts have been paid?</p> <p>iv. To whom have they been paid?</p> <p>v. When were they paid?</p>	Written	13/05/2011	
63.	ONA	Trood	ONA – Budget increase	<p>Senator TROOD—I thought your budget suddenly increased significantly. So, \$27,000; is that right? I was reading it correctly in the first instance. But they have now in 2009-10 risen to \$147,000? This is your area of expertise; is it? What I am interested in obviously is an explanation as to why your legal costs are now so great? They have gone up five-fold or something like that.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Senator TROOD—Would you mind perhaps providing me with a breakdown of the costs and how the \$147,000 is made up?</p>	109 (21/2/11)	10/03/11	12/03/11

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

64.	PM&C and all relevant portfolio agencies	Ronaldson	Merit and Transparency in Senior Public Service Appointments	<p>Referring to the press release titled “New Arrangements for Merit and Transparency in Senior Public Service Appointments” issued on 5 February 2008, and the guidelines thereafter issued: Of the list of agency heads and statutory office holders identified for coverage under the protocols:</p> <p>1. On what terms is each of the agency heads and statutory office holders employed?</p> <p>a. This answer should include the office holder’s name, date of first appointment to that position, date of expiry of term(s), date of reappointment(s), date of expiry of existing tenure and any special legislative requirements which may be attached to this position.</p>	Written	15/04/11	
-----	--	-----------	--	--	---------	----------	--

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

64.				<p>2. Where a new appointment has been made since 5 February 2008:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. On what dates and in which publications were the position advertised? b. How many candidates applied? c. How many were interviewed? d. Was the candidate originally selected by the ‘merit and openness’ process for each position subsequently appointed by the Minister? e. For what period of time was the appointment made? <p>3. For each of the positions covered by the Merit and Transparency process, how many and which ones are positions appointed by the Minister upon the recommendation of a Board</p> <p>4. What additional criteria apply to each of the positions covered by the Merit and Transparency process?</p> <p>5. In how many appointments did the Minister seek to make an appointment that was not recommended by the Secretary in the Merit and Transparency process?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. In each case, on what date did the Minister write to the Prime Minister seeking approval? b. On what date did the Prime Minister respond? c. In each case, did the Prime Minister support the Minister’s recommendation? d. Can a copy of all relevant correspondence be provided to the committee? <p>6. How many and which positions have other legislative provisions which relate to an appointment over and above this process?</p> <p>7. How many and which appointments have been made for less than five years?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Where an appointment has been made for less than five years, how long has it been made for? b. In each case, on what date was this request made to the Minister? c. In each case, on what date did the Minister and/or the Prime Minister and/or Cabinet approve the request? d. On how many and in which cases was the request not approved? <p>8. How many and which of the positions identified in the list are also positions under the <i>Public Service Act</i>?</p>			
-----	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

65.	APSC 1.1	Cash	Paid Parental Leave	What is the total Commonwealth liability for paid parental leave under existing APS EBAs?	Written (Transferred from FaHCSIA 10/3/2011)	15/04/11	
66.	APSC 1.1	Cash	Paid Parental Leave	What will be the likely Commonwealth liability for APS employees claiming the government's statutory paid parental leave scheme?	Written (Transferred from FaHCSIA 10/3/2011)	14/04/11	
67.	PM&C	Fierravanti- Wells	COAG	Previous evidence at Estimates and in answers to questions on notice outline the meetings that were had between PM&C and other Commonwealth and state and territory officials in the lead up to the COAG agreement of 19 and 20 April 2010. Please provide details of all meetings undertaken by PM&C and other Commonwealth and state and territories officials in the lead up to the COAG agreement of 13 February 2011 including: a) The date of each meeting? b) The place of each meeting? c) The attendees of each meeting?	Written (Transferred from DoHA 11/3/2011)	15/04/11	

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

68.		Fierravanti-Wells	COAG Health matters	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Further to questions asked at the Estimates hearing on 21 February 2011, can you explain: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. the process behind how this new agreement (Health Reform Mark II) came about? b. what was PM&C's role in the preparation of Health Reform Mark II? c. at what time/date was the decision made to overhaul Health Reform Mark I? d. what was wrong with Health Reform Mark I? Why did it need to be scrapped? 2. Further to questions asked at Estimates on 21 February 2011, can you advise: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. if another Minister or another department decided to overhaul Health Reform Mark I, when was PM&C first made aware that a new agreement was being considered? b. Who advised the Department that Health Reform Mark II was being considered? 3. If the Prime Minister or PM&C decided to overhaul Health Reform Mark I, when the Prime Minister or PM&C first made other Ministers and their department aware that Health Reform Mark II was being considered? 4. If the decision to overhaul Health Reform Mark I was not made by the Prime Minister or PM&C, please advise: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. when the Prime Minister or PM&C were first made that Health Reform Mark II was being considered? b. When was PM&C first asked to provide advice? 5. Were proper Cabinet processes followed, including: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. were lodgement deadlines adhered to (the Cabinet Handbook requires that there should be sufficient time for consultation – at least a few days) or was it a last minute decision to bring forward this new health deal? b. When did the matter go to Cabinet? c. Did the Prime Minister or Minister Roxon bring this matter to Cabinet or was it a joint submission? 6. What role did PM&C have in relation to costing of Health Reform Mark II 7. Please provide details of what costings advice, feedback or changes were received or made by the Prime Minister or PM&C in relation to Health Reform Mark II 8. If the Prime Minister or PM& C sought costings advice in relation to Health Reform Mark II, please advise: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The nature of the advice sought? b. When was the information sought? 	Written	15/04/11	
-----	--	-------------------	---------------------	--	---------	----------	--

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

68. cont.				<p>c. Of whom the advice was sought?</p> <p>d. When the advice was provided?</p> <p>9. If the Prime Minister or PM& C did not seek costings advice, please advise:</p> <p>a. When any advice in relations to costings was provided to the Prime Minister or PM&C?</p> <p>b. Whether the Prime Minister or PM&C provided any feedback or made any changes to those costings? If so, please provide details.</p> <p>c. The nature of the feedback provided or changes made?</p> <p>d. When was the information sought?</p> <p>e. Of whom the advice was sought?</p> <p>f. When the advice was provided?</p> <p>g. How long were you given to cost the new agreement?</p> <p>h. Was there agreement on the costs?</p> <p>10. Labor's Health Reform Mark II only relates to 'growth', not existing hospital costs: The Commonwealth will fund up to 45% of the growth in hospital costs in 2014-15 and up to 50% in 2017-18. This is estimated to cost \$16.4 billion. All of the promised increase in Commonwealth funding is beyond the forward estimates. Accordingly, please advise:</p> <p>a. Where is the money coming from?</p> <p>b. How was the figure of \$16.4 billion arrived at?</p> <p>c. What assumptions have been used?</p> <p>d. Can you provide a copy of all of your costing documents, including supporting documents?</p> <p>e. How accurate are costings for items beyond the forward estimates?</p> <p>f. What assurance can be given as to the accuracy of the health costings?</p> <p>g. What guarantees do the taxpayers of Australia have that the costings will not change?</p> <p>11. In relation to the estimated cost of \$16.4 billion, how will be funded?</p> <p>12. Given that the government can commit to the spending now, please provide a list of offsetting savings? If not, please advise if the proposal will be funded from general revenue.</p> <p>13. What was PM&C's role in Health Reform Mark II?</p> <p>14. Has the Department analysed the differences between the two entities – the National Funding Authority (dumped in June 2010) and the new National Funding Pool.</p> <p>15. Since 21 August 2011, please outline the role that PM&C has had in relation to Health Reform Mark II announced on 13 February 2011?</p>			
--------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

68. cont.				<p>16. Previous evidence at Estimates and in answers to questions on notice outline the meetings that were had between PM&C and other Commonwealth and State and Territory officials in the lead up to Health Reform Mark I announced 19 and 20 April 2010. Please provide details of all meetings undertaken by PM&C and other Commonwealth and State and Territories officials including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The date of each meeting; b. The place of each meeting c. The attendees at each meeting <p>17. Why was the National Funding Authority dumped?</p> <p>18. What are the parameters of the National Funding Pool?</p> <p>19. Who was responsible for the decision to introduce the national funding pool? In relation to this, please specify:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Was this at the suggestion of the Prime Minister or PM&C or another Minister or Department? b. When was the decision made? c. If the decision was made by the Prime Minister or PM&C, when were other Ministers and their departments advised? d. Which ministers and departments were advised? e. When were they advised? <p>20. What is the difference between the dumped National Funding Authority and the National Funding Pool? Please outline the parameters of each including as to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Management structures b. Responsibilities c. Accountability d. Funding e. Reporting framework f. Commonwealth legislative requirements for their establishment of each body g. State and Territory legislative requirements for their establishment of each body h. Commonwealth and state and territory "accounts" <p>21. Was the Prime Minister or PM&C responsible for the drafting of the document entitled Heads of Agreement – National Health Reform?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. If so, was the document drafted in the Prime Minister's office? b. If not, which Minister or Department was responsible? 			
--------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

68. cont				<p>22. What role does PM&C have in relation to the Health Expenditure Working Group?</p> <p>23. Almost \$12.7 million was spent on promoting the former health plan. TV commercials claimed Mr Rudd's "health reform" was "delivering the most significant improvement to our health system since the introduction of Medicare". Yet the Prime Minister said that no deal had been reached. Can you explain why taxpayer's money was used in an advertising campaign that the Prime Minister said was incorrect?</p> <p>24. Is this a good use of taxpayer's money?</p> <p>25. Will an advertising campaign be undertaken in relation to the proposal announced on 13 February 2011?</p> <p>26. What steps will be taken to ensure that any future advertising campaign of the new health deal will be factually correct?</p> <p>27. How did this factually incorrect advertising campaign come about?</p> <p>28. Who is responsible for the factually incorrect advertising campaign (of Health Reform Mark I)?</p> <p>29. Please explain how advertising campaigns are approved. Who bears ultimate responsibility?</p> <p>30. Will the approval for advertising campaigns be changed? Please explain.</p> <p>31. What other government advertising campaigns are also factually incorrect? If they say there are none: how can the Department be certain that there is none?</p>			
-------------	--	--	--	---	--	--	--

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

69.		Fierravanti-Wells	Official and Ceremonial Support – official residences	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Further to questions at Estimates on 21 February 2011, who was on the membership of an interview panel for the position of house manager of the Lodge besides the first assistant secretary of the Ministerial Support Unit, John Cairns. I was told another official and perhaps one other person. Could you supply the names of the official and the other person? 2. In relation to the position of house manager, please advise : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. How many applicants were there? b. What was the reason for the previous holder of the position to resign? c. Provide a copy of the letter of resignation (with the name removed, if appropriate)? d. How long had the previous applicant been in the position? e. When did he/she resign? 3. In relation to the position of chef, please advise : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. How many applicants were there? b. What was the reason for the previous holder of the position to resign? c. Provide a copy of the letter of resignation (with the name removed, if appropriate)? d. How long had the previous applicant been in the position? e. When did he/she resign? f. Has the position of chef been filled? g. Details of the advertising process, including a copy of the advertisement for the position h. The process undertaken to fill the position 4. Does either the house manager or the chef have any previous employment connection to the occupants of the Lodge? 5. Could you supply details of the staffing arrangements at the Lodge and Kirribilli House, including hours of work, duties and how these vary depending on whether the houses are occupied by the Prime Minister? 6. For example, what were the staffing arrangements at both houses for the week of 24 October – 31 October 2010? 7. What were the staffing arrangements at both houses for the week of 7 November – 14 November 2010? 8. What provide a breakdown of the current housekeeping expenses of running each house? 9. Do staff work overtime if there are private functions at either residence? 	Written	15/04/11	
-----	--	-------------------	---	--	---------	----------	--

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

69. cont.				<p>10. Since November 2007, what functions have been held at both The Lodge and Kirribilli including :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. whether the function was official or private b. A list of attendees at each function, noting that this has been provided in the past in answers to estimates questions c. In relation to any private function, any reimbursement for costs/expenses, if any, made by the Prime Minister <p>11. Can you provide staff rosters for each calendar year since 2006?</p> <p>12. According to media reports a “men’s shed” has been installed in the grounds of Kirribilli House. Can you provide details including :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. the type of shed b. its cost c. the cost of installation d. the contents and their cost? e. At whose instigation was the shed installed f. When was it installed g. Who uses it <p>13. What security is necessary for the shed?</p> <p>14. If the shed was donated, who donated it?</p> <p>15. Does the shed have electricity and water and if so, what was the cost of connecting these services? Is it a permanent structure?</p> <p>16. Further to evidence at previous estimates about the chicken coop an vegetable patch at The Lodge, please advise :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. were they removed after the former prime minister moved out b. what was the cost of such removal c. if any cost, who paid for it 			
70.	PM&C	Fierravanti-Wells	Health Reform – Cabinet process	<p>Were proper Cabinet process followed, including:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Were lodgement deadlines adhered to (the Cabinet Handbook requires that there should be sufficient time for consultation - at least a few days) or was it a last minute decision to bring forward this new health deal? b) When did the matter go to Cabinet? c) Did Minister Roxon bring this matter to Cabinet or was it a joint submission? 	Written (Transferred from DoHA 18/3/2011)	15/04/11	

FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES – 21-22 FEBRUARY 2011

PRIME MINISTER AND CABINET PORTFOLIO

71.	OAIC	Heffernan	Aviation security	<p>I refer to the 2010-11 Budget that provides \$28.5 million to help the industry introduce a range of new technologies at passenger screening points, including the latest body scanners. I understand that from early 2011 body scanners will be introduced progressively at screening points servicing international departure passengers.</p> <p>1) What are the views of the Office of the Privacy Commissioner?</p>	Written (Transferred from Dept of Infrastructure and Transport 17/3/2011)	11/04/11	
-----	------	-----------	-------------------	--	--	----------	--