



Senator Jane Hume
Chair
Senate Economics Legislation Committee
Parliament House
Canberra ACT 2600

Dear Senator Hume

On reviewing the Proof Hansard of the testimony given by the Anti-Dumping Commission at the Senate Estimates hearing on 20 October 2016, I found that the Committee might benefit from a correction and clarification of a particular word used in answer to a question (see page 102 of the Hansard).

In response to a question from Senator Xenophon about the anti-dumping investigation in to certain crystalline silicon photovoltaic modules or panels exported from the People's Republic of China, I stated that the Anti-Dumping Review Panel had asked me to *re-investigate* certain aspects of the case that they believed justified re-investigation. The correct terminology is that I was asked by the Anti-Dumping Review Panel to *resume* the investigation. A re-investigation and a resumed investigation are defined as different matters under the *Customs Act 1901*.

I have included my statement below for your reference:

And you were correct to say that the Anti-Dumping Review Panel asked me to go back and re-investigate certain aspects that they believed justified re-investigation.

I apologise for any inconvenience caused and ask that you alert other members of the Committee to this correction.

Yours sincerely

Dale Seymour
Commissioner
Anti-Dumping Commission
28 November 2016