

Senate Economics Legislation Committee

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Treasury Portfolio

Supplementary Budget Estimates

2015 - 2016

Department/Agency: ATO

Question:

Topic: SBT 1935-1936 - Report printing

Reference: Written – 30 October 2015

Senator: Wong, Penny

Question:

Question: Since 1 January 2015:

1935. How many documents (include the amount of copies) have been printed?

a) How many of these printed documents were also published online?

1936. Has the Department/Agency used external printing services for any print jobs?

a) If so, what companies were used?

b) How were they selected?

c) What was the total cost of this printing by item?

Answer:

1935. From 1 January to 30 October 2015, 50,459,335 individual items were printed at a cost of \$1,916,884.66 (GST inclusive).

Of these items the main products were:

Description	Value (GST inclusive)	Quantity
NAT 3092 TFN Declaration form	\$307,147	4,500,000
JS34393 Tax Receipt 2015	\$85,006	6,400,000
JS34835 Tax time 2015 newsagent postcard	\$27,412	1,300,000
NAT 2541 Individual Tax Return Form	\$93,743	1,500,000

a. During the same period, the forms and publications were also available online.

Senate Economics Legislation Committee

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Treasury Portfolio

Supplementary Budget Estimates

2015 - 2016

1936. All products were printed using external suppliers. These suppliers are members of the DHS Production services multi-use list.

a. From 1 January to 30 October 2015, twenty vendors were contracted. The vendors were:

Vendor
Independent Print Media Group Pty Ltd t/a Craft Imprint
National Mailing & Marketing Pty Ltd
New Millennium Print Pty Ltd
Paper Australia Pty Ltd
Paragon Printers Pty Ltd
TMA Australia Pty Ltd
Print Media Group
The Camerons Group
Union Offset Co. Pty Ltd
Three Green Pty Ltd
Saunders Pty Ltd
Prominent Pty Ltd
Print Works Pty Ltd
Minute Man Pty Ltd
LC Digital Pty Ltd
Fineline (NSW) Pty Ltd
Fergis Pty Ltd
Exhibition Centre Pty Ltd
Excell Printing Pty Ltd
Candida Pty Ltd

b. Each contract allocated under the panel arrangement was issued following a restricted quote process, whereby only members of the panel were entitled to quote. The selection of the successful vendor was determined on best value for money.

c. Refer to SBT 1935.