

Economics Legislation Committee
ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE
Industry, Innovation and Science Portfolio
2015-16 Supplementary Budget Estimates Hearing
22 October 2015

DEPARTMENT: DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRY, INNOVATION AND SCIENCE

TOPIC: Australian steel makers - duties

REFERENCE: Written Question – Senator Carr

QUESTION No.: SI-103

In relation to the Anti-Dumping Commission and applications received from Australian steel makers for duties or other action since 2010:

- a. How many of these applications from Australian Steel makers were successful?
- b. Of those that were upheld, what was the outcome in terms of duties imposed? What was the highest and lowest duty imposed?
- c. How long did these applications take to determine - what was the shortest amount of time and what was the longest?
- d. Are you aware of similar applications in terms of products and countries of origin in other countries – such as the US and Canada – having imposed significantly higher duties than those imposed in Australia? Did they have more successful applications as well?

ANSWER

- a. There have been 54 anti-dumping and countervailing investigations initiated for steel products since 2010: 46 are completed and eight are on-going. Dumping and/or countervailing measures have been imposed following 35 of the 46 completed steel investigations.
- b. Of the 35 anti-dumping measures imposed on steel products, the effective rates of duty imposed on overseas exporters range from 2.2 per cent to 100.8 per cent.
- c. The time taken to complete investigations in relation to steel products ranged from 157 days to 605 days.
- d. See answers to SI-37 and SI-44.