

Senate Economics Legislation Committee
ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE
Treasury Portfolio
Budget Estimates 2014
3 June to 5 June 2014

Department/ Agency: Clean Energy Finance Corporation

Question: 2219

Topic: Departmental Rebranding

Reference: Written 12 June 2014

Senator: Ludwig

Question:

- 2219 Has the department/Agency undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since Additional Estimates in February, 2014? If so:
- a. Please detail why this name change / rebrand were considered necessary and a justified use of departmental funds?
 - i. Please provide a copy of any reports that were commissioned to study the benefits and costs associated with the rebranding.
 - b. Please provide the total cost associated with this rebrand and then break down by amount spent replacing:
 - i. Signage.
 - ii. Stationery (please include details of existing stationery and how it was disposed of).
 - iii. Logos
 - iv. Consultancy
 - v. Any relevant IT changes.
 - vi. Office reconfiguration.
 - c. How was the decision reached to rename and/or rebrand the department?
 - i. Who was involved in reaching this decision? ii. Please provide a copy of any communication (including but not limited to emails, letters, memos, notes etc) from within the department, or between the department and the government regarding the rename/rebranding.

Answer:

The answer to the honourable Senator's question is as follows:

2219 No.

- a. None were considered necessary and no funds were used.
 - i. Not applicable.
- b. There was no rebrand and no funds were used.
 - i-vi. Not applicable.
- c. There was no rebrand.
 - i. No-one – see the answer to c. above.
 - ii. Not applicable.