

Senate Economics Legislation Committee
ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE
Treasury Portfolio
Budget Estimates 2014
3 June to 5 June 2014

Department/ Agency: APRA

Question: BET 1721

Topic: Department rebranding

Reference: Written – 12 June 2014

Senator: Ludwig

Question

1721. Has the department/Agency undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since Additional Estimates in February, 2014*? If so:
- a) Please detail why this name change / rebrand were considered necessary and a justified use of departmental funds?
 - i. Please provide a copy of any reports that were commissioned to study the benefits and costs associated with the rebranding.
 - b) Please provide the total cost associated with this rebrand and then break down by amount spent replacing:
 - i. Signage.
 - ii. Stationery (please include details of existing stationery and how it was disposed of).
 - iii. Logos
 - iv. Consultancy
 - v. Any relevant IT changes.
 - vi. Office reconfiguration.
 - c) How was the decision reached to rename and/or rebrand the department?
 - i. Who was involved in reaching this decision? ii. Please provide a copy of any communication (including but not limited to emails, letters, memos, notes etc) from within the department, or between the department and the government regarding the rename/rebranding.

* period 26 February to 12 June 2014

Answer:

1721. The Australian Prudential Regulation Authority has not undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding within the period 26 February to 12 June 2014.
- a) – c) Not applicable.