# **Senate Standing Committee on Environment and Communications**

### **Answers to Senate Estimates Questions on Notice**

### **Budget Estimates Hearings May 2014**

### **Communications Portfolio**

#### **Australia Post**

**Question No: 235** 

Program No: Australia Post Hansard Ref: In Writing

### **Topic: Departmental Rebranding**

## Senator Ludwig asked:

Has the Department/Agency undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since 25 February 2014?

- 1. If so please detail why this name change / rebrand were considered necessary and a justified use of departmental funds?
- 2. Please provide a copy of any reports that were commissioned to study the benefits and costs associated with the rebranding.
- 3. Please provide the total cost associated with this rebrand and then break down by amount spent replacing:
  - a. Signage.
  - b. Stationery (please include details of existing stationery and how it was disposed of).
  - c. Logos
  - d. Consultancy
  - e. Any relevant IT changes.
  - f. Office reconfiguration.
- 4. How was the decision reached to rename and/or rebrand the Department/Agency?
- 5. Who was involved in reaching this decision? ii. Please provide a copy of any communication (including but not limited to emails, letters, memos, notes etc) from within the Department/Agency, or between the Department/Agency and the Government regarding the rename/rebranding.

#### **Answer:**

1-5. Australia Post has not undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since 1 February 2014.