

Senate Standing Committee on Environment and Communications
Answers to Senate Estimates Questions on Notice
Budget Estimates Hearings May 2014
Communications Portfolio
Australian Communications and Media Authority

Question No: 157

Program No. Australian Communications and Media Authority (ACMA)

Hansard Ref: In Writing

Topic: Departmental Rebranding

Senator Ludwig asked:

Has the Department/Agency undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since 25 February 2014?

1. If so please detail why this name change / rebrand were considered necessary and a justified use of departmental funds?
2. Please provide a copy of any reports that were commissioned to study the benefits and costs associated with the rebranding.
3. Please provide the total cost associated with this rebrand and then break down by amount spent replacing:
 - a. Signage.
 - b. Stationery (please include details of existing stationery and how it was disposed of).
 - c. Logos
 - d. Consultancy
 - e. Any relevant IT changes.
 - f. Office reconfiguration.
4. How was the decision reached to rename and/or rebrand the Department/Agency?
5. Who was involved in reaching this decision? ii. Please provide a copy of any communication (including but not limited to emails, letters, memos, notes etc) from within the Department/Agency, or between the Department/Agency and the Government regarding the rename/rebranding.

Answer:

No. The agency has not undergone a name change or any other form of rebranding since 25 February 2014.

1. Not applicable.
2. Not applicable.
3. Not applicable.
4. Not applicable.
5. Not applicable.