Senate Standing Committee on Environment and Communications

Answers to Senate Estimates Questions on Notice

Additional Estimates Hearings February 2014

Communications Portfolio

Australian Broadcasting Corporation

Question No: 227

Program No. Australian Broadcasting Corporation (ABC)

Hansard Ref: In Writing

Topic: Reviews

Senator Ludwig asked:

- 1. Since the Supplementary Budget Estimates in November 2013, how many new reviews (defined as review, inter-departmental group, inquiry, internal review or similar activity) have been commenced? Please list them including:
 - a. the date they were ordered
 - b. the date they commenced
 - c. the minister responsible
 - d. the Department responsible
 - e. the nature of the review
 - f. their terms of reference
 - g. the scope of the review
 - h. Whom is conducting the review
 - i. the number of officers, and their classification level, involved in conducting the review
 - j. the expected report date
 - k. the budgeted, projected or expected costs
 - 1. If the report will be tabled in parliament or made public
- 2. For any review commenced or ordered since the Supplementary Budget Estimates in November 2013, have any external people, companies or contractors being engaged to assist or conduct the review?
 - a. If so, please list them, including their name and/or trading name/s and any known alias or other trading names
 - b. If so, please list their managing director and the board of directors or equivalent
 - c. If yes, for each is the cost associated with their involvement, including a break down for each cost item
 - d. If yes, for each, what is the nature of their involvement
 - e. If yes, for each, are they on the lobbyist register, provide details.
 - f. If yes, for each, what contact has the Minister or their office had with them
 - g. If yes, for each, who selected them
 - h. If yes, for each, did the minister or their office have any involvement in selecting them,
 - i. If yes, please detail what involvement it was
 - ii. If yes, did they see or provided input to a short list
 - iii. If yes, on what dates did this involvement occur
 - iv. If yes, did this involve any verbal discussions with the Department
 - v. If yes, on what dates did this involvement occur
- 3. Since the Supplementary Budget Estimates in November 2013, what reviews are on-going?
 - a. Please list them.
 - b. What is the current cost to date expended on the reviews?

Senate Standing Committee on Environment and Communications

Answers to Senate Estimates Questions on Notice

Additional Estimates Hearings February 2014

Communications Portfolio

Australian Broadcasting Corporation

- 4. Since the Supplementary Budget Estimates in November 2013, have any reviews been stopped, paused or ceased? Please list them.
- 5. Since the Supplementary Budget Estimates in November 2013, what reviews have concluded? Please list them.
- 6. Since the Supplementary Budget Estimates in November 2013, how many reviews have been provided to Government? Please list them and the date they were provided.
- 7. When will the Government be responding to the respective reviews that have been completed?
- 8. What reviews are planned?
 - a. When will each planned review be commenced?
 - b. When will each of these reviews be concluded?
 - c. When will government respond to each review?
 - d. Will the government release each review?
 - i. If so, when?
 - ii. If not, why not?

Answer

1. The ABC has commissioned three reviews since November 2013.

	Online and Mobile Strategy	Editorial review – Asylum	Editorial review – Election
		Seekers	Coverage
a)	The review was ordered on	The review was ordered in	The review was ordered in
	12 November 2013.	January 2014.	October 2013.
b)	See above at a).	See above at a).	See above at a).
c)	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.
d)	The review was commissioned	The review was	The review was
	by the ABC.	commissioned by the ABC.	commissioned by the ABC.
e)	To review the organisational	To conduct an independent	To conduct an independent
	approach to delivering the	review into the ABC's	review into the ABC's
	ABC's online and mobile	editorial performance. The	editorial performance. The
	strategic objectives.	review focussed on the	review focused on the
		breadth and impartiality of	impartiality of ABC Radio
		asylum seeker coverage on	Interviews with the Prime
		ABC TV News and Current	Minister and Leader of the
		Affairs programs 7.30 and	Opposition during the 2013
		Lateline.	Election Campaign.
f)	See above at e).	See above at e).	See above at e).
g)	See above at e).	See above at e).	See above at e).
h)	LEK Consulting.	Gerald Stone Productions	A Wills (Andrea Wills).
		Pty Ltd.	
i)	See above at h).	See above at h).	See above at h).
j)	February 2014.	March 2014.	March 2014.
k)	Total expenditure was \$76,290	Total expenditure was	Total expenditure was
	(ex GST), which is inclusive of	\$30,000 (ex GST).	\$17,241 (ex GST).
	\$1,290 in expenses.		
1)	No.	Yes.	Yes.

Senate Standing Committee on Environment and Communications

Answers to Senate Estimates Questions on Notice

Additional Estimates Hearings February 2014

Communications Portfolio

Australian Broadcasting Corporation

2.

	Online and Mobile	Editorial review – Asylum	Editorial review – Election
	Strategy	Seekers	Coverage
a)	See above at 1.	See above at 1.	See above at 1.
b)	Simon Barrett is a Partner	Gerald Stone is an	Andrea Wills is an
	and the Regional Head of	independent editorial	independent editorial
	Asia at LEK Consulting	consultant.	consultant.
c)	See above at 1k).	See above at 1k).	See above at 1k).
d)	LEK Consulting are	Gerald Stone completed the	Andrea Wills completed the
	completing the review on	review on behalf of the	review on behalf of the
	behalf of the ABC.	ABC.	ABC.
e)	No.	No.	No.
f)	None.	None.	None.
g)	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.
h)	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.

3.

- a. In October 2013, the ABC commenced a Red Tape Review to identify processes and practices across the ABC that are unnecessarily bureaucratic or represent a duplication of activities. This review is ongoing. The aim of the review is to recommend and implement more streamlined processes and/or automation where possible.
- b. This is an internal review and existing ABC resources have been allocated to complete the project.
- 4. No reviews have been paused or ceased since the Supplementary Budget Estimates.
- 5. See above at 1 and 2.
- 6. Not applicable.
- 7. Not applicable.
- 8. The ABC regularly reviews its operational requirements as part of its annual budget allocation process, with a mind to operating efficiently.