### Senate Community Affairs Committee

## ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

# HEALTH PORTFOLIO

### Budget Estimates 2014-2015, 2/3 June 2014

Ref No: SQ14-000999

**OUTCOME**: 1 - Population Health

Topic: AIHW Contracts Information

Type of Question: Hansard Page 76, 3 June 2014

Senator: McLucas, Jan

#### **Question:**

If you were to split those 150 funding contracts into two buckets, contracts from the federal government or contracts from state or territory governments, what would the split look like?

#### Answer:

| Table: Contracts for AIHW fee-for-service activities, 2013–14 <sup>a</sup> |  |
|--|--|
|  | Proportion<br>of value of<br>contracts |
| Australian Government <sup>b</sup>   | 72.8                                   |
| Australian, state and territory governments jointly                        | 6.6                                    |
| State and/or territory governments   | 15.4                                   |
| Sub-total  | 94.8                                   |
| Other sources  | 5.2                                    |
| Total  | 100.0                                  |

Notes:

a. In this context, 'contracts' include arrangements that are not legally binding entered into under

memorandums of understanding and other agreements between the AIHW and government agencies.b. Figures for the Australian Government exclude income received in the context of multi-party agreements to fund project work. All this excluded income appears in the figures for Australian, state and territory government departments.

The proportion of value of contracted activity sourced from the Australian Government and from state and territory governments is complicated by activities that are jointly-funded. However, jointly-funded activities are often 50:50 funded between the Australian Government and the state and territory governments. Also, some funding for contracted activity comes from non-government sources, further complicating the picture.