

## **Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**

### **ADDITIONAL ESTIMATES - 27 FEBRUARY 2014 ANSWER TO QUESTION ON NOTICE**

#### **Department of Human Services**

**Topic:** Vulnerable Welfare Recipient Income Management

**Question reference number:** HS 173

**Senator:** Xenophon

**Type of question:** Written

**Date set by the committee for the return of answer:** 24 April 2014

**Number of pages:** 1

#### **Question:**

How many Income Management clients from each of the following LGAs are on Vulnerable Welfare Payment Recipient Income Management primarily because of: I) the 'financial hardship' trigger; II) the 'financial exploitation' trigger; III) the 'homelessness/risk of homelessness' trigger; or IV) the 'lack of reasonable self-care' trigger? (If individual figures are unavailable, please provide the total figures.)

- a) Playford
- b) Salisbury
- c) Port Adelaide Enfield
- d) Marion
- e) Noarlunga
- f) Onkaparinga

#### **Answer:**

As at 27 December 2013, there were less than 20 customers on Vulnerable Welfare Payment Recipient Income Management as a result of a Social Worker recommendation and all resided in the Playford LGA.

It is not possible to provide a further breakdown by reason because the total and disaggregated numbers are less than 20. Values less than 20, including zero, are not identified for privacy reasons.