

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee

ADDITIONAL BUDGET ESTIMATES - 14 FEBRUARY 2013 ANSWER TO QUESTION ON NOTICE

Human Services Portfolio

Topic: Staff Separations 2012–13

Question reference number: HS 29

Senator: Boyce

Type of question: Written

Date set by the committee for the return of answer: 5 April 2013

Number of pages: 2

Question:

- How many ongoing staff left the department/agency this financial year to date? What classification were these staff?
- How many non-ongoing staff left department/agency this financial year to date? What classification were these staff?
- How many contract staff left department/agency in the year this financial year to date? What classification were these staff?

Answer:

- The total number of ongoing staff that left the Department of Human Services for the financial year to date (1 July 2012 to 31 January 2013) by substantive classification is outlined in Table A below.

Table A: Ongoing staff that left the Department of Human Services 1 July 2012 to 31 January 2013	
Substantive Classification	Ongoing staff that left
Other (Trainees / Graduates / Cadets)	8
APS1	5
APS2	15
APS3	211
APS4	519
APS5	184
APS6	254
Sub Total (APS)	1,196
Executive Level 1	130
Executive Level 2	73
Sub Total (EL)	203
SES	13
Total ongoing staff (including SES) headcount of employees that have left in 2012-13	1,412

- b) The total number of non-ongoing staff that left the Department of Human Services for the financial year to date (1 July 2012 to 31 January 2013) by substantive classification is outlined in Table B below.

Table B: Non-ongoing staff that left the Department of Human Services 1 July 2012 to 31 January 2013	
Substantive Classification	Non-Ongoing staff that left
Other (Trainees / Graduates / Cadets)	8
APS1	57
APS2	154
APS3	466
APS4	57
APS5	39
APS6	47
Sub Total (APS)	828
Executive Level 1	15
Executive Level 2	8
Sub Total (EL)	23
SES	0
Total non-ongoing staff (including SES) headcount of employees that have left in 2012-13	851

- c) To isolate data on contract staff departures would require an unreasonable diversion of resources.