

**Community Affairs  
Legislation Committee**

**Examination of Budget Estimates 2001-2002**

**Additional Information Received**

**VOLUME 3**

**Outcomes 1, 3, 6, 9**

**HEALTH AND AGEING PORTFOLIO**

**MAY 2002**



Note: Where published reports, etc. have been provided in response to questions, they have not been included in the Additional Information volume in order to conserve resources. The title page of each report has been included in this document for reference purposes.

## **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RELATING TO THE EXAMINATION OF BUDGET EXPENDITURE FOR 2001-2002**

Included in this volume are answers to all questions for Outcomes 3 and 6, Q50 (Outcome 1) and Q90 (Outcome 9) which relate to the Budget hearings on 28 and 29 May 2001 which were not provided in time to be included in Additional Information Volume 2, tabled in August 2001

### **HEALTH AND AGEING PORTFOLIO [formerly Health and Aged Care]**

<b>Senator</b>	<b>Quest. No.</b>	<b>Outcome 1: Population Health and Safety</b>	<b>Vol. 3 Page No.</b>
Faulkner	50	National illicit drugs campaign – parent booklet	1
<b>Outcome 3: Enhanced Quality of Life for Older Australians</b>			
Evans	104	Serious risk reports	2
Evans	105, 19, 20	Review audits	3-5
Evans	106	Special interest group places	6
Evans	107	Extra service places	7-8
Evans	108	Medium term objectives for regional equity	9
Evans	109	Residential places	10-11
Evans	110	Transferred facilities	12-15
Evans	1, 111	Aged care forward estimates	16-17
Evans	112-13	Two year review; Oxley	18-19
Evans	114	Key personnel in aged care	20
Evans	13	Research on attitudes to elderly Australians in terms of aged care	21
Tchen	135, 12	Residential classification scale	22-23
Knowles	136	Capital funding for rural homes	24
Evans	2	Allocations	25
Allison	6	Residential care capital grants	26
Evans	137	Focus groups undertaken with employees and nurses in aged care	27
Evans	138	Reports on workforce	28
Evans	3	Operational beds	29
Evans	7	Offline beds	30
Crossin	139	Community aged care packages in Northern Territory	31
Evans	5	Cost of beds - Northern Territory	32
Evans	8	1999 aged care approvals round	33
Gibbs	9	Allocation of places by planning region (Qld)	34-35
Evans	10	St James Terrace aged care service	36
Evans	4	People with disabilities in residential care	37
Tchen	11	Ethnic clusters in aged care homes	38
Evans	14	Expenditure figures on respite	39
West	15	Proposed expenditure on Carelink communications and media strategy	40

<b>Senator</b>	<b>Quest. No.</b>	<b>Outcome 6: Hearing Services</b>	<b>Vol. 3 Page No.</b>
Evans	16	Average costs per voucher in the Commonwealth hearing services program	41
Evans	18	Top-up devices	42
Evans	17	Community service obligations	43
Evans	142	Australian Hearing Services market	44
Evans	81	Dividends	45
		<b>Outcome 9: Health Investment</b>	
West	90	Discretionary grants, ad hoc grants, one-off grants	46-67

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE  
HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO  
Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000050  
Supplementary Answer

OUTCOME 1: POPULATION HEALTH AND SAFETY

Topic: NATIONAL ILLICIT DRUGS CAMPAIGN – PARENT BOOKLET

Hansard Page: CA 82 - 83

Senator Faulkner asked:

Would [you] be able to share the results of [the comprehensive] evaluation [of the campaign when it becomes available in August] with the committee.

Answer:

Yes. The results of the evaluation can be found at:

[http://www.health.gov.au/pubhlth/publicat/document/reports/nidc\\_eval\\_1.pdf](http://www.health.gov.au/pubhlth/publicat/document/reports/nidc_eval_1.pdf)

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE  
HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO  
Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000104

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: SERIOUS RISK REPORTS

Written Question on Notice

Senator Evans asked:

- (a) How many serious risk reports under the Aged Care Act were submitted to the Secretary of the Department by the Aged Care Standards Agency? Separately indicate the total for each State by month from July 1999.
- (b) Did any of these serious risk reports arise other than from a review audit report, if so (a) how many; and (b) under what circumstances.

Answer:

- (a) 100.
- (b) Yes. From site audits, support contacts and assessments.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE  
HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO  
Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000105

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: Review Audit

Written Question on Notice

Senator Evans asked:

The Accreditation Grant Principles (3.21) list the reasons why a review audit may be carried out after 1 January 2001, indicate how many have been carried out to date for each reason.

Answer:

77 review audits have been carried out between 1 January and 31 July 2001.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE  
HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO  
Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000019

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: REVIEW AUDITS

Hansard Page: CA 73

Senator Evans asked:

- (a) How many review audits were conducted of unaccredited services in Queensland, in 2000?
- (b) Reason they have not been published and whether that is the case only for Queensland?
- (c) Whether review audits of unaccredited services in other States were published and if not why not?

Answer:

- (a) 7.
- (b) Only those for Queensland were not published. The 7 reports from Queensland were not published due to an oversight.
- (c) All review audits of unaccredited services were published, except for Queensland.



Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE  
HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO  
Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000020

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: REVIEW AUDITS

Hansard Page: CA 74

Senator Evans asked:

How many review audit reports that were required to be published under the statute have not been published? If some were not published, why not, and will those reports be made available?

Answer:

See answer to Question on Notice E01000019.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO

Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000106

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: SPECIAL INTEREST GROUP PLACES

Written Question on Notice

Senator Evans asked:

- (a) Why in the previous hearing (February 2001) was the Department unable to provide the numbers of currently operational special interest group places in each planning region? How does the Department allocate and plan for the supply of new places if it does not know how many are currently operating?
- (b) If this is now available can it be provided, separately indicating the number of places allocated to each NESB group and whether they are high or low care?

Answer:

and (b) The Department does not collect data on the operational status of aged care places by special needs groups. In accordance with the Aged Care Act 1997, the Department sets conditions of allocation for each aged care place which specify who can access the place when operational.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE  
HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO  
Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000107

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: EXTRA SERVICE PLACES

Written Question on Notice

Senator Evans asked:

Can the Department indicate how many extra service places are currently operating in each planning region, specifying high and low care?

Answer:

Yes, as outlined in following table. Not all regions have operational Extra Service Places.

Operational Extra Service Places x region x high or low care as at 19 February 2002:

<b>State</b>	<b>Planning Region</b>	<b>High Care</b>	<b>Low Care</b>	<b>Total</b>	
<b>New South Wales</b>	Northern Sydney	321	15	336	
	South East Sydney	259	Nil	259	
	Central Coast	120	14	134	
	Southern Highlands	25	Nil	25	
	Inner West	12	Nil	12	
	Orana/Far West	10	Nil	10	
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>747</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>776</b>	
<b>Victoria</b>	Barwon – South-Western	150	Nil	150	
	Northern Metropolitan	100	Nil	99	
	Eastern Metropolitan	427	Nil	376	
	Southern Metropolitan	424	93	517	
	Loddon Mallee	21	Nil	21	
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1122</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>1215</b>	
<b>Queensland</b>	Brisbane North	215	10	225	
	Brisbane South	126	60	186	
	**** Cabool	Nil	48	48	
	Sunshine Coast/Cooloola	Nil	56	56	
	South Coast (Gold Coast)	179	114	293	
	Darling Downs	19	Nil	19	
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>539</b>	<b>288</b>	<b>827</b>	
	<b>South Australia</b>	Metropolitan East	74	Nil	74
		Metropolitan North	53	Nil	53
		Metropolitan South	145	19	164
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>272</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>291</b>	
<b>Western Australia</b>	Metropolitan North	48	Nil	48	
	Metropolitan South West	45	50	95	
	Metropolitan East	32	Nil	32	
	Mid West	14	Nil	14	
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>189</b>	
<b>Tasmania</b>	South Region	4	Nil	4	
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>Nil</b>	<b>4</b>	
<b>ACT</b>	ACT	26	Nil	26	
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>Nil</b>	<b>26</b>	
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>		<b>2849</b>	<b>479</b>	<b>3328</b>	

\*\*\*\* These 48 places will become operational on 25 February 2002

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO

Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000108

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: MEDIUM TERM OBJECTIVES FOR REGIONAL EQUITY

Written Question on Notice

Senator Evans asked:

The Department agreed with the Audit Office recommendation No 5 in its report on aged care planning that the Department should advertise its medium term objectives for regional equity. What objectives have been published to date? Can copies of all of these be provided?

Answer:

The medium term objective for regional equity is to achieve a ratio of 100 places for every 1,000 people over 70 years in each Commonwealth Aged Care Planning Region.

The Department publicly allocates places annually, in order to meet its medium term objectives for regional equity.

Under the restructuring component of the Aged Care Approvals Round, places are targeted to rural services to restructure in order to achieve economies of scale.

The ratios for each Aged Care Region are published annually in the Department of Health and Ageing Annual Report.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE  
HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO  
Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000109

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: RESIDENTIAL PLACES

Written question on notice

Senator Evans asked:

Can the Department indicate how many residential places are currently closed due to refurbishment or relocation, ie. places that were operating but have been closed? Indicate how many closed places are in each planning region, separately identifying the number of high and low care places.

Answer:

The table at Attachment A shows the number of residential aged care places temporarily not operational (by State) due to Restructuring, Variations and Upgrading of facilities.

## Residential Aged Care Places Temporarily Offline due to Restructuring, Variations and Upgrading of Homes

As at 31 December 2001

State	• High care	Low care	Total
<b>NSW</b>	1,344	180	<b>1,524</b>
<b>VIC</b>	670	473	<b>1,143</b>
<b>QLD</b>	63	-	<b>63</b>
<b>WA</b>	95	39	<b>134</b>
<b>SA</b>	114	31	<b>145</b>
<b>TAS</b>	-	-	-
<b>ACT</b>	-	-	-
<b>NT</b>	-	-	-
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2,286</b>	<b>723</b>	<b>3,009</b>

**Note:** Table only includes places that were operational but are now temporary closed  
Provisional Allocations are not included

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO

Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000110

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: TRANSFERRED FACILITIES

Written Question on Notice

Senator Evans asked:

Can the department list the facilities that have closed since June 1999 where there was a transfer, indicating the postcode of the facility, the number of beds, whether they were high care or low care and to what postcode the beds were transferred to. For example, facility A – postcode – number – type – transferred to postcode.

Answer:

Details of all residential aged care facilities that have closed since June 1999 through to June 2001 are at Attachment A, including the postcode, number and type of places affected, and the new postcode to which any places were transferred.



<b>Postcode</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>New Postcode</b>
7008	2	Low care	7018
7008	6	Low care	7015
7000	27	High care	7015
6003	10	Low care	6148
6519	12	Low care	6519 (MPS)
6076	10	Low care	6148
6053	10	Low care	Not yet relocated
6160	22	Low care	To be finalised
6064	28	Low care	To be finalised
6367	6	Low care	6367 (MPS)
6058	11	Low care	6058
6151	13	High care	6148
6169	12	Low care	6169
6304	14	Low care	6304 (MPS)
6603	6	Low care	6603 (MPS)
6100	51	High care	6065
5082	38	High care	To be finalised
5223	24	Low care	5223 (MPS)
5022	25	High care	5211
5067	25	High care	5244
5022	68	High care	5162
5067	25	High care	5245
5000	20	Low care	5000
5461	10	Low care	5641
5602	10	Low care	5602
5640	16	Low care	5640
5223	16	Low care	5223
5007	47	High care	5162
5067	20	High care	5067
5045	20	High care	5098
5045	20	High care	5162
4120	108	High care	4165
4017	24	High care	4510
4031	5	Low care	4215
4221	25	High care	To be finalised
4169	20	Low care	4179
3156	22	Low care	3150
3197	60	High care	To be finalised
3549	14	High care	3549 (MPS)
3183	30	High care	3940
3011	45	High care	To be finalised
3943	20	High care	3931
3172	15	High care	3196
3175	10	High care	3931
3039	30	Low care	To be finalised
3143	15	High care	3429
3143	15	High care	3429
3185	30	High care	3199
3350	65	High care	To be finalised
3163	65	Low care	To be finalised
3173	29	Low care	3140
3186	6	Low care	3123

<b>Postcode</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>New Postcode</b>
3350	10	Low care	3086
3182	22	Low care	3629
3183	15	High care	3146
3183	5	High care	3181
3136	40	High care	3137
3143	15	High care	3429
3143	15	High care	3150
3104	30	High care	3038
3182	20	High care	3194
3175	10	High care	3931
3175	15	High care	3196
3175	9	High Care	3196
3943	20	High care	3931
3943	10	High care	3806
3103	4	Low care	3068
3103	6	Low care	3101
3564	3	Low care	3123
3280	3	Low care	3216
3013	25	Low care	3150
3079	20	High care	3081
3350	2	Low care	3350
3124	36	High care	3931
3204	30	High care	3109
3162	30	Low care	To be finalised
3101	10	Low care	To be finalised
3156	22	Low care	3150
3214	28	Low care	3204
3079	30	High care	3204
3931	30	Low care	3931
3186	30	High care	3186
2782	23	High care	To be finalised
3039	15	High care	3204
3039	10	High care	3500
3039	5	High care	3452
3011	45	High care	3038
3174	20	Low care	3173
2480	2	Low care	2460
2069	1	High care	2151
2069	23	High care	2211
2069	1	High care	2481
2193	40	High care	2126
2204	63	High care	2250
2132	21	High care	2031
2154	120	High care	2154
2154	53	High care	2154
2230	34	High care	2232
2034	91	High care	2234
2135	12	Low care	2147
2136	15	Low care	2259
2340	30	High care	2340
2780	4	High care	2753
2480	14	Low care	2480
2130	40	High care	2119

<b>Postcode</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>New Postcode</b>
2112	1	High care	2119
2112	2	High care	2151
2122	31	High care	2753
2140	39	High care	2250
2650	9	Low care	2650
2650	15	High care	2782
2650	8	High care	2780
2533	7	Low care	2330
2533	4	Low care	2533
2096	25	High care	2088
2096	60	High care	2153
2096	2	High care	2224
2096	6	High care	To be finalised
2088	60	High care	2088
2088	18	High care	2756
2192	35	High care	2085
2192	16	High care	2071
2325	66	High care	2325
2905	8	High care	2257
2095	35	High care	2085
2142	10	Low care	To be finalised
2095	49	High care	2760
2134	28	High care	2145
2049	45	High care	2259
2290	48	High care	2304
2031	50	High care	2038
2088	55	High care	2088
2034	80	High care	2038
2110	14	Low care	2122
2594	65	High care	2594
2120	32	High care	2261
2120	28	High care	2158
2132	28	Low care	2154
2219	7	High care	2151
2219	1	High care	2444
2219	11	High care	2224
2077	19	Low care	2154
2219	5	High care	To be finalised

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE  
HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO  
Budget 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000001

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: AGED CARE FORWARD ESTIMATES

Hansard Page: CA 11

Senator Evans asked:

What are your [residential aged care] estimates for client numbers over the next few years?

Answer:

Estimates of total client numbers are not kept.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO

Budget 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000111

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: AGED CARE FORWARD ESTIMATES

Written Question on Notice

Senator Evans asked:

Can the Department indicate what its assumptions are in relation to the increase in aged care bed numbers in the years 2001-02, 2002-03, 2003-04 and 2004-05, in terms of the budgeted increases in residential care subsidies for those years? That is, what assumptions are built into the forward estimates in relation to new beds beginning to operate?

Answer:

Under the Aged Care Act enacted by Parliament, 2 years is considered a reasonable time to bring those allocated places into operation. However, Parliament also recognised that exceptional circumstances can reasonably extend this period.

Some 2,816 places that have been allocated have not been brought online within two years.

Minister Andrews has asked the Department to ensure that each and every one of these places is fully investigated.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE  
HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO  
Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000112

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF CARE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: TWO YEAR REVIEW

Written Question on Notice

Senator Evans asked:

Can a copy of the analysis prepared by the Department for the Two Year Review, in relation to capital funding, be provided.

Answer:

The analysis provided by the Department is described in the Two Year Review (pp147-148; 153-155) and in the *Report on the Operation of the Aged Care Act 1997. 1 July 1999 - 30 June 2000* (pp25-28), which was tabled in Parliament.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE  
HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO  
Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000113

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: TWO YEAR REVIEW - OXLEY

Written Question on Notice

Senator Evans asked:

- (a) Can a copy of any report or findings by Oxley for the Two Year Review, in relation to the viability of aged care facilities, be provided.
  
- (b) Can the Department confirm that it received a copy of this analysis

Answer:

- (a and b) See answer to Question E01000112.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO

Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000114

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: KEY PERSONNEL

Written Question on Notice

Senator Evans asked:

- (a) To date how many people have been disqualified from working as key personnel in aged care under the provisions of 10A?
- (b) Indicate the reason why each of the individuals was disqualified, eg bankrupt, unsound mind, indictable offence.

Answer:

- (a) As of June 2001, the Department had been notified of four (4) people who have been removed from a key personnel role on the grounds that they are disqualified individuals.
- (b) The reasons for the disqualification of these four individuals are:
  - Bankrupt: 2
  - Indictable offence conviction: 2



Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee

ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO

Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000013

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: RESEARCH ON THE ATTITUDES OF ELDERLY AUSTRALIANS, IN TERMS OF AGED CARE

Hansard Page: CA 14

Senator Evans asked:

- (a) Do you do anything in the way of research on the attitudes of elderly Australians, in terms of aged care, like the market testing which happens in a lot of other areas of health?
- (b) Do you do anything that goes not to the providers but to the potential client group?

Answer:

- (a) and (b) Yes.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO

Budget 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000135

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: RESIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION SCALE

Hansard Page: CA 17

Senator Tchen asked:

I just wonder whether you can perhaps explain your [residential aged care] model in a bit more detail.

Answer:

The Residential Aged Care subsidies are appropriated through the *Aged Care Act 1997*. This is a standing appropriation that provides funding as required, based on a methodology agreed with the Department of Finance and Administration. This methodology is known as 'the model'.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE  
HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO  
Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000012

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: RESIDENT CLASSIFICATION SCALE

Hansard Page: CA 31

Senator Evans asked:

What is the average per downgrades? (On a facility basis or a home basis?) Yes, or a client basis.

Answer:

The average annual subsidy reduction per resident reviewed in the financial year 2000/2001 was \$2238.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee

ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO

Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000136

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: CAPITAL FUNDING FOR RURAL HOMES

Hansard Page: CA 24

Senator Knowles asked:

Provide the increase in the last funding round over previous capital funding for country services.

Answer:

In the Aged Care Approvals Rounds in the past three years, capital funding of \$58.8 million has been allocated to homes in rural, regional and remote areas. The amounts allocated and the percentage of total grant funds in each year were:

1998	\$15.6 million	80%
1999	\$14.6 million	68%
2000	\$28.6 million	74%

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE  
HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO  
Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000002

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: ALLOCATIONS

Hansard Page: CA 25-26

Senator Evans asked:

What are the assumptions for how many beds are going to come online in the out years?  
There was a big allocation last year. What are your assumptions for when they will come on line?

Answer:

Under the *Aged Care Act 1997*, providers have two years in which to make beds operational. This timeframe may only be extended if the extension is deemed justified.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO

Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000006

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: RESIDENTIAL CARE CAPITAL GRANTS

Hansard Page: CA 27

Senator Allison asked:

Could you estimate how much of it has gone to dementia specific work?

Answer:

Between 1998 and 2000 Aged Care Approvals Rounds, 17% of the funds allocated, was for projects that involved the provision of better care for people with dementia.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE  
HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO  
Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000137

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: FOCUS GROUPS UNDERTAKEN WITH EMPLOYEES AND NURSES IN AGED CARE

Hansard Page: CA 36

Senator Evans asked:

Maybe you could take on notice the name of the report, whether you received a report and the date, for us?

Answer:

“The *Quality of Working Life for Nurses*”. Report received by Department in June 2001.

<http://www.health.gov.au/acc/workforce/nurses.htm>

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE  
HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO  
Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000138

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: REPORTS ON WORKFORCE

Hansard Page: CA 36

Senator Evans asked:

Whether the reports of the OHS study and the Nurse Returners study will be released?

Answer:

Minister Andrews has approved the release of the report, "Recruitment and Retention of Nurses in Residential Aged Care", which was presented to the Commonwealth in late 2001.

<http://www.health.gov.au/acc/workforce/recruit.htm>



Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO

Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E0100003

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: OPERATIONAL BEDS

Hansard Page: CA 41-42

Senator Evans asked:

Confirmation of the number of operational beds for June 1999, June 2000, January 2001 (and an explanation if possible of the increase of 2,000 in the last figure).

Answer:

• DATE	• TOTAL OPERATIONAL PLACES
June 1999	155,422
June 2000	160,368
January 2001	161,270

Note: The above figures include MPS and ATSI flexible care places. Other published figures cover operational mainstream places.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE  
HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO  
Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000007

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: OFFLINE BEDS

Hansard Page: CA 43

Senator Evans asked:

Do you have a projection as to how many of those beds you expect to have online by a certain time?

Answer:

As a result of some beds being temporarily offline due to sales, transfers and restructuring projected figures would be unreliable.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO

Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000139

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: Community Aged Care Packages in the Northern Territory

Hansard Page: CA 46

Senator Patricia Crossin asked:

What was that allocation for 1998?

Answer:

In the 1998 Aged Care Approvals Round twenty-five (25) Community Aged Care Packages and three \$50,000 Community Aged Care Establishment Grants were allocated to seven providers in the Northern Territory.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE  
HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO  
Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000005

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: NORTHERN TERRITORY - COST OF BEDS

Hansard Page: CA 48

Senator Evans asked:

- (a) What is your estimation of the cost of building a bed in the Northern Territory as compared to other parts of Australia?
- (b) Does the Department accept that the capital costs might be higher in the Northern Territory?

Answer:

- (a and b) The Department does not independently estimate relative building costs of aged care beds.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE  
HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO  
Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000008

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: 1999 AGED CARE APPROVALS ROUND

Hansard Page: CA 51

Senator Evans asked:

What is your best estimate of the 1999 round and how many beds we can expect to have online by the end of that period?

Answer:

Of the places allocated in the 1999 Aged Care Approvals Round, 101 residential high care places and 734 residential low care places were operational by November 2001, the end of the two year provisional allocation period.

The 4,275 Community Aged Care Packages allocated in the 1999 Aged Care Approvals Round are all operational.

Of the total 7,221 new aged care places allocated in the 1999 Aged Care Approvals Round 71 per cent were operational by November 2001.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE  
HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO  
Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000009

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: ALLOCATION OF PLACES BY PLANNING REGION (QLD)

Hansard Page: CA 52

Senator Gibbs asked:

What is the breakdown by planning region of the figures for how many beds were allocated to Queensland in recent funding rounds?

Answer:

The breakdown by planning region of the figures for how many beds were allocated to Queensland in the 1999 and 2000 funding rounds is at Attachment A.

Region	2000 Aged Care Approvals Round				1999 Aged Care Approvals Round				
	Residential High Care	Residential Low Care	CACP	Total Places	Residential High Care	Residential Low Care	CACP	Total Places	TOTAL 1999/2000
Brisbane North	-	40	135	175	-	-	94	94	<b>269</b>
Brisbane South	2	63	195	260	4	75	150	229	<b>489</b>
Cabool	-	55	40	95	-	60	45	105	<b>200</b>
Central West	-	-	20	20	-	-	5	5	<b>25</b>
Darling Downs	-	37	54	91	-	30	60	90	<b>181</b>
Far North	-	-	51	51	-	18	55	73	<b>124</b>
Fitzroy	40	-	26	66	-	-	-	-	<b>66</b>
Logan River Valley	-	-	45	45	-	30	15	45	<b>90</b>
Mackay	-	-	25	25	-	-	20	20	<b>45</b>
North West	-	-	17	17	-	-	13	13	<b>30</b>
Northern	-	-	60	60	-	-	55	55	<b>115</b>
South Coast	-	77	57	134	56	50	50	156	<b>290</b>
South West	-	20	32	52	-	-	6	6	<b>58</b>
Sunshine Coast	-	70	95	165	-	-	75	75	<b>240</b>
West Moreton	-	-	20	20	-	-	30	30	<b>50</b>
Wide Bay	-	56	45	101	-	40	25	65	<b>166</b>
<b>Totals</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>418</b>	<b>917</b>	<b>1,377</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>303</b>	<b>698</b>	<b>1,061</b>	<b>2,438</b>

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE  
HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO  
Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000010

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: ST JAMES TERRACE

Hansard Page: CA 54

Senator Evans asked:

- (a) What round did they get their licences in?
- (b) Whereabouts in Queensland have they just started operating?

Answer:

- (a) Sir James Terrace was allocated 40 residential low care places in the 1997 Aged Care Approvals Round. The service was opened on 4 September 2000.
- (b) The Sir James Terrace aged care service is currently operating at 11 Stamp Street, Deception Bay, Queensland, 4508.



Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE  
HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO  
Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000004

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: DISABLED PEOPLE IN RESIDENTIAL CARE

Hansard Page: CA 62

Senator Evans asked:

Breakdown the 1,120 figure for younger disabled people under fifty years of age in residential care by State and high or low care classification.

Answer:

There were 875 residents in high care and 244 residents in low care.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE  
HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO  
Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000011

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: ETHNIC CLUSTERS IN AGED CARE HOMES?

Hansard page: CA63

Senator Tchen asked:

Is there a geographic concentration of these clusters?

Answer:

The highest concentration of ethnic clusters are located in Sydney and Melbourne.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE  
HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO  
Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000014

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: EXPENDITURE FIGURES ON RESPITE

Hansard Page: CA 67

Senator Evans asked:

Where do the carer respite centres purchase respite from? Could you give us a breakdown of where they purchase it from?

Answer:

The types of respite care services available for purchase by carer respite centres vary from state to state and region to region. In broad terms, however, respite is largely purchased from providers of overnight respite accommodation, in-home respite services and community respite care.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO

Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000015

OUTCOME 3: ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OLDER AUSTRALIANS

Topic: PROPOSED EXPENDITURE ON CARELINK COMMUNICATIONS AND MEDIA STRATEGY

Hansard Page: CA 70

Senator WEST asked:

- (a) Provide a breakdown of how it is going to be spent.
- (b) Who is going to be doing the launches at the local level?

Answer:

- (a) Break-down of Expenditure

(1) Establishment and Branding Plan	\$568,000
(2) Communication Strategy	\$962,000

- (b) Regional launches

Launches were undertaken by Members and Senators and community representatives.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee

ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO

Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E0100016

OUTCOME 6: HEARING SERVICES

Topic: AVERAGE COSTS PER VOUCHER IN THE COMMONWEALTH HEARING SERVICES PROGRAM

Hansard Page: CA 199

Senator Evans asked:

Can you give the figures for the average cost per voucher for both new and return vouchers from 1998 onwards and estimates for next year?

Answer:

The average costs per voucher were:

Year	New voucher (average) (\$)	Return voucher (average) (\$)	Total voucher (average) (\$)
1998-99	781	517	646
1999-00	887	607	750
2000-01	862	560	762

The increase in average rate in 1999-00 is due to a higher proportion of clients proceeding to a fitting after receiving an assessment, while the increase in 2000-01 is due to the introduction of parity pricing for devices fitted by Australian Hearing Services. This is partially offset by a decline in the fitting rate from the rate in 1999-00. It is not anticipated that the average rate will change significantly from the 2000-01 figure in 2001-02.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE  
HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO  
Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000018

OUTCOME 6: HEARING SERVICES

Topic: TOP-UP DEVICES

Hansard Page: CA 209

Senator Evans asked:

Can you give the figures for top-ups for 1997-98 onwards?

Answer:

The number of top-ups in each year were 2,981 in 1997-98, 9,118 in 1998-99, 11,179 in 1999-00 and 14,463 to June in 2000-01.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee

ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO

Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000017

OUTCOME 6: HEARING SERVICES

Topic: COMMUNITY SERVICE OBLIGATIONS

Hansard Page: CA 199-201

Senator Evans asked:

- (a) What indexation factor for the appropriation has been used?
- (b) Can you confirm if it is 1.5 per cent?
- (c) Explain the net result in the Budget and out years of money brought forward this financial year to purchase cochlear implant speech processing units?
- (d) Can you provide the number of clients who received services under vouchers and CSOs for 1999-2000 and, also what the year to date figures are for this financial year?

Answer:

- (a) The indexation factor used is Wage Cost Index 1.
- (b) The actual index for 2001-02 is 2.2 per cent.
- (c) The net change at Additional Estimates to the Community Services Obligation component of the appropriation is outlined at page 77 of the Portfolio Additional Estimates Statement, including the impact of the bring forward for cochlear implant speech processing units. There were further minor price parameter adjustments in the Budget.
- (d) The number of clients who received a voucher service (excluding maintenance) in 1999-2000 was 106,458 while the number of CSO clients was 48,528. The number in 2000-01 to date for vouchers is 99,841 while the number of CSO clients to the end of the March quarter was 38,235. However, since all voucher claims for services provided in both periods have yet to be submitted by hearing service providers the voucher numbers will be incomplete and are subject to ongoing revision. The 2000-01 figure is particularly affected by this consideration.

Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee  
ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE  
HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO  
Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000142

OUTCOME 6: HEARING SERVICES

Topic: AUSTRALIAN HEARING SERVICES

Hansard Page: CA 202

Senator Evans asked:

How much of the market do they (*AHS*) currently have?

Answer:

This information is Commercial-in-Confidence.



ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO

Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000081

OUTCOME 6: HEARING SERVICES

Topic: AUSTRALIAN HEARING SERVICES

Hansard Page: CA 206-207

Senator Evans asked:

Can you confirm for me that in the four outyears the figure stays at around the \$6 million mark, or does it rise to \$10 million?

How do you assess what they will be paying you, and what they are paying you for?

What is the rationale behind these dividend payments?

Have you ever received a dividend from Australian Hearing Services in either previous years?

Answer:

The figure rises to \$6.550 million in the outyears.

- (b) Australian Hearing Services (AHS) is a statutory authority under the *Australian Hearing Services Act 1991*. Until AHS becomes a Government Business Enterprise in the form of a company limited by shares, Ministers agreed in 1998 that AHS is to mirror the *Governance Arrangements for Commonwealth Government Business Enterprises (GBEs) 1997* to enable appropriate oversight of AHS's business and financial arrangements.

The value of dividends is determined in accordance with Government policy as provided through the *Governance Arrangements for Commonwealth Government Business Enterprises (GBEs) 1997*.

- (c) Government policy, generally, provides for Commonwealth entities subject to the *GBE Governance Arrangements* to pay dividends and income tax to ensure that they do not enjoy any commercial advantage over their competitors by virtue of their Commonwealth ownership.
- (d) No.

ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

HEALTH AND AGED CARE PORTFOLIO

Budget Estimates 2001-2002, 28/29 May 2001

Question: E01000090

OUTCOME 9: HEALTH INVESTMENT

Topic: DISCRETIONARY GRANTS, AD HOC GRANTS, ONE-OFF GRANTS

Hansard Page: CA 228

Senator West asked:

- (a) That is the problem I am having with this department because there are grants that go each year to the states for the running of the private hospitals and the public hospitals system, and they are embedded in legislation. I am interested more in discretionary grants, ad hoc grants, one-off grants.

I wanted to know how much has been allocated to the various programs in this financial year. How much money was left in those programs as of 1 January and what disbursements have been in those programs from then until now?

- (b) What is the timetable for the application and assessment process for the current financial year and for the next financial year?

Answer:

In response to Senator West's question the following information has been provided:

The attached Table sets out discretionary, ad hoc and one-off grants for 2000-2001. It covers details of funding allocations for 2000-2001 financial year, payments / commitments as at 1 January and 30 June 2001. Details, where applicable, of the grant application and assessment process, including timing, are also outlined.

In relation to discretionary grants, those outlined in the Table are in addition to those discretionary grants noted in the Department's 1999-2000 Annual Report at page 460.

Funding provided through national agreements and contracts, such as the funding agreement between the Commonwealth and the Royal Flying Doctors Service (Rural Health - Outcome 5) has been excluded.

Hearing Services – Outcome 6 and Private Health Insurance – Outcome 8 do not have any relevant grant programs.

**Outcome 1 - Population Health and Safety**

TITLE OF GRANT PROGRAM	PURPOSE AND DETAILS OF GRANT	APPLICATION AND ASSESSMENT TIMELINE		TOTAL FUNDING AVAILABLE 2000-2001	FUNDING COMMITTED /PAID AS AT 1 JANUARY 2001	FUNDING COMMITTED/PAID AS AT 30 JUNE 2001
		2000/01	2001/02			
Sharing Health Care Demo Sites	To test innovative chronic disease self management models.  Call for submissions in national press, with selection criteria. Applications assessed against criteria by panels, including non Commonwealth members, advice to Minister based on panel assessments. Ministerial approval.	Ongoing.	Ongoing.	\$3.1m	\$3.1m	N/A.
Indigenous Health Care Demo Sites	To test innovative chronic disease self management models.  Call for submissions targeting indigenous communities, with selection criteria. Applications assessed against criteria by panels, including non Commonwealth members and indigenous people, advice to Minister based on panel assessments. Ministerial approval.	Ongoing.  Not yet approved – under assessment.	Ongoing.	\$330,000	Nil	Nil
Rural Chronic Disease Strategy – pilot phase	Support for health infrastructure and health promotion.  Pilot phase: Selection criteria for pilot sites developed. consultation with State/Territory governments to nominate suitable sites, discussion with potential sites, advice to Minister, Minister approves sites. Program Roll Out (planned 2002) roll out of the program will involve open and competitive processes.	Ongoing.  Pilot site under consideration – not yet approved.	Ongoing.	\$1.6m	Nil	Nil.
National Falls Prevention for Older People Initiative Community Demonstration Projects	To trial best practice falls prevention interventions in community settings  Call for submissions in national press, with selection criteria. Applications assessed against criteria by Commonwealth officers, advice to Minister, Ministerial approval.	Ongoing.  Not yet approved – under assessment.	Ongoing.	\$1.8m	\$1.8m	N/A
National Child Nutrition Program	Improve diets of children in high need communities.  Call for submissions in national press, with selection criteria. Applications assessed against criteria by panels, including non Commonwealth members, advice to Minister based on panel assessments. Ministerial approval.	Ongoing.	Ongoing.	\$3.5m	\$3.5m	N/A

TITLE OF GRANT PROGRAM	PURPOSE AND DETAILS OF GRANT	APPLICATION AND ASSESSMENT TIMELINE		TOTAL FUNDING AVAILABLE 2000-2001	FUNDING COMMITTED /PAID AS AT 1 JANUARY 2001	FUNDING COMMITTED/PAID AS AT 30 JUNE 2001
		2000/01	2001/02			
Family Planning Program – grants to 9 non government agencies	Sexual Reproductive Health Services.  Longstanding grant program. Grant levels are reviewed annually, Ministerial approval for annual levels of grant and strategic directions for the program.	Ongoing.	Ongoing.	\$13.156m	\$13.156m	N/A.
Centre of Excellence in male Reproductive Health	Research, Community and Professional Education on Male Reproductive Health.  Funding in 1998 Budget for a national centre. National collaboration built around a hub at Monash University commenced operation in 2000-2001.	Ongoing.	Ongoing.	\$1m	\$1m	N/A
Jean Hailes Foundation	Research, Community and Professional Education on Female Reproductive Health.  Submission to the Minister from the Foundation. Ministerial approval.	Ongoing.	Ongoing.	\$545,000	\$545,000	N/A
Rural Health Satellite Projects – Jean Hailes Foundation	Professional education and promotion of available services.  Submissions for funding from the relevant organisation. Departmental approval	Ongoing.	Ongoing.	\$57,200	\$57,200	N/A
Nursing Mothers Assoc – infrastructure support	Support for ongoing training and education.  Submission for funding from the organisation, grant approved under delegation by Department.	Ongoing.	Ongoing.	\$50,000	\$50,000	N/A
Vegetables and Fruit Promotion Project	Inter-governmental collaboration promoting Consumption of vegetables and fruit.  Joint Commonwealth /State project with submission from NSW Health. Funding from Commonwealth and other jurisdictions. Approved under delegation by the Department.	Ongoing.	Ongoing.	\$40,000	\$40,000	N/A
National Falls Prevention for Older People Initiative Adult Learning Circle	To develop and pilot a falls prevention for older people learning circle.  Grant approved under delegation by Department.	Ongoing.	Ongoing.	\$45,000	Nil	\$45,000
Farmsafe Child Safety on Farms Strategy	To implement a program of child safety in rural communities.  Submission for funding from a non government agency. Grant approved by Minister.	Ongoing.	Ongoing.	\$221,691	Nil	Nil

TITLE OF GRANT PROGRAM	PURPOSE AND DETAILS OF GRANT	APPLICATION AND ASSESSMENT TIMELINE		TOTAL FUNDING AVAILABLE 2000-2001	FUNDING COMMITTED /PAID AS AT 1 JANUARY 2001	FUNDING COMMITTED/PAID AS AT 30 JUNE 2001
		2000/01	2001/02			
Kidsafe Awards	To promote best practice in child safety.  Submission for funding from a non government agency. Grant approved under delegation by Department.	Ongoing.	Ongoing.	\$26,000	Nil	\$26,000
Kidsafe Home Accreditation Scheme	To investigate the feasibility of a home safety accreditation scheme.  Submission for funding from a non government agency. Grant approved under delegation by Department.	Ongoing.	Ongoing.	\$48,000	Nil	\$48,000
HIV/AIDS Program	To sponsor the staging of the "Every Eight Seconds: AIDS Revisited" Symposium, organised by the National Academies Forum. Speakers included key stakeholders, government and community sectors, and experts from medical, scientific and health care fields.  An invitation to contribute was received from the National Academies Forum. Funding approved at departmental level.	Ongoing.	Ongoing.	\$5,000	\$5,000	N/A
HIV/AIDS Program	To support the attendance of 2 key speakers at the International Medical Women's 25th Congress.  A request was received from the Australian Federation of Medical Women, hosts of the International Congress. Funding approved at departmental level.	Ongoing.	Ongoing.	\$4,000	\$4,000	N/A
HIV/AIDS Program	Support for secretariat infrastructure and associated expenses to run the Congress; and support for Australian HIV positive people to attend the Congress.  Congress organisers sent a letter requesting financial assistance to the Minister for Health and Aged Care. Funding approved at departmental level.	Ongoing.	Ongoing.	\$231,710	\$231,710	N/A
Australian HIV/AIDS Program	To contribute towards the cost of transporting Commonwealth and AFAO materials to the above conference.  Funding approved at departmental level.	Ongoing.	Ongoing.	\$2,280	\$2,280	N/A
HIV/AIDS Program	To contribute to the costs associated with hosting this forum. For your information, the forum was held to identify HIV/AIDS research priorities from the community perspective.  Funding approved at departmental level.	Ongoing.	Ongoing.	\$14,796	\$14,796	N/A

## Outcome 2 – Access To Medicare <sup>1</sup>

TITLE OF GRANT PROGRAM	PURPOSE AND DETAILS OF GRANT	APPLICATION AND ASSESSMENT TIMELINE		TOTAL FUNDING AVAILABLE 2000-2001	FUNDING COMMITTED/PAID AS AT 1 JANUARY 2001	FUNDING COMMITTED /PAID AS AT 30 JUNE 2001
		2000/01	2001/02			
Diagnostic Imaging Reform Implementation	Study on “Does MRI Improve Patient Outcomes and/or costs efficiency in patients with suspected Internal derangement of the knee”.  Assessed as suitable by the Consultative Committee on Diagnostic Imaging Research Program.	August to November 1998.	N/A	\$21,579	Nil	See total below.
Diagnostic Imaging Reform Implementation	Study on “Does MRI Improve Patient Outcomes and/or costs efficiency in patients with suspected Internal derangement of the knee”.  Assessed as suitable by the Consultative Committee on Diagnostic Imaging Research Program.	August to November 1998.	N/A	\$59,114	Nil	See total below.
Diagnostic Imaging Reform Implementation	Study on “myocardial perfusion imaging for risk satisfaction of patient on presentation with acute chest pain – cost effectiveness of two service delivery models”.  Assessed as suitable by the Consultative Committee on Diagnostic Imaging Research Program.	August to November 1998.	N/A	\$123,646	Nil	See total below.
Diagnostic Imaging Reform Implementation	Study on "The Utility of Chest Radiography in Patients with Febrile Neutropaenia".  Assessed as suitable by the Consultative Committee on Diagnostic Imaging Research Program.	August to November 1998 .	N/A	\$5,501	Nil	See total below.
Diagnostic Imaging Reform Implementation	Study on "Evaluation of the Impact of-18 FDG PET Scanning on the Management and Health Outcomes of Oncology Patients and Assessment of Cost Implications of Introducing this Modality "into Clinical Care.  Assessed as suitable by the Consultative Committee on Diagnostic Imaging Research Program.	August to November 1998.	N/A	\$15,926	Nil	See total below.

1. Balance of funding for Grants in Outcome 2 will be expended in 2001-02 at milestones.

TITLE OF GRANT PROGRAM	PURPOSE AND DETAILS OF GRANT	APPLICATION AND ASSESSMENT TIMELINE		TOTAL FUNDING AVAILABLE 2000-2001	FUNDING COMMITTED /PAID AS AT 1 JANUARY 2001	FUNDING COMMITTED/PAID AS AT 30 JUNE 2001
		2000/01	2001/02			
Diagnostic Imaging Reform Implementation	Study on "Evaluation of Pressure Graded Direct Radionuclide Systogram in the Diagnosis and Prognosis of Vesico-Ureteric Reflux. A Long Term Study Including Clinical Outcomes to Compare with the Micturating Cysto Urethrogram".  Assessed as suitable by the Consultative Committee on Diagnostic Imaging Research Program.	August to November 1998.	N/A	\$18,410	Nil	See total below.
Diagnostic Imaging Reform Implementation	Study on "A Clinical Appraisal of the Evaluation of Children with Urinary Tract Infections by Diagnostic Imaging".  Assessed as suitable by the Consultative Committee on Diagnostic Imaging Research Program.	April 1999 to January 2000.	N/A	\$48,419	Nil	See total below.
Diagnostic Imaging Reform Implementation	Study on "A Cost Sequence Study of Care Following Cancer Staging With and Without the Use of F-18 FDG PET Scanning Using Retrospective Data".  Assessed as suitable by the Consultative Committee on Diagnostic Imaging Research Program.	April 1999 to January 2000.	N/A	\$31,684	Nil	See total below.
Diagnostic Imaging Reform Implementation	Study on "An assessment of the predictive value of aerial duplex ultrasound for planning extremity arterial reconstruction compare with conventional pre-operative angiography".  Assessed as suitable by the Consultative Committee on Diagnostic Imaging Research Program.	April 1999 to January 2000.	N/A	\$78,065	Nil	See total below.
Diagnostic Imaging Reform Implementation	Study on "Diagnostic Imaging in Stroke - Is MRI an Economically Viable and Clinical Meaningful First Line Diagnostic Modality for Stroke?"  Assessed as suitable by the Consultative Committee on Diagnostic Imaging Research Program.	April 1999 to January 2000.	N/A	\$135,000	Nil	<b>Total for all Diagnostic and Imaging grants \$91,000</b>
Community Pharmacy Practice Research Grants	A Medication Review Training and Mentoring Service for Rural and Remote Community Pharmacists.  Assessed as suitable by the Pharmacy (Agreement) Projects Consultative Committee.	Ongoing.	N/A	\$26,159	Nil	See total below.

TITLE OF GRANT PROGRAM	PURPOSE AND DETAILS OF GRANT	APPLICATION AND ASSESSMENT TIMELINE		TOTAL FUNDING AVAILABLE 2000-2001	FUNDING COMMITTED /PAID AS AT 1 JANUARY 2001	FUNDING COMMITTED/PAID AS AT 30 JUNE 2001
		2000/01	2001/02			
Community Pharmacy Practice Research Grants	Provision of Professional Pharmacy Services by "General Practice Pharmacists" - a clinical and economic evaluation. Assessed as suitable by the Pharmacy (Agreement) Projects Consultative Committee.	Ongoing.	N/A	\$29,800	Nil	See total below.
Community Pharmacy Practice Research Grants	Development, implementation and evaluation of a health promotion and screening service in community pharmacy. Assessed as suitable by the Pharmacy Projects (Agreement) Consultative Committee.	Ongoing.	N/A	\$20,000	Nil	See total below.
Community Pharmacy Practice Research Grants	Sugarcare: Best practice guidelines for disease management program-prof remuneration strategy for diabetes in community pharmacy. Assessed as suitable by the Pharmacy (Agreement) Projects Consultative Committee.	Ongoing.	N/A	\$20,500	Nil	See total below.
Community Pharmacy Practice Research Grants	Impact of community pharmacists working within nurses in healing/management of wounds in nursing homes. Assessed as suitable by the Pharmacy (Agreement) Projects Consultative Committee.	Ongoing.	N/A	\$43,064	Nil	See total below.
Community Pharmacy Practice Research Grants	Pharmacists assisting GPs in the coordination of care for complex needs patients in rural and remote areas. Assessed as suitable by the Pharmacy (Agreement) Projects Consultative Committee.	Ongoing.	N/A	\$21,084	Nil	See total below.
Community Pharmacy Practice Research Grants	Evaluation of the effectiveness of pharmacists monitoring patients undergoing a benzodiazepine withdrawal regime. Assessed as suitable by the Pharmacy (Agreement) Projects Consultative Committee.	Ongoing.	N/A	\$65,232	Nil	See total below.
Community Pharmacy Practice Research Grants	Professional services practice program. Assessed as suitable by the Pharmacy (Agreement) Projects Consultative Committee.	Ongoing.	N/A	\$10,500	Nil	See total below.



TITLE OF GRANT PROGRAM	PURPOSE AND DETAILS OF GRANT	APPLICATION AND ASSESSMENT TIMELINE		TOTAL FUNDING AVAILABLE 2000-2001	FUNDING COMMITTED /PAID AS AT 1 JANUARY 2001	FUNDING COMMITTED/PAID AS AT 30 JUNE 2001
		2000/01	2001/02			
Community Pharmacy Practice Research Grants	Implementation mechanism to raise standards of practice re supply of pharmacy & pharmacists only medicines.  Assessed as suitable by the Pharmacy (Agreement) Projects Consultative Committee.	Ongoing.	N/A	\$172,908	Nil	See total below.
Community Pharmacy Practice Research Grants	Implementation mechanism to raise standards of practice re supply of pharmacy & pharmacists only medicines.  Assessed as suitable by the Pharmacy Projects (Agreement) Consultative Committee.	Ongoing.	N/A	\$172,908	Nil	See total below.
Community Pharmacy Practice Research Grants	Influence of patient counselling on smoking cessation rates of patients using nicotine replacement therapy.  Assessed as suitable by the Pharmacy (Agreement) Projects Consultative Committee.	Ongoing.	N/A	\$6,162	Nil	See total below.
Community Pharmacy Practice Research Grants	Domiciliary medication reviews implementation plan.  Assessed as suitable by the Pharmacy (Agreement) Projects Consultative Committee.	Ongoing.	N/A	\$45,032	Nil	See total below.
Community Pharmacy Practice Research Grants	Improving the outcomes of lipid-lowering drug therapy: an evaluation of the potential role of community pharmacies.  Assessed as suitable by the Pharmacy (Agreement) Projects Consultative Committee.	Ongoing.	N/A	\$24,115	Nil	See total below.
Community Pharmacy Practice Research Grants	Lung function screening in country pharmacies  Assessed as suitable by the Pharmacy (Agreement) Projects Consultative Committee.	Ongoing.	N/A	\$79,792	Nil	See total below.
Community Pharmacy Practice Research Grants	Secretariat services for Guild/Gov Pharmacy (Agreement) Projects Consultative Committee by Australian Pharmacy Research Centre Fund independent Secretariat to Pharmacy (Agreement) Projects Consultative Committee which assessed Community Pharmacy Practice Research Grants applications.	Ongoing.	N/A	\$11,500	Nil	<b>Total for all Community Pharmacy Practice Research Grant \$2.625m</b>

TITLE OF GRANT PROGRAM	PURPOSE AND DETAILS OF GRANT	APPLICATION AND ASSESSMENT TIMELINE		TOTAL FUNDING AVAILABLE 2000-2001	FUNDING COMMITTED /PAID AS AT 1 JANUARY 2001	FUNDING COMMITTED/PAID AS AT 30 JUNE 2001
		2000/01	2001/02			
Quality Use of Medicines Evaluation Program	Quality Use of Medicines within aged care facilities.  Applications for Projects are invited via ads placed in National and major newspapers. Universities may advertise the availability of scholarships and grants in their internal newsletters etc. Applications are peer reviewed by expert referee. Assessed as suitable by the Grants Working Group.	September to November 2000.	N/A	\$12,500	Nil	See total below.
Quality Use of Medicines Evaluation Program	Medicine Safety in an Urban Aboriginal Community.  Applications for Projects are invited via ads placed in National and major newspapers. Universities may advertise the availability of scholarships and grants in their internal newsletters etc. Applications are peer reviewed by expert referee. Assessed as suitable by the Grants Working Group.	September to November 2000.	N/A	\$78,545	Nil	See total below.
Quality Use of Medicines Evaluation Program	Pharmaceutical Affordability and the Quality Use of Medicines.  Applications for Projects are invited via ads placed in National and major newspapers. Universities may advertise the availability of scholarships and grants in their internal newsletters etc. Applications are peer reviewed by expert referee. Assessed as suitable by the Grants Working Group.	September to November 2000.	N/A	\$25,000	Nil	See total below.
Quality Use of Medicines Evaluation Program	Single Patient Trials Phase 1 - The consumer's perspective.  Applications for Projects are invited via ads placed in National and major newspapers. Universities may advertise the availability of scholarships and grants in their internal newsletters etc. Applications are peer reviewed by expert referee. Assessed as suitable by the Grants Working Group.	September to November 2000.	N/A	\$7,298	Nil	See total below.
Quality Use of Medicines Evaluation Program	Developing and Testing a pain Management Program (PMP) for Family Care-givers of Advanced Cancer Patients.  Applications for Projects are invited via ads placed in National and major newspapers. Universities may advertise the availability of scholarships and grants in their internal newsletters etc. Applications are peer reviewed by expert referee. Assessed as suitable by the Grants Working Group.	September to November 2000.	N/A	\$20,265	Nil	See total below.

TITLE OF GRANT PROGRAM	PURPOSE AND DETAILS OF GRANT	APPLICATION AND ASSESSMENT TIMELINE		TOTAL FUNDING AVAILABLE 2000-2001	FUNDING COMMITTED /PAID AS AT 1 JANUARY 2001	FUNDING COMMITTED/PAID AS AT 30 JUNE 2001
		2000/01	2001/02			
Quality Use of Medicines Evaluation Program	The Place of Opioid Therapy in Chronic Non-Malignant Pain Management. Applications for Projects are invited via ads placed in National and major newspapers. Universities may advertise the availability of scholarships and grants in their internal newsletters etc. Applications are peer reviewed by expert referee. Assessed as suitable by the Grants Working Group.	September to November 2000.	N/A	\$25,000	Nil	See total below.
Quality Use of Medicines Evaluation Program	The influence of the Internet on the Quality Use of Medicines. Applications for Projects are invited via ads placed in National and major newspapers. Universities may advertise the availability of scholarships and grants in their internal newsletters etc. Applications are peer reviewed by expert referee. Assessed as suitable by the Grants Working Group.	September to November 2000.	N/A	\$25,000	Nil	See total below.
Quality Use of Medicines Evaluation Program	The Use of pharmacotherapies in the secondary prevention of coronary heart disease. Applications for Projects are invited via ads placed in National and major newspapers. Universities may advertise the availability of scholarships and grants in their internal newsletters etc. Applications are peer reviewed by expert referee. Assessed as suitable by the Grants Working Group.	September to November 2000.	N/A	\$25,000	Nil	See total below.
Quality Use of Medicines Evaluation Program	The Use of Consumer Medicine Information by Consumers. Applications for Projects are invited via ads placed in National and major newspapers. Universities may advertise the availability of scholarships and grants in their internal newsletters etc. Applications are peer reviewed by expert referee. Assessed as suitable by the Grants Working Group.	September to November 2000.	N/A	\$2,000	Nil	See total below.
Quality Use of Medicines Evaluation Program	Optimising the use of antithrombotic therapy in elderly patients with atrial fibrillation. Applications for Projects are invited via ads placed in National and major newspapers. Universities may advertise the availability of scholarships and grants in their internal newsletters etc. Applications are peer reviewed by expert referee. Assessed as suitable by the Grants Working Group.	September to November 2000.	N/A	\$1,847	Nil	See total below.

TITLE OF GRANT PROGRAM	PURPOSE AND DETAILS OF GRANT	APPLICATION AND ASSESSMENT TIMELINE		TOTAL FUNDING AVAILABLE 2000-2001	FUNDING COMMITTED /PAID AS AT 1 JANUARY 2001	FUNDING COMMITTED/PAID AS AT 30 JUNE 2001
		2000/01	2001/02			
Quality Use of Medicines Evaluation Program	Agency nurses and careworkers putting QUM action.  Applications for Projects are invited via ads placed in National and major newspapers. Universities may advertise the availability of scholarships and grants in their internal newsletters etc. Applications are peer reviewed by expert referee. Assessed as suitable by the Grants Working Group.	September to November 2000.	N/A	\$47,232	Nil	See total below.
Quality Use of Medicines Evaluation Program	Building a sustainable model for QUM activity in a rural community.  Applications for Projects are invited via ads placed in National and major newspapers. Universities may advertise the availability of scholarships and grants in their internal newsletters etc. Applications are peer reviewed by expert referee. Assessed as suitable by the Grants Working Group.	September to November 2000.	N/A	\$18,581	Nil	See total below.
Quality Use of Medicines Evaluation Program	An innovative approach to reducing medication regime complexity for older people.  Applications for Projects are invited via ads placed in National and major newspapers. Universities may advertise the availability of scholarships and grants in their internal newsletters etc. Applications are peer reviewed by expert referee. Assessed as suitable by the Grants Working Group.	September to November 2000.	N/A	\$22,394	Nil	See total below.
Quality Use of Medicines Evaluation Program	Multidisciplinary management of medication problems in residential care.  Applications for Projects are invited via ads placed in National and major newspapers. Universities may advertise the availability of scholarships and grants in their internal newsletters etc. Applications are peer reviewed by expert referee. Assessed as suitable by the Grants Working Group.	September to November 2000.	N/A	\$51,530	Nil	See total below.
Quality Use of Medicines Evaluation Program	Patterns towards medicines assessment current prescribing.  Applications for Projects are invited via ads placed in National and major newspapers. Universities may advertise the availability of scholarships and grants in their internal newsletters etc. Applications are peer reviewed by expert referee. Assessed as suitable by the Grants Working Group.	September to November 2000.	N/A	\$6,250	Nil	See total below.

TITLE OF GRANT PROGRAM	PURPOSE AND DETAILS OF GRANT	APPLICATION AND ASSESSMENT TIMELINE		TOTAL FUNDING AVAILABLE 2000-2001	FUNDING COMMITTED /PAID AS AT 1 JANUARY 2001	FUNDING COMMITTED/PAID AS AT 30 JUNE 2001
		2000/01	2001/02			
Quality Use of Medicines Evaluation Program	<p>Increasing Frequency Quality and benefits of adverse drug reactions reporting.</p> <p>Applications for Projects are invited via ads placed in National and major newspapers. Universities may advertise the availability of scholarships and grants in their internal newsletters etc. Applications are peer reviewed by expert referee. Assessed as suitable by the Grants Working Group.</p>	September to November 2000.	N/A	\$30,729	Nil	See total below.
Quality Use of Medicines Evaluation Program	<p>Post antibiotic vulvovaginal candidiasis.</p> <p>Applications for Projects are invited via ads placed in National and major newspapers. Universities may advertise the availability of scholarships and grants in their internal newsletters etc. Applications are peer reviewed by expert referee. Assessed as suitable by the Grants Working Group.</p>	September to November 2000.	N/A	\$25,000	Nil	See total below.
Quality Use of Medicines Evaluation Program	<p>Medicines in the Media.</p> <p>Applications for Projects are invited via ads placed in National and major newspapers. Universities may advertise the availability of scholarships and grants in their internal newsletters etc. Applications are peer reviewed by expert referee. Assessed as suitable by the Grants Working Group.</p>	September to November 2000.	N/A	\$25,000	Nil	See total below.
Quality Use of Medicines Evaluation Program	<p>Better Medication by Aboriginal people with mental health disorders.</p> <p>Applications for Projects are invited via ads placed in National and major newspapers. Universities may advertise the availability of scholarships and grants in their internal newsletters etc. Applications are peer reviewed by expert referee. Assessed as suitable by the Grants Working Group.</p>	September to November 2000.	N/A	\$258,958	Nil	See total below.
Quality Use of Medicines Evaluation Program	<p>Thrombosis Prophylaxis in arttrial fibrillation.</p> <p>Applications for Projects are invited via ads placed in National and major newspapers. Universities may advertise the availability of scholarships and grants in their internal newsletters etc. Applications are peer reviewed by expert referee. Assessed as suitable by the Grants Working Group.</p>	September to November 2000.	N/A	\$25,000	Nil	See total below.

TITLE OF GRANT PROGRAM	PURPOSE AND DETAILS OF GRANT	APPLICATION AND ASSESSMENT TIMELINE		TOTAL FUNDING AVAILABLE 2000-2001	FUNDING COMMITTED /PAID AS AT 1 JANUARY 2001	FUNDING COMMITTED/PAID AS AT 30 JUNE 2001
		2000/01	2001/02			
Quality Use of Medicines Evaluation Program	<p>Development of best practice guidelines for nurses - rational use of benzos in Australian Aged Care facility.</p> <p>Applications for Projects are invited via ads placed in National and major newspapers. Universities may advertise the availability of scholarships and grants in their internal newsletters etc. Applications are peer reviewed by expert referee. Assessed as suitable by the Grants Working Group.</p>	September to November 2000.	N/A	\$20,000	Nil	See total below.
Quality Use of Medicines Evaluation Program	<p>The authority to prescribe.</p> <p>Applications for Projects are invited via ads placed in National and major newspapers. Universities may advertise the availability of scholarships and grants in their internal newsletters etc. Applications are peer reviewed by expert referee. Assessed as suitable by the Grants Working Group.</p>	September to November 2000.	N/A	\$16,838	Nil	<p><b>Total for Quality Use of Medicines Evaluation Program</b></p> <p><b>\$715,000</b></p>

## Outcome 4 – Quality Health Care

TITLE OF GRANT PROGRAM	PURPOSE AND DETAILS OF GRANT	APPLICATION AND ASSESSMENT TIMELINE		TOTAL FUNDING AVAILABLE 2000-2001	FUNDING COMMITTED/PAID AS AT 1 JANUARY 2001	FUNDING COMMITTED /PAID AS AT 30 JUNE 2001
		2000/01	2001/02			
Bone Marrow Program	Assistance for bone marrow transplant (Accommodation Assistance).  Grants made on request to the Minister. Discussion with Department officials. Minute to Minister. Minister approval.	Ongoing – on needs basis.	Ongoing – on needs basis.	\$2.56m	\$1.21m	\$1.962m
Second round coordinated care trials	Development and/or operation of second round coordinated care trials.  Open competitive expression of interest process. Jointly assessed by the Commonwealth and State/Territory health authorities. Development of detailed design proposal by shortlist eons. Jointly assessed by the Commonwealth and State/Territory health authorities. Collaborative revision of shortlist detailed design proposals (in conjunction with State/Territory health authorities). Final selection of trials to proceed to live phase will be based on an assessment of the proposal's viability.	Nov 1999 to late 2001.  (Ministerial approval expected late 2001).	N/A.	\$7.641m	\$3.287m	\$3.756m
Barbara Walker Centre	Funding for Pain Management Centre to provide additional services and develop GP program in pain management technique.  Minister was approached by outside sources and was impressed by the quality of the proposal.	Ongoing process.  Ministerial approval June 1997.	Ongoing till June 2002.	\$315,300	\$300,000	\$1.181m (includes ongoing funding for 2002).
Innovations Pool	Funding to promote innovation in General Practice and Primary Care. Competitive submission-based process. Applications invited from Divisions in accordance with Ministerially approved guidelines. Projects approved by Minister on recommendation of independent assessment panel.	December 1999 to May 2000.  Ministerial approval May 2000.	N/A.	\$2.250m	\$2.250m	\$3.765m
Sustainable Models of General Practice	Research and Trial models of rural General Practice. - Minister was approached by Rural Doctors Association of Australia with an initial research proposal to develop, trial and evaluate sustainable models of rural General Practice.	May 2000.  Ministerial approval May 2001.	Ongoing till December 2003.	\$1m	Nil	\$2m
Australian College of Remote and Rural Medicine	To develop a program to facilitate development of innovative models of rural Medicare and professional development. Government approached to develop training mechanisms for rural General Practitioners.	August to October 2000.	Ongoing till May 2002.	\$1.927m	\$3.4m	\$3.4m

## Outcome 7 – Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health <sup>2</sup>

TITLE OF GRANT PROGRAM	PURPOSE AND DETAILS OF GRANT	APPLICATION AND ASSESSMENT TIMELINE		TOTAL FUNDING AVAILABLE 2000-2001	FUNDING COMMITTED/PAID AS AT	FUNDING COMMITTED
		2000/01	2001/02		1 JANUARY 2001	/PAID AS AT 30 JUNE 2001
Remote Communities Initiative	Infrastructure development – Burringurrah.  1996/97 Budget Initiative. The communities were identified through HINS (Housing and Infrastructure Needs Survey) supplemented by advice from Health Forum partners to identify communities that did not have access to a primary health care or hospital service.	Ongoing priority driven process.  Ministerial approval November 2000.	N/A	\$608,700	Nil	Nil
Remote Communities Initiative	Infrastructure development – Marthakal.  1996/97 Budget Initiative. The communities were identified through HINS (Housing and Infrastructure Needs Survey) supplemented by advice from Health Forum partners to identify communities that did not have access to a primary health care or hospital service.	Ongoing priority driven process.  Ministerial approval January 2000.	Ongoing	\$370,802	\$122,890	\$245,780
Remote Communities Initiative	Infrastructure development – Robinson River.  1996/97 Budget Initiative. The communities were identified through HINS (Housing and Infrastructure Needs Survey) supplemented by advice from Health Forum partners to identify communities that did not have access to a primary health care or hospital service.	Ongoing priority driven process.  Ministerial approval January 2000.	Ongoing	\$295,000	\$104,973	\$209,946
Remote Communities Initiative	Infrastructure development – Milyakburra & Angurugu.  1996/97 Budget Initiative. - The communities were identified through HINS (Housing and Infrastructure Needs Survey) supplemented by advice from Health Forum partners to identify communities that did not have access to a primary health care or hospital service.	Ongoing priority driven process.  Ministerial approval January 2000.	Ongoing	\$93,000	\$175,302	\$350,604



TITLE OF GRANT PROGRAM	PURPOSE AND DETAILS OF GRANT	APPLICATION AND ASSESSMENT TIMELINE		TOTAL FUNDING AVAILABLE 2000-2001	FUNDING COMMITTED	FUNDING COMMITTED/PAID AS AT 30 JUNE 2001
		2000/01	2001/02		/PAID AS AT 1 JANUARY 2001	AS AT 30 JUNE 2001
Remote Communities Initiative	Infrastructure development – Umbakumba. 1996/97 Budget Initiative. The communities were identified through HINS (Housing and Infrastructure Needs Survey) supplemented by advice from Health Forum partners to identify communities that did not have access to a primary health care or hospital service.	Ongoing priority driven process.  Ministerial approval January 2000.	Ongoing.	\$288,000	\$107,018	\$214,036
Remote Communities Initiative	Infrastructure development – Doomadgee. 1996/97 Budget Initiative. The communities were identified through HINS (Housing and Infrastructure Needs Survey) supplemented by advice from Health Forum partners to identify communities that did not have access to a primary health care or hospital service.	Ongoing priority driven process.  Ministerial approval May 2001.	N/A	\$735,000	Nil	Nil
Regional Planning	Service Development – Condobolin. 1998/99 Budget Initiative. Regional Plans have been developed in consultation with State/Territory governments and Indigenous organisations. These inform the establishment of new services.	Ongoing process.  Ministerial approval June 2001.	N/A	\$22,857	Nil	\$281,921
Regional Planning	Service Development – Wellington.  1998/99 Budget Initiative. Regional Plans have been developed in consultation with State/Territory governments and Indigenous organisations. These inform the establishment of new services.	Ongoing process.  Ministerial approval June 2001.	N/A.	\$191,271	Nil	Nil
Regional Planning	Service Development – Durri.  1998/99 Budget Initiative. Regional Plans have been developed in consultation with State/Territory governments and Indigenous organisations. These inform the establishment of new services.	Ongoing process.  Ministerial approval June 2001.	N/A.	\$664,000	Nil	\$390,370
Regional Planning	Service Development – Puntuturnu.  1998/99 Budget Initiative. Regional Plans have been developed in consultation with State/Territory governments and Indigenous organisations. These inform the establishment of new services.	Ongoing process.  Ministerial approval June 2001.	N/A.	\$339,800	Nil	\$107,500

TITLE OF GRANT PROGRAM	PURPOSE AND DETAILS OF GRANT	APPLICATION AND ASSESSMENT TIMELINE		TOTAL FUNDING AVAILABLE 2000-2001	FUNDING COMMITTED /PAID AS AT 1 JANUARY 2001	FUNDING COMMITTED/PAID AS AT 30 JUNE 2001
		2000/01	2001/02			
Regional Planning	Service Development – Nindilingarri. 1998/99 Budget Initiative. Regional Plans have been developed in consultation with State/Territory governments and Indigenous organisations. These inform the establishment of new services.	Ongoing process.  Ministerial approval June 2001.	N/A.	\$466,632	Nil	Nil
Regional Planning	Service Development – Ngaanyatjarra.  1998/99 Budget Initiative. Regional Plans have been developed in consultation with State/Territory governments and Indigenous organisations. These inform the establishment of new services	Ongoing process.  Ministerial approval June 2001.	N/A.	\$133,250	Nil	Nil
Primary Health Care Access Program	Medical Staff Housing – ATSIC. Housing priorities were identified through the regional planning framework.	Ongoing process. Ministerial approval June 2001.	N/A	\$4,327,000	Nil	Nil
Primary Health Care Access Program	Major capital works – TIWI.  The existing building is very old and requires intensive and expensive ongoing maintenance. It was considered that a replacement building was the best long term option.	Ongoing process.  Ministerial approval June 2001.	N/A	\$2,272,728	Nil	Nil
Capital Works Program	Major capital works – Bourke.  1998/99 Budget Initiative. A structural and fitness for purpose survey of the existing facility was completed in August 1999. Identified need for new facility.	Ongoing priority driven process.  Ministerial approval October 2000.	N/A	\$495,000	Nil	\$27,500
Capital Works Program	Major capital works – Mackay.  1998/99 Budget Initiative. Comprehensive structural and fitness for purpose surveys were undertaken on all existing health and substance misuse facilities funded by OATSIH in 1998-99 and 1999-00. Identified priority for capital works.	Ongoing priority driven process.  Ministerial approval May 2001.	N/A	\$1,550,000	Nil	Nil

TITLE OF GRANT PROGRAM	PURPOSE AND DETAILS OF GRANT	APPLICATION AND ASSESSMENT TIMELINE		TOTAL FUNDING AVAILABLE 2000-2001	FUNDING COMMITTED /PAID AS AT 1 JANUARY 2001	FUNDING COMMITTED/PAID AS AT 30 JUNE 2001
		2000/01	2001/02			
Capital Works Program	Major capital works – Congress Comm. Development & Education.  1998/99 Budget Initiative. Comprehensive structural and fitness for purpose surveys were undertaken on all existing health and substance misuse facilities funded by OATSIH in 1998-99 and 1999-00. Identified priority for capital works.	Ongoing priority driven process.  Ministerial approval May 2001.	N/A	\$376,000	Nil	Nil
Capital Works Program	Major capital works – Charleville & Western areas.  1998/99 Budget Initiative. Comprehensive structural and fitness for purpose surveys were undertaken on all existing health and substance misuse facilities funded by OATSIH in 1998-99 and 1999-00. Identified priority for capital works.	Ongoing priority driven process.  Ministerial approval May 2001.	N/A	\$1,139,000	Nil	Nil
Capital Works Program	Major capital works – Noongar.  1998/99 Budget Initiative. Comprehensive structural and fitness for purpose surveys were undertaken on all existing health and substance misuse facilities funded by OATSIH in 1998-99 and 1999-00. Identified priority for capital works.	Ongoing priority driven process.  Ministerial approval May 2001.	N/A	\$708,500	Nil	Nil
Capital Works Program	Major capital works – Bulgarr.  1998/99 Budget Initiative. Comprehensive structural and fitness for purpose surveys were undertaken on all existing health and substance misuse facilities funded by OATSIH in 1998-99 and 1999-00. Identified priority for capital works.	Ongoing priority driven process.  Ministerial approval May 2001.	N/A	\$1,240,000	Nil	Nil
Capital Works Program	Major capital works – Katungal.  1998/99 Budget Initiative. Comprehensive structural and fitness for purpose surveys were undertaken on all existing health and substance misuse facilities funded by OATSIH in 1998-99 and 1999-00. Identified priority for capital works.	Ongoing priority driven process.  Ministerial approval May 2001.	N/A	\$321,000	Nil	Nil

TITLE OF GRANT PROGRAM	PURPOSE AND DETAILS OF GRANT	APPLICATION AND ASSESSMENT TIMELINE		TOTAL FUNDING AVAILABLE 2000-2001	FUNDING COMMITTED /PAID AS AT 1 JANUARY 2001	FUNDING COMMITTED/PAID AS AT 30 JUNE 2001
		2000/01	2001/02			
Capital Works Program	Major capital works – Urapuntja.  1998/99 Budget Initiative. Comprehensive structural and fitness for purpose surveys were undertaken on all existing health and substance misuse facilities funded by OATSIH in 1998-99 and 1999-00. Identified priority for capital works.	Ongoing priority driven process.  Ministerial approval May 2001.	N/A	\$560,000	Nil	Nil
Capital Works Program	Major capital works – Council for Aboriginal Alcohol programs.  1998/99 Budget Initiative. Comprehensive structural and fitness for purpose surveys were undertaken on all existing health and substance misuse facilities funded by OATSIH in 1998-99 and 1999-00. Identified priorities for capital works.	Ongoing priority driven process.  Ministerial approval May 2001.	N/A	\$274,000	Nil	Nil
Capital Works Program	Major capital works – Central Australian Congress.  1998/99 Budget Initiative. Comprehensive structural and fitness for purpose surveys were undertaken on all existing health and substance misuse facilities funded by OATSIH in 1998-99 and 1999-00. Identified priority for capital works.	Ongoing priority driven process.  Ministerial approval May 2001.	N/A	\$374,500	Nil	Nil
Capital Works Program	Major capital works – Ceduna/Koonibba.  1998/99 Budget Initiative. Comprehensive structural and fitness for purpose surveys were undertaken on all existing health and substance misuse facilities funded by OATSIH in 1998-99 and 1999-00. Identified priority for capital works.	Ongoing priority driven process.  Ministerial approval May 2001.	N/A	\$633,000	Nil	Nil
Capital Works Program	Major capital works – Yalata/Maralinga.  1998/99 Budget Initiative. Comprehensive structural and fitness for purpose surveys were undertaken on all existing health and substance misuse facilities funded by OATSIH in 1998-99 and 1999-00. Identified priority for capital works.	Ongoing priority driven process.  Ministerial approval May 2001.	N/A	\$633,000	Nil	Nil

TITLE OF GRANT PROGRAM	PURPOSE AND DETAILS OF GRANT	APPLICATION AND ASSESSMENT TIMELINE		TOTAL FUNDING AVAILABLE 2000-2001	FUNDING COMMITTED /PAID AS AT 1 JANUARY 2001	FUNDING COMMITTED/PAID AS AT 30 JUNE 2001
		2000/01	2001/02			
Capital Works Program	Minor capital works – various sites. 1998/99 Budget Initiative. Comprehensive structural and fitness for purpose surveys were undertaken on all existing health and substance misuse facilities funded by OATSIH in 1998-99 and 1999-00. Identified priority for capital works.	Ongoing priority driven process.  Ministerial approval May 2001.	N/A	\$1,121,500	Nil	Nil
Capital Works Program	Staff Housing – Mamu.  Nil.	Ongoing priority driven process. Ministerial approval May 2001.	N/A	\$264,000	Nil	Nil
Capital Works Program	Staff Housing – Ceduna Koonibba.  1998/99 Budget Initiative. Regional Plans have been developed in consultation with State/Territory governments and Indigenous organisations. Housing priorities were identified through this framework	Ongoing priority driven process.  Ministerial approval May 2001.	N/A	\$443,000	Nil	Nil
Capital Works Program	Staff Housing – Nganampa.  1998/99 Budget Initiative. Regional Plans have been developed in consultation with State/Territory governments and Indigenous organisations. Housing priorities were identified through this framework.	Ongoing priority driven process.  Ministerial approval May 2001.	N/A	\$570,000	Nil	Nil
Capital Works Program	Staff Housing – Walgett.  1998/99 Budget Initiative. Regional Plans have been developed in consultation with State/Territory governments and Indigenous organisations. Housing priorities were identified through this framework.	Ongoing priority driven process.  Ministerial approval May 2001.	N/A	\$380,000	Nil	Nil
Capital Works Program	Staff Housing – Miwatj.  1998/99 Budget Initiative. Regional Plans have been developed in consultation with State/Territory governments and Indigenous organisations. Housing priorities were identified through this framework.	Ongoing priority driven process.  Ministerial approval May 2001.	N/A	\$440,000	Nil	Nil

TITLE OF GRANT PROGRAM	PURPOSE AND DETAILS OF GRANT	APPLICATION AND ASSESSMENT TIMELINE		TOTAL FUNDING AVAILABLE 2000-2001	FUNDING COMMITTED /PAID AS AT 1 JANUARY 2001	FUNDING COMMITTED/PAID AS AT 30 JUNE 2001
		2000/01	2001/02			
Capital Works Program	Staff Housing – Ltyettye.  1998/99 Budget Initiative. Regional Plans have been developed in consultation with State/Territory governments and Indigenous organisations. Housing priorities were identified through this framework.	Ongoing priority driven process.  Ministerial approval May 2001.	N/A	\$354,000	Nil	Nil
Health program	Diabetes research – Ngaanyatjarra.  Research priorities were established by RAWG in January 2000. Expressions of interest were called for grants in April 2000 and shortlist applicants were invited to submit full proposals.	January to May 2001.	N/A	\$45,000	Nil	Nil
Health program	Diabetes research – University of QLD.  Research priorities were established by RAWG in January 2000. Expressions of interest were called for grants in April 2000 and shortlist applicants were invited to submit full proposals.	January to May 2001.	N/A	\$149,804	Nil	Nil
Health program	Diabetes research – Edith Cowan University.  Research priorities were established by RAWG in January 2000. Expressions of interest were called for grants in April 2000 and shortlist applicants were invited to submit full proposals.	January to May 2001.	N/A	\$50,000	Nil	Nil
Health program	Diabetes research – Woorabinda.  Research priorities were established by RAWG in January 2000. Expressions of interest were called for grants in April 2000 and shortlist applicants were invited to submit full proposals	January to May 2001.	N/A	\$44,040	Nil	Nil
Health program	Diabetes research – NSW Health.  Research priorities were established by RAWG in January 2000. Expressions of interest were called for grants in April 2000 and shortlist applicants were invited to submit full proposals.	January to May 2001.	N/A	\$140,000	Nil	Nil
Health program	Diabetes research – University of South Australia  Research priorities were established by RAWG in January 2000. Expressions of interest were called for grants in April 2000 and shortlist applicants were invited to submit full proposals.	January to May 2001.	N/A	\$40,046	Nil	Nil

## Outcome 9 – Health Investment

TITLE OF GRANT PROGRAM	PURPOSE AND DETAILS OF GRANT	APPLICATION AND ASSESSMENT TIMELINE		TOTAL FUNDING AVAILABLE 2000-2001	FUNDING COMMITTED/PAID AS AT JANUARY 2001	FUNDING COMMITTED/PAID AS AT 30 JUNE 2001
		2000/01	2001/02			
Consumer and Provider Partnerships in Health Project	Funding to selected partnerships of consumer groups and health service providers to demonstrate and develop good practice <sup>4</sup> in consumer participation.	February to May 2000.	N/A	\$678,888	Nil	\$175,984
Asthma Community Grants	To increase the knowledge, participation and appropriate management of Asthma with emphasis on targeting people in rural and remote areas of Australia as well as those from culturally diverse backgrounds.	May – June 2000.	May – June 2001.	\$200,000	Nil	Nil