House of Representatives Standing Committee on Family and Community Affairs

Date Received 21-7-03

Submission No. 66

Secretary.

2 1 JUL 2003

Sent:

Monday, 21 July 2003 6:50 PM

To:

Committee, FCA (REPS)

Subject: Submission - Standing Committee on Family and Community Affairs

H

I would like to make the following submission to.

The Standing Committee on Family and Community Affairs

(see attachments also)

I have worked hard for the first 40 years of my life to get a good education and to build up to a good income. After 40 years of hard work I am battling to survive after the family law system of this country helped lazy ladies to steal from me.

I am a man who finds it hard to meet ladies and I have been used and abused by Australian family law system. Which aids people (male and female) to steal from their partners.

The current system is destroying this cuntry and alarm bells should be ringing loudly as 1/3rd of all children born are born to single mothers. The biggest concerns the community expresses to MP's are the failings of the family law system and still it gets worse. The extreme socialist views of the current family law system are crippling the economy and we are reacing a point where men will soon have to consider sterilisation over the age of 18 to avoid being robbed by a screwed up legal system. Already adult male children of my friends are saying they will not marry until at least 35 to try and avoid the problems of family law. Their mothers are scare sick for them, and no matter what they do at least 50% of these young men will be robbed by the family law system.

My wife asks me why she should go to work, she wakes up at 6am and returns home at 7pm after working as a housekeeper in a hotel, she earns \$400.00 per week, but her single mother friends get paid the same to stay at home, they get cheaap housing and one even bought a new car with a cheap loan from the government. These ladies do nothing and some of them have stollen houses from their spouse.

Many of these ladies have no schooling and have never tried to work, if they get a job they are lucky if they can earn \$27,000 a year. But if they trick a man like me into having a baby they can get \$10,000 per year in child support plus money from the government. If they marry first then have a child and wait for a while they can get 70% of a house say \$150,000, more than they could ever dream of earning them selfs.

1/3 rd of all babies born to single mothers, this is because you are paying them to have unwanted children. They have full control of their fertility and a man is tied to using a condom which most men find unsatisfactory. The ladies have the pill and the right to decide on an abortion but then the man is forced to pay for the ladies decision decision.

How about making it fair, by forcing any lady who has sex with a man to buy him a Ferrari (it costs about the same).

Refer to the attachments.



Theft through Child Support Payments



The History of the Situation

A lady I was seeing asked if she could have a child, I said NO.

I was not married to the lady and I did not live with her.

The lady agreed that there would be no child.

Using condoms was not an option as I find it hard to maintain an erection with a condom on, as is the case for a large percentage of men.

For a while I did not entirely trust the lady and insisted that she take the pill and in addition I withdrew before ejaculation. After a while the lady convinced me that she could be trusted and I relied on her for the contraception measures.

Very shortly afterwards she happily informed me that she was pregnant, I asked her to have an abortion and she refused.

Latter she got legal aid and took me to court for child support. The courts only interest was to see if I was the genetic father or not. The court asked me to have a blood test to prove this issue and I refused. I refused because to me that is not at all relevant to this issue.

From the ladies actions and the proud way she announced she was having a baby and the latter announcement that she was taking me to court for child support, convinces me that she is both a thief and a con artist.

Before the lady became pregnant I went to my doctor to enquire about the male contraceptive pill so I could be safe from this type of threat, however I was informed that it was not yet safe enough and that they could not recommend that I use that.

The relationship with the lady started when a friend of hers introduced us, I took her out a few times and told her I was not interested in a permanent relationship but if she did not mind a casual relationship then we could continue for a while. I must be unique in the world for I have a need for sex, as I think most men do.

The child is now about 7 years old.

The Current Situation

I have been forced to pay child support payments for a child born to a mother who wanted to have a child and who had the child wilfully.

I was being forced to pay 898.00 a month in child support for a child I had plainly been tricked into parenting. This is supposed to go on for 18years, amounting to a total theft of \$194,000.00 present value.

I have no contact with the child and have no wish to have contact with the child.

Currently I am enjoying a lower rate of child support payment, due to decreased income for the previous tax year, however the lady is seeking to have the former rate restored. This has been lowered for about 5 months so far.

I have also been given an unpaid bill for about \$10,000 of child support. This accrued during the year when my income was very low. This accrual occurred because the child support people refused to accept my accretions that my income would be lower and they continued to chare me the full rate of \$898.00 for that whole year.

The Issues

- a) A man cannot force a lady to have a child. She is in control through the pill, the morning after pill and she has the right to an abortion.
- b) Why should a lady be given the right to choose to keep an unwanted baby but then not shoulder the responsibility of supporting the baby. She has the choice the man has no choice in the matter. It is all very well for a lady to decide to keep a baby but not to lumber someone else with the consequences of her decision (i.e. to the tune of \$194,000 dollars of my money).
- c) There are lots of things in this world I would like to have, like this lady wanted a baby, wouldn't it be nice if I could force her to pay for them as she has done to me.
- d) Why is the government paying ladies to have unwanted children, and what is going to be our fait when these unwanted children grow up angry because they have no father. If the government must pay ladies to have babies I suggest they do it with someone else's money, not mine.
- e) This policy of paying ladies to have unwanted babies is encouraging them to have children they cannot support. Already they have a strong desire to have a child and no responsible thought about supporting it, they will not make responsible decisions about this until they have to pay the price of their decision them self's.
- f) I worked hard going to school till I was 22yrs old, so I could earn a comfortable income and be well of in my old age. I worked very hard in my job for another 20yrs to eventually reach a good income level. Now this

- lazy thief who never worked hard for her future has stolen this from me and what is worse my government helped her to do it.
- g) Condoms are not a satisfactory form of contraception for me, I cannot feel a thing and it is a real turn off. In addition I have been without a suitable partner for a very long part of my adult life. I have as few sexual partners as possible to reduce the risks.
- My only crime is that I find it hard to meet suitable girls to form a
 permanent relationship with. I work in a mans world and I went to school in
 a male dominated environment (technical school and university)
- i) Do you want you son to grow up in a world where he cannot have sex with a girl for fear she will rob him, and where he cannot take advantage of the pill because the law cannot be bothered to protect him.
- I am kind of funny: I expect to get justice from the Australian government, I don't expect to robbed by a lady with the help of my own government.
- k) The government dared to talk about forcing men to get a lady to sign a paper consenting to have sex to avoid a claim of date rape. Yet they allow a girl to claim \$194,000.00 of me for a baby I did not agree to have. We could have a system where girls must get written consent from a man before having a baby. If this were done of course the consent should only be sought after the lady is pregnant so it does not become another weapon in the ladies arsenal.

Understandably I am very angry about having to pay child support and I want it stoped! To: Hon Daryl Williams (Attorney General) 22 June 2003



I received a reply from your department to a letter I sent to the PM, the reply came from Alison Playford, who's reply was a repeat of the existing rhetoric and displayed a complete ignorance of what I have been trying to explain, so I will try and put it in words of one syllable:

The justification for the current family law is supposedly that it is designed to be in the best interests of children. If any of you actually believe that then you must be living in some kind of black hole because:

- a) 30% of children are born to never-married mothers. Which I believe you will find stems from the act of the family law system paying women to have unwanted babies and effectively stealing from men to pay these women for the privilege. I would suggest that you look at the correlation between your family law changes and the ever-increasing number of children born to never-married ladies. This is not beneficial to children.
- b) Further to this you should consider the effect of property settlements and support payments on breaking up marriages. A lady who is a bit bored now has no reason to stay with the family and is basically paid to leave the family. Children suffer from the break up that your laws encourage.
- c) The above two situations lead to a further problem, where increasing numbers of families are struggling to survive as finances are split between two households. If the father remarries and marries a lady who already has children or one who wants children. Then the family income is being stretched over two households. This results in even more children living in poverty.
- d) The excessive amount of child support taken makes it not worthwhile for the man to continue working and many drop out of the workforce, as it is no longer beneficial to continue working. For a single child the child support for some one in the 45% tax level (which is a lot of people these days) is 18% but that results in a drop of gross salary of 32.7%. In my case the drop in salary for a single child is about \$22,000 from my gross of \$70,000. Every extra dollar I earn only gets me 37cents!, While you may think you are achieving an 85% recovery of child support liability, you are not benefiting the children because, men giving up on work or stopping trying to achieve a better income lowers the liability. This is bad for children, as they often don't get any child support. If you must take child support it should be a lower amount, there should be a maximum figure, and it should be a percentage of net income. I would strongly suggest that there should be no child support, this will discourage women from having unwanted children.

- e) The punishing effect of your laws, results in men not wanting to have children at all. This does not seem to be good for children and is bad for the over all economy as well.
- f) Many women target men to have the baby they want and select those men based on their income. These are the men who would have started businesses and created employment. This has a negative effect on the economy and is also bad for children.
- g) When these children grow up; some of them will be men and they will potentially be robbed by your laws as well this is not good for them either. Those female children who will grow up and are not be able to find a man who will give them children because of your laws will also be disadvantaged by you laws. This is already happening now and it gets worse as more and more people realize the damage you have done.
- h) What kind of social damage have you created, I don't think you realise what will happen to all of these fatherless children grow up. These children who have watched their mothers sitting at home living of some one else's income and government support. But I suppose that it airight for you as they will be adults then. I can see you don't mind stealing from adults particularly male adults, doing in justice to one part of society to supposedly help another is not justice.
- i) When the men you rob become angry and injure their wives and children you would of course say that that is good for children and not you fault as well. How very nice you are. How many men are in jail because you drove them past what they could bare. I will tell you there are many, I will tell you because you are not bright enough to realise what you have done.
- j) If a person is forced to pay child support then they should be able to obtain a birth certificate from births deaths and marriages, regardless of if they are on the birth certificate or not. It should not require legal action or some other government department to act on their behalf.
- k) A person who is paying child support should have the right to get CSA to force a paternity test, even if there has been a court ruling made for maintenance.
- Men who are not married and who never lived with a lady should not be subject to child support laws. Remember the ladies hold all the cards, they have the pill and the right to an abortion; if they have a child then it is because they wanted to have a child.

I am not suggesting that there are no women who suffer from your laws, though I have met only one, while I have met many men who have suffered from them. That ladies husband borrowed \$70,000 just before they were divorced, with out her knowledge and she was forced to pay it back, so it did not work for her either.

There is a natural bias against men in family law due to the realities of life. That is a man cannot trick a lady into having a baby she does not want. The man cannot force the lady to have an abortion when she has an unwanted pregnancy, even though you force him to pay for her decision to keep it.

Many girls assume they will marry well and don't bother attempting to study to earn a good income, which adds to the sexist result of family law.

I know that the majority of men and women understand this problem and if I must I will stir them into action. This will probably bankrupt me due to the cost of advertising, but this madness you preside over must end NOW!

I will not rest until the law is fixed.

There is a vast reserve of pent up frustration and the only thing holding it back is the daily struggle of the men to make ends meet. The political implications of this are likely to be of an order you have never seen before, and you Mr Williams will bare the brunt of it.

What can you do?

- 1/ It is not a big ask to require that a lady who has become pregnant must have the supposedly consenting father sign a form to acknowledge the fact before it is too late for her to have an abortion, then if he does not sign it. She can be responsible for her actions. This will greatly reduce the number of children born to single never-married mothers as well as the number of bored wifes who pop out the babies they want then leave the father to live off his income and asserts!
 - = Stop paying ladies to have unwanted bables!
- 2/ Stop rewarding ladies who leave a marriage by reverting to property settlements that divide assets on net incomes earned during the marriage.
 - = Stop paying ladies leave their husband / family!
 - = Stop paying men leave their wife / family!
- 3/ Limit property settlements on assets so they don't erode the percentage of owner ship of the house, from what it was before the marriage. The current distorted settlements are dividing the % increase in asset value, which means that if I owned 50% of a house before I was married and it goes up in value by 100%, then at the end of the marriage I potentially own 25% of the house because of the demented way the family law system looks at assets acquired during the marriage.
 - = Stop paying ladies leave their husband / family!
 - = Stop paying men leave their wife / family!

I strongly suggest that you stop concentrating on the welfare of the children only, or the welfare of the mothers only and start to think about the community as a whole.

I strongly suggest you take your head out of whatever bucket it is in now and have a look around. There is little or no research on men's Welfare so I suggest you could look at that as well. I further suggest that family law should not be over seen exclusively by people who have a strong self-interest in the current system, while I don't know if it is or is not now, it does certainly look that way.

I am giving you the opportunity to fix the law, and that is what I expect to be done, I will not settle for anything less.



Help Change the Law Stop Thief!

You have at 40% chance of loosing your Income. This affects you, your sons and grandsons and your future husband.

- If you are a young lady, then this is why your man probably wont agree to have a child.
- If you or you children cannot get a job, remember the thieves I am talking about. They particularly target those men who have a higher income, these are the ones who would have employed people or who's disposable income would have provided jobs for people.
- Ladies are abusing child support and property settlement injustices to steal what they want from men. Everyone knows about it and its
 even a theme of some popular songs. Its about time it stopped!
- Currently it is surprising that all men don't get standard before puberty, to protect themselves from these issues.
- Many single and married ladies are having babies without their partners consent. This amounts to a massive theft.
- These ladies are mostly the types who don't think about the future, and who never worked hard to build their future.
- If a man gets married and has a baby, his risk becomes 40%. Would you risk loosing 18% to 36% of your gross income, to be paid from your net income for 18years, on the loss of a coin. Not to mention 70% of your assets as well!
- Married Ladies are also having bables without their partners consent, often leaving their husband latter. Often they feel a bit bored and having nothing to loose and everything to gain they leave.
- Men don't need to have bables, some men want bables. Very few men want a baby this much!
- Ladies have control of their fertility though the pill and abortion. Men have no control over fertility except the condom, which is not satisfactory on a long-term basis, especially to older men. These men are the ones having sex with ladies whose doctors have told them that if they don't have a baby now they wont be able to have one latter.
- Men should have the right to some benefit from the pill but under present law they cannot rely on their partner to take the pill.
- The current family law is a socialist system and encourages men to stop working by removing the rewards of their efforts and giving
 them to someone who is not deserving. After 40 years hard work to achieve some assets and a good wage I now have nothing to
 show for it, I could not advise a young man to try and achieve what I had done as he is almost certain to loose out.
- A case in point! have to pay \$1000 per month to a lady who had pay child after we agreed there would not be a child, the lady never lived with me and was not my wife. This amounts to more than a \$20,000 dollar drop in gross income. A friends wife had three children without his consent then left him, she was very proud to tell everyone she had fed her pill to the pot plants!
- Many men stop working altogether after a child support claim, because there is no benefit for them to continue working and paying for someone else's child. Consider this: 3 kids = 32% child support, \$1 extra gross income gives the father \$1.00 – 0.45tax – 0.32support.
 23 cents Net Income!
- There is no equity in a man paying support for some lady's baby when, she is not meeting his needs. Strange isn't it men have needs, when will the social justice system grow up. Queen Victoria has been dead for a long time now.
- Division of assets is another problem. Some ladies actively try to steel the assets of men. If you have no assets and very small income, then living with someone for a few years then leaving with half of their assets is a very nice deal, one many ladies take up.
- The idea that a lady who stays home is worth any extra equity in the division of assets is a joke. If there are young children involved
 and she stayed home until they reached school age there may be some small ment in this. However it is being applied regardless of
 the situation. Most men have been looking after themselves long before getting married, they did not feel the need to pay someone to
 do it, why should marriage trust them into the situation of effectively paying some one to stay home, especially if it is to look after
 children the lady wanted to have to satisfy her own dreams anyway.

What needs to be done:

- After a lady becomes pregnant and before she reaches 3months, she should be required to get the father to sign a form in front of the doctor.
 - The form is to say that he agreed to father the child, once signed he is responsible for the child's support. If it is not signed then the lady cannot expect child support from him, and the child is her financial responsibility alone.
 - The form should not be signed in advance as then, ladies will start blackmailing men into signing the form before marriage. Signing it when she is pregnant, means she will make dam sure she has the fathers support before getting pregnant, it will also stop her from deciding on her own abut when to have the beby in marriage. Most men would wait until the marriage is seen to be stable before having a baby.
- b) Reduce the child support payment and make it a maximum amount of \$300 per month, rather than have it linked to income. This allows the men to have the opportunity to earn their way out of the problem, encouraging them to stay employed, rather than discouraging them as happens now.
- c) Olvide assets according to the income earned by each partner in the case of a divorce. Stop treating homemaker as if it were an income, its not. It is fair enough to assume a lady staying home in the first 4 yrs of a child's life, was earning the income she would have if she were working but certainly no more than that.

Please sign this petition if you want points a), b) and c) put into the law.

Name	Address	Age
Send to Kay Hull MP (Heading Family Law Review)		and Copy to Prime Minister.