

Recommendation to the House of Representatives Standing Committee on Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Affairs from the Indigenous Offender Health Research Capacity Building Group (IOHR-CBG)

That a national Reference Group on Justice Reinvestment be established. Membership might include –

DECEIVED 02 FEB 2010 BY: ATS 177

Members of the Australian Social Inclusion Board Federal and State Attorney Generals departments Mr Tom Calma (outgoing Social Justice Commissioner) Mr Mick Gooda (incoming Social Justice Commissioner) Representative/s from Federal and State Health departments Representative/s from Federal and State Education departments Representative/s from State Justice departments Economists (Treasury & academic institutions) Research institutions (providing evidence through research from demonstration sites) Incongruities in funding arrangements for diversionary programs: eg, funding for capital works comes from one source, but funding for salaries & programmes from another source.

Often no capacity within funding provided to do any evaluation, including long term cost-effectiveness in terms of prevention, of pilot diversionary programs.

Nowanup Farm – emerged from community, with support & benefit seen and utilised by the local judicial sector – however no sustained funding.

Winnunga Youth Diversion Program – received \$200,000 capital grant from ACT government, but no current funding for salaries to run the program – they need to get this from other sources.

Community-owned and operated Juvenile Justice Work Camp – trial pilot diversion program run by Kimberley Aboriginal Law & Cultural Centre from April to June 2009

Russ Taylor, Principal AIATSIS, visited this group in 2009. Co-ordinator, Wes Morris advises Russ that 13 youths who had been through the pilot program in 2009 re-offended over the Christmas period. KALCC have no capacity to undertake further formal diversionary work with them and fact that they reoffended is not about the failure of the program, it's that beyond June 2009 KALCC had no capacity to continue working in a case-management intensive way with these yougth.

They are in negotiations and having funding to evaluate their preventive programs, but no funding to evaluate their diversionary programs – told that community-owned diversionary programs will not be funded unless they can demonstrate strict cost-effectiveness and cost-benefit criteria, but they have no capacity to do this sort of analysis, as caught up in service provision aspects, with no capacity or skills to do evaluation.