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Notifications prefixed by an (*) appear for the first time.
B USINESS OF THE S ENATE

Notices of Motion

Notice given 1 March 2006

1 Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison): To move—That the following matter be referred to the Employment, Workplace Relations and Education References Committee for inquiry and report by 7 November 2006:

Sexual and reproductive health education in Australia, with particular reference to:

(a) the current state of school based sex education, with reference to the effectiveness of current arrangements in ensuring that all students have access to developmentally appropriate, comprehensive, inclusive, evidence based and medically accurate information which encompasses:
   (i) sexual development,
   (ii) non-exploitive sexual relationships, and
   (iii) reproductive health including the full range of contraceptive choices, safe sex practices and sexually transmitted infections (STIs);

(b) the importance of sex education in reducing unplanned pregnancies and abortions in Australia;

(c) the appropriate role and adequacy of training and support for teachers and school nurses providing sex education;

(d) the need for appropriate accountability mechanisms to ensure that sex education is consistent with good practice;

(e) the analysis of overseas sexuality and reproductive health education models and their potential application to the Australian context;

(f) the opportunities for current funding arrangements and agreements between the Commonwealth and state governments to better ensure access to comprehensive evidence based sexuality and reproductive health information;

(g) the need for a national strategy for lifelong sexuality and health education, with adequate funding for general public information and education campaigns on existing services and new and emerging issues such as new forms of contraception and outbreaks of STIs;

(h) the role of school based sex education, within a national coordinated approach to the sexual and reproductive health of the Australian population;

(i) the development of national guidelines for preventative sexual and reproductive health care for young people by general practitioners and other primary care providers; and

(j) the examination of the potential of school based or school linked health centres for providing sexuality and reproductive health education and health care for young people.
Notice given 11 May 2006

2 Senator Joyce: To move—That the Petroleum Retail Marketing Sites Amendment Regulations 2006 (No. 1), as contained in Select Legislative Instrument 2006 No. 73 and made under the Petroleum Retail Marketing Sites Act 1980, be disallowed.

Thirteen sitting days remain for resolving.**

** Indicates sitting days remaining, including today, within which the motion must be disposed of or the Regulations will be deemed to have been disallowed.

3 Senator Siewert: To move—


…the Department of Employment and Workplace Relations reassess which of the guidelines under the package are to be disallowable by the Parliament; that is, that it ensure key aspects of the guidelines be determined by disallowable instruments. This will ensure consistency in application as well as appropriate Parliamentary scrutiny. In particular, the Committee recommends that guidelines dealing with what constitutes unsuitable paid employment, special family circumstances, suitable and unsuitable activities for participation, and compliance issues are based on disallowable instruments.

(2) That the following matter be referred to the Community Affairs Legislation Committee for inquiry and report by 14 September 2006:

The extent and effectiveness of the following regulations made under the Social Security Act 1991 in giving effect to the recommendation of the Community Affairs Legislation Committee’s report:

(a) Social Security (Activity Agreement Requirements) (DEST) Determination 2006 [F2006L00390];
(b) Social Security (Activity Agreement Requirements) (DEWR) Determination 2006 [F2006L00338];
(c) Social Security (Activity Agreement Requirements) (FaCSIA) Determination 2006 [F2006L00348];
(d) Social Security (Prospective Determinations for Parenting Payment Recipients) (DEWR) Guidelines 2006 [F2006L00336];
(e) Social Security (Reasonable Excuse) (DEST) Determination 2006 [F2006L00397];
(f) Social Security (Reasonable Excuse) (DEWR) Determination 2006 [F2006L00340];
(g) Social Security (Reasonable Excuse) (FaCSIA) Determination 2006 [F2006L00350];
(h) Social Security (Special Circumstances relating to a Person’s Family) (DEWR) Determination 2006 [F2006L00339];
(i) Social Security (Special Circumstances relating to a Person’s Family) (FaCSIA) Determination 2006 [F2006L00349];
(j) Social Security (Unsuitable Work) (DEWR) Determination 2006 [F2006L00341]; and
(k) Social Security (Unsuitable Work) (FaCSIA) Determination 2006 [F2006L00347].

Notice given 14 June 2006

*4 Senators Ludwig, Stott Despoja and Nettle: To move—That the instrument made by the Governor-General on 13 June 2006 under subsection 35(2) of the Australian Capital Territory (Self-Government) Act 1988, disallowing the Civil Unions Act 2006 (ACT), be disallowed.

Fifteen sitting days remain for resolving.**

** Indicates sitting days remaining, including today, within which the motion must be disposed of or the instrument will be deemed to have been disallowed.

Orders of the Day

1 Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport Legislation Committee
   Report to be presented on the provisions of the Maritime Transport and Offshore Facilities Security Amendment (Security Plans and Other Measures) Bill 2006. (Referred pursuant to Selection of Bills Committee report.)

*2 Workplace Relations Regulations—Motion for disallowance

Nine sitting days remain for resolving.**

** Indicates sitting days remaining, including today, within which the motion must be disposed of or the Regulations will be deemed to have been disallowed.

GOVERNMENT BUSINESS

Notice of Motion

Notice given 13 June 2006

1 Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Defence (Senator Sandy Macdonald): To move—That, in accordance with section 5 of the Parliament Act 1974, the Senate approves the proposals by the National Capital Authority for capital works within the Parliamentary Zone, being the temporary installation of two sculptures at Questacon, Parkes Place, and improvements to the existing Lobby Cafe.
Orders of the Day

1 Employment and Workplace Relations Legislation Amendment (Welfare to Work and Other Measures) (Consequential Amendments) Bill 2006—(Minister for the Arts and Sport, Senator Kemp)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (14 June 2006).

2 Excise Laws Amendment (Fuel Tax Reform and Other Measures) Bill 2006
Excise Tariff Amendment (Fuel Tax Reform and Other Measures) Bill 2006
Customs Amendment (Fuel Tax Reform and Other Measures) Bill 2006
Customs Tariff Amendment (Fuel Tax Reform and Other Measures) Bill 2006—(Minister for the Arts and Sport, Senator Kemp)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (adjourned, Senator Kemp, 13 June 2006).

*3 Fuel Tax Bill 2006
Second reading—Adjourned debate (adjourned, Senator Abetz, 14 June 2006).

4 Families, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs and Other Legislation (2006 Budget and Other Measures) Bill 2006—(Minister for the Arts and Sport, Senator Kemp)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (adjourned, Senator Kemp, 13 June 2006).

5 Electoral and Referendum Amendment (Electoral Integrity and Other Measures) Bill 2006—(Minister for the Arts and Sport, Senator Kemp)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (adjourned, Senator Kemp, 13 June 2006).

6 Broadcasting Services Amendment (Subscription Television Drama and Community Broadcasting Licences) Bill 2006—(Senate bill)—(Minister for Justice and Customs, Senator Ellison)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (1 March 2006).

*7 Tax Laws Amendment (Medicare Levy and Medicare Levy Surcharge) Bill 2006—(Minister for Fisheries, Forestry and Conservation, Senator Abetz)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (adjourned, Senator Abetz, 14 June 2006).

8 Tax Laws Amendment (2006 Measures No. 2) Bill 2006—(Minister for the Arts and Sport, Senator Kemp)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (adjourned, Senator Kemp, 13 June 2006).

9 Export Market Development Grants Legislation Amendment Bill 2006—(Minister for the Arts and Sport, Senator Kemp)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (adjourned, Senator Kemp, 13 June 2006).

10 Age Discrimination Amendment Bill 2006—(Minister for the Arts and Sport, Senator Kemp)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (adjourned, Senator Kemp, 13 June 2006).

11 Plant Health Australia (Plant Industries) Funding Amendment Bill 2006—(Minister for the Arts and Sport, Senator Kemp)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (adjourned, Senator Kemp, 13 June 2006).
12 Fisheries Legislation Amendment (Foreign Fishing Offences) Bill 2006—
   (Minister for the Arts and Sport, Senator Kemp)
   Second reading—Adjourned debate (adjourned, Senator Kemp, 13 June 2006).

13 Energy Legislation Amendment Bill 2006—(Minister for the Arts and Sport,
   Senator Kemp)
   Second reading—Adjourned debate (adjourned, Senator Kemp, 13 June 2006).

14 Australian Trade Commission Legislation Amendment Bill 2006—(Minister
   for the Arts and Sport, Senator Kemp)
   Second reading—Adjourned debate (adjourned, Senator Kemp, 13 June 2006).

15 Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Heritage Protection Amendment Bill
   2005 [2006]—(Senate bill)
   Second reading—Adjourned debate (Minister for Fisheries, Forestry and
   Conservation (Senator Abetz), in continuation, 11 May 2006).

16 Occupational Health and Safety (Commonwealth Employment) Amendment
   Bill 2005—(Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and
   Administration, Senator Colbeck)
   Second reading—Adjourned debate (adjourned, Senator Colbeck, 5 September
   2005).

17 Health Insurance Amendment (Medical Specialists) Bill 2005—(Minister for
   Finance and Administration, Senator Minchin)
   Second reading—Adjourned debate (adjourned, Senator Minchin, 18 August
   2005).

18 Migration Amendment (Employer Sanctions) Bill 2006—(Senate bill)—
   (Minister for Finance and Administration, Senator Minchin)
   Second reading—Adjourned debate (29 March 2006).

19 Broadcasting Legislation Amendment Bill (No. 1) 2005 [2006]—(Senate bill)
   Second reading—Adjourned debate (23 June 2005).

20 Trade Practices Amendment (National Access Regime) Bill 2006—
   (Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Defence, Senator Sandy Macdonald)
   Second reading—Adjourned debate (adjourned, Senator Sandy Macdonald, 28
   February 2006).

21 Occupational Health and Safety (Commonwealth Employment) Amendment
   (Promoting Safer Workplaces) Bill 2005—(Parliamentary Secretary to the
   Minister for Finance and Administration, Senator Colbeck)
   Second reading—Adjourned debate (8 December 2005).

22 OHS and SRC Legislation Amendment Bill 2006—(Parliamentary Secretary to
   the Minister for Defence, Senator Sandy Macdonald)
   Second reading—Adjourned debate (adjourned, Senator Sandy Macdonald, 9 May
   2006).

23 Sex Discrimination Amendment (Teaching Profession) Bill 2004—(Minister
   for Fisheries, Forestry and Conservation, Senator Abetz)
   Second reading—Adjourned debate (adjourned, Senator Abetz, 14 June 2005).
24 Superannuation Legislation Amendment (Superannuation Safety and Other Measures) Bill 2005—(Minister for Finance and Administration, Senator Minchin)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (adjourned, Senator Minchin, 18 August 2005).

25 Budget statement and documents 2006-07
Adjourned debate on the motion of the Minister for Finance and Administration (Senator Minchin)—That the Senate take note of the statement and documents (adjourned, Minister for Fisheries, Forestry and Conservation (Senator Abetz), 11 May 2006).

26 Budget statement and documents 2005-06
Adjourned debate on the motion of the Minister for Finance and Administration (Senator Minchin)—That the Senate take note of the statement and documents (adjourned, Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration (Senator Colbeck), 12 May 2005).

ORDERS OF THE DAY RELATING TO COMMITTEE REPORTS AND GOVERNMENT RESPONSES AND AUDITOR-GENERAL’S REPORTS

Orders of the Day relating to Committee Reports and Government Responses

1 Community Affairs References Committee—Report—Workplace exposure to toxic dust
Adjourned debate on the motion of the chair of the committee (Senator Moore)—That the Senate take note of the report (Senator Moore, in continuation, 13 June 2006).

2 Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade—Joint Standing Committee—Report—Australia’s defence relations with the United States
Adjourned debate on the motion of the chair of the committee (Senator Ferguson)—That the Senate take note of the report (Senator Ferguson, in continuation, 13 June 2006).

3 Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade—Joint Standing Committee—Report—Visit to Australian Defence Forces deployed to support the rehabilitation of Iraq – Report of the delegation, 22 to 28 October 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of the chair of the committee (Senator Ferguson)—That the Senate take note of the report (Senator Ferguson, in continuation, 13 June 2006).

4 Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade—Joint Standing Committee—Report—Expanding Australia’s trade and investment relations with North America
Adjourned debate on the motion of the chair of the committee (Senator Ferguson)—That the Senate take note of the report (Senator Ferguson, in continuation, 13 June 2006).
5 Electoral Matters—Joint Standing Committee—Report—Funding and disclosure: Inquiry into disclosure of donations to political parties and candidates
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Carr—That the Senate take note of the report (Senator Murray, in continuation, 9 May 2006).

6 Intelligence and Security—Joint Statutory Committee—Report—Review of the listing of the Kurdistan Workers’ Party (PKK)
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Ferguson—That the Senate take note of the report (Senator Stott Despoja, in continuation, 9 May 2006).

7 Senators’ Interests—Standing Committee—Report 2/2006—Review of arrangements for registration of senators’ interests
Adjourned debate on the motion of the chair of the committee (Senator Webber)—That the recommendation on page 4 of Report 2/2006 of the Committee of Senators’ Interests be adopted (Senator Webber, in continuation, 9 May 2006).

Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Ray—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Ray, in continuation, 30 March 2006).

9 Community Affairs References Committee—Report—Response to the petition on gynaecological health issues
Adjourned debate on the motion of the chair of the committee (Senator Moore)—That the Senate take note of the report (Senator Moore, in continuation, 30 March 2006).

10 Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade References Committee—Report—China’s emergence: Implications for Australia
Adjourned debate on the motion of the chair of the committee (Senator Hutchins)—That the Senate take note of the report (Senator Hutchins, in continuation, 30 March 2006).

11 Mental Health—Select Committee—First report—A national approach to mental health - from crisis to community
Adjourned debate on the motion of the chair of the committee (Senator Allison)—That the Senate take note of the report (Senator Moore, in continuation, 30 March 2006).

12 Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts References Committee—Report—Living with salinity – a report on progress: The extent and economic impact of salinity in Australia
Adjourned debate on the motion of the chair of the committee (Senator Bartlett)—That the Senate take note of the report (adjourned, Senator Sterle, 28 March 2006).

13 Treaties—Joint Standing Committee—Report—Treaties tabled on 29 November 2005 (2)
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Wortley—That the Senate take note of the report (Senator Wortley, in continuation, 28 March 2006).
14 Community Affairs References Committee—Report entitled: Poverty and financial hardship—A hand up not a hand out: Renewing the fight against poverty—Government response
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—that the Senate take note of the document (adjourned, Senator Siewert, 27 March 2006).

15 Legal and Constitutional References Committee—Report—Administration and operation of the Migration Act 1958
Adjourned debate on the motion of the chair of the committee (Senator Crossin)—That the Senate take note of the report (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 2 March 2006).

Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Murray—that the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 2 March 2006).

Orders of the Day relating to Auditor-General’s reports

1 Auditor-General—Audit report no. 31 of 2005-06—Performance audit—Roads to Recovery: Department of Transport and Regional Services
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator O’Brien—that the Senate take note of the document (adjourned, Senator Kirk, 2 March 2006).

2 Auditor-General—Audit report no. 32 of 2005-06—Performance audit—Management of the tender process for the detention services contract: Department of Immigration and Multicultural Affairs
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Ludwig—that the Senate take note of the document (Senator Ludwig, in continuation, 2 March 2006).

3 Auditor-General—Audit report no. 33 of 2005-06—Performance audit—Administration of petroleum and tobacco excise collections follow-up audit: Australian Taxation Office
Consideration (2 March 2006).

4 Auditor-General—Audit report no. 34 of 2005-06—Performance audit—Advance passenger processing: Department of Immigration and Multicultural Affairs
Consideration (27 March 2006).

5 Auditor-General—Audit report no. 35 of 2005-06—Performance audit—The Australian Taxation Office’s administration of activity statement high risk refunds
Consideration (28 March 2006).

6 Auditor-General—Audit report no. 36 of 2005-06—Performance audit—Management of the Tiger Armed Reconnaissance Helicopter Project – Air 87: Department of Defence; Defence Materiel Organisation
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bishop—that the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bishop, in continuation, 9 May 2006).
7 Auditor-General—Audit report no. 37 of 2005-06—Performance audit—The management of infrastructure, plant and equipment assets
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bishop—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bishop, in continuation, 9 May 2006).

8 Auditor-General—Audit report no. 38 of 2005-06—Performance audit—The Australian Research Council’s management of research grants
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bishop—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bishop, in continuation, 9 May 2006).

9 Auditor-General—Audit report no. 39 of 2005-06—Performance audit—Artbank, Department of Communications, Information Technology and the Arts
Consideration (11 May 2006).

10 Auditor-General—Audit report no. 40 of 2005-06—Performance audit—Procurement of explosive ordnance for the Australian Defence Force (Army): Department of Defence; Defence Material Organisation
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bishop—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bishop, in continuation, 13 June 2006).

11 Auditor-General—Audit report no. 41 of 2005-06—Performance audit—Administration of Primary Care Funding Agreements: Department of Health and Ageing
Consideration (13 June 2006).

12 Auditor-General—Audit report no. 42 of 2005-06—Performance audit—Administration of the 30 per cent Private Health Insurance Rebate follow-up audit: Australian Taxation Office; Department of Health and Ageing; Medicare Australia
Consideration (13 June 2006).

13 Auditor-General—Audit report no. 43 of 2005-06—Performance audit—Assuring Centrelink payments – The role of the Random Sample Survey Programme: Department of Families, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs; Department of Employment and Workplace Relations; Department of Education, Science and Training; Centrelink
Consideration (13 June 2006).

Consideration (13 June 2006).

15 Auditor-General—Audit report no. 45 of 2005-06—Performance audit—Internet security in Australian government agencies
Consideration (13 June 2006).
Notices of Motion

Notice given 9 December 2004

56 Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown): To move—that the Senate calls on the Government to investigate the potential for a World Heritage nomination for Tasmania’s Tarkine wilderness.

Notice given 7 March 2005

80 Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison): To move—that the Senate—

(a) congratulates the Minister Assisting the Prime Minister for Women’s Issues on reaffirming at the United Nations, during the week beginning 27 February 2005, the Government’s ongoing commitment to the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action and its refusal to agree to proposals from the United States of America that would have explicitly omitted women’s right to safe and legal abortion;

(b) affirms reproductive health rights as fundamental human rights; and

(c) calls on the governments of other states and the Northern Territory to follow the Australian Capital Territory’s lead in removing pregnancy termination from the criminal code.

Notice given 10 May 2005

123 Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison): To move—that the Senate—

(a) affirms:

(i) its support for the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women and, in particular, Article 12 that refers to the need to ensure ‘access to health care services, including those related to family planning’,

(ii) the principle that health decisions should be made by those most closely involved with them, and

(iii) its respect for the right of women to make decisions regarding their fertility, including unplanned pregnancies, based on their life situations, personal values and beliefs;

(b) notes that in the Australian Survey of Social Attitudes (2003), 81.2 per cent of Australians agreed that women should have the right to choose an abortion. 9 per cent disagreed and 10 per cent were undecided;
(c) encourages:
   (i) the provision of unbiased, relevant and accurate information for women experiencing unwanted pregnancy, without coercion,
   (ii) accurate advice and support for women to act on their own values in making reproductive decisions, whether they be adoption, motherhood or termination of pregnancy, including non-directive, all-options counselling,
   (iii) improvements in the evaluation of, and access to, advice and support on contraceptive choices,
   (iv) measures to ensure a wide variety of contraceptive measures are accessible and affordable, and that the privacy of women and men accessing such measures is protected,
   (v) the more ready availability of emergency contraception from a variety of settings, and
   (vi) lifelong sexuality and health education;
(d) calls on the Government to work with state and territory governments to develop:
   (i) a thorough and inclusive national framework of evidence-based and age-appropriate sex education in all schools, and
   (ii) national standards for pregnancy counselling services; and
(e) supports the privacy of medical records for reproductive health, including abortion and access to Medicare rebates for termination services.

Notice given 11 May 2005

137 Senator Conroy: To move—That the order of the Senate providing for estimates hearings be amended by adding at the end of paragraph (2) the following:
“and (b) that officers of the ACCC responsible for communications matters, including telecommunications matters, are required to appear before the Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts Legislation Committee considering Budget estimates during May 2005”.

Notice given 16 June 2005

176 Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown): To move—That the Senate calls on the Government to bring Australia’s troops home from Iraq.

Notice given 17 August 2005

225 Senator Nettle: To move—That the Senate—
   (a) notes that:
      (i) Friday, 26 August 2005 is the 4th anniversary of the rescue of 433 asylum seekers by the MV _Tampa_, and
      (ii) 4 years later, 32 asylum seekers remain on Nauru as part of the Government’s cruel Pacific Solution; and
   (b) calls on the Government to bring the remaining asylum seekers still on Nauru to the Australian mainland.
Notice given 6 September 2005

240 Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison) and Senator McLucas:
   To move—That the Senate—
   (a) recognises that the United Nations (UN) Secretary General’s report on achieving the Millennium Development Goals, In larger freedom, calls on governments to ensure universal access to reproductive health services;
   (b) acknowledges that in January 2005 the Prime Minister (Mr Howard) reaffirmed the vision of the International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD) for human development, social justice, economic progress and environmental preservation and called on the international community, national governments and private philanthropic organisations to prioritise the ICPD Program of Action; and
   (c) recognises that access to sexual and reproductive health is also a critical strategy towards achieving gender equality and women’s empowerment, the third of the Millennium Development Goals.

(As amended on 8 September 2005.)

Notice given 8 September 2005

252 Senator Milne: To move—That the Senate—
   (a) notes that:
      (i) based on longstanding bipartisan policy as announced by the then Prime Minister, Mr Fraser, in May 1997 (Uranium—Australia’s Decision, Fraser Government, 24 May 1977), export of uranium from Australia is permitted, in the case of non-nuclear weapon states, only to those which are party to the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty and with which Australia has a bilateral safeguards agreement, and
      (ii) India is not a signatory to the treaty; and therefore
   (b) calls on the Government to immediately rule out the export of uranium from Australia to India in order to uphold our international obligations as a signatory to the treaty.

Notice of motion altered on 12 September 2005 pursuant to standing order 77.

Notice given 4 October 2005

268 Senator Carr: To move—That the Senate supports the maintenance of compulsory voting for federal elections.

Notice given 30 November 2005

339 Senator Lundy: To move—That the Senate condemns the Howard Government’s targeted attack on women in Australian society through its extreme industrial relations changes and the changes contained in the so-called welfare to work proposals.

340 Senator Sherry: To move—That there be laid on the table by the Minister representing the Treasurer, no later than 2.30 pm on Friday, 2 December 2005, all correspondence in relation to the nomination and appointment of Mr Robert Gerard to the Board of the Reserve Bank of Australia, from 1 January 2003 until 1 December 2005, between:
   (a) the Department of the Treasury and the Treasurer (Mr Costello);
(b) the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet and the Prime Minister (Mr Howard); and
(c) the Attorney-General (Mr Ruddock) and the Treasurer.

Notice given 2 December 2005

343 Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown): To move—That the Senate—
(a) abhors the hanging of Australian citizen Mr Nguyen Tuong Van in Singapore on Friday, 2 December 2005; and
(b) reiterates its opposition to the death penalty wherever in the world it is invoked.

Notice given 7 February 2006

364 Leader of the Family First Party (Senator Fielding): To move—That the time for the presentation of the report of the Community Affairs Legislation Committee on the Therapeutic Goods Amendment (Repeal of Ministerial responsibility for approval of RU486) Bill 2005 be extended to 16 February 2006.

Notice given 29 March 2006

410 Senator Ludwig: To move—That the Senate—
(a) condemns the Howard Government’s extreme workplace relations changes which took effect in the week beginning 26 March 2006, and the immediate adverse impact that they have had in undermining the employment security and conditions of all ten million working Australians; and
(b) calls on the Government to scrap its extreme workplace relations changes and turn its attention to those areas that will realise genuine productivity improvements, in particular fixing the nation’s skills shortage.

Notice given 30 March 2006

415 Senators Stott Despoja and Bartlett: To move—That the following bill be introduced: A Bill for an Act to amend the Marriage Act 1961 to provide for same-sex marriages, and for related purposes. Same-Sex Marriages Bill 2006.

Notice of motion altered on 14 June 2006 pursuant to standing order 77.

Notice given 13 June 2006

438 Senator Stott Despoja: To move—That the Senate—
(a) notes:
(i) the condemnation of the United States of America (US) military detention facility at Guantanamo Bay by British Attorney-General Lord Goldsmith and his call for the facility to be closed,
(ii) Lord Goldsmith’s comments that the US military tribunal system does not offer ‘sufficient guarantees of a fair trial in accordance with international standards’,
(iii) that a number of world leaders, including German Chancellor Angela Merkel, British Prime Minister Tony Blair and Danish Prime Minister Anders Fogh Rasmussen, have also called for the facility to be closed,
(iv) that, in February 2006, a report by the United Nations (UN) condemned the operation of Guantanamo Bay as a military detention facility, and in May 2006 the UN Committee against Torture called for the facility to be closed as it breaches international law,

(v) human rights groups including Amnesty International have repeatedly called for the facility to be closed,

(vi) the recent suicide of three Guantanamo Bay inmates,

(vii) the long history of the US Central Intelligence Agency’s use of invasive physiological and subtle psychological interrogation techniques against suspected national security threats as documented by American historian, Professor Alfred W McCoy, and

(viii) that South Australian David Hicks has now been held at Guantanamo Bay for more than 4 years and is awaiting trial under the commission process, pending a ruling on the legality of the process by the US Supreme Court; and

(b) calls on the Government to:

(i) acknowledge the criticism of Guantanamo Bay by international leaders and jurists,

(ii) join international calls for the Guantanamo Bay military facility to be closed, and

(iii) seek the repatriation of citizen David Hicks.

Senator Stott Despoja: To move—That there be laid on the table by the Minister Representing the Minister for Human Services (Senator Kemp), no later than the end of question time on 19 June 2006, the following documents:

(a) the Government’s Privacy Impact Statement on its smartcard proposal; and

(b) all privacy advice relating to the smartcard proposal obtained by the Government from Mr Nigel Waters (Pacific Privacy Consulting).

Senator Bartlett: To move—That the following bill be introduced: A Bill for an Act to prevent unreasonable impediments to entry to detention centres, and for related purposes. Migration Legislation Amendment (Appropriate Access to Detention Centres) Bill 2006.

Senator Bartlett: To move—That the following bill be introduced: A Bill for an Act to amend the Migration Act 1958 to make consequential provisions for returning excised offshore places to Australia’s migration zone, and for related purposes. Migration Legislation Amendment (Migration Zone Excision Repeal) (Consequential Provisions) Bill 2006.

Notice given 14 June 2006

Chair of the Joint Standing Committee on Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade (Senator Ferguson): To move—That—

(a) the Defence sub-committee of the Joint Standing Committee on Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade be authorised to hold a public meeting during the sitting of the Senate on Friday, 16 June 2006, from 9.30 am to 11.15 am, to take evidence for the committee’s inquiry into the review of the Defence annual report 2004-05; and
(b) the Trade sub-committee of the Joint Standing Committee on Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade be authorised to hold a public meeting during the sitting of the Senate on Friday, 16 June 2006, from 11.45 am to 4 pm, to take evidence for the committee’s inquiry into the review of the Australia-New Zealand Closer Economic Relations Trade Agreement.

*445 Chair of the Employment, Workplace Relations and Education References Committee (Senator Marshall): To move—That the time for the presentation of the report of the Employment, Workplace Relations and Education References Committee on Pacific region seasonal contract labour be extended to 18 October 2006.

*446 Senator Watson: To move—That the Joint Committee of Public Accounts and Audit be authorised to hold public meetings during the sitting of the Senate as follows:

(a) on Friday, 16 June 2006, from 9.30 am to 3.30 pm, to take evidence for the committee’s inquiry into certain taxation matters;

(b) on Thursday, 22 June 2006, from 10 am to noon, to take evidence for the committee’s inquiry into financial reporting and equipment acquisition at the Department of Defence and Defence Materiel Organisation; and

(c) on Friday, 23 June 2006, from 10 am to 4 pm, to take evidence for the committee’s review of Auditor-General’s reports.

*447 Chair of the Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade Legislation Committee (Senator Johnston): To move—That the Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade Legislation Committee be authorised to hold a public meeting during the sitting of the Senate on Monday, 19 June 2006, from 4.30 pm, to take evidence for the committee’s inquiry into the implementation of recommendations on Australia’s military justice system.

*448 Chair of the Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts References Committee (Senator Bartlett): To move—That the Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts References Committee be authorised to hold a public meeting during the sitting of the Senate on Friday, 16 June 2006, from 9 am, to take evidence for the committee’s inquiry into Australia’s national parks.

*450 Chair of the Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport References Committee (Senator Siewert): To move—That the time for the presentation of the report of the Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport References Committee on water policy initiatives be extended to 30 November 2006.

*451 Senator Siewert: To move—That the Senate—

(a) recognises that unregulated high seas bottom trawling is inconsistent with international law as recognised in the United Nations (UN) Convention on the Law of the Sea;

(b) commends the Government for its initiatives in developing long-term governance arrangements to address destructive fishing practices such as illegal, unregulated and unreported fishing and high sea bottom trawling;

(c) calls on the Government to report on its actions to inform a review of progress and future recommendations to address the destructive impacts on deep sea ecosystems, as requested by the UN, and which was to have been provided by 1 May 2006;
(d) notes that:

(i) these governance measures will take time to develop and implement and the need, therefore, for interim short-term measures, such as a global moratorium on high seas bottom trawling, and

(ii) the UN General Assembly will consider a proposal for a global moratorium on high seas bottom trawling in October or November 2006; and

(e) calls on the Government to support interim measures to address the destructive impacts of bottom trawling on deep sea ecosystems while long-term governance measures are put in place.

*452 Senator Bartlett: To move—That the following bill be introduced: A Bill for an Act to amend the Migration Act 1958 to return excised offshore places to Australia’s migration zone, and for related purposes. Migration Legislation Amendment (Migration Zone Excision Repeal) Bill 2006.

*453 Senator Nettle: To move—That the Senate—

(a) notes:

(i) the increasing conflict in West Papua and the systematic abuse of the human rights of West Papuans by the Indonesian military and police,

(ii) that many of the same officers that orchestrated the violence during the Indonesian occupation of East Timor are now in West Papua, and

(iii) that, despite the extensive evidence of crimes against humanity in East Timor under Indonesian occupation, no members of the Indonesian military have been prosecuted;

(b) expresses concern at ongoing Australian military cooperation with Indonesia while these human rights abuses continue; and

(c) calls on the Government to suspend negotiations on a new security treaty with Indonesia until Indonesian military members involved in human rights abuses are prosecuted.

*454 Senator Nettle: To move—That the Senate—

(a) notes that:

(i) Thursday, 15 June 2006 is World Elder Abuse Awareness Day aimed at promoting a better understanding of abuse and neglect of older persons,

(ii) the United Nations International Plan of Action on Ageing recognises the significance of elder abuse as a public health and human rights issue,

(iii) no community or country in the world, including Australia, is immune from this costly public health and human rights crisis, and

(iv) Australia’s seniors are valued members of society and it is our collective responsibility to ensure they live safely and with dignity; and

(b) calls on the Government to support initiatives that will ensure:

(i) the safety of elder Australians in their homes, in aged care facilities, and in the wider community, and

(ii) that elder Australians have access to adequate food, housing standards and medical care.
Orders of the Day relating to Government Documents

1 Northern Territory Fisheries Joint Authority—Report for 2002-03
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Siewert—that the Senate take note of
   the document (Senator O’Brien, in continuation, 2 March 2006).

2 Department of Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—
   Report for 2004-05
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Crossin—that the Senate take note of
   the document (Senator Forshaw, in continuation, 2 March 2006).

3 Department of Defence—Report for 2004-05
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—that the Senate take note
   of the document (adjourned, Senator Bartlett, 9 February 2006).

4 Migration Agents Registration Authority—Report for 2004-05
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—that the Senate take note of
   the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 9 February 2006).

5 Australia-China Council—Report for 2004-05
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Marshall—that the Senate take note
   of the document (Senator Marshall, in continuation, 9 February 2006).

6 Bilateral treaty—Text of the proposed treaty action together with the national
   interest analysis and annexures—Agreement between the Government of
   Australia and the Government of the Republic of Turkey for the Promotion
   and Protection of Investments, done at Canberra on 16 June 2005
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—that the Senate take note of
   the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 9 February 2006).

   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—that the Senate take note of
   the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 9 February 2006).

8 Aboriginal Land Commissioner—Report for 2004-05
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—that the Senate take note of
   the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 9 February 2006).

9 Aboriginal Legal Rights Movement Inc.—Native Title Unit—Report
   for 2004-05
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—that the Senate take note of
   the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 9 February 2006).

10 North Queensland Land Council Native Title Representative Body Aboriginal
    Corporation—Report for 2004-05
    Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—that the Senate take note of
    the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 9 February 2006).

11 Torres Strait Regional Authority—Report for 2004-05
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—that the Senate take note of
   the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 9 February 2006).
12 Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission—Report of an inquiry into a complaint by Mr Zacharias Manongga Consul for the Northern Territory, Consul of the Republic of Indonesia that the human rights of Indonesian fishers detained on vessels in Darwin Harbour were breached by the Commonwealth of Australia (HREOC report no. 31)
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 9 February 2006).

13 Australian Rail Track Corporation Limited (ARTC)—Report for 2004-05

14 Multilateral treaty—Text of the proposed treaty action together with the national interest analysis and annexures—Amendments, done at Nairobi, Kenya on 25 November 2005, to Appendices I and II of the Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, done at Bonn on 23 June 1979
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 9 February 2006).

15 Australian Taxation Office—Government Co-contribution Scheme—Quarterly report for the period 1 July to 30 September 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 9 February 2006).

Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 9 February 2006).

17 Natural Heritage Trust—Report for 2004-05
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Milne—That the Senate take note of the document (adjourned, Senator Siewert, 9 February 2006).

18 Centrelink and the Data-Matching Agency—Data-matching program—Report on progress 2004-05
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stott Despoja—That the Senate take note of the document (adjourned, Senator Bartlett, 9 February 2006).

19 National Native Title Tribunal—Report for 2004-05
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stott Despoja—That the Senate take note of the document (adjourned, Senator Bartlett, 9 February 2006).

20 National Rural Advisory Council—Report for 2001-02, including a report on the Rural Adjustment Scheme
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stott Despoja—That the Senate take note of the document (adjourned, Senator Bartlett, 9 February 2006).

21 National Rural Advisory Council—Report for 2002-03
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stott Despoja—That the Senate take note of the document (adjourned, Senator Bartlett, 9 February 2006).
22 Private Health Insurance Administration Council—Report for 2004-05
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stott Despoja—That the Senate take note of the document (adjourned, Senator Bartlett, 9 February 2006).

23 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Government response to the Commonwealth Ombudsman’s reports—Personal identifiers 003/05 to 013/05 and 015/05, 7 February 2006
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

24 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—Personal identifier 003/05, 4 November 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

25 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—Personal identifier 004/05, 21 November 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

26 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—Personal identifier 005/05, 4 November 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

27 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—Personal identifier 006/05, 21 November 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

28 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—Personal identifier 007/05, 21 November 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

29 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—Personal identifier 008/05, 21 November 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

30 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—Personal identifier 009/05, 25 November 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).
31 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—Personal identifier 010/05, 25 November 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

32 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—Personal identifier 011/05, 4 November 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

33 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—Personal identifier 012/05, 4 November 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

34 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—Personal identifier 013/05, 25 November 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

35 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—Personal identifier 015/05, 4 November 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Crossin—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Crossin, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

38 Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Social Justice Commissioner—Report for 2005—Native Title (Report no. 4/2005)
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

40 Indigenous Land Corporation—Report for 2004-05
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).
41 Wreck Bay Aboriginal Community Council—Report for 2004-05
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

42 Cape York Land Council Aboriginal Corporation—Report for 2004-05
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

43 Ngaanyatjarra Council (Aboriginal Corporation)—Report for 2004-05
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

44 Customs Act 1901—Customs (Prohibited Exports) Regulations 1958—Permissions granted under regulation 7 for the period 1 July to 31 December 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Watson—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 1 March 2006).

46 Australian Political Exchange Council—Report for 2004-05
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 1 March 2006).

47 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—Personal identifier 014/05, 1 December 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 1 March 2006).

48 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—Personal identifier 016/05, 1 December 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 1 March 2006).

49 Foreign Investment Review Board—Report for 2004-05
Consideration (27 March 2006).

50 Department of Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—Digital Television Regulatory Framework—Reports on reviews, February 2006
Consideration (27 March 2006).

51 Department of Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—Protection visa processing taking more than 90 days—Report for the period 1 July to 31 October 2005
Consideration (28 March 2006).
52 Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—Report for 2003-04—
Corrigendum
Consideration (28 March 2006).

53 Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—Report for 2004-05—
Corrigendum
Consideration (28 March 2006).

54 Australian Taxation Office—Government Co-contribution Scheme—
Quarterly report for the period 1 October to 31 December 2005
Consideration (28 March 2006).

55 Australian Government Actuary—Costs of the Australian Government’s
Run-Off Cover Scheme for medical indemnity insurers—Report for 2004-05
Consideration (28 March 2006).

56 Australian Meat and Live-stock Industry Act 1997—Live-stock mortalities for
exports by sea—Report for the period 1 July to 31 December 2005
Consideration (28 March 2006).

57 Queensland Fisheries Joint Authority—Report for 2003-04
Consideration (28 March 2006).

58 National Occupational Health and Safety Commission—Report for the period
1 July to 31 December 2005 [Final]
Consideration (28 March 2006).

59 Indigenous Business Australia—Corporate plan 2006-2008
Consideration (28 March 2006).

60 Australian Competition and Consumer Commission—Telstra’s compliance
with price control arrangements—Report for 2004-05
Consideration (28 March 2006).

61 Surveillance Devices Act 2004—Report for the period 15 December 2004 to
30 June 2005 on the operation of the Act
Consideration (28 March 2006).

62 Bilateral treaty—Text of the proposed treaty action together with the national
interest analysis and annexures—Agreement between the Government of
Australia and the Government of the Republic of Indonesia for Cooperation
in Scientific Research and Technological Development, done at Jakarta on
11 July 2005
Consideration (28 March 2006).

63 Bilateral treaty—Text of the proposed treaty action together with the national
interest analysis and annexures—Agreement between the Government of
Australia and the Government of New Zealand in relation to mutual
recognition of securities offerings (Melbourne, 22 February 2006)
Consideration (28 March 2006).
64 Bilateral treaty—Text of the proposed treaty action together with the national interest analysis and annexures—Exchange of Letters constituting an Agreement between the Government of Australia and the Government of New Zealand to Amend Article 3 of the Australia New Zealand Closer Economic Relations Trade Agreement (ANZCERTA) of 28 March 1983
Consideration (28 March 2006).

65 Multilateral treaty—Text of the proposed treaty action together with the national interest analysis and annexures—International Convention on Civil Liability for Bunker Oil Pollution Damage, 2001
Consideration (28 March 2006).

Consideration (28 March 2006).

67 Multilateral treaty—Text of the proposed treaty action together with the national interest analysis and annexures—Agreement for Establishment of the Global Crop Diversity Trust, done at Rome on 1 April 2004
Consideration (28 March 2006).

68 Multilateral treaty—Text of the proposed treaty action together with the national interest analysis and annexures—Agreement Establishing the Pacific Islands Forum, done at Port Moresby on 27 October 2005
Consideration (28 March 2006).

Consideration (28 March 2006).

70 Migration Act 1958—Section 440A—Conduct of Refugee Review Tribunal reviews not completed within 90 days—Report for the period 1 July to 31 October 2005
Consideration (29 March 2006).

Consideration (29 March 2006).

72 Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency—Quarterly report for the period 1 July to 30 September 2005
Consideration (29 March 2006).

73 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Government response to the Commonwealth Ombudsman’s reports—Personal identifiers 017/05 to 019/05 and 020/06 to 048/06, 28 March 2006
Consideration (29 March 2006).
74 *Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Reports by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—Personal identifiers 017/05 to 019/05 and 020/06 to 048/06*
75 National Rural Advisory Council—Report for 2004-05
   Consideration (29 March 2006).
76 Wheat Export Authority—Report for 1 October 2004 to 30 September 2005
   Consideration (29 March 2006).
77 Australia–Indonesia Institute—Report for 2004-05
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stott Despoja—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stott Despoja, in continuation, 10 May 2006).
78 *Telecommunications (Interception) Act 1979—Report for 2004-05 on the operations of the Act*
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stott Despoja—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stott Despoja, in continuation, 10 May 2006).
79 *Australian Agency for International Development (AusAID)—Australian Aid: Promoting growth and stability—White paper*
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stott Despoja—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stott Despoja, in continuation, 10 May 2006).
80 *Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Government response to the Commonwealth Ombudsman’s reports—Personal identifiers 049/06 to 055/06, 9 May 2006*
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 10 May 2006).
81 *Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Reports by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—Personal identifiers 049/06 to 055/06*
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 10 May 2006).
82 Department of Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—Protection visa processing taking more than 90 days—Report for the period 1 November 2005 to 28 February 2006
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 14 June 2006).
83 *Australian Livestock Export Corporation Limited (Livecorp)—Report for 2004-05*
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 14 June 2006).
84 *Interactive Gambling Act 2001—Report for 2005 on the operation of the prohibition on interactive gambling operations*
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 14 June 2006).
85 Australian Taxation Office—Government Co-contribution Scheme—Quarterly report for the period 1 January to 31 March 2006
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 14 June 2006).

Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 14 June 2006).

87 Roads to Recovery Programme—Report for 2004-05
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 14 June 2006).

*88 Northern Territory Fisheries Joint Authority—Report for 2004-05
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Siewert—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Siewert, in continuation, 14 June 2006).

Orders of the Day

1 Anti-Genocide Bill 1999 [2004]—(Senate bill)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

2 Charter of Political Honesty Bill 2000 [2004]—(Senate bill)—(Senator Murray)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

3 Constitution Alteration (Appropriations for the Ordinary Annual Services of the Government) 2001 [2004]—(Senate bill)—(Senators Murray and Stott Despoja)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

4 Constitution Alteration (Electors’ Initiative, Fixed Term Parliaments and Qualification of Members) 2000 [2004]—(Senate bill)—(Senator Murray)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).
(This bill may be considered together with the Electoral Amendment (Political Honesty) Bill 2003 [2004] during the second reading debate, order of 13 October 2005.)

5 Defence Amendment (Parliamentary approval for Australian involvement in overseas conflicts) Bill 2003 [2004]—(Senate bill)—(Senators Bartlett and Stott Despoja)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (Senator Bishop, in continuation, 10 February 2005) (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

6 Electoral Amendment (Political Honesty) Bill 2003 [2004]—(Senate bill)—(Senator Murray)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).
(This bill may be considered together with the Constitution Alteration (Electors’ Initiative, Fixed Term Parliaments and Qualification of Members) 2000 [2004] during the second reading debate, order of 13 October 2005.)
7 Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Amendment (Invasive Species) Bill 2002 [2004]—(Senate bill)—(Senator Bartlett)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

8 Euthanasia Laws (Repeal) Bill 2004—(Senate bill)—(Leader of the Australian Democrats, Senator Allison)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

11 Genetic Privacy and Non-discrimination Bill 1998 [2004]—(Senate bill)—(Senator Stott Despoja)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

12 Ministers of State (Post-Retirement Employment Restrictions) Bill 2002 [2004]—(Senate bill)—(Senators Stott Despoja and Murray)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

14 Patents Amendment Bill 1996 [2004]—(Senate bill)—(Senator Stott Despoja)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

15 Parliamentary Approval of Treaties Bill 1995 [2004]—(Senate bill)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

16 Public Interest Disclosure (Protection of Whistleblowers) Bill 2002 [2004]—(Senate bill)—(Senator Murray)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

17 Reconciliation Bill 2001 [2004]—(Senate bill)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

18 Republic (Consultation of the People) Bill 2001 [2004]—(Senate bill)—(Senator Stott Despoja)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

19 Sexuality Anti-Vilification Bill 2003 [2004]—(Senate bill)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

20 Sexuality and Gender Identity Discrimination Bill 2003 [2004]—(Senate bill)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

21 State Elections (One Vote, One Value) Bill 2001 [2004]—(Senate bill)—(Senator Murray)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).
22 Textbook Subsidy Bill 2003 [2004]—(Senate bill)—(Senator Stott Despoja)  
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

23 Uranium Mining in or near Australian World Heritage Properties (Prohibition) Bill 1998 [2004]—(Senate bill)—(Leader of the Australian Democrats, Senator Allison)  
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

24 Workplace Relations Amendment (Paid Maternity Leave) Bill 2002 [2004]—(Senate bill)—(Senator Stott Despoja)  
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

25 Communications—Telstra  
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Conroy—That the Senate—  
(a) notes that:  
(i) the Government has failed to ensure that telecommunications service standards are up to scratch in rural and regional Australia,  
(ii) the chief of the Government’s telecommunications inquiry, Mr Dick Estens, has said that telecommunications services in the bush remain a ‘shemozzle’, and  
(iii) selling Telstra will cost the budget $255 million over the next 4 years; and  
(b) calls on the Government to keep Telstra in majority public ownership to ensure reliable telecommunications services for all Australians (Senator Heffernan, in continuation, 18 November 2004).

26 Criminal Code Amendment (Workplace Death and Serious Injury) Bill 2004—(Senate bill)—(Senator Nettle)  
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 30 November 2004).

27 Constitution Alteration (Right to Stand for Parliament—Qualification of Members and Candidates) 1998 (No. 2) [2004]—(Senate bill)—(Leader of the Australian Greens, Senator Bob Brown)  
In committee (committee to consider the bill as reported by the committee of the whole on 15 May 2003)—(restored pursuant to resolution of 1 December 2004).

28 Senate Voters’ Choice (Preference Allocation) Bill 2004—(Senate bill)—(Leader of the Australian Greens, Senator Bob Brown)  
Second reading—Adjourned debate (Senator Bob Brown, in continuation, 9 December 2004).

29 Flags Amendment (Eureka Flag) Bill 2004—(Senate bill)—(Senator Marshall)  
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 9 December 2004).

31 Economy  
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Ludwig—That the Senate—  
(a) notes the deterioration in the economy including the record current account deficit of 7.1 per cent of gross domestic product (GDP), record net foreign debt of $422 billion, the negative household savings ratio and among the lowest GDP growth rates of the advanced economies; and
(b) calls on the Government:
   (i) to acknowledge that there are severe economic imbalances in the economy that threaten to push interest rates still higher,
   (ii) to implement policies that will lift the productive potential of the economy,
   (iii) to invest in skills development to ease skill shortages which are now at 20 year highs, and
   (iv) to support infrastructure investment to ease capacity constraints and inflation pressures and promote exports (Senator Lundy, in continuation, 10 March 2005).

32 **Spyware Bill 2005**—(*Senate bill*)
   Second reading—Adjourned debate (12 May 2005).

33 **Family and Community Services**—Welfare reform
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Wong—That the Senate notes the Howard Government’s cuts to the incomes of the most vulnerable families in Australia, its introduction of a parents’ dole and a disability dole, and its failure to effectively tackle the need for real welfare reform (12 May 2005).

34 **Migration Amendment (Act of Compassion) Bill 2005**
   **Migration Amendment (Mandatory Detention) Bill 2005**—(*Senate bills*)—
   (Senator Nettle and the Leader of the Australian Greens, Senator Bob Brown)
   Second reading—Adjourned debate (Senator Nettle, in continuation, 16 June 2005).

36 **Taxation Laws Amendment (Scholarships) Bill 2005**—(*Senate bill*)—
   (Senator Stott Despoja)
   Second reading—Adjourned debate (Senator Stott Despoja, in continuation, 21 June 2005).

38 **Truth in Food Labelling Bill 2003 [2005]**—(*Senate bill*)—(Leader of the Australian Greens, Senator Bob Brown)
   Second reading—Adjourned debate (Minister for the Arts and Sport (Senator Kemp), in continuation, 15 September 2005) (restored pursuant to resolution of 11 August 2005).

39 **Employment**—Skills shortages—Training policies
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator George Campbell—That the Senate notes that:
   (a) the Howard Government’s training policies since 1996 have contributed to Australia’s current skills shortages in the traditional trades; and
   (b) the Government’s inaction in addressing this national skills crisis is hurting Australian businesses, families, young people and the economy (Senator Moore, in continuation, 11 August 2005).
40 Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—Ministerial responsibility
Adjourner debate on the motion of Senator Ludwig—That the Senate expresses its deep concern that the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs (Senator Vanstone) has failed to take responsibility for the Department of Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs despite the ongoing revelations and rising financial and human cost of the portfolio mismanagement (18 August 2005).

41 36th Presiding Officers and Clerks Conference, APIA, Samoa, 11 to 15 July 2005 and ancillary meetings—Report by Deputy President Senate John Hogg and Deputy Speaker the Honourable Ian Causley MP, dated August 2005
Adjourner debate on the motion of Senator Ferguson—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Ferguson, in continuation, 6 September 2005).

42 Parliament—Senate—Processes and procedures
Adjourner debate on the motion of Senator Carr—That the Senate condemns the Government’s arrogant abuse of its Senate majority in subverting the Senate’s processes and procedures (Minister for Ageing (Senator Santoro), in continuation, 8 September 2005).

43 Enhancements to the Australian Defence Force military justice system, 5 October 2005—Ministerial statement
Adjourner debate on the motion of Senator Bishop—That the Senate take note of the statement (Senator Hogg, in continuation, 5 October 2005).

44 Australian electoral system
Adjourner debate on the motion of Senator Carr—That the Senate opposes attempts by the Government to restrict the franchise and reduce the transparency of the Australian electoral system (Minister for Fisheries, Forestry and Conservation (Senator Abetz), in continuation, 6 October 2005).

45 Parliamentary Charter of Rights and Freedoms Bill 2001 [2005]—(Senate bill)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 9 November 2005).

46 Law and Justice—Australia’s border security
Adjourner debate on the motion of Senator O’Brien—That the Senate notes the incompetence of the Howard Government in its failure to protect Australia’s border security, quarantine and environmental integrity and its fishery resource in northern Australia and northern Australian waters (Senator Ronaldson, in continuation, 10 November 2005).

48 Community Affairs References Committee—Children in institutional care—States and territories—Response—Letters to the President of the Senate, responding to the resolutions of the Senate of 29 December 2005, from the—
Minister for Family and Community Services (Northern Territory) (Ms Lawrie), dated 9 January 2006
Premier of Queensland (Mr Beattie), dated 22 December 2005
Premier of Victoria (Mr Bracks), dated 23 December 2005
Adjourner debate on the motion of Senator Murray—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Murray, in continuation, 7 February 2006).
Administration—Government accountability

Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator O’Brien—That the Senate notes that:

(a) over a decade in office the Howard Government has established a new low for government integrity and accountability; and

(b) the Howard Government’s record is littered with scandals involving rorts, waste and incompetence (2 March 2006).

Protecting Children from Junk Food Advertising Bill 2006—(Senate bill)—
(Leader of the Australian Democrats, Senator Allison)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 30 March 2006).

Family and Community Services—Child care

Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Lundy—That the Senate condemns the Howard Government for ignoring in the Budget the urgent needs of parents struggling with the cost, availability and quality of child care, noting:

(a) the incompetence of the Howard Government in allocating $60 million for child care places that will never be delivered given that there are already 100 000 unallocated places due mainly to the shortage of child care professionals;

(b) the failure to bring forward the 30 per cent rebate on out-of-pocket child care expenses despite criticism of the rebate from the Government’s own backbench and the fact that child care fees are rising far in excess of other goods and services; and

(c) that parents who cannot find child care, cannot work, adding to the skills shortage—(Minister for the Arts and Sport (Senator Kemp), in continuation, 11 May 2006).

-----------------------------

BUSINESS FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION

On 19 June 2006

Business of the Senate—Order of the Day

1 Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts Legislation Committee
Report to be presented on the provisions of the Do Not Call Register Bill 2006 and the Do Not Call Register (Consequential Amendments) Bill 2006.

On 20 June 2006

Business of the Senate—Notices of Motion

Notice given 9 May 2006

1 Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown): To move—that the following matters be referred to the Community Affairs References Committee for inquiry and report by 8 August 2006:
The role of the Exclusive Brethren in:

(a) family breakdown and psychological and emotional effects related to the practice of excommunication or other practices;
(b) Australian politics and political activities, including donations to political parties or other political entities and funding specific advertising campaigns;
(c) the receipt of funding from the Federal Government or other political entities;
(d) taxation and other special arrangements or exemptions from Australian law that relate to Exclusive Brethren businesses;
(e) special arrangements and exemptions from Australian law that relate to Exclusive Brethren schools, military service and voting; and
(f) any related matters.

Notice of motion altered on 9 June 2006 pursuant to standing order 77.

Notice given 13 June 2006

Senator Milne: To move—that the following matter be referred to the Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts References Committee for inquiry and report by 31 March 2007:

Australia’s future sustainable and secure energy supply, with particular reference to:

(a) short-, medium- and long-term greenhouse gas abatement targets and energy emissions intensity goals;
(b) relevant existing and emerging technologies that are likely to make a significant contribution to reducing greenhouse gas emissions following life-cycle analysis and benchmarked against biodiversity, safety and regional security considerations;
(c) the mix of energy supply and energy use efficiency options that could feasibly meet Australia’s energy intensity requirements;
(d) identification of preferred energy options taking into consideration factors including, but not limited to, cost, reliability, safety, security, regional development and sustainability;
(e) identification of policy adjustments required to stimulate energy markets to develop the preferred options at least cost; and
(f) any other related matters.

Business of the Senate—Orders of the Day

1 Community Affairs References Committee
Report to be presented on petrol sniffing in remote Aboriginal communities.

2 Legislation Committees
Reports to be presented on the 2006-07 Budget estimates.
Notice of Motion

Notice given 14 June 2006

Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown): To move—That the Senate—

(a) notes that:
   (i) microcredit is a particularly effective and sustainable means of eradicating poverty,
   (ii) microcredit borrowers, particularly women, generate income that allows them to feed, clothe, educate and care for the health of their children,
   (iii) to date, 66.6 million people in the world have been reached with microcredit services,
   (iv) Goal 1 of the Millennium Development Goals (MDG) seeks to eradicate poverty, while its 2015 target is to reduce by half the number of people living on less that $1 per day,
   (v) if the new Microcredit Summit goal of having 175 million of the world’s poorest families receiving microcredit was reached by 2015, then nearly half the MDG target would be met,
   (vi) Australia spent $14.5 million on microcredit in the 2005-06 aid budget which is 0.6 per cent of the aid budget, and
   (vii) the United States of America, which has funded microcredit longer than most donor countries has established an international benchmark for microcredit spending, being 1.25 per cent of the aid budget; and

(b) urges the Government to:
   (i) agree to support the new Microcredit Summit goal of having 175 million of the world’s poorest receiving microcredit by 2015 as a means of achieving the MDGs, and
   (ii) increase the proportion of money it allocates to microcredit to 1.25 per cent of the budget.

On 21 June 2006

Business of the Senate—Orders of the Day

1 Economics Legislation Committee
   Report to be presented on the provisions of the Petroleum Resource Rent Tax Assessment Amendment Bill 2006 and the Petroleum Resource Rent Tax (Instalment Transfer Interest Charge Imposition) Bill 2006. (Referred pursuant to Selection of Bills Committee report.)

2 Economics Legislation Committee
   Report to be presented on the provisions of the Tax Laws Amendment (2006 Measures No. 3) Bill 2006. (Referred pursuant to Selection of Bills Committee report.)
On 22 June 2006

General Business—Notice of Motion

Notice given 13 October 2005

298 Senator Stott Despoja: To move—That the following bill be introduced: A Bill for an Act to amend the Privacy Act 1988 to provide for the uniform application of the Act and to remove from the Act the exemption for political acts and practices, and for related purposes. Privacy (Equality of Application) Amendment Bill 2005.

By the last sitting day in June 2006 (23 June 2006)

Business of the Senate—Orders of the Day

1 Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport Legislation Committee
   Report to be presented on the National Animal Welfare Bill 2005. (Referred pursuant to Selection of Bills Committee report.)

2 Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport References Committee
   Report to be presented on water policy initiatives.

General Business—Order of the Day

35 National Animal Welfare Bill 2005—(Senate bill)—(Senator Bartlett)
   Second reading—Adjourned debate (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 20 June 2005).

Six sitting days after today (23 June 2006)

Business of the Senate—Notice of Motion

Notice given 27 March 2006

   Seven sitting days remain for resolving.**

** Indicates sitting days remaining, including today, within which the motion must be disposed of or the Guidelines will be deemed to have been disallowed.
On 16 August 2006

Business of the Senate—Notice of Motion

Notice given 11 May 2006


Thirteen sitting days remain for resolving.**

** Indicates sitting days remaining, including today, within which the motion must be disposed of or the Regulations will be deemed to have been disallowed.

On 17 August 2006

Business of the Senate—Notice of Motion

Notice given 13 June 2006

1 Senator Conroy: To move—That the following legislative instruments be disallowed:

(a) the Telecommunications (Operational Separation – Designated Services) Determination (No. 1) 2005, made under subclause 50A(1) of Schedule 1 to the Telecommunications Act 1997; and

(b) the Telecommunications (Requirements for Operational Separation Plan) Determination (No. 1) 2005, made under paragraph 51(1)(d) of Schedule 1 to the Telecommunications Act 1997.

Fourteen sitting days remain for resolving.**

** Indicates sitting days remaining, including today, within which the motion must be disposed of or the determinations will be deemed to have been disallowed.

Business of the Senate—Orders of the Day

1 Employment, Workplace Relations and Education References Committee

Report to be presented on Pacific region seasonal contract labour.

2 Community Affairs Legislation Committee

Report to be presented on the Transparent Advertising and Notification of Pregnancy Counselling Services Bill 2005. (Referred pursuant to Selection of Bills Committee report.)

General Business—Order of the Day

37 Transparent Advertising and Notification of Pregnancy Counselling Services Bill 2005—(Senate bill)—(Senator Stott Despoja)

Second reading—Adjourned debate (Senator Stott Despoja, in continuation, 23 June 2005).
By the first sitting day in September 2006 (4 September 2006)

Business of the Senate—Order of the Day

1 Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts
   References Committee
   Report to be presented on women in sport and recreation in Australia.

Fourteen sitting days after today (4 September 2006)

Business of the Senate—Notices of Motion

Notice given 14 June 2006

*1 Chairman of the Standing Committee on Regulations and Ordinances
   (Senator Watson): To move—That the Airworthiness Directive Part 39 - 105 –
   AD/750XL/6: Centre Console Wiring Loom, made under subregulation 39(1) of
   the Civil Aviation Safety Regulations 1998, be disallowed.
   Fifteen sitting days remain for resolving.**

*2 Chairman of the Standing Committee on Regulations and Ordinances
   (Senator Watson): To move—That the Civil Aviation Order 82.1 Amendment
   Order (No. 2) 2006, made under paragraph 28BA(1)(b) of the Civil Aviation Act
   1988, be disallowed.
   Fifteen sitting days remain for resolving.**

*3 Chairman of the Standing Committee on Regulations and Ordinances
   (Senator Watson): To move—That Instrument No. CASA 49/06, made under
   subregulations 42ZC(6) and 308(1) of the Civil Aviation Regulations 1988, be
   disallowed.
   Fifteen sitting days remain for resolving.**

** Indicates sitting days remaining, including today, within which the motion must be
   disposed of or the directive, order and instrument will be deemed to have been
   disallowed.

On the tenth sitting day after 30 June 2006 (6 September 2006)

Business of the Senate—Order of the Day

1 Legislation Committees
   Reports to be presented on annual reports tabled by 30 April 2006.

On 14 September 2006

Business of the Senate—Order of the Day

1 Legal and Constitutional Legislation Committee
   Report to be presented on the provisions of the Corporations (Aboriginal and
   Torres Strait Islander) Bill 2005. (Referred pursuant to Selection of Bills
   Committee report.)
On 19 October 2006

Business of the Senate—Orders of the Day

1 Community Affairs References Committee
   Report to be presented on Gynaecological cancer in Australia.

2 Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport References Committee
   Report to be presented on Australia’s future oil supply.

On 30 November 2006

Business of the Senate—Order of the Day

1 Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts
   References Committee
   Report to be presented on Australia’s national parks.

By the last sitting week of 2006 (4 to 7 December 2006)

Business of the Senate—Order of the Day

1 Community Affairs References Committee
   Report to be presented on Funding and operation of the Commonwealth-
   State/Territory Disability Agreement.

By the last sitting day in 2006 (7 December 2006)

Business of the Senate—Orders of the Day

1 Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade References Committee
   Report to be presented on naval shipbuilding in Australia.

2 Legal and Constitutional References Committee
   Report to be presented on Indigenous workers whose paid labour was controlled
   by Government.

On 7 December 2006

Business of the Senate—Notice of Motion

By the Notice given 29 March 2006

1 Senator Carr: To move—That—
   (a) the Senate recognises that secure and affordable housing provides a
   platform for social inclusion, good mental and physical health and
   participation in employment, education and training; and
(b) the following matter be referred to the Community Affairs References Committee for inquiry and report by 29 November 2006:

An examination of the housing needs of low and middle income households across Australia, whether those needs are being met and options for improving outcomes, including:

(i) the capacity of the Commonwealth Government to influence the price and availability of housing,

(ii) the effectiveness and efficiency of existing forms of direct government rental housing assistance in alleviating housing stress and ensuring that Australians have access to affordable, secure and appropriately located housing, with particular reference to:

(A) the effectiveness of Commonwealth Rent Assistance in improving affordable access to the private rental market,

(B) the intent and effect of the Commonwealth State Housing Agreement, and

(C) the evidence from Australia and overseas on the relative cost-effectiveness of different forms of direct housing assistance,

(iii) the potential for attracting private investment into affordable rental housing,

(iv) recent changes in the shape of the private housing market and their impact on home ownership, rental affordability and housing security, with particular reference to:

(A) trends in the proportion of Australian households that own their home outright, those that own their home with a mortgage and those that rent,

(B) the potential for intergenerational inequity as a result of sustained low levels of home ownership affordability,

(C) the availability and effects of government subsidies and loan financing schemes and possible alternative approaches to government support for home ownership, and

(D) the benefits and risks associated with new financial instruments, including shared equity and reverse mortgage products, and

(v) the specific issues faced by Indigenous households and communities.

BILLS REFERRED TO COMMITTEES

Bills currently referred†

National Animal Welfare Bill 2005‡

Referred to the Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport Legislation Committee (referred 22 June 2005; reporting date varied 10 August 2005; reporting date: last sitting day in June 2006).
Transparent Advertising and Notification of Pregnancy Counselling Services Bill 2005‡
Referred to the Community Affairs Legislation Committee (referred 11 May 2006; reporting date: 17 August 2006).

Provisions of bills currently referred†
Corporations (Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander) Bill 2005‡
Referred to the Legal and Constitutional Legislation Committee (referred 7 September 2005; reporting date varied 11 October 2005; reporting date varied 7 February 2006; reporting date: 14 September 2006).

Do Not Call Register Bill 2006
Do Not Call Register (Consequential Amendments) Bill 2006
Referred to the Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts Legislation Committee (referred upon the introduction of the bills in the House of Representatives, 11 May 2006; bills introduced 25 May 2006; reporting date varied 11 May 2006; reporting date: 19 June 2006).

Maritime Transport and Offshore Facilities Security Amendment (Security Plans and Other Measures) Bill 2006‡
Referred to the Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport Legislation Committee (referred 11 May 2006; reporting date: 15 June 2006).

Petroleum Resource Rent Tax Assessment Amendment Bill 2006‡
Petroleum Resource Rent Tax (Instalment Transfer Interest Charge Imposition) Bill 2006‡
Referred to the Economics Legislation Committee (referred 14 June 2006; reporting date: 21 June 2006).

Tax Laws Amendment (2006 Measures No. 3) Bill 2006‡
Referred to the Economics Legislation Committee (referred 14 June 2006; reporting date: 21 June 2006).

†Further information about the progress of these bills may be found in the Senate Bills List at www.aph.gov.au/parlinfo/billsnet/billslst.pdf.
‡Pursuant to adoption of report of Selection of Bills Committee.

BILLS DISCHARGED, LAID ASIDE OR NEGATIVED

Government Bills
Superannuation Laws Amendment (Abolition of Surcharge) Bill 2005
Restored to Notice Paper pursuant to resolution of 10 August 2005 and passed.

Workplace Relations Amendment (Better Bargaining) Bill 2005
Discharged from Notice Paper, 30 March 2006.
Workplace Relations Amendment (Extended Prohibition of Compulsory Union Fees) Bill 2005
Discharged from Notice Paper, 30 March 2006.

Workplace Relations Amendment (Right of Entry) Bill 2004
Discharged from Notice Paper, 30 March 2006.

Workplace Relations Amendment (Small Business Employment Protection) Bill 2005
Discharged from Notice Paper, 30 March 2006.

________________________________________

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

Questions remaining unanswered

Question Nos, as shown, from 29 to 1797 remain unanswered for 30 or more days (see standing order 74(5)).

Notice given 16 November 2004

29 Senator Allison: To ask the Leader of the Government in the Senate—When will the Minister respond to Senator Allison’s letter of 7 April 2003 concerning orders for the production of documents.

49 Senator Murray: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—
(1) Is the Minister, in his capacity as Manager of Government Business in the Senate, aware of the following statement made by the Minister for Small Business and Tourism (Mr Hockey) in a Meet the Press interview aired on 14 September 2003: ‘What I do know is the Labor Party and the Democrats are holding up a vast amount of legislation that the Government has put in place in the Senate’.
(2) Does the Minister accept the Australian Concise Oxford Dictionary’s definition of ‘vast’ as ‘immense, huge, very great’.
(3) Can the Minister: (a) provide a list for the Senate of any bill that could conceivably be regarded as being held up, as described by Mr Hockey; and (b) give his reasons for making that judgment.

Notice given 17 November 2004

56 Senator Evans: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—With reference to all forms of end product report by the Defence Signals Directorate (DSD reports) which summarise raw intelligence product:
(1) Which ministers received any of the DSD reports that were found by the Inspector-General to be in breach of the Rules on Sigint and Australian Persons.
(2) On what precise dates did this occur.
(3) Which minister’s offices, that is personal staff members or departmental liaison officers, received the DSD reports that were in breach of the Rules on Sigint and Australian Persons.
(4) On what precise dates did this occur.
(5) Did any departments receive any of the DSD reports that were in breach of the Rules on Sigint and Australian Persons; if so, which ones and on what dates.

(6) For both (1) and (3), were all four DSD reports that the Inspector-General found breached the rules received by any minister or minister’s office; if not, how many of the four reports were received by each of the ministers and/or minister’s office.

(7) Of those reports that were made in breach of the rules and were received by a minister and/or minister’s office, did they include either of the two reports containing intelligence information on communications by an Australian lawyer with a foreign client.

(In this question, the phrase ‘DSD reports’ refers to all forms of end product by the DSD which summarise raw intelligence product. Such reports are variously referred to in the summary of the Inspector-General for Security and Intelligence’s MV Tampa investigation as ‘reports summarising the results of collection activity’, ‘end product reports’ and ‘situation updates’.)

68 Senator Bob Brown: To ask the Minister representing the Prime Minister—
(a) What is the Halliburton stake in the consortium which built and operates the Alice Springs to Darwin railway line; (b) was Halliburton the project leader; and (c) what discussions has the Prime Minister or the department had with Halliburton about the projects, including where and when these were held.

Notice given 19 November 2004

103 Senator Faulkner: To ask the Minister representing the Prime Minister—
(1) Since March 1996, on how many occasions has the Prime Minister stayed at Claridges Hotel in Mayfair, London.
(2) On what dates did the Prime Minister stay at this self-described “five star, de luxe, luxury” hotel.
(3) On his most recent trip to London, did the Prime Minister stay in the Brook Apartment penthouse suite, described by the hotel as ‘220 square metres/2,368 square feet (approximately), 2 King Beds. This stunning apartment has been restored in the Art Deco style with an elegant, gentle mauve décor, light oak floors and original fittings from the 1930s. The bedrooms are large and luxurious, each with their own dressing-rooms. The marble bathrooms are equally splendid with extra deep baths and separate showers. A beautiful sitting-room with full height windows looks out onto a stunning private roof terrace. In addition, there is an elegant dining-room with a cocktail bar and cloakroom. A personal butler service is provided with the penthouse’.
(4) What was the cost of the Prime Minister’s: (a) accommodation; (b) food; (c) beverages; and (c) other items (please specify) at Claridges for this recent trip.
(5) How many other rooms and suites were used by the Prime Minister’s party for this trip, and for what purposes.
(6) For the Prime Minister’s most recent trip, what were the costs for the Prime Minister’s party, excluding the Prime Minister, of: (a) accommodation; (b) food; (c) beverages; and (d) other items (please specify).
(7) Apart from the services provided and paid for outlined under (3) and (4) above, did the hotel provide any other services to the Prime Minister and his party.

(8) Has the bill for the hotel been presented and paid; if not, why not; if so, who paid the bill.

(9) On each of the occasions the Prime Minister has used this hotel since 1996, has he always stayed at the Brook Apartment penthouse suite; if not, on which occasion has he used other suites in the hotel, and which suites were used.

(10) On each occasion that the Prime Minister stayed at the hotel, what was the cost of the Prime Minister’s: (a) accommodation; (b) food; (c) beverages; and (c) other items (please specify).

(11) On each occasion that the Prime Minister stayed at the hotel since March 1996, how much was paid by the department to the hotel for associated costs excluding the amounts at (7) above.

105 Senator Faulkner: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—With reference to the Superannuation Co-contribution advertising campaign:

(1) For each of the financial years, 2003-04 and 2004-05: (a) what is the cost of this advertising campaign; and (b) what is the breakdown of these advertising costs for: (a) television (TV) placements; (b) radio placements; (c) newspaper placements; (d) mail outs with brochures and letters signed by Mr Carmody; and (e) advertising research.

(2) When did TV advertising screening begin, and when is it planned to end.

(3) How many letters were sent by Mr Carmody.

(4) On what basis was the mail out selected.

(5) What database was used to select addresses – the Australian Taxation Office database, the electoral database or other.

(6) Given that the advertisements now do not reflect Government policy on the co-contribution, is there any plan to update the campaign; if so, what campaign components will be updated and how much will this cost.

(7) (a) What appropriations will the department use to authorise any of the payments either committed to be made or proposed to be made as part of this advertising campaign; (b) will those appropriations be made in the 2003-04 or 2004-05 financial year; (c) will the appropriations relate to a departmental or administered item or the Advance to the Minister for Finance and Administration; and (d) if an appropriation relates to a departmental or administered item, what is the relevant line item in the relevant Portfolio Budget Statement for that item.

(8) Has a request been made of the Minister for Finance and Administration to issue a drawing right to pay out moneys for any part of the advertising campaign; if so: (a) what are the details of that request; and (b) against which particular appropriation is it requested that the money be paid.

(9) Has the Minister for Finance and Administration issued a drawing right as referred to in paragraph (8) above; if so, what are the details of that drawing right.
Has an official or minister made a payment of public money or debited an amount against an appropriation in accordance with a drawing right issued by the Minister for Finance and Administration for any part of the advertising campaign.

Notice given 23 December 2004

278 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Prime Minister—On what date(s) has the Prime Minister visited: (a) Christmas Island; (b) the Cocos (Keeling) Islands; and (c) Norfolk Island.

288 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—

1. (a) Was the Minister or his office contacted by the proponents of a steel profiling plant at Moruya, New South Wales, listed in the Dairy Regional Assistance Program project summary of round 6 for the 2001-02 financial year; and (b) was the Minister or his office contacted by any person on behalf of the proponents of the above project.
2. Was the Minister or his office contacted by the Federal Member for Eden Monaro (Mr Nairn) in relation to the above project.
3. Was the Minister or his office contacted by any member of the South East New South Wales Area Consultative Committee in relation to the above project.
4. Was the Minister or his office contacted by the Minister for Transport and Regional Services, or his staff, or officers of the Department of Transport and Regional Services in relation to the above project.
5. With reference to any contact by the persons listed above with the Minister or his office: (a) when did each communication take place; (b) who was involved in each communication; (c) what was the nature of each communication; (d) what was the form of each communication; and (e) which officers from the department were involved in any way in these contacts.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 293-296)—

1. On what date(s) did: (a) the Minister; (b) the Minister’s office; and (c) the department, become aware that Trafigura Fuels Australia Pty Ltd proposed to import a shipment of ethanol to Australia from Brazil in September 2002.
2. What was the source of this information to: (a) the Minister; (b) the Minister’s office; and (c) the department.
3. Was the Minister or his office or the department requested to investigate and/or take action to prevent the arrival of this shipment by any ethanol producer or distributor or industry organisation; if so: (a) who made this request; (b) when was it made; and (c) what form did this request take.
4. Did the Minister or his office or the department engage in discussions and/or activities in August 2002 or September 2002 to develop a proposal to prevent the arrival of this shipment of ethanol from Brazil; if so, what was the nature of these discussions and/or activities, including dates of discussions and/or activities, personnel involved and cost.

293 Minister representing the Prime Minister
Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

(1) Did the Minister receive a request from the Minister for Trade to authorise staff at the Australian Embassy in Brazil in August 2002 and/or September 2002 to gather and provide information about a proposed shipment of ethanol to Australia by Trafigura Fuels Australia Pty Ltd.

(2) Did staff at the Australian Embassy in Brazil in August 2002 and/or September 2002 gather and provide information about a proposed shipment of ethanol to Australia by Trafigura Fuels Australia Pty Ltd; if so: (a) who requested the staff to engage in that task; (b) who authorised staff to agree to the request; (c) what action did staff take; (d) which staff engaged in the task; (e) on what date(s) did staff engage in the task; (f) what was the cost of engaging in the task; (g) to whom did the staff deliver this information in Australia; and (h) what form did that communication take.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Prime Minister—

(1) Has the Prime Minister’s office had any involvement in the Government’s response to legal claims against the Commonwealth by Mr Mark McMurtrie of New Italy, New South Wales; if so, what involvement has the Prime Minister’s office had in relation to this matter.

(2) Has the Prime Minister’s office convened any meetings at the Commonwealth Parliamentary Offices in Sydney, or any other location, with Mr McMurtrie and/or any other party to discuss a resolution to Mr McMurtrie’s claims; if so, for each meeting:
   (a) when and at what time was the meeting held;
   (b) what was discussed; and
   (c) who was present.

(3) Have members of the Prime Minister’s office given to Mr McMurtrie, or any other party, orally or in writing, any undertakings in respect to the resolution of Mr McMurtrie’s claims; if so:
   (a) which staff member gave these undertakings; and
   (b) in each case:
      (i) what was the undertaking,
      (ii) who received the undertaking,
      (iii) when was the undertaking given, and
      (iv) was the undertaking given orally or in writing, if orally:
         (A) at what time, and
         (B) how was it provided (i.e. telephone, meeting etc.), and
   if written, can a copy of the undertaking be provided; if not, why not.

(4) Has the Prime Minister and/or his office received correspondence from third parties who are concerned about the conduct of the Prime Minister’s office in relation to this matter; if so:
   (a) what was the nature of the concerns expressed on each occasion; and
   (b) can a copy of the correspondence be provided; if not, why not.

(5) Is the Prime Minister aware of the obligations imposed on ministers by A Guide on Key Elements of Ministerial Responsibility (December 1998) with respect to the timely response to questions on notice.
(6) Is the Prime Minister aware that a question placed on notice during the
previous Parliament in precisely the same terms as this question lapsed
unanswered after 210 days.

Notice given 10 March 2005

447 Senator Bishop: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Veterans’
Affairs—

(1) In each of the past 5 years what funds have been spent at Gallipoli on:
(a) capital works; (b) travel by officials of the Department of Veterans
Affairs (DVA) and the Office of Australian War Graves (OAWG);
(c) entertainment; and (d) other costs including the provision of public
facilities.

(2) What specific capital works have been funded directly by Australia or as
part contribution to works conducted by the Government of Turkey.

(3) Is the Minister aware of any funding contributed by the New Zealand
Government, and the purpose of that funding.

(4) In each of the past five years, on how many occasions have discussions
been held with Turkish authorities concerning the upgrading of the road.

(5) Was the OAWG consulted by Turkish authorities on the design, funding
and timing of the current road works; if so, when and, if consulted in
writing, can a copy of the correspondence be provided; if not, why not.

(6) What Commonwealth funding has been, or will be, contributed to the
upgrading of the road.

(7) (a) Have representations been made to the Government of Turkey to
suspend the upgrading of the current road works; if so, when and by whom;
and (b) if consultations were made in writing, can a copy of the
correspondence be made available to the Senate; if not, why not.

(8) What investigations have been made by OAWG, or its agents, into
allegations that human remains have been uncovered, and in some cases
destroyed, at the current road works.

(9) What research and examination was conducted prior to the current road
works with respect to: (a) the environment; and (b) sites of military
significance.

(10) How many Australians were posted missing at Gallipoli and never found.

(11) When were discussions last held with Turkish authorities concerning
reported plans to charge admission to the Gallipoli site.

(12) On each of the past five Anzac days, what was the estimated crowd at
Gallipoli.

(13) What is the estimated budget for Anzac Day 2005, in total, and, for the
entertainment component.

(14) How many Australian Defence Force (ADF) personnel will be in
attendance in 2005, and at what cost.

(15) Which Federal Parliamentarians have, or will be, invited to travel to Turkey
to attend the commemoration of the 90th anniversary of the Gallipoli
landing.

(16) What is the estimated cost to the Commonwealth of Federal
Parliamentarians travelling to Turkey for this commemoration.
(17) Can the Minister confirm what proportion of these costs will be met from the Saluting Their Service program.

(18) (a) What regulation is conducted by Turkish authorities with respect to the sale and consumption of alcohol at Gallipoli; (b) what representations have been made on this subject; and (c) by whom and with what result.

**Notice given 17 March 2005**

**Senator Bob Brown:** To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 469-474)—With reference to Gunns’ proposed pulp mill at Bell Bay in Tasmania:

1. From January 2002 to date, what communications have there been between the Minister, the Minister’s staff or department and Gunns Ltd relating to the proposed pulp mill, and in each case: (a) what was the date of the communication; (b) what was the nature of the communication; (c) who was involved in the communication; and (d) what was the purpose and content of the communication.

2. (a) What conditions apply to the Government’s offer of $5 million assistance for the pulp mill; and (b) when is the money likely to be made available.

469 Minister representing the Prime Minister

471 Minister representing the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources

**Notice given 18 March 2005**

**Senator Bishop:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Veterans’ Affairs—

1. Further to questions on notice nos 447 and 464, on how many occasions since August 2004 has Air Vice Marshal (AVM) Beck, Director of the Office of Australian War Graves (OAWG), visited Turkey.

2. For each of AVM Beck’s visits: (a) what was the itinerary of each visit; (b) what was the cost of each visit; (c) what meetings did he have with Turkish officials; and (d) on each occasion, with whom did he speak.

3. When was AVM Beck first provided with information from Turkish officials concerning road works at Gallipoli.

4. Can the Minister confirm if AVM Beck consulted with the Outer Area Office of the Commonwealth War Graves Commission (CWGC) in Maidenhead, United Kingdom, or the out station at Canakkale, Turkey.

5. What, if any, consultation was there with tour operators in Australia, Istanbul or Canakkale.

6. Can the Minister confirm that the planning procedures for these road works were the same as those for the construction of the Peace Park; if not, why not.

7. When was AVM Beck first provided with prepared options and draft plans, either as impressions or as formal drawings.

8. How many options were provided, and what, if any, feedback was given.

9. Did the options include stopping the road works short of Anzac Cove, or an alternative route to landward; if so, why were they not pursued.

10. Can the Minister confirm precisely which sections of the road were subject to these consultations.
(11) What information, by way of drawings, photographs, or graphic images were provided by AVM Beck for Turkish consideration.

(12) Currently, what is the width of each section of the road, and what is the width of each new section.

(13) (a) Have other officers visited Turkey to assist AVM Beck, if so who; and (b) what has been the total cost of their travel.

(14) Has OAWG established an office at Canakkale; if so: (a) what was the cost; (b) for how long; and (c) by whom was it staffed.

(15) (a) What technical advice has been sought from consultants in connection with the road plans; (b) for what purpose; (c) from whom was the information sought; and (d) what was the cost.

(16) What expressions of concern were made by AVM Beck to Turkish officials on the extent of the earth works and the disfigurement of the cliff face.

(17) (a) What measures were considered to prevent erosion; and (b) what volume of material is planned to be placed in the sea or on the beach.

(18) Did the plans provide for environmental regeneration and protection.

(19) Did Australia provide technical advice on any part of the construction including drainage, erosion protection, traffic management, replanting or visitor control and if so, who provided that advice.

(20) (a) Apart from the road construction, what other broader planning was undertaken prior to this project to examine the effect of tourism on the whole Gallipoli site; (b) what strategic planning has been undertaken; and (c) what input has been provided by the Government.

(21) Over the past 5 years: (a) what consultancy or expert advice has been sought with respect to the preservation of heritage values on the entire Gallipoli site; (b) what are the details of each consultancy or advice; and (c) what are the costs.

(22) At any stage, did AVM Beck object to the scope of the Turkish plans and were those concerns conveyed to the Minister; if so: (a) when and in what form was that concern expressed to the Minister; and (b) what was the Minister’s response.

(23) (a) Did AVM Beck object to the Turkish plans; (b) was a request made for amendments, or for work to be suspended; if so: (i) when were these requests made, and (ii) what were the responses.

(24) Can the Minister confirm the number of occasions, and the dates, of these discussions held with the Embassy of Turkey in Canberra on this project, and which departments were represented.

(25) Did the Minister and the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade receive representations from the Government of Turkey in Canberra or Ankara, on the detailed planning for this project; if so, can this information be provided.

(26) In each of the options considered: (a) what variations were there with respect to parking at all sites; (b) which of the variations were requested by Australia; (c) which of the variations were objected to by Australia; and (d) what was the outcome.

(27) As part of the works now under way, what parking capacity is being provided for buses and cars, and at what sites.

(28) How many cubic metres of soil are being removed from the sites, and how is it being disposed of.
(29) On how many occasions has AVM Beck briefed the Minister since August 2004.

(30) Has the Department of Environment and Heritage been consulted, at any stage, on any options; if so, what was its response.

(31) Was the Australian War Memorial (AWM) involved in any of the planning process, and in particular, what advice was sought and provided on likely burial sites of those missing from 1915 in the areas affected by the roads.

(32) What information was provided by the CWGC on the likely burial sites of missing Australians, and what were the terms of that advice.

(33) What other capital works were subject to consultations by AVM Beck, for which facilities, and where.

(34) Did Australia offer any capital support for any of the works discussed; if so, what was the cost and what were the purposes.

(35) With reference to the entertainment to be provided during the ANZAC Day period at Gallipoli 2005, which entertainers other than Mr John Farnham were considered or contacted, and were Mr Guy Sebastian and Ms Casey Chambers included on those lists.

(36) (a) Who contacted Mr John Farnham; (b) over what period did discussions continue on his engagement; (c) what were the terms of the proposed engagement; and (d) what was the estimated cost of his services.

(37) (a) On whose direction was the proposal for Mr John Farnham’s engagement cancelled; (b) did this direction require cancellation of any agreement or contract; if so: (i) was there a cancellation fee, and (ii) what was the cost of that cancellation fee.

(38) (a) What process was instituted to select the contractors providing the sound and light show; (b) was it an open or selective tender process; and (c) why were Australian providers not considered and selected.

(39) How many ministerial representations have been received by the Minister to 30 March 2005 on the matter of entertainment at Gallipoli and the road works.

(40) What is the cost of the contract for the sound and light show, and what is the name of the contractor(s) selected.

(41) How was the string quartet selected, who are they, and what is the cost.

(42) How many Australian Defence Force (ADF) personnel will be in attendance from Australia and other locations, and at what cost.

(43) How many persons in the following categories will be in attendance: (a) officials from Australia (b) officials from Turkey; (c) elected representatives; and (d) members of royalty.

(44) How many will be in the official party, and of those, how many will be funded by Australia and at what cost.

(45) How many veterans have been included in the official party, who are they, and what is the cost of their travel.

(46) How many officials with security responsibilities will be in attendance from Australia, and from which agencies.
Notice given 20 April 2005

Senator Bishop: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Veterans’ Affairs—

(1) For each of the past 3 financial years, including 2004-2005 to date, what amount was spent by the Department on: (a) hospitality extended by the Minister; and (b) supporting ministerial travel overseas by way of: (i) accompanying officers, (ii) briefing, and (iii) hospitality and other support by way of itinerary preparation and travel bookings.

(2) For each of the next three financial years, what is the projected amount to be spent by the Department on: (a) hospitality directed and/or hosted by the Minister; and (b) the projected amount to be spent by the Department on international ministerial travel.

Notice given 3 May 2005

Senator George Campbell: To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—With reference to the Commonwealth Fleet Management Agreement:

(1) Can a break-down be provided of all vehicles owned or leased by the Commonwealth Government under the Fleet Management Agreement, including: (a) the total number of vehicles; (b) vehicle type (e.g. sedan, wagon etc); and (c) user (e.g. department, authority etc).

(2) Can a copy be provided of the Fleet Management Agreement.

(3) Under the Fleet Management Agreement, does the Commonwealth have any say over the type of vehicles that are used.

(4) Can full details be provided of any vehicles owned or leased by the Commonwealth which are not covered by the Fleet Management Agreement.

Notice given 4 May 2005

Senator Evans: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 585-615)—With reference to the department and/or its agencies:

(1) For each financial year from 2000-01 to 2004-05 to date: (a) how many consultants were engaged by the department and/or its agencies to conduct surveys of community attitudes to departmental programs and what was the total cost; and (b) for each consultancy: (i) what was the cost, (ii) who was the consultant, and (iii) was this consultant selected by tender; if so, was the tender select or open; if not, why not.

(2) Were any of the surveys released publicly; if so, in each case, when was the material released; if not, in each case, what was the basis for not releasing the material publicly.

588 Minister representing the Minister for Trade
590 Minister representing the Minister for Foreign Affairs
596 Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training
597 Minister representing the Minister for Families, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs
599 Minister representing the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations
601 Minister representing the Special Minister of State
Senator Evans: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 647-677)—For each of the financial years 2000-01 to 2004-05 to date, can the following information be provided for the department and/or its agencies:

1. What were the base and top level salaries of Australian Public Service (APS) level 1 to 6 officers and equivalent staff employed.

2. What were the base and top level salaries of APS Executive level and Senior Executive Service officers and equivalent staff employed.

3. Are APS officers eligible for performance or other bonuses; if so: (a) to what levels are these bonuses applied; (b) are these applied on an annual basis; (c) what conditions are placed on the qualification for these bonuses; and (d) how many bonuses were paid at each level, and what was their dollar value for the periods specified above.

4. (a) How many senior officers have been supplied with motor vehicles; and (b) what has been the cost to date.

5. (a) How many senior officers have been supplied with mobile phones; and (b) what has been the cost to date.

6. How many management retreats or training programs have staff attended.

7. How many management retreats or training programs have been held off-site.

8. In the case of each off-site management retreat or training program: (a) where was the event held; and (b) what was the cost of: (i) accommodation, (ii) food, (iii) alcohol, (iv) transport, and (v) other costs incurred.

9. How many official domestic trips have been undertaken by staff and what was the cost of this domestic travel, and in each case: (a) what was the destination; (b) what was the purpose of the travel; and (c) what was the cost of the travel, including a breakdown of: (i) accommodation, (ii) food, (iii) alcohol, (iv) transport, and (v) other costs incurred.

10. How many official overseas trips have been undertaken by staff and what was the cost of this travel, and in each case: (a) what was the destination; (b) what was the purpose of the travel; and (c) what was the cost of the travel, including a breakdown of: (i) accommodation, (ii) food, (iii) alcohol, (iv) transport, and (v) other costs incurred.

11. (a) What was the total cost of air charters used; and (b) on how many occasions was aircraft chartered, and in each case, what was the name of the charter company that provided the service and the respective costs.

Senator Evans: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 678-708)—

1. In relation to all overseas travel where expenses were met by the Minister’s portfolios, for each of the financial years 2000-01 to 2004-05 to date what was the total cost of travel and related expenses in relation to: (a) the Minister; (b) the Minister’s family; and (c) the Minister’s staff.
(2) In relation to all air charters engaged and paid for by the Minister and/or the Minister’s office and/or the department and its agencies, for each of the financial years 2000-01 to 2004-05 to date: (a) on how many occasions did the Minister or his/her office or department and/or agency charter aircraft, and in each case, what was the name of the charter company that provided the service and the related respective costs; and (b) what was the total cost.

678 Minister representing the Prime Minister
694 Minister for the Environment and Heritage
698 Minister representing the Minister for Human Services
708 Minister representing the Minister Assisting the Prime Minister for Women’s Issues

Senator Evans: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 710-740)—For each financial year since 2000-01 to 2004-05 to date:

(1) (a) What overseas travel was undertaken by the Minister; (b) what was the purpose of the Minister’s visit; (c) when did the Minister depart Australia; (d) who travelled with the Minister; and (e) when did the Minister return to Australia.

(2) (a) Who did the Minister meet during the visit; and (b) what were the times and dates of each meeting.

(3) (a) On how many of these trips was the Minister accompanied by a business delegation; and (b) can details be provided of any delegation accompanying the Minister.

(4) Who met the cost of travel and other expenses associated with the trip.

(5) What total travel and associated expenses, if any, were met by the department in relation to: (a) the Minister; (b) the Minister’s family; (c) the Minister’s staff; and (d) departmental and/or agency staff.

(6) What were the costs per expenditure item for: (a) the Minister; (b) the Minister’s family; and (c) the Minister’s staff, including but not necessarily limited to: (i) fares, (ii) allowances, (iii) accommodation, (iv) hospitality, (v) insurance, and (vi) other costs.

(7) What were the costs per expenditure item for each departmental and/or agency officer, including but not necessarily limited to: (a) fares; (b) allowances; (c) accommodation; (d) hospitality; (e) insurance; and (f) other costs.

(8) (a) What was the total cost of air charters used by the Minister or his/her office or department; and (b) on how many occasions did the Minister or his/her office or department and/or agency charter aircraft, and in each case, what was the name of the charter company that provided the service and the respective costs.

710 Minister representing the Prime Minister
712 Minister representing the Treasurer
719 Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry
723 Minister representing the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources
728 Minister for Fisheries, Forestry and Conservation
730 Minister representing the Minister for Human Services
732 Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer
Senator Evans: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 741-771)—For each financial year from 2000-01 to 2002-03 can the following information relating to advertising be provided:

(1) (a) What advertising campaigns were commenced; and (b) for what programs.

(2) In relation to each campaign: (a) what was its total cost, including a breakdown of advertising costs for: (i) television placements, (ii) radio placements, (iii) newspaper placements, (iv) mail outs with brochures, and (v) research on advertising; and (b) what was the commencement and cessation date for each aspect of the campaign placement.

(3) For each campaign: (a) on which television stations did the advertising campaign screen; (b) on which radio stations did the advertising campaign feature; and (c) in which newspapers did the advertising campaign feature.

(4) Which: (a) creative agency or agencies; and (b) research agency or agencies, were engaged for the campaign.

(5) In the event of a mail out, what database was used to select addresses – the Australian Taxation Office database, the electoral database or other.

(6) (a) What appropriations did the department use to authorise any of the payments either committed to be made or proposed to be made as part of this advertising campaign; (b) in which financial year will these appropriations be made; (c) will the appropriations relate to a departmental or administered item or the Advance to the Minister for Finance and Administration; and (d) if an appropriation relates to a departmental or administered item, what is the relevant line item in the relevant Portfolio Budget Statement for that item.

(7) Was a request made of the Minister for Finance and Administration to issue a drawing right to pay out moneys for any part of the advertising campaign; if so: (a) what are the details of that request; and (b) against which particular appropriation is it requested that the money be paid.

(8) Did the Minister for Finance and Administration issue a drawing right as referred to in paragraph (7); if so, what are the details of that drawing right.

(9) Has an official or minister made a payment of public money or debited an amount against an appropriation in accordance with a drawing right issued by the Minister for Finance and Administration for any part of the advertising campaign.

751 Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs
754 Minister representing the Minister for Industry, Science and Tourism
762 Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs
767 Minister representing the Minister for Small Business and Tourism

Senator Evans: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 833-863)—With reference to the department and/or its agencies:

(1) For each of the financial years 2000-01 to 2004-05 to date, can a list be provided of customer service telephone lines, including: (a) the telephone number of each customer service line; (b) whether the number is toll free and open 24 hours; (c) which output area is responsible for the customer service line; and (d) where this call centre is located.

(2) For each of the financial years 2000-01 to 2004-05 to date, what was the cost of maintaining the customer service lines.
(3) For each of the financial years 2000-01 to 2004-05 to date, can a breakdown be provided of all direct and indirect costs, including: (a) staff costs; (b) infrastructure costs (including maintenance); (c) telephone costs; (d) departmental costs; and (e) any other costs.

(4) How many calls have been received, by year, in each year of the customer service line’s operation.

853 Minister representing the Minister for Human Services

Notice given 14 June 2005

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 960-962)—

(1) For each financial year since 1 July 1997 can information be provided on undertakings given to fund the Australian School of Fine Furniture (ASFF) in Tasmania and the relevant program(s) under which they were given.

(2) For each financial year since 1 July 1997 can information be provided on actual funds provided to the ASFF and the relevant program(s) under which they were made available.

(3) When was each undertaking to provide Commonwealth funding to the ASFF announced and who made the announcement.

(4) For each undertaking by the Minister or the department to make Commonwealth funding available to the ASFF can information be provided on: (a) what due diligence or other examination of the project was carried out to ensure the financial viability of the project and to ensure Commonwealth funds would be effectively used prior to making the undertaking to make funds available to the ASFF; (b) who conducted the due diligence or other examination of the project and how were they selected; (c) when did the due diligence or other examination of the project commence and when was it completed; (d) what was the cost to the Commonwealth of the due diligence or other examination of the project; (e) when was the due diligence or other examination of the project made available to the Minister; and (f) can a copy of the due diligence or other examination of the project be provided; if not, why not.

960 Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training
961 Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training
962 Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training

Notice given 24 June 2005

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 982-1011)—For each of the financial years 2001-02, 2002-03, 2003-04 and 2004-05, has the Minister, the department or any agency or statutory authority for which the Minister is responsible, made grants or other payments to business organisations and/or associations, including but not necessarily limited to peak employer groups; if so, can information be provided for each grant or other payment including: (a) the name and address of the recipient organisation; (b) the quantum and purpose of the payment; (c) the name of the program under which the grant or other payment was funded; (d) who approved the grant or other payment; and (e) whether the grant or payment was successfully acquitted; if so, when; if not, can details be provided, including action taken to recover the grant or other payment.

991 Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry
997 Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts
1000 Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry
1001 Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts

Notice given 19 July 2005

1031 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

(1) What are the most recent figures available for expenditure on the Medicare Safety Net: (a) in total; and (b) by electorate.

(2) How many people have registered for the Medicare Safety Net: (a) in total; and (b) by electorate.

(3) How many people have reached the Medicare Safety Net threshold: (a) in total; and (b) by electorate.

(4) Can information be provided on: (a) when, by electorate, the next Medicare Safety Net data will be publicly available; (b) how frequently Medicare Safety Net data will be publicly available; and (c) how frequently, by electorate, Medicare Safety Net data will be publicly available.

Notice given 21 July 2005

1037 Senator Forshaw: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations—With reference to the current and proposed advertising campaign on the Government’s proposed changes to workplace laws:

(1) For the 2005-06 and 2006-07 financial years, what is the total budget for the campaign.

(2) For each of the financial years in (1), can information be provided on the budgeted advertising costs, including: (a) television; (b) radio; (c) newspapers; (d) printing and mail outs; and (e) research.

(3) Which creative agencies have been used in the campaign or are contracted for future involvement.

(4) Which research agencies have been used in the campaign or are contracted for future involvement.

(5) How were the creative agencies and research agencies selected for the campaign.

(6) During the campaign to date, what research reports have been supplied to the department by the creative agencies and research agencies.

(7) To date, what payments have been made to each agency used in the campaign.

(8) If there is to be a mail out to taxpayers as part of this campaign: (a) to how many households and businesses will information be sent; and (b) what databases will be used to select the addresses.

(9) (a) What appropriations have the department been using to pay for the advertising campaign; and (b) from what appropriations will future expenditures be drawn.
Senator Faulkner: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—With reference to the Super Choice advertising campaign:

(1) For each of the financial years, 2004-05 and 2005-06: (a) what is the cost of this advertising campaign; and (b) what is the breakdown of these advertising costs for: (i) television (TV) placements, (ii) radio placements, (iii) newspaper placements, (iv) printing and mail outs, and (v) research.

(2) When did the campaign begin, and when is it planned to end.

(3) Over what period will the TV advertisements run.

(4) What: (a) creative agency or agencies; and (b) research agency or agencies, have been engaged in the campaign.

(5) Is a mail out planned; if so: (a) to whom will the mail out be targeted; and (b) what database will be used to select addresses – the Australian Taxation Office database, the electoral database or other.

(6) As of 17 August 2005, how many phone calls had the Super Choice Infoline (13 28 64) received.

(7) (a) What appropriations will the department use to authorise any of the payments either committed to be made or proposed to be made as part of this advertising campaign; (b) will those appropriations be made in the 2004-05 or 2005-06 financial year; (c) will the appropriations relate to a departmental or administered item or the Advance to the Minister for Finance and Administration; and (d) if an appropriation relates to a departmental or administered item, what is the relevant line item in the relevant Portfolio Budget Statement for that item.

(8) Has a request been made of the Minister for Finance and Administration to issue a drawing right to pay out moneys for any part of the advertising campaign; if so: (a) what are the details of that request; and (b) against which particular appropriation is it requested that the money be paid.

(9) Has the Minister for Finance and Administration issued a drawing right as referred to in paragraph (8) above; if so, what are the details of that drawing right.

(10) Has an official or minister made a payment of public money or debited an amount against an appropriation in accordance with a drawing right issued by the Minister for Finance and Administration for any part of the advertising campaign.

Senator Faulkner: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations—With reference to the Industrial Relations advertising campaign:

(1) For each of the financial years, 2004-05 and 2005-06: (a) what is the cost of this advertising campaign; and (b) what is the breakdown of these advertising costs for: (i) television (TV) placements, (ii) radio placements, (iii) newspaper placements, (iv) printing and mail outs, and (v) research.

(2) When did the campaign begin, and when is it planned to end.

(3) Over what period will the TV advertisements run.

(4) What: (a) creative agency or agencies; and (b) research agency or agencies, have been engaged in the campaign.
(5) Is a mail out planned; if so: (a) to whom will the mail out be targeted; and (b) what database will be used to select addresses – the Australian Taxation Office database, the electoral database or other.

(6) (a) What appropriations will the department use to authorise any of the payments either committed to be made or proposed to be made as part of this advertising campaign; (b) will those appropriations be made in the 2004-05 or 2005-06 financial year; (c) will the appropriations relate to a departmental or administered item or the Advance to the Minister for Finance and Administration; and (d) if an appropriation relates to a departmental or administered item, what is the relevant line item in the relevant Portfolio Budget Statement for that item.

(7) Has a request been made of the Minister for Finance and Administration to issue a drawing right to pay out moneys for any part of the advertising campaign; if so: (a) what are the details of that request; and (b) against which particular appropriation is it requested that the money be paid.

(8) Has the Minister for Finance and Administration issued a drawing right as referred to in (7) above; if so, what are the details of that drawing right.

(9) Has an official or minister made a payment of public money or debited an amount against an appropriation in accordance with a drawing right issued by the Minister for Finance and Administration for any part of the advertising campaign.

**Notice given 29 August 2005**

1112 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—With reference to the reduction of the Government vehicle fleet by 1 500 vehicles since 2001:

(1) How was this reduction achieved.

(2) Are efforts being made to achieve further reductions; if so, what are these efforts.

**Notice given 6 September 2005**

1148 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—

(1) Can the Minister clarify a recent statement that the Government will ensure services to customers in areas of ‘market failure’ after full privatisation of Telstra.

(2) Are ‘areas of market failure’ determined by the Government to be in: (a) rural; (b) remote; or (c) metropolitan, areas.

(3) What is the Government’s definition of ‘market failure’.

(4) To what extent and how does the Government consider that the privatisation of the Telstra environment will facilitate competition in areas of ‘market failure’.

(5) Has the Government accepted that areas of ‘market failure’, however defined, are never likely to attract competition.

(6) Does the Government agree that the commitment to ensure services to customers in areas of ‘market failure’ provides a perverse incentive for Telstra to: (a) withhold or diminish services in these areas; and (b) impede efforts by competitors to set up service provision in these areas.
(7) What is the extent of ‘market failure’ that has been caused by Telstra’s prevention of other businesses from setting up services.

(8) How will the Government deal with the well-documented cases of Telstra pushing small competitors out of business when they try to establish competing businesses, particularly in regional areas in, for example, Crookwell, Bungendore and Albury-Wodonga.

(9) How will the Government deal with excessive regulatory gaming by Telstra, whereby it effectively delays or prevents access by competitors to declared services.

(10) What is the Government’s estimation of the effect of the proposed additional regulation on: (a) Telstra’s annual profits; and (b) Telstra’s share price.

(11) Does the Government have a conflict of interest in protecting the shareholders from the cost of additional regulation and ensuring consumers receive the benefits of modern telecommunications infrastructure and services; if so, to what extent.

(12) How will the Government reconcile the mutually exclusive objective of providing for effective regulation of telecommunications and maximising Telstra’s share price.

(13) How will the Government ensure that the operational separation model for Telstra creates an incentive for Telstra to treat its retail arm and its competitors equitably.

(14) How will the Government ensure that Telstra does not operate its retail arm at a loss by charging high wholesale prices to itself and competitors.

(15) Will the Government give the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (ACCC) divestiture powers in case operational separation fails.

(16) What were the reasons for structural separation of Telstra not being considered in the package.

(17) Does the Government agree that the fact that Telstra is vertically integrated is the single most important factor in Australia being ranked 21st in broadband penetration in the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Communications Outlook, 2005.

(18) How does Australia compare with other OECD countries in terms of the rate of penetration of broadband, as opposed to the current rate of uptake.

(19) Does the Government acknowledge that Australia’s rate of uptake is relatively high because it starts from a very low base compared with other OECD countries.

(20) How does the Government’s definition of ‘broadband’ differ from other countries in the OECD.

(21) What will the Government do about the obvious weakness of the anti-competitive conduct regime in the Trade Practices Act as demonstrated by the ACCCs experience with the Telstra broadband pricing competition notice.

(22) What will the Government do to make it easier for Telstra’s competitors to get access to reasonably-priced backhaul.
(23) How will the Government ensure that people in regional areas where there is no competition receive better broadband services as standards improve in metropolitan areas.

(24) What safeguards will the Government put in place to ensure that money put aside for regional areas will: (a) not simply fall back into Telstra’s hands so as to cement its monopoly in regional areas; and (b) be applied equitably and not directed to Coalition or marginal electorates.

Notice given 9 September 2005

1171 Senator Wong: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training—For the years 1996 to 2005, can figures be provided, disaggregated by: (a) state and territory; (b) tertiary education institution; and (c) by federal electorate, for the number and the proportion of those who, having completed year 12, went on to: (i) higher education; and (ii) technical and further education or other vocational education and training programs, in their first year out of school.

Notice given 14 September 2005

1222 Senator Crossin: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training—

(1) With reference to the Investing in Our Schools Program, to date, how many schools in the Northern Territory have applied for funding under this program.

(2) Can a list be provided of the schools identified in (1) above, including a breakdown by government and non-government schools.

(3) Can a list be provided of the successful applications, including: (a) the name of the school; (b) the project details; and (c) the amount of funding.

(4) Are the schools which applied unsuccessfully in round one reconsidered in any further rounds or are their applications spent.

(5) With reference to the Parent School Participation Initiative program, to date, how many schools in the Northern Territory have applied for funding under this program.

(6) Can a list be provided of the schools identified in (5) above, including a breakdown by government and non-government schools.

(7) Can a list be provided of the successful applications, including: (a) the name of the school; (b) the project details; and (c) the date and amount of the funding.

(8) For each of the successful cases, what is the amount of funding received by the schools in the past year under the former Aboriginal Student Support and Parent Awareness scheme.

(9) Are the schools which have been unsuccessful to date reconsidered in any further rounds or are their applications spent.

Notice given 15 September 2005

1224 Senator Crossin: To ask the Minister for Fisheries, Forestry and Conservation—With reference to an article in the Northern Territory News, dated 14 July 2005, page 4, in which it was alleged that, over the next 5 years, funding for fisheries protection patrols in the southern ocean will be $217 million, while for the northern fishery it will be only $91.4 million, and that the figure for the northern
area includes expenditure on the Darwin Detention Centre; and also to the
response of the Minister that the Government spent a lot more in the north when
all costs were taken into account, but at that time was unable to provide a
breakdown of those costs:

(1) For each of the northern and southern fisheries areas, can the Minister
provide a breakdown of the costs by specific programs in relation to the
following: (a) running Australian Customs Service (ACS) boats in fisheries,
including vessel operations and crew costs; (b) navy patrol boats in
fisheries protection; (c) running the Ocean Viking in the southern ocean;
(d) running Coastwatch, for: (i) planes, and (ii) crew costs; (e) maintaining
and operating any detention centres used for illegal fishers; and (f) any
other costs attributable to fisheries protection (e.g. Australian Fisheries
Management Offices).

(2) For each of the northern and southern fisheries areas, how many illegal
fishing boats have been sighted and recorded for the years 2004 and 2005 to
date.

(3) How many have been apprehended.

(4) What was the type and quantity of the catch they were carrying.

(5) (a) How many crew did they have in total; and (b) where were the crew
detained.

(6) How many of these boats were fitted with the more sophisticated
equipment.

(7) How many illegal fishing boats have been reported as having actually
landed and where did this occur.

(8) Given that it has been claimed (Northern Territory News, dated
13 July 2005) that illegal fishers are coming ashore on northern islands
and that caches of shark fin have been found by the authorities, can the Minister
confirm these claims; if so, how many such incidents have been reported
and where.

(9) With reference to the Minister’s press release of 10 May 2005
(DAFF05/087M) which stated that Australian Fisheries Management
Association would be funded with $1.1 million for a system to positively
identify detained fishers to ensure that repeat offenders can be identified:
(a) what is this system; and (b) has it been established yet.

(10) (a) How many boats, ACS or navy, are permanently on patrol in the
southern ocean; and (b) how many boats are on patrol in the northern area.

(11) Can a breakdown be provided of the locations of Australian Fisheries
Management Offices around the country.

(12) With reference to an article on page 1 of the Northern Territory News,
dated 14 June 2005, in which it was alleged that Chinese mafia were
funding illegal fishermen in the top end: (a) is the Minister aware of such
stories; and (b) what is being done to follow up and investigate them.

(13) If evidence of foreign business in illegal fishing exists, from which country
or countries does it come.

(14) With reference to an editorial in the Northern Territory News, dated
7 July 2005, in which it was claimed that illegal fishers and some
commercial fishermen are plundering Australian waters for sharks, but that
while Australian commercial fishermen are legally allowed to sell any
by-product, such as shark fin, it is alleged that some are actively fishing for
shark, given that it is difficult to distinguish between by-product and
actively caught shark: is the Minister aware of these allegations; if so, what is being done to investigate them.

1232 Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—

(1) For each of the years 1996 to 2005 to date, how many visas have been cancelled because the holder was deemed to be a threat to national security.

(2) Can details be provided on the nationality, visa class and visa number of the persons who have had their visas cancelled due to national security reasons, or subsequent security assessments, and the reason those assessments were undertaken.

(3) Of those persons identified in (2) above: (a) how many had permanent residency; and (b) what were their nationalities.

(4) For each person identified in (2) above, what was the time between the cancellation of the visa due to security reasons and the exclusion of that person from Australia.

(5) Can a list be provided of the circumstances in which a person can be subject to a security assessment after being allowed entry into Australia.

(6) In the situations mentioned in (5) above, is the person informed of why the visa has been cancelled; if so, at what time does this occur and in what format is the information provided; if not, why not.

(7) What avenues of appeal are available against such a cancellation, both internally in the Department of Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs (DIMIA) and externally; if there are no avenues of appeal available, why not; if there are avenues of appeal available: (a) what are they; (b) how are they used; and (c) for the years 1996 to 2005 to date, what has been the outcome of the appeals.

(8) For each of the years 1996 to 2005 to date, how many visas have been refused because the applicant was deemed a threat to national security.

(9) Can details be provided on the nationality, visa class and number of persons who have had visas refused due to national security reasons, or subsequent security assessments, and the reason those assessments were undertaken.

(10) Has the department received any correspondence, e-mail, cable or telephone call from any government agency of the United States of America regarding Mr Scott Parkin in respect of subject matter that was likely to alter his security assessment; if so: (a) on what date was the information received; (b) from which agency was the information received; and (c) what was the format in which the information was received (i.e. telephone call, written letter, etc.).

(11) Was the information used in the security assessment of Mr Parkin.

(12) Were there any discrepancies between the information Mr Parkin supplied on his inbound passenger card to the facts known and by the Australian Security Intelligence Organisation, DIMIA and the Australian Federal Police.

Notice given 26 September 2005

Senator Marshall: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1242-1243)—

(1) For the 2005 calendar year to date, can the names be provided of all legal firms employed by the department to undertake work for the Government on the development or drafting of workplace relations legislation.
(2) For each of the firms listed in the answer to (1) above, can the following information be provided: (a) when did the contract commence and when will it end; (b) what service is the legal firm providing to the Government; (c) has the legal firm seconded staff to the department; if so: (i) how many staff members have been seconded, and (ii) for how long are the staff members seconded; (d) has the legal firm seconded staff to the Minister’s office; if so: (i) how many staff members have been seconded, and (ii) for how long are the staff members seconded; (e) what is the value of the contract; and (f) was there a public tendering process for the contract; if so: (i) when was the process advertised and in which publications, (ii) what details were provided in the tendering advertising and documentation, and (iii) can a copy of the tendering documents and relevant advertising be provided.

1243 Minister representing the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations

Notice given 5 October 2005

1283 Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—
(1) Does the department issue best-practice guidelines relating to the development of software.
(2) Are guidelines changed if: (a) the system is developed entirely for the use of the department or agency; and (b) the system is developed for the public to access a service provided by a department or agency.
(3) For both instances in (2) above, what is best practice in terms of the development of software and platform and operating system dependency.
(4) Can a copy of the guidelines be provided.

Notice given 6 October 2005

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1295-1296)—Is the Minister aware of a proposal to build a memorial to the Cootamundra Aboriginal Girls’ Training Centre on land at Hovell Street, Cootamundra, controlled by the Australian Rail Track Corporation; if so: (a) when and how did the Minister become aware of the proposal; (b) when and from whom has the Minister or the department received representations in relation to the proposal; (c) what representations relating to the proposal has the Minister made to: (i) the Minister for Finance and Administration, and (ii) the Minister for Transport and Regional Services; (d) what was the nature and the outcome of each representation; (e) if a representation was made in writing, can a copy of the representation be provided; if not, why not; and (f) if records of a representation were made, can a copy of such records be provided; if not, why not.

1296 Minister representing the Minister Assisting the Prime Minister for Indigenous Affairs

Notice given 10 October 2005

Senator McLucas: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—With reference to the commitment made by the Minister in October 2004 for a departmental review into SV40 contamination of polio vaccine used in the 1950s and 1960s and its possible links with cancer:
(1) Is this review in progress.
(2) Who is conducting this review.
(3) What are the terms of reference for this review.
(4) (a) When does the Minister expect to receive a report of the findings; and (b) will these results be made public.

Notice given 13 October 2005

1308 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Vocational and Technical Education—With reference to the statement made by the Parliamentary Secretary, Senator Colbeck (Senate Hansard, 10 October 2005, p. 9) that, ‘Business plans submitted by Australian technical college authorities involving any large advertising amounts would be monitored in any case. However, if an ATC does need to spend more than $100 000 on advertising to recruit quality staff and to attract students and employers, this amendment will have the affect of delaying the Australian technical college’s ability to do its work’:

(1) (a) How will ATC business plans be monitored; (b) what will be the reporting mechanism of that monitoring; and (c) when will it occur.

(2) How will the notification of, or accounting for, the standard information required to undertake such a project, such as its purpose and nature, the intended audience, who authorised it, its cost etc., in other words all the standard information required to undertake the project, delay the project.

Notice given 9 November 2005

1355 Senator Bishop: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—

(1) Has the Minister received requests for ex gratia/act of grace payments in respect of the suicide of Mr Jeremy Hayward, Mr John Satatas, Mr Nicholas Shiels and Mr Jeremy Williams; if so, what actions have been undertaken to expedite these matters in light of the undertaking in the Government’s response to the Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade References Committee’s report, Inquiry into the effectiveness of Australia’s military justice system, to ‘clear the backlog of grievances … by the end of 2005’.

(2) Who in the department is responsible for expediting these matters.

(3) Over the past 3 years: (a) how many requests have been received by the Minister or the department for ex gratia/act of grace payments by Australian Defence Force personnel or their families; and (b) in each case: (i) what was the nature of the incident, and (ii) what resolution was reached.

(4) Over the past 3 years: (a) what ex gratia/act of grace payments have been made; (b) what were the amounts involved; and (c) who received the payments.

Notice given 30 November 2005

1404 Senator Sherry: To ask the Minister representing the Treasurer—What is the estimated number of taxpayers within each tax threshold income range for the tax thresholds from 1 July 2005, and tax thresholds to apply from 1 July 2006.

1406 Senator Sherry: To ask the Minister representing the Treasurer—For the past 5 financial years: (a) what is the amount of exit tax collected on superannuation; and (b) from how many persons has it been collected.
1407 Senator Siewert: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—
   (1) How many Indonesian fishing boats have been: (a) seen by authorities in
       Australian waters; (b) intercepted and turned away from Australian waters;
       (c) seized in Australian waters; and (d) approached by authorities but
       avoided interception in Australian waters.
   (2) How many patrols have been conducted in Australian waters.
   (3) (a) How many vessels are involved in intercepting illegal fishing boats;
       (b) what are the specifications of these vessels; and (c) in what ports are
       they based.

1411 Senator Milne: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—
   (1) How many rescues of suspected illegal entry vessels was Coastwatch
       involved in between 1 January 1999 and 31 December 2001; (b) what were
       the codenames of those suspected illegal entry vessels; and (c) how many
       passengers were aboard those vessels.
   (2) (a) What action was taken by Coastwatch on 27 March and 28 March 2001
       in relation to the rescue at sea of the suspected illegal entry vessel
       codenamed Gelantipy; and (b) what records are held by Coastwatch in
       relation to the rescue at sea of this vessel.

1443 Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—For each of the
   financial years 2002-03 to 2004-05 to date:
   (1) How many Suspected Illegal Entry Vessels (SIEV) have been detected in
       Australian waters.
   (2) How many SIEV were first detected by: (a) Australian Customs Service
       (ACS); (b) Australian Fisheries Management Authority; (c) other federal
       agencies; (d) state or local government; and (e) other non-government
       agencies.
   (3) For each SIEV: (a) on what date was the entry detected; (b) how many
       SIEVs were detected in each entry; (c) how did ACS detect the SIEV
       (i.e. Coastwatch aerial surveillance, reports from another government
       agency, reports from an individual, any other manner); (d) where was the
       SIEV detected; (e) did ACS or Coastwatch intercept the SIEV; (f) on what
       date was the SIEV intercepted; (g) were any other agencies involved in the
       interception of the SIEV: if not, why not; and if not, was another
       government agency able to intercept the SIEV; (h) was the SIEV
       impounded or turned around; (i) what was the number of persons on the
       SIEV; (j) what was the number of persons detained from the SIEV; (k)
       what was the number of persons on each SIEV who have had criminal
       charges brought against them and what were the number and nature of the
       charges; (l) how many of those charges resulted in a prosecution; (m)
       how many prosecutions resulted in a successful conviction and what was the
       sentence; (n) if the SIEV was impounded: (i) has it been since released,
       (ii) has it been destroyed, or (iii) is it still impounded; (o) if it was released,
       to whom; and (p) if it was not impounded, what was done with the SIEV
       after it had been intercepted.
Notice given 19 December 2005

1456 Senator Nettle: To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—
With reference to detainees in the Baxter Detention Centre:

(1) For the past 5 years, by month, how many asylum seekers have been admitted to the Glenside Hospital.

(2) Of those detainees who were hospitalised: (a) how long had each of them been detained before they were hospitalised; (b) how many were found to be genuine refugees; (c) how many were granted Bridging Visas or Temporary Protection Visas; and (d) how many were granted Pending Removal Visas.

Notice given 5 January 2006

1462 Senator Webber: To ask the Minister representing the Treasurer—Are banks with an Australian banking licence permitted to hold data or records on accounts in associated banks or subsidiaries domiciled in known tax havens.

Notice given 17 January 2006

1466 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) Has the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) engaged Korda Mentha to undertake a consultancy in relation to the Chief Financial Controller; if so, what was the nature of this work.

(2) What procurement guidelines were followed in relation to the letting of this contract.

(3) If subject to an open tender process, how many tenders were lodged and who lodged tenders.

(4) If subject to a restricted tender process, which companies were invited to lodge a tender and who determined which companies would be invited a submit a tender.

(5) If there was no tender process, on what basis was Korda Mentha granted the contract and what process was followed to ensure that CASA received value for money.

(6) (a) When was the contract let; (b) when did work commence; and (c) when was that work completed.

(7) What was the value of the contract and was there any variation in the agreed price; if so: (a) what was the basis for varying the contract price; and (b) who approved the variation in the contract price.

(8) What was the total cost of this work.

1467 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) Did the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) engage Korda Mentha to undertake a due diligence review of the CASA Improvement Program (CASAIP).

(2) What procurement guidelines were followed in relation to the letting of this contract.

(3) If subject to an open tender process, how many tenders were lodged and who lodged tenders.
(4) If subject to a restricted tender process, which companies were invited to lodge a tender and who determined which companies would be invited to submit a tender.

(5) If there was no tender process, on what basis was Korda Mentha granted the contract and what process was followed to ensure that CASA received value for money.

(6) (a) When was the contract let; (b) when did work on the review commence; and (c) when was that work completed.

(7) What was the value of the contract and was there any variation in the agreed price; if so: (a) what was the basis for varying the contract price; and (b) who approved the variation in the contract price.

(8) What was the total cost of the review.

(9) What recommendations did the review make in relation to CASAIP.

(10) Did the review conclude that CASA was receiving an appropriate return, or would receive an appropriate return, from its investment in CASAIP.

(11) Who considered the findings of the review.

(12) Which recommendations were accepted and which recommendations were rejected.

(13) Have all the recommendations made in relation to CASAIP that were accepted now been implemented; if so, when was that work completed; if not, when will that work be completed.

1472 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) Does the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) have offices in Melbourne, Moorabbin and Canberra.

(2) What was the total cost of establishing these offices, disaggregated to show relevant costs.

(3) What is the total cost of maintaining these offices, disaggregated to show relevant costs including but not necessarily limited to equipment purchase and hire, maintenance and staffing costs.

(4) Does the CEO also work at a fourth office located at his residence; if so: (a) what was the total cost of establishing this office, disaggregated to show relevant costs; (b) what annual costs are met by CASA, disaggregated to show relevant costs including, but not necessarily limited to, equipment purchase and hire, maintenance and staffing; (c) what equipment has been purchased and/or hired for this office; and (d) what rules apply to the use of this equipment.

(5) In the 2004-05 financial year, what period of time, other than weekends, was spent by the CEO working out of the office at his residence.

(6) In the 2004-05 financial year, how many full working days did the CEO spend at: (a) his Canberra office; (b) his Moorabbin office; (c) his Melbourne office; and, if applicable (d) his home office.

1475 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Prime Minister—

(1) What rules apply to partners or family members of senior departmental officers, or senior officials employed in government agencies, travelling at government expense.
(2) What process is used to assess whether the travel costs of partners or family members of senior departmental officers or senior officials are met by the Government.

(3) (a) Who undertakes such an assessment; and (b) who approves funding for family travel.

1478 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) Audit and Risk Committee:

(1) When was the committee established.

(2) Who initiated its establishment.

(3) Who has chaired the committee and, in each case, what were the terms of the appointment.

(4) Who has been appointed to the committee and, in each case: (a) what were the terms of the appointment; and (b) was the appointee a CASA employee, contractor or consultant; if a contractor or consultant, what was the name of the company that employed the appointee.

(5) What audits have been undertaken by the committee and, in each case: (a) who determined the audit would be undertaken; (b) when did the audit commence; (c) when was it completed; and (d) what was the outcome of the audit.

(6) In addition to the Audit and Risk Committee, what other audit processes are in place within CASA.

(7) Since 1 January 2003, what audits have been undertaken using these other audit processes and, in each case: (a) who determined the audit would be undertaken; (b) when did the audit commence; (c) when was it completed; and (d) what was the outcome of the audit.

Notice given 18 January 2006

1480 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations—With reference to the statement by the former Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business on 18 September 2001, ‘The Government believes that Air New Zealand, as the owner of Ansett, bears heavy moral and legal responsibilities to meet Ansett employees’ entitlements. The board of Air New Zealand will be vigorously pursued’: (a) Can the Minister provide details of the Government’s vigorous pursuit of Air New Zealand; and (b) what outcomes can be attributed to this action.

1484 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Prime Minister—With reference to the Secretary of the Department of Transport and Regional Services, Mr Michael Taylor:

(1) When was Mr Taylor appointed as Secretary of the Department of Transport and Regional Services.

(2) Did the Prime Minister approve Mr Taylor’s conditions of employment including: (a) a $680 payment per fortnight to cover ‘temporary’ accommodation arrangements in Canberra; and (b) payments up to $6,600 per annum for ‘reunion travel’ including airfares and taxi fares.

(3) For each of the financial years 2004-05 and 2005-06 to date, what quantum of ‘temporary’ accommodation payments were paid to Mr Taylor.
(4) For each of the financial years 2004-05 and 2005-06 to date, disaggregated to show airfares and taxi fares, what quantum of ‘reunion travel’ payments were paid to Mr Taylor.

(5) When was Mr Taylor appointed as Secretary of the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry.

(6) Did Mr Taylor enjoy equivalent conditions of employment during his tenure as Secretary of the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry; if so, for each of the financial years 1999-2000, 2000-01, 2001-02, 2002-03, 2003-04 and 2004-05, what quantum of: (a) ‘temporary’ accommodation payments; and (b) ‘reunion travel’ payments, were paid to Mr Taylor.

(7) Which other secretaries, if any, are in receipt of ‘temporary’ accommodation payments and ‘reunion travel’ payments as a condition of employment.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1487-1516)—

(1) What programs and/or grants administered by the department provide assistance to the people living in the federal electorate of Bass.

(2) When did the delivery of these programs and/or grants commence.

(3) For each of the financial years 2002-03, 2003-04 and 2004-05, what funding was provided through these programs and/or grants for the people of Bass.

(4) For the 2005-06 financial year, what funding has been appropriated for these programs and/or grants.

(5) For the 2005-06 financial year, what funding has been approved under these programs and/or grants to assist organisations and individuals in the electorate of Bass.

1493 Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing
1494 Minister representing the Attorney-General
1497 Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training
1501 Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts
1504 Minister for Justice and Customs
1506 Minister for the Arts and Sport
1507 Minister representing the Minister for Human Services
1510 Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training
1513 Minister representing the Minister for Veterans’ Affairs

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1517-1546)—For each financial year since 2001-02, what grants or payments has the Minister’s department, or have agencies for which the Minister is responsible, made to City View Christian Church Inc. (formerly known as Crusade Centre Inc.) based in Launceston, Tasmania.

1523 Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing
1530 Minister representing the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations
1533 Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry
1535 Minister for Fisheries, Forestry and Conservation
1537 Minister representing the Minister for Human Services
Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer

Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training

Notice given 23 January 2006

Senator Bob Brown: To ask the Minister representing the Treasurer—

(1) Over the past 5 years, how much money have Australian companies spent on ‘facilitation’ payments.

(2) What is the estimated cost to Australian taxpayers of providing tax deductibility for ‘facilitation’ payments.

(3) Following the concerns relating to Australia’s laws raised by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), will the Treasurer commit to introducing laws banning the tax deductibility of ‘facilitation’ payments in the next parliamentary sitting period.

(4) Will the Treasurer adopt the OECD’s recommendation and push for stronger whistleblower protection laws to protect Australian public servants based overseas who detect instances of bribery.

Senator Siewert: To ask the Minister representing the Prime Minister—With reference to a visit to Australia by Bill Lowther, a non-executive director of British Nuclear Fuels Limited: Did the Prime Minister, or anyone from the Prime Minister’s office, meet with Bill Lowther; if so: (a) what was the nature of the meeting or meetings; (b) who attended any such meeting or meetings; and (c) when did any such meetings occur.

Notice given 2 February 2006

Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) Can the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) provide detailed justification, in terms of cost recovery, for each of its fees listed on the website.

(2) Has an evaluation been made of the impact of these fees on trends in general aviation activity in Australia; if so, can a copy be provided; if not, why not.

(3) How many Aviation Security Identity Card (ASIC) applications by general aviation pilots have been rejected.

(4) What is the security or other rationale behind general aviation operators requiring three separate photo identifications (IDs) (for example, a Flight Crew Licence, Aviation Identification (AVID) and ASIC) all of which contain the same information.

(5) What is the security rationale behind general aviation pilots requiring photo IDs but not passengers.

(6) What has been the cost of the security measures, announced in 2005, at each remote airfield.

(7) Is the work complete; if not, what has yet to be done.

(8) What was done at each of these locations and how does it improve security.

(9) Are ASICs required to be produced at all remote airfields; if not, at which airfields are they not required and why.
Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Prime Minister—

(1) On what dates has the Australian Government Secretaries’ Group on Indigenous Affairs met.

(2) At which of these meetings, if any, has the Secretary of the Department of Transport and Regional Services been absent.

Senator Milne: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—

(1) Could the Government have extradited Abu Quassey from Indonesia to face charges over SIEV X under the Australia-Indonesia extradition treaty; if not, why not; if so, why did the Government not press for his extradition.

(2) Could the Government have extradited anyone else from Indonesia to face charges over SIEV X under the Australia-Indonesia extradition treaty; if not, why not; if so, why did the Government not press for their extradition.

(3) Could the Government have extradited Abu Quassey from Indonesia to face charges over SIEV X independently of the Australia-Indonesia extradition treaty; if not, why not; if so, why did the Government not press for his extradition.

(4) Could the Government have extradited anyone else from Indonesia to face charges over SIEV X independently of the Australia-Indonesia extradition treaty; if not, why not; if so, why did the Government not press for their extradition.

(5) Could the Government have extradited Abu Quassey from Egypt to face charges over SIEV X despite the fact that Australia has no extradition treaty with Egypt; if not, why not; if so, why did the Government not press for his extradition.

(6) Has anyone been prosecuted in Indonesia in relation to the sinking of SIEV X; if so, can details of the outcome of any such prosecutions be provided.

(7) Has anyone been prosecuted in any other country in relation to the sinking of SIEV X; if so, can details of the outcome of any such prosecutions be provided.

Senator Siewert: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

(1) Is the Minister or his department in receipt of nominations for National Heritage or World Heritage listing for the Burrup Peninsula or Dampier Rock Art Province; if so: (a) how many nominations; (b) from which parties; and (c) on what dates.

(2) Has the Minister or his department received any urgent listing nominations; if so, what is the status of those nominations.

(3) Has the Minister or his department received any appeals against the National Heritage listing for the Burrup Peninsula or Dampier Rock Art Province; if so, how many and from whom.

(4) If the Minister or his department has received appeals against the listing, will the details of those appeals be released.

(5) If the Minister has received appeals against the listing, are those appeals available to the nominees and by what process.
(6) Has the Minister or his department had any discussions or correspondence with agencies or the Government of Western Australia in relation to their views on the National Heritage listing of the Burrup Peninsula or Dampier Rock Art Province; if so, can an outline of those discussions be provided.

(7) Has the Minister or his department had any discussions or correspondence with agencies or the Government of Western Australia in relation to their views on the World Heritage listing of the Burrup Peninsula or Dampier Rock Art Province; if so, can an outline of those discussions be provided.

(8) Has the Minister or his department commissioned any reports into the heritage or other values of the Burrup Peninsula or Dampier Rock Art Province; if so: (a) what are they; (b) how many have been concluded; (c) how many are outstanding; and (d) can any completed reports be released.

(9) Does the Minister acknowledge that the heritage values of the area are of global significance.

Notice given 27 February 2006

1602 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) Aviation Medicine Section:

(1) How many current medical certificates are endorsed ‘renew by CASA only’.

(2) How are applications for renewal of medical certificates endorsed ‘renew by CASA only’ assessed.

(3) Are all officers responsible for assessing applications for renewal of medical certificates endorsed ‘renew by CASA only’ registered with a medical registration authority of a state or territory of the Commonwealth; if not, why not.

(4) What service standards apply to the assessment of applications for renewal of medical certificates endorsed ‘renew by CASA only’.

(5) Are all officers responsible for the appointment of Designated Aviation Medical Examiners registered with a medical registration authority of a state or territory of the Commonwealth; if not, why not.

(6) Is it a requirement that the Principal Medical Officer or an officer acting in that position is registered with a medical registration authority of a state or territory of the Commonwealth; if not, why not.

1605 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—

(1) On what date did the Minister become aware of the Telstra plan to remove 5 000 payphones over the next 7 months.

(2) On what date did the Minister ask Telstra to provide a copy of the Telstra Country Wide briefing note containing details of the plan.

(3) On what date did Telstra provide the Minister with the Telstra Country Wide briefing note.

(4) Can a copy of the Telstra Country Wide briefing note be provided; if not, why not.
(5) With reference to the Tasmanian payphones targeted for removal and identified in the Telstra Country Wide briefing note, can the following details be provided, in each case, the: (a) location, including, where applicable, the street address; (b) average usage by dollar amount by financial year for the past 3 years; (c) date the payphone was installed; and (d) proposed date of removal.

Notice given 9 March 2006

1611 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

(1) How many Australians contract Q fever every year.
(2) Can the Government confirm the findings of recent research that farmers are at high-risk of contracting Q fever.
(3) How many people are vaccinated against Q fever annually.
(4) Is the Government ceasing its subsidy for Q fever vaccination; if so: (a) how much will this increase the cost of vaccination; and (b) has the Government done any modelling of the impact this will have on the prevalence of Q fever vaccination; if not, why not; if so, can the results be provided.
(5) Does the Government consider that the rate of Q fever vaccination will decrease if the subsidy is removed.

Notice given 16 March 2006

1623 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

(1) Is the Minister aware that an alternative exists for the conventional Pap smear, that is the Thin Prep Pap Test.
(2) What information is the Minister aware of on the comparative effectiveness of the two methods for detecting cervical abnormalities.
(3) What information is the Minister aware of on the clinical benefits of the Thin Prep Pap Test, such as increased disease detection, reduction of equivocal diagnoses and the ability to perform additional tests on the same vial, for example HPV, Chlamydia and Gonorrhoea.
(4) Does the Minister have any data on levels of community awareness of this alternative to the conventional Pap smear.
(5) What is the average financial out of pocket cost for a conventional Pap smear in comparison to the Thin Prep Pap Test.
(6) What funding is the Government providing to assist women with access to this alternative Pap test.

1624 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—With reference to the Minister’s comments reported in the Australian Financial Review of 2 March 2006, that ‘conscious of the fact that the legislation governing private health insurance was last revised in a period where the parliamentary majority was not especially sympathetic to the concept of private health’: does this indicate an intention to reintroduce legislative changes that would allow private health insurance cover for out of pocket expenses associated with Medicare-rebated consultation; if not, to what was the Minister referring.
Notice given 21 March 2006

1636 Senator Webber: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

(1) How much funding was provided for the 2005-06 financial year to each division of General Practice for Mental Health.

(2) Have these amounts increased each year over the past five financial years; if so, by how much.

(3) What formula does the department use to determine how much each division receives.

Notice given 22 March 2006

1640 Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—With reference to the article ‘Australians chased by anti-Mafia investigators’, in the Age of 22 March 2006, that four Australians are allegedly under investigation by Italian authorities for organised crime-related activities:

(1) Is the matter currently under investigation by the Australian Federal Police (AFP); if so: (a) when and by whom was it brought to the attention of the AFP; (b) on what date did the investigation commence; and (c) what is the current status of the investigation.

(2) Has an extradition request been received by the AFP in respect of the four individuals mentioned in the article; if so: (a) on what date was the request received; and (b) what action was taken upon receipt of the request and on what date was that action taken.

(3) Has the matter been referred by the AFP to the Commonwealth Director of Public Prosecutions (CDPP) for prosecution or has a brief been referred to the CDPP for consideration of a prosecution; if so: (a) on what date was the brief forwarded to the CDPP; and (b) to the AFP’s knowledge, what action has been taken by the CDPP in respect of this matter.

1641 Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—With reference to the article ‘Australians chased by anti-Mafia investigators’, in the Age of 22 March 2006, that four Australians are allegedly under investigation by Italian authorities for organised crime-related activities:

(1) Has that matter been referred to the Commonwealth Director of Public Prosecutions (CDPP); if so: (a) by whom or by which agency was it referred to the CDPP and on what date was it referred; (b) what is the current status of the brief; and (c) was it returned on the basis of insufficient evidence and the case closed; if not, on what basis was prosecution of the case rejected.

(2) Regarding the offer of an Italian ‘undercover operative’ to testify in Australia, was the testimony a part of the abovementioned brief; if not: (a) why not; (b) did the CDPP assess this testimony separately; and (c) why was the offer of an Italian operative to testify in Australia rejected.

1643 Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—With reference to the article ‘Australians chased by anti-Mafia investigators’, in the Age of 22 March 2006, that four Australians are allegedly under investigation by Italian authorities for organised crime-related activities:
(1) Is this matter currently under inquiry by the Australian Crime Commission (ACC); if not, why not; if so: (a) when and by whom was it brought to the attention of the ACC; (b) on what date did the inquiry commence; and (c) what is the status of the investigation.

(2) Has the matter been referred by the ACC to the Australian Federal Police (AFP) for investigation or has a brief been referred to the AFP for consideration of a prosecution; if so: (a) on what date was the brief forwarded to the AFP; and (b) to the ACC’s knowledge, what action has been taken by the AFP in respect of this matter.

(3) Has the matter been referred by the ACC to the Commonwealth Director of Public Prosecutions (CDPP) for prosecution or has a brief been referred to the CDPP for consideration of a prosecution; if so: (a) on what date was the brief forwarded to the CDPP; and (b) to the ACC’s knowledge, what action has been taken by the CDPP in respect of this matter.

(4) Is the ACC aware of whether or not the Italian Government has brought extradition proceedings against the four persons named in the article; if so, can details be provided.

Notice given 27 March 2006

Senator Allison: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1656-1657)—

1. What amount of money has the Government provided to the Jean Hailes Foundation since 2000, including the 1999-2000 financial year.

2. Can the Government confirm that its funding to the Jean Hailes Foundation for professional development for clinicians and community education primarily focused on the health and wellbeing of women aged between 35 and 65 years is due to expire at the end of June 2006.

3. Does the Government intend providing the Jean Hailes Foundation with more funding after this date; if so, what will be the amount of that funding and over what period of time; if not: (a) why not; and (b) will an equivalent sum of money be provided to another organisation to continue professional development for clinicians and community education on the health and wellbeing of women aged between 35 and 65 years.

1656 Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing
1657 Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing

Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

1. Is the Minister aware of reports that the organs of executed prisoners in China are removed without their knowledge or consent and used for transplant purposes.

2. What information does the Minister have on the validity of these reports.

3. Has the Government investigated whether any Australian citizens have received organ transplants from executed prisoners in China; if so, what were the findings from this investigation; if not, why not.

4. Has the Government investigated whether Australians are involved in overseas commercial organ transplant activities; if so, what were the findings from this investigation; if not, why not.

5. What current laws regulate the involvement of Australians in commercial organ transplant activities in Australia and overseas.
1661 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

(1) Is the Minister aware that Pfizer has decided that it will not be making Olmetec available in Australia.

(2) Is the Minister aware that there are Australians who wish to have access to Olmetec who are not able to get access to the drug.

(3) What processes will the Government put in place to ensure that Australians will have access to Olmetec.

(4) Will patients be able to access this drug through the Special Access Scheme; if not, why not.

(5) Is the Minister aware that Pfizer has indicated that it will not be making Olmetec available as reference pricing has meant that the drug is not commercially viable in Australia.

(6) How many other drugs have not been made available in Australia even though they have been approved for release.

(7) How many other drugs have not been made available in Australia even though they have been recommended for funding.

1664 Senator Wong: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Vocational and Technical Education—

(1) On what date did the Minister decide to review the Government’s contracts with the National Centre for Vocational Education Research Ltd (NCVER) for research and statistical collection.

(2) On what dates, and for what purposes, has the Minister met with representatives of the NCVER Ltd since 1 July 2005 and who attended each meeting.

(3) (a) At which of these meetings did the Minister express concern over the current operations of the NCVER; and (b) what was the nature and basis of the Minister’s concerns.

(4) At which of these meetings did the Minister raise the issue of the review of the NCVER.

(5) When was NCVER Ltd informed of the Government’s decision to review these contracts.

(6) (a) On what date is the review due to report; and (b) when will the report and recommendations be made public.

Notice given 28 March 2006

1665 Senator Evans: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations—With reference to the Indigenous Business Development Programme grant made to Hillsong Emerge Pty Ltd totalling $672 000 for business development through the Enterprise hubs and Shine program:

(1) Can a timeline be provided of discussion and correspondence between the department and representatives from Hillsong Emerge in relation to the business development grant before Hillsong Emerge submitted its formal application, including the location, date and attendees of any meetings, and the dates and general contents of any correspondence.

(2) Did Hillsong Emerge approach the department, or vice-versa, in relation to the business development grant.
(3) What services were intended to be provided free-of-charge to the Indigenous community through the hubs.

(4) Is the department aware of any services provided by Hillsong Emerge from the hubs that were not free-of-charge; if so, can details be provided, including the fee for the service.

(5) Were services for a fee to Indigenous clients permitted under the grant.

(6) Did the department regulate or monitor fees that were charged by Hillsong Emerge for services rendered to Indigenous clients.

(7) Was the department aware that Hillsong Emerge offered to draft business strategic plans and proposals from these hubs for Indigenous clients for a fee; if so: (a) on what date did the department become aware of this; and (b) was this permitted under the grant.

(8) Were services to non-Indigenous clients permitted under the grant.

(9) How much funding was allocated for, and spent on, material by Hillsong Emerge to promote the activities of the hubs.

(10) How much of the funding grant was allocated and spent by Hillsong Emerge on developing staff manuals.

(11) (a) How much money did the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of Hillsong Emerge receive from this funding grant; and (b) what is the extent of the role of the CEO in the operation of the hubs.

(12) Will the Enterprise Hubs, run by Hillsong Emerge, receive any further funding from the Indigenous Business Development Programme after February 2006; if so, what will be the extent of the funding, including:
   (a) the amount of the grant or interim funding;
   (b) the nature and objectives of the initiative;
   (c) the specific programs, activities and services provided under the initiative;
   (d) the locations of the initiative; and
   (e) the start date and end date of the initiative.

(13) Has Hillsong Emerge received, or will it receive, any funding grants under the Indigenous Business Development Programme in the 2005-06 financial year; if so, can the following details be provided:
   (a) the amount of the grant;
   (b) the nature and objectives of the initiative;
   (c) the specific activities/services provided under the initiative;
   (d) the locations of the initiative; and
   (e) the start date and end date of the initiative.

(14) Not including Hillsong Emerge, are there any, or have there been, other enterprise hubs funded by the Indigenous Business Development Programme; if so, for each hub can the following details be provided:
   (a) the name of the organisation responsible for operating the hub;
   (b) the amount of funding granted in each of the: (i) 2004-05, and (ii) 2005-06 (to date) financial years.
   (c) the locations of the hub;
   (d) the programs that are run from the hub;
   (e) the purpose of the programs;
   (f) the number of staff who are employed under the grant; and
   (g) the performance indicators for the programs.
Notice given 29 March 2006

Senator O’Brian: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—with reference to the abandoned vessel identified as Jian Seng:

1. On what date was the vessel first sighted in Australian waters.
2. Who first sighted the vessel.
3. Who reported it to the Australian Customs Service and/or another agency.
4. On what date was it reported.
5. What was the location of the vessel when first sighted.
6. What activity was the vessel engaged in at the time of this first sighting.
7. Was the vessel under tow.
8. Was the vessel drifting.
9. Were any people on board the vessel.
10. Was the vessel in the vicinity of other vessels; if so, what is the identity of these vessels.
11. On what date was the: (a) Australian Customs Service; (b) Minister’s office; and (c) the Minister, informed of this sighting.
12. On what date did Customs undertake an aerial inspection of the vessel.
13. What prompted this aerial inspection.
14. If it was a further sighting: (a) who made the report; (b) what was the location of the vessel at the time of this sighting; and (c) what activity was the vessel engaged in at the time of this inspection.
15. Was the vessel drifting.
16. Was the vessel in the vicinity of other vessels.
17. What was the location of the vessel at the time of the aerial inspection.
18. What activity was the vessel engaged in at the time of this inspection.
19. Was the vessel in the vicinity of other vessels; if so, what is the identity of these vessels.
20. Was the vessel under tow.
21. On what date was a Customs vessel dispatched to intercept the vessel.
22. From which port was the Customs vessel dispatched.
23. What prompted the dispatch of the Customs vessel.
24. If it was a further sighting: (a) who made the report; (b) what was the location of the vessel at the time of this sighting; and (c) what activity was the vessel engaged in at the time of this inspection.
25. Was the vessel drifting.
26. Was the vessel in the vicinity of other vessels.
27. On what date did the Customs vessel intercept the vessel.
28. On what date was the vessel boarded.
29. What was the reason for the delayed boarding.
30. How was the vessel identified.
31. What volume of rice was found on board.
32. What other goods were found.
(33) Did officials of any other agency board the vessel with Customs.

(34) With reference to the statement by a Customs spokesperson that ‘it appears to have been adrift for some time before we boarded it’: how was it ascertained that the vessel had been adrift for some time.

(35) How long had the vessel been adrift before it was boarded.

(36) On what dates was: (a) the Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) (b) the Office of Transport Security; and (c) Maritime Safety Queensland, informed the vessel was drifting in Australian waters.

(37) On what date were other vessels in the area alerted about the hazard represented by the unmanned and unlit vessel.

(38) On what date was responsibility for the vessel passed to AMSA.

(39) What disaggregated costs have been borne by the department and its agencies in relation to the identification and management of the vessel.

1671 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to the abandoned vessel identified as Jian Seng:

(1) On what date was the: (a) Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA); (b) Office of Transport Security; (c) Minister’s office; and (d) Minister, informed that the vessel was adrift in the Gulf of Carpentaria.

(2) In each case: (a) what was the source of the information; and (b) what was the location of the vessel at that time.

(3) On what date was an alert about the unlit and unmanned Jian Seng issued to vessels in its vicinity.

(4) What was the form of the alert.

(5) What was the location of the vessel at this time.

(6) How did AMSA manage the potential risk to the marine environment posed by this adrift vessel.

(7) On what date did AMSA assume responsibility for control of the vessel.

(8) What was the location of the vessel at this time.

(9) What emergency towage arrangements were put in place.

(10) On what date did the vessel arrive at Weipa.

(11) Was the vessel anchored outside or inside Weipa Harbour; if outside, why.

(12) (a) Which agencies inspected the vessel; (b) on what dates; and (c) for what purposes.

(13) (a) Was the hull inspected for exotic marine pests; and (b) how was this inspection conducted.

(14) On what date was the vessel permitted entry to Weipa Harbour.

(15) What role, if any, have agencies other than AMSA, including but not necessarily limited to AusSar and Marine Safety Queensland, played in: (a) the minimisation of risk to the marine environment and other vessels; and (b) the recovery of the vessel.

(16) What is the vessel’s home port and registry.

(17) What disaggregated costs have been borne by the department and its agencies in relation to the identification and management of the vessel.

(18) (a) On what date was the International Maritime Organisation (IMO) number of the vessel identified; and (b) what is the IMO number.
Senator O'Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) Does the Office of Transport Security monitor the presence of vessels in Australian waters; if so, how.

(2) On what date did the Office of Transport Security identify the presence of the abandoned vessel Jian Seng in Australian waters.

(3) How long had the Jian Seng been adrift before the Office of Transport Security identified its presence.

(4) Was there any lapse of awareness by the Office of Transport Security in relation to the Jian Seng; if so, has the Minister investigated this lapse.

Notice given 30 March 2006

Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training—

(1) What projects are currently receiving federal funding for learning disability.

(2) What initiatives have been taken by the Government since 1996 to assist children with learning disabilities in schools.

(3) Does the Government consider that the needs of an estimated 2 in 10 children with an identified learning disability or problem are currently being met.

(4) Why did the former Minister, Dr Nelson, indicate to ACLB Ltd (Australian Children’s Literary Board), the not-for-profit organisation that runs educational and artistic programs for children with learning disabilities, that he supported its work but that the Government educational policy does not allow for it to be federally-funded.

Notice given 6 April 2006

Senator Evans: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Families, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs—With reference to the Shared Responsibility Agreement (SRA) evaluation process:

(1) Who are the consultants that have been selected to conduct evaluations in the 2005-06 financial year.

(2) What are the criteria against which the SRAs will be assessed.

(3) (a) Will each SRA be assessed to determine whether both the community and Government have delivered their obligations; and (b) how will this be assessed.

(4) Will the evaluations involve an assessment of quantitative data or be more qualitative in nature.

(5) How many evaluations do you expect will be completed in the 2005-06 financial year.

(6) How many final evaluation reports has the Office of Indigenous Policy and Coordination received to date.

(7) Can copies be provided of any evaluation reports completed to date.

(8) Have the relevant communities been provided with copies of the report.

(9) What are the names of the locations of SRAs that have been evaluated to date.
(10) How many SRAs have been identified through the evaluation process, to date, as involving unmet obligations, either by the community or Government.

Senator Evans: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations—

(1) When were the Community Development Employment Projects (CDEP) guidelines for the 2005-06 financial year first implemented.

(2) What is the definition of a job placement.

(3) How long does a job placement last for.

(4) (a) How much are employers paid as an incentive to provide a job placement to a CDEP participant; and (b) can details be provided of all payments at the beginning, middle and end of the placement.

(5) What is the Minister’s definition of employment, including how many hours per week and how long it must last.

(6) Do the job placements arranged by CDEP organisations differ from those that are arranged by Job Network providers; if so, how.

(7) Are CDEP organisations and Job Network providers paid the same fee for placing a participant of their respective programs into a job placement; if not, what is the difference.

(8) How many CDEP participants have been put in job placements since 1 July 2005.

(9) How many CDEP participants have had job placements in CDEP organisations in the 2005-06 financial year.

(10) Does the department have any data on how many CDEP participants obtain employment as a result of a job placement; if so, can the data be provided for the 2005-06 financial year.

(11) Does the department have any data on how many CDEP participants have obtained full-time employment generally; if so, can the data be provided for the 2005-06 financial year.

(12) How many CDEP participants have obtained employment, either full-time or part-time, in CDEP organisations in the 2005-06 financial year.

Senator Allison: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1692-1693)—With reference to the recent statements by the Prime Minister about the removal of discrimination against same-sex couples, and to the then Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer, Senator Coonan’s, second reading speech on 22 June 2004 in relation to proposed interdependency provisions in Commonwealth superannuation schemes:

(1) What was the result of the review conducted by ministers responsible for the Commonwealth superannuation schemes, to ‘ensure consistency with these interdependency amendments’.

(2) When is it anticipated that legislation ensuring this ‘consistency’ will be introduced in the Parliament.

Notice given 11 April 2006

Senator Allison: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1692-1693)—With reference to the recent statements by the Prime Minister about the removal of discrimination against same-sex couples, and to the then Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer, Senator Coonan’s, second reading speech on 22 June 2004 in relation to proposed interdependency provisions in Commonwealth superannuation schemes:

(1) What was the result of the review conducted by ministers responsible for the Commonwealth superannuation schemes, to ‘ensure consistency with these interdependency amendments’.

(2) When is it anticipated that legislation ensuring this ‘consistency’ will be introduced in the Parliament.

1693 Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer
Notice given 19 April 2006

1697 Senator Crossin: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations—

(1) (a) How are decisions being made on the removal of the Remote Area Exemption (RAE) in remote communities; and (b) what are the roles of both the community and Government.

(2) If a community agrees to the removal of the RAE, is it correct that they can then get as many Community Development Employment Projects (CDEP) places as they like; if so, will all of these participants also have to sign on with a job finder.

(3) How long will these people be able to stay on a CDEP.

(4) What other conditions would apply in such a case.

(5) (a) How are CDEP participant places now being allocated; and (b) who makes the decision on the number of places.

(6) If remote communities can in fact increase the number of CDEP participants, by removing the RAE, which CDEP funding will increase with it (for example, wages and oncosts – both recurrent and capital – or just wages).

(7) If oncosts do increase: (a) by what amounts will they increase; and (b) is it on a set formula amount per participant.

(8) If the number of participants increases and oncost funding rises: (a) where will the additional funding be found; and (b) is it new money; if not, from which existing program will it be taken.

(9) If a community can and, in fact, does want to increase CDEP numbers in this way, what help will they be given in overcoming problems such as lack of housing for any additional supervisory or training staff within the community.

(10) Given that young people are supposed to undergo education or training and that this will require more education and training facilities and staff in regional and remote areas: what plans are there to address these needs.

(11) Under the proposed changes to CDEP, announced on 30 March 2006, what classification applies to towns such as Alice Springs, Tennant Creek, Nhulunbuy, Katherine and Darwin (i.e. are they metropolitan, regional or remote.

(12) With reference to CDEP participants in Darwin working in schools or at the hospital: if after 12 months they are still in these positions and still on CDEP, will they be forced off CDEP.

(13) Given that Maningrida Marine Rangers are presently on a CDEP: will the rangers have to come off the project if they are still on the CDEP in 12 or 24 months time.

(14) In an urban or regional area, if a participant still has not got a ‘real’ job after 12 months what social security will they receive.

(15) Can former participants return to a CDEP; if so, after how long.

(16) If a young person undertakes training and at the end of it there is still no ‘real’ job, what happens to that person.

(17) (a) What is the national budget for CDEP; and (b) can a breakdown be provided of the component parts.
(18) How much of the national budget for CDEP is spent in the Northern Territory.
(19) Can an up-to-date list be provided of CDEP organisations in the Northern Territory, including the number of participants in each organisation.

Notice given 21 April 2006

1698 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Families, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs—Can a copy of the report into the review of the In-Home Care Program be provided; if not, why not.

1700 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—With reference to Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS) and that the incidence of SIDS is five times higher in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities than the national average; according to the National Institute of Clinical Studies Report *Evidence-Practice Gaps*, Volume 2, research indicates putting infants in the back sleeping position produces greater protection from SIDS than the side position; and the majority of Indigenous infants appear to be placed on their side to sleep: Can details of any Government-funded educational and public awareness campaigns for Indigenous communities that specifically emphasise the need for back sleeping position rather than an avoidance of stomach sleeping position be provided, including: (a) the amount of funding; (b) timeframe for implementation; and (c) copy of any of the materials.

1701 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—With reference to the National Institute of Clinical Studies Report *Evidence-Practice Gaps*, Volume 2, which reported that half of the people with asthma for whom preventers would be beneficial are not taking them regularly and that there is currently an overuse of ipratropium bromide in the management of mild and moderate asthma attacks:

1. What is the Government doing to investigate the reasons for under use of preventers, including costs of medications and consultations.
2. What, if any, education programs has the Government implemented to encourage appropriate use of preventers in the ongoing treatment of asthma.
3. What does the Government intend doing to decrease the inappropriate use of ipratropium bromide.

Senator Allison: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1703-1704)—

1. Is the Minister aware of the United Kingdom (UK) study of ‘Sex and relationship education for 13-16 year olds: Evidence from England’ conducted by the RIPPLE Study Team and reported in *Sex Education, Volume 6, No. 1*, February 2006.
2. Is the Minister aware that the UK Government has, in recent years, focused on the need to improve sex and relationship education (SRE) in schools in order to reduce the rates of teenage pregnancies and the number of young people contracting sexually-transmitted infections (STIs).
3. Given the similar rates of teenage pregnancy and STIs in Australia, does the Minister share the UK Government’s policy objective on this issue.
4. Is the Minister aware that UK studies have thus far shown that:
   (a) often little time is allocated for the delivery of SRE, it is delivered too late for many students and tends to have an overly biological focus;
(b) SRE has failed to address affective issues around emotions and relationships, attitudes or skills development;
(c) lack of time available for planning and delivery of SRE; and
(d) lack of teachers’ confidence and commitment, embarrassment and lack of training, and difficulties with implementing and monitoring a cross-curricular approach.

(5) Does the Minister consider that these may also be issues in Australia.
(6) When was the last time a study was conducted into SRE in Australian schools.
(7) What plans does the Minister have to:
   (a) commission research into SRE;
   (b) discuss teenage pregnancy, STIs and/or SRE with state and territory health and education ministers; and
   (c) reduce the current rates of teenage pregnancies and teenage STIs.

1703 Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing
1704 Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training

1708 **Senator Allison:** To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

(1) What environmental taxes on cars, petrol, wood and other products have recently been imposed by China.
(2) Were these environmental taxes negotiated as part of the Asia-Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate; if not, how do they relate to the Asia-Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate.
(3) To what extent is China using market-based mechanisms to address greenhouse abatement and/or avoidance.
(4) To what extent is each of the other parties to the Asia-Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate, including Australia, using market-based mechanisms to address greenhouse abatement and/or avoidance.
(5) To what extent is China using the expansion of nuclear power to address greenhouse abatement and/or avoidance by 2020.
(6) Does, or will, nuclear power expansion form part of the Asia-Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate; if so, can details be provided.
(7) Is it still the case that Australia’s greenhouse emissions are expected to increase by more than 20 per cent above 1990 levels by 2020; if not, what is the anticipated increase.
(8) How does Australia’s increase above 1990 levels by 2020 compare with each of the other countries in the Asia-Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate.
(9) Does the Government accept that to avoid dangerous climate change, global greenhouse emission reductions must be within the range of 15 per cent to 30 per cent by 2020; if not, what percentage reduction does the Government consider necessary.
(10) (a) Is it the case that China plans to expand renewable energy to 15 per cent of power generated by 2020; and (b) was this decision part of the Asia-Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate agreement; if so, what were the commitments of other parties to the agreement with respect to renewable energy.
Senator Allison: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—With reference to the answer to question on notice No. 1115 (Senate Hansard, 8 November 2005, p. 144), which stated ‘The Vision Statement for the Asia Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate explicitly includes wind power as one of the areas for collaboration by partner countries. However, no decisions have yet been made on specific implementation measures or arrangements. These issues will be discussed at the initial ministerial meeting of partner countries, which will be held in Australia in November 2005’:

(1) What were the results of that meeting of partner countries with regard to renewable energy.

(2) Have the industry development mechanisms to accelerate the generation of wind power, as proposed by the Global Wind Energy Council, been agreed to; if not, why.

(3) Have Australia’s commitments to renewables been affected by the decision to invoke the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act (EPBC) on the Bald Hills Wind Farm: if so, how.

(4) Can details be provided on progress with the states and territories through the Ministerial Council on Energy to reduce regulatory and technical impediments to renewable energy uptake, with a particular focus on wind energy.

(5) What share of: (a) total renewable energy; and (b) total energy, does the Government anticipate will be generated by wind by: (i) 2010, (ii) 2015, and (iii) 2020.

(6) (a) What share of the renewable energy market does the Government consider will be captured by Australia’s renewable energy industry in: (i) 2010, (ii) 2015, and (iii) 2020; (b) what would this mean in terms of investment and export income and jobs in Australia; and (c) if no projection has been made, why not.

(7) What is the current estimate of greenhouse emission abatement and/or avoidance for each of the following Federal Government programs and by when will this be achieved:
   (a) $14 million Wind Energy Forecasting Capability;
   (b) $20 million Advanced Electricity Storage Technologies Program; and
   (c) $100 million Renewable Energy Development Initiative.

(8) Can a progress report on each of these programs be provided.

(9) Can a progress report be provided on the Program Guidelines for the Low Emissions Technology Demonstration Fund, a draft of which was released in June 2005.

(10) What are the criteria to assess technologies to be funded under the Low Emissions Technology Fund.

(11) What is the process to assess technologies.

(12) What is the timeframe for implementation of the Low Emissions Technology Fund.

(13) (a) What projects have been funded so far; and (b) can details be provided of the timeframe for emissions abatement and/or avoidance.

(14) Would the development of nuclear power qualify for the Low Emissions Technology Fund.
(15) Has there been an application under the Low Emissions Technology Fund for development related to nuclear power; if so, can details be provided.

Notice given 26 April 2006

1715 Senator Bob Brown: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations—With reference to the exemption for Exclusive Brethren workplaces from union inspection under the new Workplace Relations regulations:

(1) Which person or persons sought the exemption, when and with whom did they consult.

(2) What were the reasons for the exemption.

(3) What other communication took place and, whether in electronic or hard copy form, is this available; if so, can a copy be provided.

(4) What talks has the minister had with: Exclusive Brethren representatives; union representatives; and others; and, in each case, can the following be provided: (a) names; (b) places; and (c) times.

Notice given 2 May 2006

1716 Senator Bob Brown: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—

With reference to the answer to question on notice no. 1164 (Senate Hansard, 29 March 2006, p. 192), in particular paragraph 2(b), which indicates that ‘post operational psychological screening’ takes place:

(1) In each of the past 5 years, how many personnel have completed operational duty.

(2) How many of these had psychological screening.

(3) Did this screening specifically seek to discover post operational stress related symptoms or signs.

(4) (a) How many post operational personnel were suffering such symptoms or signs; and (b) what does follow-up indicate.

Notice given 4 May 2006

Senator Allison: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1719-1720)—

(1) Is the Minister aware of the recent letter in the Australian Doctor magazine that reports that some medical practices are refusing to perform pap smears.

(2) What information is available on the prevalence of medical practitioners or medical practices refusing to provide services to patients requesting them, including the nature of the services.

(3) What information is available on the reasons that medical practitioners or medical practices may be refusing to provide services to patients requesting them.

(4) Does the Government intend to investigate why some medical practitioners or medical practices may be refusing to perform particular services; if not, why not.

(5) What are the legal requirements for medical practices and individual medical practitioners with regard to providing access to medical services.
(6) What processes, if any, does the Government require medical practitioners receiving government funds to put in place to ensure that their patients have access to comprehensive medical care.

1719 Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing
1720 Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing

Notice given 8 May 2006

1721 Senator Bartlett: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

(1) When the new Australia New Zealand Therapeutic Products Authority (ANZTPA) is finally established, will it automatically enact the Codex Alimentarius Commission (Codex) recommendations on the guidelines for vitamin and mineral supplements; if so, how will this affect access to vitamin and mineral supplements in Australia.

(2) Will Australians continue to have the level of access that they currently have to vitamin and mineral supplements when the new Australia New Zealand Therapeutic Products Authority (ANZTPA) is finally established; if not, why not.

(3) According to the Therapeutic Goods Administration’s fact sheet on Codex, the draft Codex guidelines for vitamin and mineral food supplements specifically state that they apply in countries where vitamin and mineral supplements are regulated as food: does this mean that they will only apply to countries that regulate vitamins and minerals as food; if not, how will they apply in Australia where vitamin and mineral supplements are regulated as therapeutic products.

(4) Is it specifically stated in the Codex guidelines that they will not apply in countries where vitamin and mineral supplements are regulated as therapeutic products.

(5) (a) Can the Minister confirm that minutes of the Codex Committee on Nutrition and Foods for Special Purposes makes reference to jurisdictions that regulate supplements as drugs wanting to be exempt from the recommendations; (b) would this apply to Australia, where supplements are regulated as therapeutic goods; and (c) has Australia been formally exempted from the Codex guidelines on vitamins and mineral supplements.

1722 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

(1) What data is available on the number and/or proportion of males in Australia that suffer from epididymitis.

(2) What percentage of epididymitis results from sexually-transmitted infections such as Chlamydia and gonorrhoea.

(3) What proportion of epididymitis infection results in male infertility.

(4) What data is available on the level of public awareness of the symptomatology of epididymitis and the relationship between sexually transmitted infections, epididymitis and infertility.

(5) What data is available on the level of awareness in the medical community of the relationship between sexually transmitted infections, epididymitis and infertility and appropriate treatment options.

(6) What action is the Government taking to increase awareness of this condition.
1723 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—
(1) Can an update be provided on the progress of the pilot Chlamydia screening project that the Government is funding and implementing.
(2) When will the results form this pilot be available.

1724 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—
(1) Can the following details be provided, the: (a) range; (b) average; (c) median; and (d) mode, percentage increase in premiums for basic hospital coverage for an individual and family for the past 5 years.
(2) Can the inflation figures for the past 5 years be provided.
(3) (a) How are health care costs taken into account when calculating the Consumer Price Index (CPI); and (b) does this include health insurance premiums; if so, what is the cause of the discrepancy between the annual CPI figure and the annual increases in health insurance premiums.
(4) Can the following details be provided: (a) the total amount of money spent on advertising by the various health funds in the past 6 years; and (b) a breakdown by year and health fund of money spent on advertising by the various health funds.

1725 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—With reference to the answer to question on notice no. 149 (Senate Hansard, 8 February 2005, p. 229):
(1) Are Medicare benefits now available for HIV antibody testing.
(2) What actions, if any, has the Government taken to address the anomaly between funding arrangements for HIV antibody testing and testing for other blood-borne and sexually-transmitted diseases under Medicare.
(3) Can the figures for the past 10 years of the annual number of new HIV diagnoses in Australia be provided.

Notice given 9 May 2006

Senator O'Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1726-1728)—
(1) When in 2002 did: (a) the Minister; (b) the Minister’s office; and (c) the department, become aware that the Iraqi Administration had refused to allow a ship carrying Australian wheat to unload due to alleged contamination of the grain.
(2) How did: (a) the Minister; (b) the Minister’s office; and (c) the department, become aware the ship’s cargo had been rejected and, in each case, what action was taken in response.
(3) When and how was: (a) the Minister; (b) the Minister’s office; and (c) the department, advised that further shipments of Australian wheat had been rejected by the Iraqi Administration because the grain was allegedly contaminated.
(4) Did: (a) the Minister; (b) the Minister’s office; and (c) the department, receive specific advice about the rejection of each vessel; if so, in each case, when, who provided the advice, how was the advice provided and what action was taken in response.

1726 Minister representing the Prime Minister
Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1729-1731)—

(1) When in 2002 did the Minister and/or his office and/or the department communicate with a representative of AWB Limited about the Iraqi Administration’s threat to reduce the volume of Australian wheat it would buy due to Australia’s alliance with the United States of America, and, in each case, who initiated the communication, in what form was the communication made and who were the parties to the communication.

(2) If the form of communication was a face-to-face meeting: (a) who attended and in what capacity did they attend; (b) where was the meeting conducted; and (c) if officers from the department did not attend and/or official minutes of the meeting were not recorded, why not.

1729 Minister representing the Prime Minister

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1732-1734)—

(1) When in 2002 did the Minister and/or his office and/or the department communicate with representatives of AWB Limited about the repayment of a quality rebate under a contract associated with the United Nations Oil for Food Programme and, in each case, who initiated the communication, in what form was the communication made and who were the parties to the communication.

(2) If the form of communication was a face-to-face meeting: (a) who attended and in what capacity did they attend; (b) where was the meeting conducted; and (c) if officers from the department did not attend and/or official minutes of the meeting were not recorded, why not.

1732 Minister representing the Prime Minister

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1735-1737)—

(1) When in 2002 did the Minister and/or his office and/or the department communicate with: (a) Tigris Petroleum or a representative; and/or (b) BHP Billiton or a representative, about the repayment of a debt by the Iraqi Grains Board and, in each case, who initiated the communication, in what form was the communication made and who were the parties to the communication.

(2) If the form of communication was a face-to-face meeting: (a) who attended and in what capacity did they attend; (b) where was the meeting conducted; and (c) if officers from the department did not attend and/or official minutes of the meeting were not recorded, why not.

1735 Minister representing the Prime Minister

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1738-1740)—When in 2002 did the Minister and/or his office: (a) seek advice from the department; and (b) receive advice from the department, in relation to the threat by the Iraqi Administration to reduce the volume of Australian wheat it would buy due to Australia’s alliance with the United States of America and, in each case, in what form was the advice sought or received.

1738 Minister representing the Prime Minister
Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1741-1743)—When in 2002 did the Minister and/or his office: (a) seek advice from the department; and (b) receive advice from the department, in relation to the repayment of a quality rebate for a contract signed by AWB Limited under the United Nations Oil for Food Programme and, in each case, in what form was the advice sought or received.

1741 Minister representing the Prime Minister

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1744-1746)—When in 2002 did the Minister and/or his office: (a) seek advice from the department; and (b) receive advice from the department, in relation to the repayment of a debt owed to Tigris Petroleum, or BHP Billiton, by the Iraqi Grains Board and, in each case, in what form was the advice sought or received.

1744 Minister representing the Prime Minister

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1747-1749)—

(1) On what dates in 2002 and 2003 did the Minister or his office: (a) seek advice from the Minister’s department; and (b) receive advice from the Minister’s department in relation to the decision by the Iraqi Administration to continue to purchase Australian wheat despite Australia’s alliance with the United States.

(2) In each case, in what form was the advice sought or received.

1747 Minister representing the Prime Minister

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Prime Minister—

(1) On what dates in 2002 and 2003 did: (a) the Prime Minister; and (b) the Prime Minister’s office, meet with representatives of the Grains Council of Australia.

(2) For each meeting, can the following details be provided: (a) who attended; (b) the capacity in which they attended; and (c) where the meeting was conducted.

(3) If officers from the department did not attend and/or official minutes of the meeting were not recorded, why not.

(4) In each case, were briefing notes provided by the department prior to the meeting; if so, who requested the briefing notes; if no briefing notes were requested, why not.

1750 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1751-1753)—

(1) On what dates in 2002 and 2003 did the: (a) Minister; (b) Ministers office; and (c) department, communicate with a representative of AWB Limited about the decision by the Iraqi Administration to continue to purchase Australian wheat despite Australia’s alliance with the United States against Iraq.

(2) In each case: (a) who initiated the communication; (b) in what form was the communication made; and (c) who were the parties to the communication.

(3) If the form of communication was a face-to-face meeting: (a) who attended and in what capacity did they attended; (b) where was the meeting conducted; and (c) if officers from the department did not attend and/or official minutes of the meeting were not recorded, why not.

1751 Minister representing the Prime Minister
Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1754-1756)—

(1) When and how in 2002 and 2003 did the: (a) Minister; (b) Minister’s office; and (c) department, become aware that AWB Limited had reached a settlement with the Iraqi Administration that would permit the unloading of Australian wheat that was alleged to be contaminated.

(2) When was advice sought from the department about the settlement.

(3) When was that advice received.

(4) What was the form of that advice.

(5) On what dates in 2002 did the: (a) Minister; (b) Minister’s office; and (c) department, communicate with a representative of AWB Limited about the settlement.

(6) In each case: (a) who initiated the communication; (b) in what form was the communication made; and (c) who were the parties to the communication.

(7) If the form of communication was a face-to-face meeting: (a) who attended and in what capacity did they attended; (b) where the meeting was conducted; and (c) if officers from the department did not attend and/or official minutes of the meeting were not recorded, why not.

1754 Minister representing the Prime Minister

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1757-1759)—

(1) Did Mr Darryl Hockey, an employee of AWB Limited, meet with the: (a) Minister; (b) Minister’s office; and/or (c) the department, in November 2002 seeking advice on how to arrange the repayment of a quality rebate to the Iraqi Grains Board; if so: (i) who did Mr Hockey meet with, (ii) where did the meeting take place, (iii) on what date did the meeting take place and, (iv) if the Minister and/or his office did not attend, when and how was the Minister and/or his office advised of the meeting.

(2) Were official minutes of the meeting recorded; if not, why not.

(3) Was Mr Hockey provided with advice on options for repayment to the Iraqi Grains Board; if so, in what form was this advice provided.

(4) Did the Minister and/or the his office receive a copy of this advice; if so, when and how was this approval given.

(5) Did the Minister and/or his office approve this advice; if so, when and how was this approval given.

1757 Minister representing the Prime Minister

Senator Milne: To ask the Minister representing the Prime Minister—With reference to the series of meetings between the Prime Minister and Mr Peter Harris, Chairman, Family First, to consult over policy in exchange for preferences as reported in the *Australian* newspaper of 25 September 2004:

(1) On which dates did those meetings take place.

(2) Where were the meeting held.

(3) Who attended the meetings.

(4) What decisions were made at those meetings.

(5) Did, as reported in the *Australian*, the deal include Family First agreeing to ‘lead a direct advertising attack against the Greens … in four states’; if so: (a) what was the monetary value assigned to that advertising campaign; and (b) who in the Liberal Party liaised with Family First to determine the content of those advertisements.
(6) Was the Liberal Party involved in the placement of those advertisements; if so, in what way.

 Notice given 10 May 2006

1762 Senator Bob Brown: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—
With reference to the Macquarie Marshes, New South Wales:

(1) (a) What action has the Government taken to reverse the deterioration of
the marshes and their wildlife; and/or (b) what action is being contemplated.

(2) Is the Government prepared to purchase or help purchase the estimated
140 000 megalitres of additional water, required to give the marshes the
300 000 megalitre annual supply essential to their environmental health.

1763 Senator Milne: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

(1) Is there any incursion of Buffel Grass or Gamba Grass in the Kakadu World
Heritage area.

(2) What action is the Commonwealth taking to prevent the spread of these
grasses into the Kakadu World Heritage areas.

1764 Senator Kirk: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Human
Services—

(1) Does the Child Support Agency (CSA) have the authority to access tax file
numbers from the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) or Commissioner of
Taxation; if so, what is the relevant legislation and/or determination that
grants this authority.

(2) Has the CSA accessed tax file numbers from the ATO or Commissioner of
Taxation; if so, how many tax file numbers were accessed in the 2003-04
and 2004-05 financial years.

(3) If tax file numbers have been accessed, did the CSA get the permission of
the individuals concerned in every instance; if not, how many tax file
numbers were accessed without permission.

(4) Has the Government received representations on behalf of child support
payers claiming that the CSA has accessed tax file numbers from the
Commissioner of Taxation without the proper authorisation from payers; if
so: (a) has a response been provided; and (b) if a response has been
provided, can details of that response be provided.

(5) Was advice sought or obtained within the past 12 months with respect to
the use of tax file numbers in the administration of child support legislation.

(6) If the advice was sought, in what capacity was it sought.

(7) Has advice been received.

(8) Is the advice privileged in any way.

(9) Can the following information be provided: (a) a copy of the advice; and
(b) the memorandum or brief requesting the advice.

1766 Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—

(1) Can a copy be provided of the evaluation framework mentioned on page 6
of the Australian Federal Police 2004-05 annual report that was created in
conjunction with Flinders University and the Australian National
University.

(2) What were the findings of this research.
Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—Can a list be provided of the Minister’s accepted public speaking engagements for 2006, in Australia and overseas, in his capacity as Minister for Justice and Customs.

Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—
(1) For the 2004-05 financial year, how many investigations did the Australian Federal Police launch into fraud, specifying both against the Commonwealth and against other parties.
(2) Of those investigations: (a) how many were finalised; and (b) can the source of the allegations that led to the investigations be indicated.
(3) Of those investigations that were finalised: (a) how many resulted in charges; and (b) how many individual people were charged as a result of those investigations.
(4) In relation to those people charged in (3) above: (a) what charges were laid in each instance; (b) how many have proceeded to trial; (c) how many are still before the court; and (d) how many have resulted in: (i) a verdict of not guilty, (ii) a verdict of guilty, (iii) a mistrial, or (iv) charges being withdrawn (specify reason).

Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—
(1) Does the Australian Federal Police (AFP) conduct surveys of the general public of the jurisdictions in which it conducts community policing to gauge satisfaction with community policing; if so: when are these surveys conducted and can a copy of the results of all surveys conducted since 2001 be provided; if not, why not and what alternative efforts does the AFP undertake to gauge satisfaction in the Australian Capital Territory community.
(2) Were any AFP personnel assigned to the Australian Capital Territory Community Policing Program diverted for any duties under the Protective Services Output in the 2004-05 financial year; if so: (a) how many were diverted; (b) for how long were they diverted; (c) was any reimbursement given to the Australian Capital Territory Government for the cost of these personnel; and (d) how was the Community Policing Program affected by this diversion.
(3) (a) What is the total cost of the Australian Capital Territory Community Policing Program; and (b) can a breakdown be provided of the total cost by funding source.

Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—
(1) What information is a business or person required to provide to the Australian Custom Service (ACS) for the damages claim arising out of the implementation of the Integrated Cargo System.
(2) Who is eligible to claim damages under this scheme.
(3) What is the criteria for damages.
(4) How many separate claims has the ACS received for compensation.
(5) How much in total has been claimed so far.
(6) How are the claims being assessed.
(7) Are the claims being assessed by an independent authority or are they being assessed by ACS.
(8) If the claims are not being assessed by ACS, who are they being assessed by and how was this body chosen.

(9) If the claims are being assessed by ACS, what body within ACS is undertaking the assessment.

(10) (a) Of the claims received so far, how many of them have been processed; and (b) of those processed: (i) how many have been approved, (ii) how many have been partially approved, and (iii) how many have been disallowed.

(11) (a) What appeal mechanisms are there for a claim which has been disallowed or only partially allowed; and (b) in how many cases have claimants availed themselves of those mechanisms.

(12) How much compensation has been approved so far.

(13) How much of the compensation that has been approved has been released.

(14) What is the average waiting time between the date on which the ACS receives a claim form and the date on which it releases compensation.

1771 **Senator Ludwig:** To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—With reference to the statement on page 20 of the Australian Crime Commission (ACC) 2004-05 annual report that the Ombudsman found that the ACC is generally complying with the requirements of the Telecommunications Interception Act:

(1) What aspects of the Act was the ACC not in compliance with at the time of the Ombudsman report; and (b) can a description of the nature of each instance of non-compliance be provided.

(2) (a) What action was taken to ensure that the ACC was fully compliant rather than just generally compliant with the requirements of the Act; and (b) what is the current status of the ACC’s compliance with those requirements.

(3) Is the ACC now fully compliant with the requirements of the Act; if not, when does it expect to be fully compliant.

1772 **Senator Ludwig:** To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—With reference to the statement on page 81 of the Australian Crime Commission (ACC) 2004-05 annual report that the ACC’s budget for the 2005–06 financial year may cover an approved $2 million deficit to enable enhancements to infrastructure and to remedy a number of other issues relating to the transition to the ACC from three former agencies and that the ACC will fund the deficit from previously un-drawn appropriations:

(1) What are the ‘enhancements to infrastructure’ referred to.

(2) (a) What are the remaining outstanding issues relating to the formation of the ACC; and (b) when will they be finalised.

(3) Is it normal to have $2 million in un-drawn appropriations; if so, can other instances be provided in which the ACC has had similar levels of un-drawn appropriations; if not, why is it so in this case.

1773 **Senator Ludwig:** To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—With reference to the Australian Crime Commission (ACC) 2004-05 annual report which mentions on page 49 that the use of the coercive powers has increased by 77.2 per cent from the 2003-04 financial year:

(1) (a) What is the reason for this increase; and (b) could the same results have been achieved by other means; if so, what.
(2) Are there any internal integrity processes which monitor the use of the coercive powers; if so, what are they; if not, why not.
(3) Has there been any progress on the provision of a practice and procedure manual for the benefit of practitioners and those summoned for examination or to produce documents, as recommended in the report on the ACC Act: if so, when is it expected to be completed; if not, why not.
(4) What progress has been made on the implementation of the recommendations of the Parliamentary Joint Committee on the Australian Crime Commission’s report on trafficking in women for sexual servitude.
(5) The report gives details of initiatives taken on illegal firearms, and in particular, international and domestic consultation: what is the status of the strategic paper on deactivation.

Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—The summary of court results at appendix C of the Australian Crime Commission 2004-05 annual report shows that a significant proportion of the charges and penalties are at the lower rather than the higher end of the scale and most of the outcomes appear to have attracted sentences of less than 5 years, and there are several quite minor fines (i.e. $600 and $250) which would not suggest crimes of significance:

(1) Why is this.
(2) In some cases charges were withdrawn or a nolle prosequi entered: was this the result of a lack of evidence or flaws in the investigations for those matters.
(3) Can a breakdown of the reasons for the withdrawal of charges and the entrance of a nolle prosequi be provided.

Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister representing the Attorney-General—With reference to the joint registry project to create a single point of entry for family law matters:

(1) What arrangements will be made for staff to retain their current positions.
(2) Will there be any staff losses following the creation of the joint registry or are there any proposals for shedding jobs as a result of the creation of the registry.
(3) How will the duties and responsibilities of retained staff be affected by the creation of the joint registry.
(4) (a) What consultations have been undertaken with staff regarding the creation of the joint registry; and (b) when and how were these consultations undertaken.
(5) Can an overview of the proposed changes and their impact on staffing be provided.

Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—With reference to the Australian Crime Commission (ACC) 2004-05 annual report and the charges arising out of determinations mentioned on page 48:

(1) Can a breakdown of the outcome of charges arising out of the determinations be provided, including: (a) how many were subsequently dropped; (b) how many resulted in a verdict of guilty; and (c) how many resulted in a verdict of not guilty.
(2) How many pharmacists (broken down by state) have been charged with offences relating to precursor drugs.
1777 Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—With reference to the Australian Crime Commission (ACC) 2004-05 annual report, in particular page 79 which states that the ACC has continued to provide facilities for Australian Security and Intelligence Organisation (ASIO) examinations of several terrorist suspects under the Commonwealth’s new national anti-terrorism laws:

1. Why does ASIO use ACC facilities to examine terrorist suspects.
2. Are ACC personnel involved in these interrogations.
3. Is this arrangement expected to continue.
4. On how many occasions has ASIO used ACC facilities to conduct examinations of terrorist suspects.
5. Can a breakdown be provided of the dates on which these examinations were conducted.
6. How many individual terrorist suspects have undergone these examinations.

1778 Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—With reference to the Australian Crime Commission (ACC) 2004-05 annual report and the workload increases that saw the creation of a new position of Examiner: (a) have the workload increases continued; if so, to what extent have they continued; and (b) is the ACC currently exploring any new positions to assist with this workload increase.

1779 Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—With reference to the Australian Crime Commission (ACC) 2004-05 annual report, in particular the statement on page 81 that the recent cabinet decision approving the recommendations of the Sir John Wheeler Review into Airport Security and Policing has resulted in an additional $20.5 million from the 2005-06 to 2009-10 financial years for enhancements to its intelligence functions and systems:

1. Can further details of this development be provided.
2. What specific enhancements are planned.
3. Can a breakdown of the planned enhancements and their estimated cost be provided.

1780 Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—With reference to the Australian Crime Commission (ACC) 2004-05 annual report, in particular the statement in the report that the ACC created a Specialist Services Group in the Operations Directorate to create greater ‘synergy’ in the gathering of evidence and actionable intelligence:

1. What exactly is meant by ‘greater synergy’.
2. (a) How is this synergy measured; and (b) can specifics of key performance indicators related to this synergy be provided.
3. (a) What action was undertaken by the ACC to achieve ‘greater synergy’; and (b) what are the results of this action so far.

1781 Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—With reference to the Australian Crime Commission 2004-05 annual report, in particular statements regarding the increasing number of strategic intelligence products disseminated: (a) what are the reasons behind this increase; and (b) has this trend continued in the 2005-06 financial year.
Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—With reference to the Australian Crime Commission (ACC) 2004-05 annual report, where on page 79 it is indicated that throughout the 2004-05 financial year the level of security risk to the ACC remained as ‘major’, requiring senior management attention to be given to vulnerable areas of the agency in accordance with Commonwealth standards:

1. Why was the level of security risk judged to be ‘major’ during the 2004-05 financial year.
2. Has it always been at this level since the creation of the ACC; if so, why; if not, can a timeline indicating the different levels of risk for the ACC be provided.
3. (a) What are the other levels; and (b) will the level of security risk ever be below ‘major’ for the ACC.

Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—With reference to the Australian Crime Commission (ACC) 2004-05 annual report, in particular the statement on page 82 that, during the 2005–06 financial year, the responsibility to host the secretariat for the Asia Pacific Group on Money Laundering is being transferred to the Australian Federal Police (AFP) and that the transfer will not have a material impact on the ACC’s 2005–06 financial statements: (a) why was this responsibility transferred from the ACC to the AFP; and (b) why was this considered not to be the role of the AFP rather than the ACC.

Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—With reference to the Australian Crime Commission’s review of the outcomes and outputs framework that was undertaken in the 2003-04 financial year and the subsequent changes in the frameworks: has there been any follow-up work to ascertain the effectiveness or otherwise of the changes; if so, can details be provided; if not, why not.

Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—

1. Was the notice to extradite Dragan Vasiljkovic issued by the Minister for Justice and Customs rather than the Attorney-General; if so, on what basis was the Minister authorised to issue this notice.
2. Has either the Minister or the Attorney-General, or either of their departments, had any communications regarding the representation of Dragan Vasiljkovic with members of Parliament, or Mr Tom Hughes QC, or any other legal representatives; if so, can the following details be provided:
   a. the date of communication;
   b. the method of communication;
   c. all parties to the communication;
   d. the part which initiated contact; and
   e. a copy of the communication where available, or a summary of the exchange that took place.

Notice given 11 May 2006

Senator Milne: To ask the Minister for Fisheries, Forestry and Conservation—With reference to Commonwealth funding to the Tasmanian forest industry as part of the 1997 Regional Forest Agreement (RFA):

1. $6 million was allocated to ‘roading to increase productivity’ (clause 101, p. 33, RFA 1997): on what roads were those funds spent.
(2) $3 million was allocated to tourism infrastructure: can a breakdown be provided of how those funds were spent (i.e. what amounts and on what projects).

(3) $57 million was allocated to 'new intensive forest management initiatives': can a breakdown be provided of how those monies were spent (i.e. an amount per project/initiative).

(4) $13 million was allocated to ‘the implementation of employment and industry development initiatives’ (p. 3 RFA, clause 101 and Attachment 12): can a breakdown be provided of the expenditure of those monies.

1787 Senator Milne: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

(1) With reference to Commonwealth funding to Tasmania as part of the 1997 Regional Forest Agreement (RFA), under which $10 million was allocated for actions to implement the ‘Program to protect conservation values on private land in support of the CAR Reserve System’ (Clause 101 (iv), p. 33 RFA 1997): can a breakdown be provided of how those monies were spent.

(2) How many provisional coupes were included inside: (a) formal reserves; and (b) informal reserves, in the Tasmanian Community Forest Agreement.

(3) What was the area of provisional coupes inside: (a) formal reserves; and (b) informal reserves, in the Tasmanian Community Forest Agreement.

(4) Can a breakdown be provided of the number of provisional coupes and the area of provisional coupes in each of the proposed new formal reserves in the Tasmanian Community Forest Agreement.

1788 Senator Milne: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

(1) (a) Has an assessment report on the proposed Kuranda Range Highway in far North Queensland been prepared under section 95 (1) of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999; and
(b) when will the assessment report be publicly available.

(2) (a) Is the Minister aware that the projected population increases for Cairns and the northern tablelands are significantly lower than even the lowest estimates in the FNQ 2010 planning document; and (b) should this plan be reviewed before a decision on environmental approvals is made; if not, why not.

(3) Will those awaiting the assessment report referred to in paragraph (1) wait for the results of the Senate inquiry into Australia’s future oil supply before making a decision about whether the highway should be constructed; if not, why not.

(4) Does the lower than expected population growth and the effect of peak oil make this project redundant and a few extra overtaking lanes a cheaper and sufficient alternative; if not, why not.

(5) (a) Has a rail tunnel option, which uses regenerative breaking and has drive on/off carriages to allow all trucks to go on rail, been considered; and
(b) has a cost estimate been provided; if so, what is the cost.

(6) (a) What is the estimated cost of the proposed 4-lane ‘upgrade’; and
(b) does this cost include the widening of feeder roads and amelioration work; if not: (i) why not, and (ii) what is the estimated cost of that additional work.
1789 Senator Milne: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

(1) Does the Wet Tropics World Heritage Management Plan provide for a transport corridor such as that required for the proposed highway.

(2) Will the Kuranda Range Highway, if approved, result in more physical damage to the Wet Tropics World Heritage Area than existed at the time that it was listed.

(3) (a) Does the proposed highway compromise the values for which the area was listed; and (b) does it undermine the physical integrity of the site.

(4) Does this proposal contravene any of the provisions of the World Heritage Convention, in particular Article 6.3.

1790 Senator Milne: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—With reference to the answer to question on notice no. 1626 (Senate Hansard, 10 May 2006, p. 109):

(1) Given that the EPBC (Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999) approval for the APG Ltd/Tiwi Land Council project involving clearing of native forests on Tiwi Islands was signed on 12 August 2001, how is it that clearing operations for that same project carried out in 2003 (as reported in the Tiwi Land Council Annual Report 2002-03) were conducted under ‘previous approvals’ and not under the EPBC.

(2) Can a copy be provided of the actual approvals documents referred to in the answer, as applying to the 2003 operations.

(3) If there are no such ‘previous approvals’ documents, was the 2003 operations referred to above in fact part of the project approved by the Commonwealth under the EPBC in 2001 and subject to the conditions as set out (and amended) under that approval.

(4) What action will the Minister be taking as a result of the breach of the EPBC conditions of approval in relation to this project, specifically conditions 5, 7 and 11 (as amended).

1791 Senator Siewert: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—

(1) Have any weapons used in individual or joint exercises with the United States of America (US) or other military forces on Australian soil contained depleted uranium.

(2) What measures has the Government taken to verify that weapons containing depleted uranium have not been used in joint exercises on Australian soil or in Australian waters.

(3) Has the Government ever conducted environmental monitoring to verify that such munitions have not been used on Australian soil or in Australian waters.

(4) Is the Minister aware that such munitions have been used by US military forces in Okinawa and Puerto Rico without the knowledge of the host governments.

(5) Is it the case that none of the Abrams tanks purchased from the US Army contains depleted uranium armouring: if so, what form of armour is used.

(6) What is the Government’s understanding of the health effects associated with weapons containing depleted uranium.

(7) Which sources does the Government consider authoritative when considering the health effects of depleted uranium.
(8) Does the Government offer medical testing for Australian service personnel, specifically testing for depleted uranium and associated decay products, when these personnel are deployed in areas where depleted uranium has potentially been used; if so, what forms of testing are offered; if not, why not.

1792 Senator Siewert: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—(a) Since their inception, how much has been expended on the Dairy Structural Adjustment Package and Supplementary Drought Assistance funding to the dairy industry; and (b) how have these funds been distributed regionally over time.

1793 Senator Siewert: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—

(1) Is Coles ‘home brand’ fresh milk all produced in Victoria; if not, what proportions are produced in other states.

(2) Is Woolworths ‘home brand’ fresh milk all produced in New South Wales; if not, what proportions are produced in other states.

(3) What volume of Coles ‘home brand’ fresh milk is currently being sold in states other than Victoria.

(4) What volume of Woolworths ‘home brand’ fresh milk is being sold in states other than New South Wales.

1795 Senator Siewert: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—With reference to the Dairy Australia report *Australian Dairy 04.1* p. 3, which provides a graph of the total factor productivity on Australian dairy farms:

(1) Is this data available on a state-by-state basis; if so, can the figures for total factor productivity for dairy farms, state-by-state, from 1982 be provided.

(2) What specific research has been undertaken by Dairy Australia or other Government-funded bodies in relation to the employment levels in the Australian dairy industry over this period.

(3) Can details be provided on a state-by-state basis of employment data in the Australian dairy industry from 1982; if not, why not.

Notice given 15 May 2006

1796 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Human Services—With reference to the proposed new access card for health and welfare services:

(1) (a) What proportion of the estimated savings of up to $3 billion over 10 years is estimated to be due to fraud; and (b) can a breakdown of the figures relating to fraud be provided.

(2) (a) What data is available on the number of fraud incidences per year for each of the 17 health and social services programs within the Human Services portfolio that will be covered by the new access card; and (b) can this information be provided broken down by the type of fraud and program for the past 5 years.
(3) For the past 5 years, what is the estimate of annual funds illegally obtained through fraud for each of the 17 health and social services programs within the Human Services portfolio that will be covered by the new access card (can this information be provided broken down by the type of fraud and program).

(4) What proportion of funds is illegally obtained through fraud by: (a) service providers and their employees; (b) service users; and (c) other members of the public intent on defrauding the government.

Notice given 16 May 2006

Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

(1) Given that the National Alcohol Strategy – A Plan for Action 2001 – 2003-04 expired on 30 June 2004, when will the National Alcohol Strategy 2005-2009 be: (a) finalised; (b) endorsed by the Ministerial Council on Drug Strategy; and (c) made publicly available.

(2) With regard to the National Alcohol Strategy 2005-2009, will funds be allocated towards the implementation of the strategy; if so: (a) how much; and when will the funds be made available.

(3) When did the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) last revise its guidelines for the use of alcohol during pregnancy.

(4) How does the NHMRC’s guideline for the use of alcohol during pregnancy compare with recommendations in other OECD countries, including the United States of America, United Kingdom and New Zealand.

(5) When will the NHMRC’s guideline for the use of alcohol during pregnancy be next revised.

Notice given 18 May 2006

Senator Milne: To ask the Minister for Fisheries, Forestry and Conservation—

(1) (a) To date, what funds have been paid to Tasmania as part of the Tasmanian Community Forest Agreement (Supplementary Tasmanian Regional Forest Agreement); and (b) for what purpose were those funds allocated.

(2) (a) Has the $2 million allocated for the measure ‘Introducing new silviculture for old growth harvesting’ been paid to Tasmania; (b) what were those funds spent on; (c) have any of those funds been spent on aggregated retention harvesting in state forests; and (d) have any of those funds been spent on forestry operations associated with coupe SX07A.

(3) (a) Which companies or individuals have been the recipients of money allocated for the measure ‘Support for the hardwood timber industry’; and (b) what were the specific projects.

(4) Which sawmills have been the recipients of the funds allocated under the measure ‘Support for country sawmills’.

(5) What has the allocation under the measure ‘Communications program’ been spent on.

(6) Is the Government considering a proposal to spend the $2.2 million for the ‘Communications program’ measure on an advertising campaign aimed at promoting the Tasmanian forestry industry as being environmentally-sound; if so, when is a decision expected.
(7) (a) What funds have been allocated to Tasmania as part of the Intensive Forest Management program; and (b) how much of that has been spent.

(8) (a) Are any of the funds referred to in paragraph (7) intended to be used for converting native forests to plantations; and (b) have any of those funds already been used to convert native forests to plantations.

Senator Milne: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources—

(1) Is the Minister aware that in its 2004 report on nanotechnology the United Kingdom’s Royal Society and Royal Academy of Engineering raised serious concerns about the toxicity of nanoparticles and the risks they pose to human health and the environment.

(2) Is the Minister aware that the Royal Society recommended that given the serious risks associated with nanotoxicity and the inability to predict the toxicity of nanoparticles from the known properties of larger sized particles of the same substance, nanoparticles should be treated as new chemicals and be subject to new safety assessments prior to their inclusion in consumer products.

(3) Is the Minister aware that nearly 2 years after the release of the Royal Society’s report, Australian regulators including the Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA) and the National Industry Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme have yet to introduce new safety testing that recognises that the toxicity of nanoparticles cannot be predicted from the known properties of larger-sized particles of the same substance.

(4) Is the Minister aware that despite the absence of requirements for new safety testing of nanoparticles, the TGA has stated that there are close to 400 sunscreen products alone that contain nanoparticle titanium dioxide and/or nanoparticle zinc oxide that are currently commercially available in Australia.

(5) Is the Minister aware that many other consumer products now contain nanoparticles, including cosmetics, paints, furniture varnishes and clothing.

(6) What action will the Minister take to ensure that new regulations are introduced to protect the health of workers and consumers, and the environment, from the risks associated with nanotoxicity.

(7) What action will the Minister take to ensure that the health of consumers is not further compromised through the release of products containing nanomaterials that have not been subject to adequate, if any, safety testing.

Senator Allison: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1800-1801)—With reference to the report in a recent edition of the Canadian journal *Paediatrics and Child Health* that the commonly-used weed killer 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) is ‘persuasively linked’ to cancer, neurological impairment and reproductive problems:

(1) Is it the case that pesticides with the same active ingredient are being used in Australia; if so, (a) at what level is the active ingredient known as 2,4-D and (b) is this use domestic or agricultural.
(2) Is it the case that pesticides with the same active ingredient have been subject to reconsideration by the Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority; if so, is a draft report available for public comment; and (b) have any public health warnings been given, if not, why not.

1800 Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry
1801 Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Notice given 22 May 2006

1802 Senator Milne: To ask the Minister for Fisheries, Forestry and Conservation—

(1) Why did the Prime Minister break his promise to protect 18 700 hectares (ha) of old growth forest in the Styx and Florentine Valleys and other areas adjacent to the eastern boundary of the World Heritage Area in Tasmania, instead protecting only 4 730 ha.

(2) What were the ‘social and economic consequences’ of protecting these areas.

(3) Is it not a fact that fewer than eight direct forestry jobs were involved in the Upper Florentine.

(4) Is it a fact that the 1997 Regional Forest Agreement process identified the Upper Florentine as having World Heritage values.

(5) (a) Is it a fact that the Government promised in October 2004 to protect rainforests in the Weld Valley; and (b) how much forest was actually protected in the Weld Valley.

(6) Why did the Government break its promise to protect rainforests in the Weld Valley.

(7) Is it a fact that, despite protecting less forest in the Styx, Weld and Florentine than promised, the Government raised its compensatory funding to the industry from about $30 million to over $130 million.

(8) Why could not those additional funds have been used to protect areas such as the Weld, Florentine and Styx rather than to destroy them.

(9) Is it a fact that part of the $250 million package from the 2005 forest agreement is being spent on, or is earmarked for, building new logging roads into previously untouched old growth forests in the Weld and Florentine Valleys.

(10) Is it a fact that the Government is using taxpayers’ funds to break its promise and to destroy old growth forests with documented World Heritage values.

(11) Is it a fact that Commonwealth Government funds from the May 2005 forest agreement are being used, or have been earmarked, for clearing more native forests on public land and converting them to plantations.

(12) (a) Did the Government agree to the further destruction of 16 000 ha of native forest on public land and its conversion to plantations; and (b) what impact will this have on the endangered Tasmanian wedge tailed eagle.

(13) Is it a fact that the Tasmanian wedge tailed eagle faces a 97 per cent chance of extinction in north-eastern Tasmania due to the combined effects of land clearing and logging.
Senator Nettle: To ask the Minister for Fisheries, Forestry and Conservation—
With reference to the announcement of 3 May 2006 regarding changes to the Working Holiday Visa scheme that allows workers in the forestry industry to apply for a 12-month extension to their visas.

(1) On what basis has it been determined that forestry worker is an occupation in short supply.

(2) (a) How many forestry workers are currently employed in the forestry region of each state; and (b) how many are considered necessary for the industry in each state.

(3) (a) What proportion of the shortfall is for work in the plantation sector; and (b) what is in the native forest sector.

Senator Nettle: To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—
With reference to the announcement of 3 May 2006 regarding changes to the Working Holiday Visa scheme that allows workers in the forestry industry to apply for a 12-month extension to their visas: On what basis has it been determined that forestry worker is an occupation in short supply.

Notice given 25 May 2006

Senator Allison: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1805-1806)—

(1) Is the Minister aware that, following the recent Cyclone Monica, many buildings in the community of Maningrida have been badly damaged.

(2) Is the Minister aware that many of these buildings, including the school, contain asbestos.

(3) What information does the Government have on the risks to the community of Maningrida due to exposure to asbestos dust.

(4) What has the Federal Government done (or what does it intend to do) to protect the health of those people in the community, including the children who have returned to the school, who are now exposed to asbestos dust.

Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

(1) Is the Minister aware that a study published in a recent edition of the Journal of the American Medical Association has found that alcoholism can be treated successfully with the drugs Naltrexone and Acamprosate in combination with specialised counselling.

(2) Will the Government consider making such treatment available to treat alcoholism, particularly in Indigenous communities.

Senator O'Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) For the period 9 February 2006 to 9 May 2006: (a) how many sick leave days have been taken by Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) staff; and (b) how many CASA staff lodged Comcare claims, stating stress as the cause.

(2) For the period 9 February 2005 to 9 May 2005: (a) how many sick leave days have been taken by CASA staff; and (b) how many CASA staff lodged Comcare claims, stating stress as the cause.
1809 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to the overseas travel by the Secretary of the department, Mr Michael Taylor, in May 2006:

   (1) On what date did Mr Taylor advise the Minister he intended to be absent during the May 2006 Senate Budget Estimates hearings.

   (2) On what date did the Minister approve Mr Taylor’s absence.

   (3) On what date did Mr Taylor depart Australia.

   (4) What date does Mr Taylor return to Australia.

   (5) Can a detailed itinerary of Mr Taylor’s trip be provided; if not, why not.

   (6) For each meeting, when was Mr Taylor’s attendance confirmed with his hosts.

   (7) What was the total cost of airfares, disaggregated by sector.

   (8) In relation to accommodation: (a) what accommodation was used; (b) what nights did he stay at each hotel; and (c) what did each hotel cost.

   (9) What other expenses were incurred including: (a) gifts; (b) hospitality; (c) meals; (d) land transport; (e) travel insurance; and (f) other expenses not listed above.

   (10) What was the total cost of Mr Taylor’s overseas visit.

   (11) (a) Which officers accompanied Mr Taylor on this trip, and for which periods; and (b) what was the total cost incurred in relation to the participation of each of these officers.

1810 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training—

   (1) Did the Minister, as reported recently, threaten to withdraw funding from Queensland schools if State legislation governing the teaching of religion was changed; if so, does the Government intend tying schools funding to the provision of religious education.

   (2) Can a copy of the Government’s policy and guidelines for religious education in schools be provided; if not, why not.

   (3) Is it Government policy that all children should participate in religious education.

   (4) Does the Government intend to implement measures to maintain or increase the number of children participating in religious education.

   (5) What does the Government consider to be the appropriate amount of time, per school term, that should be spent on a child’s religious education.

   (6) Does the Government consider that there should be a limit, if any, on the amount of religious education a student should receive.

   (7) Does the Government support the placing of hurdles in front of parents who do not wish their children to participate in religious education.

   (8) The Minister’s press release, dated 22 May 2006, ‘Religion in Queensland State Schools’, states that ‘political correctness has gone too far when religious education at school now permits almost any belief system to be taught…’: What religions, denominations and belief systems does the Government oppose being taught in schools.
(9) What quality control mechanisms does the Government have in place to ensure that religious education is non-discriminatory, respectful and equitably applied to all religions and denominations in both government and non-government schools.

(10) Does the Government support ‘opt out’ rather than ‘opt in’ systems for all elements of education; if not, what subjects does the Government believe should be ‘opt out’.

1811 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Treasurer—

(1) For each financial year from 2000-01 to 2005-06, what was the revenue foregone by the decision in 2001 to reduce and then freeze indexation of fuel excise for: (a) diesel; and (b) petrol.

(2) If these revenues vary markedly from the 2001 Budget Papers, can an explanation be provided.

1812 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to the Albury-Wodonga Hume Freeway Upgrade Project:

(1) What is the total amount expended on this project from its inception to and including the 2005-06 financial year.

(2) What is the latest estimate of the total cost of the project.

1813 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) Of the estimated $2.2 billion spent on land transport in the 2005-06 financial year for road and rail projects, how much was allocated to:

(a) road works;
(b) the Hume Highway;
(c) rail;
(d) advanced planning of major road upgrades on new land corridors, including the Pacific Highway; and
(e) advanced planning of rail deviations on new land corridors, including the proposed deviation, at 14 locations on the New South Wales north coast railway noted on page 37 of the 2004 Auslink White Paper.

(2) What are the Commonwealth receipts from each of the New South Wales and Victorian Governments for loan repayments for construction of an Albury to Melbourne standard gauge line that was completed in 1962.

(3) (a) What is the total amount in interest and principal to be paid on these loans; and (b) when are they due to be paid off.

1814 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources—

(1) What is the total amount expended to date on the Automotive Competitiveness and Investment Scheme.

(2) Can a breakdown be provided of the companies in receipt of funds under the scheme.

(3) What will be the cost of the scheme in the 2005-06 financial year.

(4) What is the budget for the scheme in the following financial years: (a) 2006-07; (b) 2007-08; (c) 2008-09; and (d) 2009-10.
(5) How much of the cost, to date and in budget projections to 2009-10, has been targeted specifically at the local production of: (a) hybrid petrol-electric vehicles; (b) compact vehicles; (c) vehicles meeting specific fuel efficiency standards; and (d) buses or urban passenger trains.

(6) What is the value of funding, on the scheme, to date and in the budget projections to 2009-10, expended on cars with six or more cylinders.

1815 Senator Webber: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—Given that the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) has stated that it was keen to pursue promoters of mass marketed tax effective projects: did the ATO refer any of the promoters of these projects who should have lodged a prospectus under corporations law, but did not, to the Australian Securities and Investment Commission for action.

Notice given 30 May 2006

1816 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to the department’s planned regulatory activity for the 2005-06 financial year, specifically the Australian Design Rules (ADR) Review for Vehicle Safety and Theft Reduction:

(1) Can copies be provided of the proposals and regulation impact statements for all ADRs under review; if not, why not.

(2) Will the reviews of ADRs listed as part of the planned regulatory activity for the 2005-06 financial year be completed by July 2006 as stated; if not: (a) why not; and (b) when will the reviews be completed.

(3) Do the reviews address the mandating of day running lights, seat belts on school buses and realistic speedometers.

(4) For each ADR under review, how many public submissions have been received as of 29 May 2006.

1817 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) Are airports on the Certified Aerodromes register required to have an emergency plan as part of their aerodrome manual.

(2) Are airport operators required to test this plan every 2 years.

(3) Can the Minister advise when the emergency plan was last tested at the following airports: (a) Adelaide; (b) Avalon; (c) Bankstown; (d) Brisbane; (e) Canberra; (f) Darwin; (g) Essendon; (h) Hobart; (i) Launceston; (j) Melbourne; (k) Moorabbin; (l) Perth; and (m) Sydney.

(4) Can the Minister also advise which Certified Aerodromes have not tested their emergency plan in the past 2 years.

(5) What action is taken when it is discovered that an airport operator has failed to meet this safety requirement.

1818 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to the lengthening and strengthening of the Canberra International Airport runway:

(1) What advice did the Government receive from Canberra International Airport about the damage that would be caused by the landing of Air Force One in 2003.

(2) On what dates and in what form was this advice received.
(3) Can the Minister confirm that the Government ‘prevailed’ on Canberra International Airport to allow the Bush visit to proceed.

(4) Were there any safety implications associated with the decision to permit Air Force One to land.

(5) What undertakings did the Government make to Canberra International Airport to repair damage at the time.

(6) What runway damage was caused by Air Force One.

(7) In relation to the runway damage: (a) what date was the damage first brought to the Government’s attention; (b) who was the source of this advice; and (c) what was the form of this advice.

(8) On what date did the Government commission an inspection of the runway.

(9) In relation to the inspection: (a) on what date was it conducted; (b) who undertook the inspection; and (c) what was the cost to the department.

(10) On what date did the Government first enter into negotiations with Canberra International Airport to fund the strengthening of the runway.

(11) On what date did the Government make a final offer to fund the works.

(12) Will the strengthening and lengthening of the runway allow for unrestricted operation of VIP and military aircraft; if not, what operational restrictions will be in place.

(13) Once strengthened, what will be the capacity of the runway in respect to: (a) aircraft size and weight; and (b) number of aircraft movements.

(14) (a) On what dates were funds for the project paid to Canberra International Airport; and (b) what quantum of funding was paid.

(15) (a) When did the strengthening commence; and (b) when will it conclude.

(16) Can details be provided of all Commonwealth payments to Canberra International Airport since its privatisation, including the purpose and quantum of each payment.

(17) Can the Minister outline the department’s professional technical expertise with respect to runway pavement, design, construction and maintenance.

1819 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—How many prosecutions has the Civil Aviation Safety Authority initiated pursuant to Civil Aviation Regulation 215, as contained in the Civil Aviation Regulations 1988, in the past four calendar years, by year.

1820 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—With reference to the Requirements for Annual Reports for Departments, Executive Agencies and FMA Act Bodies (June 2005):

(1) Does the department maintain a central discretionary grants register.

(2) For each department or agency, can details of all discretionary grant programs in the 2005-06 and 2006-07 financial years be provided.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1821-1840)—With reference to discretionary grant programs administered by the Minister’s department and agencies in the 2004-05 and 2005-06 financial years:

(1) For each financial year, can the following details be provided: (a) the name of the program; (b) the quantum of funding expended; (c) the details of grant recipients; and (d) the funding available in 2006-07.
(2) Will any new discretionary grant programs be administered in the 2006-07 financial year; if so, can the details be provided, including the name of the program and the quantum of funding allocated to the program in the 2006-07 financial year.

1821 Minister representing the Prime Minister
1822 Minister representing the Minister for Trade
1823 Minister representing the Treasurer
1824 Minister representing the Minister for Foreign Affairs
1825 Minister for Finance and Administration
1826 Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services
1827 Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing
1828 Minister representing the Attorney-General
1829 Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts
1830 Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs
1831 Minister representing the Minister for Defence
1832 Minister representing the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources
1833 Minister representing the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations
1834 Minister for the Environment and Heritage
1835 Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry
1836 Minister representing the Minister for Families, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs
1837 Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training
1838 Minister for Justice and Customs
1839 Minister for the Arts and Sport
1840 Minister representing the Minister for Veterans’ Affairs

Notice given 31 May 2006

1841 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to the answer to question on notice no. 283 (Senate Hansard, 7 March 2005, p. 204), which advised that the department was developing a joint lead agency action plan for the East Kimberley COAG trial site including ‘performance indicators to monitor the further outcomes of the trial’:

(1) When was the agreed plan finalised.
(2) Can a copy of the plan be provided; if not, why not.
(3) (a) On what date were performance indicators to monitor the further outcomes of the trial finalised; and (b) can details of these performance indicators be provided, including relevant benchmarks and goals.
(4) If the Minister has abandoned the development of performance indicators for this trial: (a) why; and (b) when was this decision made.
(5) If, contrary to the advice in question on notice no. 283, the East Kimberley COAG trial site is only being measured against overall COAG trial objectives, how is performance being measured with respect to each of these objectives.
(6) For each of the objectives, what progress has been made since the commencement of the department’s involvement in the East Kimberley trial site in 2002.
Senator O'Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) Did the former Minister, Mr Anderson, write to his Singaporean counterpart in September 2002 advising he was ‘keen to provide opportunities for Singapore Airlines to broaden and deepen its involvement in our market’.

(2) Has Singapore Airlines’ involvement in the Australian market broadened or deepened since September 2002; if so, how.

(3) Has Singapore asked the Government to reconsider the issue of Singapore Airlines’ access to the trans-Pacific route as part of the review of the Australia-Singapore Free Trade Agreement; if so: (a) when and how was that request made; and (b) how has the Government responded.

Senator O'Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) Which international air carriers have sought to expand services to Australia in each of the following financial years: (a) 2003-04; (b) 2004-05; (c) 2005-06; and (d) 2006-07 to date.

(2) In each case, how has the Government responded.

Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

(1) (a) What is the annual salary of the Consul-General in Chicago, Mr Bob Charles; and (b) how does this compare with the average salary for consuls-general.

(2) (a) How many staff has the Consulate General in Chicago; and (b) how does this compare to the average staff allocation for consulate generals.

Notice given 1 June 2006

Senator O'Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to the answer to question on notice no. 360 (Senate Hansard, 4 October 2005, p. 85) relating to Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) staff morale: Has a CASA staff survey been conducted ‘by June 2006’; if not, why not; if so: (a) when was the survey commissioned; (b) what were its results; and (c) can a copy of the report of the survey be provided; if not, why not.

Senator O'Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—

(1) What sums has the Grains Research and Development Corporation paid to the Grains Council of Australia or any other entity in connection with the company Single Vision Grains Australia Limited.

(2) For each sum paid, what was the: (a) date of payment; (b) quantum of payment; (c) form of payment; (d) purpose of the payment; and (e) provision of the Primary Industries and Research and Development Act 1989 authorising the payment.
1847 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—

(1) What sums has the Grains Research and Development Corporation (GRDC) paid in connection with the ‘unincorporated venture’ Single Vision (as described by Mr Terry Enright in evidence to the Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport Legislation Committee on 24 May 2006).

(2) For each sum paid what was the: (a) date of payment; (b) quantum of payment; (c) form of payment; (d) purpose of the payment; and (e) provision of the *Primary Industries and Research and Development Act 1989* authorising the payment.

(3) What guidelines are in place to manage expenditure by ‘interim’ directors and the Chief Executive Officer of the unincorporated venture Single Vision.

(4) Are invoices for Single Vision expenditure issued to the GRDC, individual ‘interim’ directors or staff of the unincorporated venture Single Vision, or another entity.

1848 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—

(1) Has the Grains Research and Development Corporation purchased the company Single Vision Grains Australia Limited; if so, on what date and what consideration was paid.

(2) What provisions of the *Primary Industries and Research and Development Act 1989* authorise the payment.

1849 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—With reference to evidence by the Chair of the Grains Research and Development Corporation (GRDC), Mr Terry Enright, to the Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport Legislation Committee, on 24 May 2006, that the Grains Council of Australia (GCA) proposed an ‘illegal’ arrangement in relation to Single Vision:

(1) What are the details of the proposed ‘illegal’ arrangement.

(2) On what date was the ‘illegal’ arrangement proposed.

(3) Which representatives of the GCA proposed the ‘illegal’ arrangement.

(4) Which representatives of the GRDC were in receipt of the GCA’s proposal to establish the ‘illegal’ arrangement.

(5) How did the GRDC consider the proposal to establish the ‘illegal’ arrangement.

(6) Did the GRDC Board consider the proposal to establish the ‘illegal’ arrangement; if not, why not; if so: (a) on what dates; and (b) can copies of board minutes be provided.

(7) Did the GRDC seek legal advice before determining the GCA was proposing the establishment of an ‘illegal’ arrangement; if so: (a) on what date; (b) what was the form of the request; (c) on what date was the advice received; (d) what was the form of the advice; (e) what was the source of the advice; and (f) if the advice was written, can a copy be provided.

(8) (a) On what date did the GRDC advise the GCA that it had rejected the ‘illegal’ arrangement; (b) what was the form of that advice; and (c) if that advice was written, can a copy be provided.
Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—Can a copy be provided of all Grains Research and Development Corporation (GRDC) Board and board sub-committee meeting minutes that address the relationship between GRDC and each of the following: (a) Single Vision Grains Australia Limited; and (b) the unincorporated entity Single Vision, including ‘interim’ directors and staff; if not, why not.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—With reference to evidence by the department to the Senate Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport Legislation Committee, on 24 May 2006, that it was ‘aware’ of work by Single Vision into alternatives to the ‘single desk’ before a newspaper report on 26 April and that ‘the report in the paper was by no means the first indication of it’: (a) on what date was the department first informed about this work; and (b) what was the source of this information.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—

(1) Can the Minister confirm that the Grains Research and Development Corporation (GRDC) is funded by grower levies and taxes.

(2) On what date was the GRDC-funded Single Vision study of alternatives to the ‘single desk’ for export wheat commissioned.

(3) What is the budgeted cost of the study.

(4) Can the Minister confirm that the Centre for International Economics (CIE) has been engaged to undertake modelling as part of the study.

(5) Is the Minister aware that the Executive Director of the CIE, Mr Andrew Stoeckel, believes the ‘single desk’ does not serve Australia’s national interests or growers’ interests and should be ‘thrown out’.

(6) What is the budgeted cost of work by the CIE associated with the study of alternatives to the ‘single desk’.

(7) If the study has not been concluded: (a) on what date is it due to be concluded; and (b) will it be published on the GRDC website; if not, why not.

(8) If the study has been concluded, on what date was it: (a) concluded; (b) provided to the GRDC; (c) provided to the Minister; and (d) published on the GRDC website; if it has not been published on the GRDC website, why not.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—Did the Grains Research and Development Corporation seek legal advice before determining that it could create the ‘unincorporated venture’ Single Vision through individual legal contracts with so-called ‘interim’ directors and staff; if so: (a) on what date; (b) what was the form of the request; (c) on what date was the advice received; (d) what was the form of the advice; (e) what was the source of the advice; and (f) if the advice was written, can a copy be provided.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—With reference to evidence by the Chair of the Grains Research and Development Corporation (GRDC), Mr Terry Enright, to the Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport Legislation Committee on 24 May 2006, that ‘the Single Vision thing is clearly identified in our accounts’: (a) how is the ‘Single Vision thing’ identified in the GRDC’s accounts; and (b) can a copy be
provided of the GRDC accounts that identify the ‘Single Vision thing’; if not, why not.

1855 **Senator O’Brien:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—

(1) Can details be provided of the individual contracts between the Grains Research and Development Corporation and the so-called ‘interim’ directors and staff of the unincorporated venture Single Vision.

(2) In each case, what is or was: (a) the date that the contract was signed; (b) the term of the contract; and (c) the remuneration payable.

1856 **Senator O’Brien:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—

(1) Can details be provided of the selection process that preceded the appointment by the Grains Research and Development Corporation of the ‘interim’ directors and staff of the unincorporated venture Single Vision.

(2) Can the Minister confirm that all persons appointed as ‘interim’ directors of the unincorporated venture Single Vision are also directors of Single Vision Grains Australia Limited.

(3) Can the Minister also confirm that the Chief Executive Officer of the unincorporated venture Single Vision is also a director of Single Vision Grains Australia Limited.

1857 **Senator O’Brien:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—With reference to evidence by the Chair of the Grains Research and Development Corporation (GRDC), Mr Terry Enright, to the Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport Legislation Committee, on 24 May 2006, that the GRDC has imposed reporting obligations on the unincorporated venture Single Vision:

(1) Can full details of those reporting obligations be provided.

(2) Can a copy of all written reports to the GRDC be provided; if not, why not.

(3) On what dates has the GRDC board met with the ‘interim’ directors of the unincorporated venture Single Vision.

1858 **Senator O’Brien:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—Is the Chief Executive Officer of the unincorporated venture Single Vision employed by the Grains Research and Development Corporation or the unincorporated venture Single Vision; if by the latter, how.

1859 **Senator O’Brien:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—

(1) On what occasions has the Minister met with Grains Research and Development Corporation board members since 1 January 2006.

(2) For each meeting: (a) what was the date; (b) where did the meeting take place; and (c) who was present.

1860 **Senator O’Brien:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—

(1) On what occasions has the Minister met with so-called ‘interim’ directors of the unincorporated venture Single Grains, Mr Murray Rogers, Ms Christine Hawkins, Mr Grant Latta, Mr Ian MacKinnon and Mr Philip Young, collectively or individually, since 1 January 2006.
Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—

(1) On what date did the Minister become aware of work by Single Vision on alternatives to the ‘single desk’ for export wheat.

(2) How did the Minister become aware.

(3) On what date did the Minister inform the Deputy Prime Minister and Minister for Trade, Mr Vaile, about the work.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—With reference to evidence by the Chair of the Grains Research and Development Corporation (GRDC), Mr Terry Enright, to the Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport Legislation Committee, on 24 May 2006, that ‘interim’ directors of the unincorporated venture Single Vision ‘have a set of key performance arrangements which they have to meet’:

(1) What are the key performance arrangements.

(2) On what date were the arrangements established.

(3) Can a copy of written instructions, or contractual terms, outlining the arrangements be provided; if not, why not.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—Does the Minister have confidence in Mr Murray Rogers, Chair of the Grains Research and Development Corporation-funded Single Vision.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—With reference to evidence by the Chair of the Grains Research and Development Corporation, Mr Terry Enright, to the Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport Legislation Committee, on 24 May 2006, that Mr Murray Rogers, an ‘interim’ director of the unincorporated venture Single Vision, ‘is not involved’ in the Single Vision study of alternatives to the single desk for export wheat: why is Mr Rogers not involved.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—Does the unincorporated venture Single Vision operate from the Grains Research and Development Corporation premises at 40 Blackall Street, Barton, Australian Capital Territory; if not, from what premises does Single Vision operate.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Trade—

(1) On what date did the Minister become aware of the Grains Research and Development Corporation-funded work by Single Vision on alternatives to the ‘single desk’ for wheat exports.

(2) How did the Minister become aware.
Notice given 2 June 2006

1867 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) Can the Minister advise which foreign flagged vessels are licensed to engage in the coastal trade.

(2) For each vessel: (a) what is its name, home port and registry; (b) has the vessel previously operated on a single or continuous voyage permit; and (c) does the operator of the vessel operate other vessels with single or continuous voyage permits.

(3) How is section 289 of the *Navigation Act 1912*, relating to the payment of Australian wages to seafarers engaged in the coastal trade, enforced.

1868 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—With reference to section 289(2) of the *Navigation Act 1912*, concerning the payment of Australian wages to seafarers employed on a ship engaged in any part of the coastal trade: what evidence of payment do Customs officers seek from the masters of ships seeking clearance under the Customs Act for an international voyage from a port in Australia.

1869 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) Is the Singapore-flagged tug *Sea Terrier* operating within the Port of Port Hedland.

(2) Does Singaporean maritime law or Australian maritime law apply to the operation of this vessel.

1870 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to the unsuccessful search for the Department of Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs vessel the *Malu Sara* in October 2005:

(1) Does Appendix B of the National Search and Rescue Manual provide that police are responsible for the coordination of search and rescue in respect of pleasure craft and fishing vessels at sea.

(2) Can the Minister confirm that the *Malu Sara* was not a pleasure craft or fishing vessel.

(3) Does Appendix B of the National Search and Rescue Manual provide that where police and the Australian Defence Force are not responsible for coordination of search and rescue of vessels, AusSAR (Australian Search and Rescue) is the responsible authority.

(4) Does the Inter-Governmental Agreement on National Search and Rescue Response Arrangements, made on 30 June 2004, provide that AusSAR has primary responsibility for coordinating search and rescue operations for persons on or from a ship other than a pleasure craft or fishing vessel in distress at sea.

(5) Can the Minister confirm that, consistent with the Inter-Governmental Agreement on National Search and Rescue Response Arrangements and the procedures outlined in the National Search and Rescue Manual, the search for the *Malu Sara* was the responsibility of AusSAR.
Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to the unsuccessful search for the Department of Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs vessel the *Malu Sara* in October 2005:

1. Can the Minister confirm the National Search and Rescue Manual provides that a maritime search and rescue incident is considered imminent or actual when an Emergency Position Indicating Radio Beacon (EPIRB) is activated.

2. Is it the case that at 2000 or 2011 hours on 14 October 2005 AusSAR (Australian Search and Rescue) was informed the *Malu Sara* was lost in the Torres Strait and had activated its EPIRB.

3. Is it the case AusSAR did not assume responsibility for coordinating the aerial search for the *Malu Sara* until 1218 hours on 15 October 2005, more than 16 hours after AusSAR was notified the vessel was lost and had activated its EPIRB.

4. Is it the case AusSAR did not assume responsibility for overall coordination of the search for the *Malu Sara* until 1930 hours on 15 October 2005, more than 23 hours after AusSAR was notified the vessel was lost and had activated its EPIRB.

5. Can the Minister confirm the National Search and Rescue Manual provides that the success of a search and rescue operation ‘depends on the speed with which the operation is planned and carried out’.

6. Why did AusSAR fail to assume responsibility for coordinating the search of the *Malu Sara* when it was informed on 14 October 2005 that the vessel was lost.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to the unsuccessful search for the Department of Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs vessel the *Malu Sara* in October 2005: can details be provided of all action taken by AusSAR (Australian Search and Rescue) following its receipt of advice at 2000 or 2011 hours on 14 October 2005 that the vessel was lost in the Torres Strait and had activated its Emergency Position Indicating Radio Beacon.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—Is the Minister satisfied with the role played by AusSAR (Australian Search and Rescue) in the unsuccessful search for the Department of Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs vessel the *Malu Sara* in October 2005.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to the unsuccessful search for the Department of Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs (DIMIA) vessel the *Malu Sara* in October 2005:

1. Can the Minister confirm the *Malu Sara* was a ‘Commonwealth ship’ within the meaning defined in the *Navigation Act 1912*.

2. (a) On what day and at what time did AusSAR (Australian Search and Rescue) become aware the *Malu Sara* was a DIMIA vessel and therefore a ‘Commonwealth ship’; and (b) what was the source of this information.
1875 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to the Australian Transport Safety Bureau report into the loss of the Department of Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs vessel the *Malu Sara* in October 2005: were the conclusions relating to the search and rescue operation informed by the Inter-Governmental Agreement on National Search and Rescue Response Arrangements and the coordination protocols outlined in the National Search and Rescue Manual.

1876 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to evidence given to the Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport Legislation Committee, on 20 August 1997, that AusSAR (Australian Search and Rescue) policy is that ‘clairvoyant information will be considered’ in respect to search and rescue operations:

1. Can the Minister advise details of all AusSAR search and rescue operations since August 1997 that have utilised the services or advice of clairvoyants.
2. Is it still AusSAR policy that ‘clairvoyant information will be considered’; if not, on what date was that policy abandoned.

*Notice given 6 June 2006*

1877 Senator Bob Brown: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to the plane that crashed near Benalla in 2004, carrying five people:

1. Was this plane equipped with an approved terrain awareness and warning system; if not, could the plane crash have been avoided with such equipment onboard.
2. Did the Melbourne control tower receive, five times, an alarm signal triggered by the plane before it crashed; if so: (a) why was the alarm not heeded; (b) what response did the alarm evoke on each occasion; (c) what action has been taken about the failure to heed the alarm; and (d) what action has been taken to ensure that such alarms are heeded in the future.

1878 Senator Bob Brown: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

1. Why is it not mandatory that planes, particularly charter flights carrying six or more passengers, are equipped with an approved terrain awareness and warning system.
2. Who made this decision and when.
3. Is there a requirement for planes carrying more than six passengers in the United States of America to be so equipped.

1879 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to the unsuccessful search for the Department of Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs vessel the *Malu Sara* in October 2005: can a copy be provided of all transcripts of communications to and from the AusSAR (Australian Search and Rescue) Rescue Coordination Centre relating to the *Malu Sara*; if not, why not.

1880 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

1. Can details be provided outlining the regulatory regime that applies to the operation of helicopter joy-rides operating from private property, including, details of the rules that govern altitude and noise.
(2) Is the Minister aware of privacy concerns held by rural property owners in relation to private helicopter flights.

1881 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—With reference to the suspension of Air Paradise services in November 2005:

(1) How many people in Australia who purchased Air Paradise tickets from travel agents but did not travel due to the suspension of the service also paid the Passenger Movement Charge (PMC) at the time of purchase.

(2) Was this PMC remitted to the Australian Customs Service; if so, what was the total amount remitted.

(3) Have all persons who paid the PMC but did not travel due to the suspension of the service received a full refund; if not: (a) why not; and (b) what quantum remains not refunded.

1882 Senator Milne: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—In relation to the Minister’s letter to the Canberra Times, published on 2 June 2006, can the following details be provided:

(1) A list of the 216 research projects associated with solar energy funded by the Australian Research Council (ARC) over the past 4 years, including the title of each and the amount of funding.

(2) A list of research projects relating to fossil fuels and nuclear power funded by the ARC over the past 4 years, including the title of each and the amount of funding.

(3) A list of the programs and institutions funded by the Government to undertake fossil fuel and nuclear research and development, including the name of the program or institution, the amount of Commonwealth funding, and the time frame.

Senator Milne: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1883-1911)—Did the Minister host a post-budget function after the release of the 2006-2007 Commonwealth Budget on 9 May 2006; if so:

(a) where was the function held;

(b) who was invited to the function;

(c) who attended the function;

(d) what was the cost of hosting the function;

(e) was the cost charged to the Commonwealth; if not, to whom was it charged;

(f) was a ticket price charged; if so, what was the ticket price;

(g) if no ticket price was charged, was a donation requested;

(h) how much revenue was collected by way of tickets charged or donations received; and

(i) to whom was the revenue paid.

1883 Minister representing the Prime Minister
1884 Minister representing the Treasurer
1885 Minister representing the Minister for Foreign Affairs
1886 Minister for Finance and Administration
1887 Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services
1888 Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing
1889 Minister representing the Attorney-General
Senator Bishop: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—

(1) In each of the past five years, how many investigations have been conducted into complaints by Australian Defence Force (ADF) members against actions of other ADF members, by location and state.

(2) Of those investigations, how many have resulted in: (a) complaints substantiated but no decision to proceed with prosecution; and (b) prosecutions: (i) successful, and (ii) unsuccessful.

(3) How many of those complaints were for sexual harassment or criminal assault.

(4) What is the current state of the investigation made into the assault of Lt Commander Robyn Fahy, as referred to in Budget Estimates hearings of the Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade Legislation Committee on 1 June 2006.

(5) Does a file exist on this investigation; if so, what is its number and where is it located.

(6) On how many occasions and on what dates has the Australian Federal Police (AFP) interviewed members of the ADF on this matter.

(7) Has the AFP interviewed members of Delta Squadron and other classmates of Lt Commander Fahy at the time the assault was alleged to have occurred; if so: (a) how many; and (b) what was the outcome of each interview.
(8) What are the current intentions for this investigation and when is it expected to be concluded.

1913 **Senator O'Brien:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) What role is played by the Civil Aviation Safety Authority in regulating the airworthiness of light sport aircraft.

(2) How do the new light sport aircraft rules announced on 23 December 2005 enhance the safety of light sport aircraft operators, passengers and other airspace users.

1914 **Senator O'Brien:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—Has the Civil Aviation Safety Authority investigated any complaints that sports and recreational aviation association-accredited flight instructors have issued instructions to pilots of Jabiru aircraft that contradict instructions by the manufacturer; if so, can the details be provided, including the outcome of each investigation.

1915 **Senator Milne:** To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

(1) Given the significant environmental damage being done by rabbits on Macquarie Island, what steps is the Government taking to eradicate these feral animals.

(2) Has the Government prepared and funded a program dedicated to eradicating rabbits and other feral species from Macquarie Island.

(3) How will the planned withdrawal of the Australian Antarctic Division (AAD) research station from Macquarie Island affect the capacity to manage feral species on the island.

(4) What effect will the closure of the AAD research station on Macquarie Island have on the protection of endemic flora and fauna from other potential impacts, including tourism and poachers.

1916 **Senator Allison:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

(1) Is the Minister aware that one third of the world’s human trafficking takes place in Asia and that 30 per cent of victims are children.

(2) What efforts, if any, are being made to combat the people trafficking in Asia, particularly where the victims are children.

(3) What provision is made in the current aid budget to combat this trafficking.

1917 **Senator Nettle:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Foreign Affairs—Can details be provided of the remuneration package of Australia’s Consul-General in Chicago, United States of America.

1918 **Senator Nettle:** To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—

(1) What has been the total itemised cost incurred as of June 2006 in support of the litigation and negotiation (including, but not limited to, legal fees, expert and consultant reports, translation, accommodation and transport expenses, miscellaneous fees and administration costs) involving: (a) Vivian Alvarez Solon; and (b) Cornelia Rau.

(2) What has been the total itemised cost of care for Ms Solon, both in the Philippines and in Australia, including, but not limited to, accommodation, medical care, food and clothing and other items, airfares and transport.
Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Special Minister of State—
(1) Can details be provided of office holders of The Nationals, a political party registered under the Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918.
(2) Can details also be provided of any changes to office holders in the 2005-06 financial year, to date.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Trade—With reference to the Minister’s statement on 4 June 2006 that it is not unusual to send parliamentary secretaries to attend APEC (Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation) ministerial meetings: can details be provided of all occasions on which Australia has been represented at an APEC ministerial meeting by a parliamentary secretary.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Trade—
(1) Can details be provided of the parliamentary secretary’s trip to the June 2006 APEC (Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation) Ministerial meeting in Vietnam, including:
   (a) the Parliamentary Secretary’s date of departure from Australia;
   (b) a detailed itinerary including all official meetings;
   (c) details of departmental officers who accompanied the parliamentary secretary;
   (d) details of other persons who accompanied the parliamentary secretary; and
   (e) the parliamentary secretary’s date of return to Australia.
(2) What date did the Minister inform APEC officials that he would not attend the 12th Meeting of APEC Ministers Responsible for Trade.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Special Minister of State—
(1) Can details be provided of all costs associated with the visit of the Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Trade to Vietnam in May-June 2006, disaggregated to show costs by category, including transport, accommodation, meals, security and other costs.
(2) Can details also be provided of costs associated with all personal and/or departmental staff and/or other persons who accompanied the parliamentary secretary on this visit.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Trade—With reference to the Minister’s visit to Baghdad in February 2006:
(1) (a) What was the duration of the visit; (b) who travelled with the Minister; and (c) who did the Minister and/or his staff meet during this visit.
(2) Did the Minister and/or his staff contact representatives of the Australian wheat industry; if so: (a) who did the Minister and/or his staff contact; (b) when was this contact made; and (c) what was the purpose of the contact.
(3) What outcomes can be attributed to the visit.
(4) If there were commitments given by Iraqi authorities in relation to Australian wheat growers having access to the Iraqi market, what was the nature of those commitments and in what form were the commitments made.

1924 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Trade—

(1) What representations have the Minister, Minister’s office and/or the department made to the Government of Iraq or its agencies on behalf of Wheat Australia.

(2) In each case: (a) when were the representations made; (b) who made the representations; (c) what was the nature of the representations; and (d) what outcomes can be attributed to the representations.

1925 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Trade—

(1) When did the: (a) Minister; (b) Minister’s office; and (c) department, first become aware of difficulties in the negotiations between Wheat Australia and the Iraqi Grain Board for the sale of 350,000 tonnes of wheat.

(2) (a) What was the nature of these difficulties; and (b) how were they brought to the attention of the: (i) Minister, (ii) Minister’s office, and (iii) department.

(3) In relation to these difficulties: (a) what requests were received for assistance in the negotiations; (b) who received those requests; and (c) in each case, what was the response made to those requests for assistance, including action taken by the Minister and/or department.

1926 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Trade—

(1) Can the Minister confirm a report in the Australian Financial Review of 19 May 2006 that neither he nor his office intervened in negotiations between the Iraqi Grain Board and Wheat Australia about a 350,000 tonne wheat tender.

(2) Can the Minister confirm a reported statement by his spokesperson that the commercial negotiations were a matter for the parties.

(3) Can the Minister confirm his statement reported in the Australian Financial Review of 23 May 2006 that the responsibility of the trade portfolio ‘is to open up markets for exporters but not to negotiate contracts on behalf of them’.

(4) Did the Minister and/or the his office and/or department have any contact with the Iraqi Grain Board in relation to the terms of the contract between the Iraqi Grain Board and Wheat Australia; if so: (a) what was the nature of that contact; (b) when was the contact made; (c) who made the contact; and (d) what outcomes can be attributed to the contact.

1927 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Trade—

(1) When did the: (a) Minister; (b) Minister’s office; and (c) department, become aware of the proposed price being negotiated by Wheat Australia and the Iraqi Grain Board for the sale of 350,000 tonnes Australian wheat.

(2) What discussions or other communications did the Minister, Minister’s office and/or the department have with representatives of the Australian wheat industry about the proposed contract price of the wheat.

(3) What action did the Minister, Minister’s office and/or the department take in response to these discussions or other communications.
(4) (a) When was this action taken; and (b) what outcomes can be attributed to it.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1928-1931)—

(1) Can details be provided of all costs associated with the Minister for Trade’s visit to Baghdad in February 2006, disaggregated by category, including transport, accommodation, meals, security and other costs.

(2) Can details also be provided of costs associated with all personal and/or departmental staff who accompanied the Minister for Trade on this visit.

1928 Minister representing the Minister for Trade
1929 Minister representing the Minister for Foreign Affairs
1930 Minister representing the Special Minister of State
1931 Minister representing the Minister for Defence

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1932-1936)—

(1) Can details be provided of any occasions since October 1996 on which departments or agencies for which the Minister is responsible have engaged or otherwise sought to rely on the opinions or advice of clairvoyants.

(2) For each occasion, can details be provided of the circumstances and any associated payments.

1932 Minister representing the Minister for Foreign Affairs
1933 Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services
1934 Minister representing the Attorney-General
1935 Minister representing the Minister for Defence
1936 Minister for Justice and Customs

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Prime Minister—With reference to the Prime Minister’s announcement on 29 May 2006 of Commonwealth Government support for Beaconsfield, Tasmania:

(1) Can details be provided of all mine-related workplace fatalities in Australia since October 1996, including the: (a) date of the fatality; (b) name of the mining company; (c) location of mining operation; (d) number of workers who died; and (e) cause of the fatality or fatalities.

(2) In relation to each of these mine-related workplace fatalities, can details also be provided of all related Commonwealth assistance measures.

1938 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Prime Minister—

(1) Can details be provided of all Commonwealth-funded state funerals since October 1996.

(2) For each state funeral, can details be provided of the itemised cost to the Commonwealth.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Human Services—

(1) Can details be provided of all Centrelink offices closed since October 1996, including the date of closure, street address, post code and electorate.

(2) Can details be provided of all Centrelink offices downgraded since October 1996, including the date of downgrading, street address, post code and electorate.
Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Human Services—

(1) Can details be provided of all Medicare offices closed since October 1996, including the date of closure, street address, post code and electorate.

(2) Can details be provided of all Medicare offices downgraded since October 1996, including the date of downgrading, street address, post code and electorate.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Human Services—

(1) Can details be provided of all Child Support Agency offices closed since October 1996, including the date of closure, street address, post code and electorate.

(2) Can details be provided of all Child Support Agency offices downgraded since October 1996, including the date of downgrading, street address, post code and electorate.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Human Services—

(1) Can details be provided of all CRS Australia (formerly the Commonwealth Rehabilitation Service) office closures since October 1996, including the date of closure, street address, post code and electorate.

(2) Can details be provided of all CRS Australia offices downgraded since October 1996, including the date of downgrading, street address, post code and electorate.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—

(1) Can details be provided of all Australian Taxation Offices (ATO) shopfronts closed since October 1996, including the date of closure, street address, post code and electorate.

(2) Can details be provided of all ATO shopfronts downgraded since October 1996, including the date of downgrading, street address, post code and electorate.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1944–1962)—

(1) Since October 1996, on how many occasions has a conclusive certificate been issued in relation to departments or agencies within the Minister’s portfolio exempting a document or documents from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 1982 (FOI).

(2) For each occasion: (a) what was the date; (b) what was the department or agency of which the FOI request was made; (c) what officer made the decision; (d) what was the document or documents excluded from disclosure pursuant to the certificate; and (e) was an appeal made against the decision in the Administrative Appeals Tribunal; if so, what was the case name and its outcome.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1944–1962)—

1944 Minister representing the Prime Minister
1945 Minister representing the Minister for Trade
1946 Minister representing the Treasurer
1947 Minister representing the Minister for Foreign Affairs
Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—With reference to the *Round the Clock Medicare: Investing in After-Hours GP Services* policy statement released in September 2004:

(1) Has the Government committed to provide operating subsidies up to $200,000 per year for 10 new after-hours general practice (GP) services in the 2005-06 financial year.

(2) What is the location of each of these new services.

(3) For each new service, can details be provided, including the date the service commenced, the total subsidy paid and whether the service is co-located with a public hospital.

(4) Did any service in receipt of an operating subsidy cease in the 2005-06 financial year; if so: (a) what was the location; and (b) why did the service cease.

(5) Has the government committed to subsidise 15 new after-hours GP services in 2006-07.

(6) (a) Can details be provided of the planned location of these services; and (b) for each service, what is the expected commencement date.

(7) Has the Government committed to provide start-up grants of up to $200,000 over 2 years for up to 30 new after-hours GP services in the 2005-06 financial year.

(8) (a) What is the location of each of these new services; and (b) if the locations do not include those identified in the policy statement – Kallangur (Queensland), Tweed Heads (NSW), Ryde (NSW), Glenside (NSW) and Williamstown (Victoria) – and services in these locations have not received a promised $200,000 grant, why not.

(9) Can details be provided of each new service, including the date the service commenced, the quantum of the start-up grant and date of payment, and whether the service is co-located with a public hospital.

(10) Did any service in receipt of a start-up grant cease in the 2005-06 financial year; if so: (a) what was the location; and (b) why did the service cease.
(11) Has the Government committed to provide start-up grants for up to 30 new after-hours GP services in the 2006-07 financial year.

(12) (a) Can details be provided of the planned location of these services; and (b) for each service, what is the expected commencement date.

(13) Has the Government committed to provide up to 100 renewable supplementary grants of up to $50,000 a year for 2 years to established after hours services.

(14) How many grants were paid in the 2005-06 financial year.

(15) For each grant: (a) what was the date that the grant was paid; (b) what is the quantum of the grant; and (c) what is the location of the established after-hours service.

(16) Did any service in receipt of a supplementary grant cease in the 2005-06 financial year; if so: (a) what was the location; and (b) why did the service cease.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1964-1982)—With reference to the Compensation for Detriment Caused by Defective Administration Scheme: for each department and agency for which the Minister is responsible, what is the total payment made under this scheme for each financial year since October 1996, by department and agency.

1964 Minister representing the Prime Minister
1965 Minister representing the Minister for Trade
1966 Minister representing the Treasurer
1967 Minister representing the Minister for Foreign Affairs
1968 Minister for Finance and Administration
1969 Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services
1970 Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing
1971 Minister representing the Attorney-General
1972 Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts
1973 Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs
1974 Minister representing the Minister for Defence
1975 Minister representing the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources
1976 Minister representing the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations
1977 Minister for the Environment and Heritage
1978 Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry
1979 Minister representing the Minister for Families, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs
1980 Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training
1981 Minister representing the Minister for Human Services
1982 Minister representing the Minister for Veterans’ Affairs

1983 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—

(1) What is the quantum of act of grace payments approved under section 33 of the Financial Management and Accountability Act 1997, by financial year, since the commencement of the Act.
(2) On what, if any, occasions has the Minister sought a report from an advisory committee under section 59 of the Act relating to an amount of more than $100 000.

1984 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—

(1) What is the quantum of debts waived under section 34 of the Financial Management and Accountability Act 1997, by financial year, since the commencement of the Act.

(2) On what, if any, occasions has the Minister sought a report from an advisory committee under section 59 of the Act relating to an amount of more than $100 000.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1985-2003)—What is the quantum of payments made as settlements to claims for monetary compensation by the departments and agencies for which the Minister is responsible that are consistent with Legal Services Directions issued under section 55ZF of the Judiciary Act 1903, by financial year, since the first Legal Services Directions were issued.

1985 Minister representing the Prime Minister
1986 Minister representing the Minister for Trade
1987 Minister representing the Treasurer
1988 Minister representing the Minister for Foreign Affairs
1989 Minister for Finance and Administration
1990 Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services
1991 Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing
1992 Minister representing the Attorney-General
1993 Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts
1994 Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs
1995 Minister representing the Minister for Defence
1996 Minister representing the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources
1997 Minister representing the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations
1998 Minister for the Environment and Heritage
1999 Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry
2000 Minister representing the Minister for Families, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs
2001 Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training
2002 Minister representing the Minister for Human Services
2003 Minister representing the Minister for Veterans’ Affairs

Notice given 13 June 2006

2004 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

(1) Are general practitioners required to inform young people of their right to apply for their own Medicare card if they are aged 15 or over.

(2) What data does the Government have on the proportion of general practitioners who inform young people of their right to apply for their own Medicare card if they are aged 15 or over.
(3) What data does the Government have on the proportion of young people who are aware of their right to apply for their own Medicare card if they are aged 15 or over.

(4) Are general practitioners required to display pamphlets outlining patients’ rights in their waiting rooms.

(5) What data does the Government have on the proportion of general practitioners who display pamphlets outlining patients’ rights in their waiting rooms.

(6) What data does the Government have on the proportion of patients who are aware that they are able to book a longer than standard appointment if they require.

(7) Are general practitioners required to make patients aware that they are able to book a longer than standard appointment if they require.

(8) What proportion of general practitioners have processes in place to make patients aware that they are able to book a longer than standard appointment if they require.

2005 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

1. (a) How often does the Medicare Benefits Consultative Committee (MBCC) meet each year; and (b) are there regularly scheduled meeting dates and times.

2. For the past 10 years: (a) how many submissions has the MBCC received each year; and (b) how many related to changes to the level of the fee set for Medicare items.

3. Can a copy of submissions and MBCC review results be provided for the past 10 years; if not, why not.

4. What is the timeframe for the review of a Medicare Benefits Schedule (MBS) item.

5. (a) How does the Government’s policy, which reviews MBS items conducted under the auspices of the MBCC on a cost neutral basis, operate; and (b) does this mean that the rebate level for a procedure would never be able to be increased unless a rebate for another procedure were decreased.

6. What role does the Minister play in determining changes to the MBS.

7. For the past 10 years, how many of the submissions to the MBCC resulted in recommendations to the Minister for increases in the level of the Medicare rebate for a particular procedure.

8. What percentage of these recommendations has been accepted by the Minister.

9. How does this compare with the percentage of recommendations for increases in the level of the Medicare rebate for a particular procedure that were accepted prior to 1996.

2006 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—With reference to the article ‘Weight Management and the challenges to Australian Pharmacy’ in Australian Pharmacist, Volume 24, No. 3, of March 2005, which reported that in 2002 only 8.7 per cent of pharmacists had staff trained in weight management:

1. Has the number of pharmacies with staff trained in weight management increased since 2002.
(2) How many pharmacies have weight measuring devices.

(3) How many pharmacies with weight measuring devices have staff who are trained in how to measure, monitor and interpret the results.

(4) Has the Government implemented any measures to encourage or support training of pharmacists in weight management.

(5) What is the expected increase in the use of Xenical given the recent National Drugs and Poisons Scheduling Committee decision to allow direct to consumer advertising of Xenical.

(6) Has the Government undertaken any modelling of the potential increase to Government expenditure on Xenical and Orlistat through the Repatriation Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme; if so, what were the results; if not, why not.

2007 Senator Faulkner: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Veterans’ Affairs—

(1) How was a decision reached on which battles would be commemorated on the London War Memorial.

(2) What criteria were used to determine which battles would be listed.

(3) Who made the decision.

(4) Who was consulted about the proposed list of battles.

(5) Why were the battles for the Beaches of Gona, Buna and Sanananda not commemorated on the memorial.

2008 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to the Minister’s statement of 15 November 2005, announcing changes to the Regional Partnerships program:

(1) (a) On what dates has the new ministerial committee met; and (b) who attended these meetings.

(2) How many applications has the new ministerial committee: (a) considered; (b) approved; and (c) rejected.

(3) Does the new ministerial committee comprise the Minister for Transport and Regional Services, the Minister for Local Government, Territories and Roads and the Parliamentary Secretary to the Prime Minister; if not (a) when did its composition change; and (b) why did the Minister fail to announce this change.

(4) (a) Can a copy be provided of the program guidelines and administrative arrangements approved by the new ministerial committee; and (b) on what dates were the program guidelines and administrative arrangements approved.

(5) Have the Strategic Opportunities Notional Allocation (SONA) guidelines been amended since 15 November 2005; if so: (a) on what date were the SONA guidelines amended; and (b) can a copy of the amended guidelines be provided.

(6) How many applications have been approved under the SONA guidelines since 15 November 2005.

(7) (a) On what date did the Minister provide written advice to Area Consultative Committees outlining the Government’s ‘broad policy priorities’ for the Regional Partnerships program; and (b) can a copy of the written advice be provided; if not, why not.
(8) Do the Members of Parliament to be consulted ‘more extensively’ by Area Consultative Committees include non-government members; if not, why not.

2009 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to the Community Assistance Element of the Textile, Clothing and Footwear Structural Adjustment Program:

(1) Is the Community Assistance Element administered through the Regional Partnerships program.

(2) Is the Community Assistance Element funded by the Department of Industry, Tourism and Resources.

(3) What funding is available under the Community Assistance Element for each of the financial years 2005-06 to 2014-15, by financial year.

(4) What amount has been expended under the Community Assistance Element in each of the following financial years, 2005-06 and 2006-07 to date, by financial year.

(5) (a) What departmental expenses have been incurred in relation to the Community Assistance Element in each of the following financial years, 2005-06 and 2006-07 to date, by financial year; and (b) which department funds these departmental expenses.

(6) How many applications for funding have been received.

(7) (a) How many applications for funding have been approved; and (b) can details be provided, including the funding recipient, quantum of funding and date of announcement.

(8) How many applications for funding have been rejected.

(9) Can the Minister outline each step of the assessment process, including the role, if any, of: (a) the Minister; (b) the Minister for Local Government, Territories and Roads; (c) the ministerial committee announced on 15 November 2005; and (d) the department.

(10) Are the Strategic Opportunities Notional Allocation (SONA) guidelines applicable to the Community Assistance Element of the Textile, Clothing and Footwear Structural Adjustment Program; if so, can details be provided of all projects approved under the SONA guidelines; if not, why not.

2010 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources—

(1) What is the value of research and development grants provided to the tobacco industry for each financial year from 2000-01.

(2) For what purpose was or is the funding being used.

(3) What is the projected value of these grants over the next four financial years.

2011 Senator Milne: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

(1) What level of uptake has there been for Tasmania’s Non-Forest Vegetation Program, funded through the National Heritage Trust, since its commencement.

(2) How much land has been conserved under the program.

(3) How much land, classified as part of Tasmania’s biodiversity hotspots, has been conserved by the program.
(4) (a) How much money has been paid to landowners under the program; and
(b) what percentage of the total budget for the program does this represent.

(5) How do these results compare with the program’s targets.

(6) What does the Government consider to be the main obstacles to the
program meeting its targets before its completion.

2012 Senator Bob Brown: To ask the Minister for Communications, Information
Technology and the Arts—With reference to a number of complaints received by
Senator Brown in relation to the switch from AM to FM band radio in Northern
Tasmania and given that since the switch ABC radio cannot be properly received
in areas west of Doctors’ Rocks and in Ulverstone and Mawbanna: what is being
done to rectify this loss of reception in these communities.

2013 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Treasurer—

(1) For each financial year since 1997-98, can the following details be provided
in relation to excise duty on: (a) aviation gasoline; and (b) aviation
kerosene: (i) the projected revenue, and (ii) the actual revenue.

(2) For each financial year, can details be provided of the relevant excise duty
rate.

(3) With reference to evidence by officers of the department to the Economics
Legislation Committee on 4 June 2003 that ‘the aviation fuels excise is
raised to provide funding for CASA and other air safety regulation’: can
details be provided of the expenditure of aviation fuels excise for each
financial year since 1997-98.

2014 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture,
Fisheries and Forestry—With reference to evidence by the Chief Executive Officer
of the Grains Research and Development Corporation (GRDC), Mr Peter Reading,
to the Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport Legislation Committee on 24 May
2006, that ‘what the GRDC is doing is trying to gradually move out of breeding
altogether’: (a) when that advice was first provided to: (i) the Minister, and (ii) the
Grains Council of Australia; and (b) why is the GRDC moving out of breeding.

2015 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture,
Fisheries and Forestry—

(1) Has the Grains Research and Development Corporation sold Single Vision
Grains Australia Limited to ‘interim’ directors of the unincorporated
venture Single Vision or directors of Single Vision Grains Australia
Limited; if so: (a) on what date; and (b) what consideration was paid.

(2) Has the Grains Research and Development Corporation gifted Single
Vision Grains Australia Limited to ‘interim’ directors of the unincorporated
venture Single Vision or directors of Single Vision Grains Australia
Limited; if so: (a) on what date; and (b) why.

2016 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture,
Fisheries and Forestry—With reference to the ‘unincorporated venture’ Single
Vision (as described by the Chair of the Grain Research and Development
Corporation, Mr Terry Enright, in evidence to the Senate Rural and Regional
Affairs and Transport Legislation Committee on 24 May 2006):

(1) Are the ‘interim’ directors exposed to liability due to the unincorporated
nature of the venture.
(2) Has the Grains Research and Development Corporation sought legal advice in this matter; if so: (a) on what date; (b) from what source; (c) on what date was advice received; (d) what was the cost of the advice; and (e) can a copy of the advice be provided; if not, why not.

2017 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—With reference to evidence by the Chair of the Grains Research and Development Corporation (GRDC), Mr Terry Enright, to the Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport Legislation Committee on 24 May 2006 that in relation to the unincorporated venture Single Vision ‘we went to Grains Week and what we have now is what they asked for’:

(1) Is: (a) the GRDC; and (b) the Minister, aware of a statement issued by the Grains Council of Australia (GCA) on 8 July 2005 that says ‘the model being pursued by GRDC was not consistent with that developed by GCA during the second half of 2004 and early 2005’.

(2) Can the Minister explain how Mr Enright’s evidence is consistent with this post-Grains Week 2004 statement by the GCA.
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Committees

1 Allocation of departments

Departments and agencies are allocated to the legislative and general purpose standing committees as follows:

Community Affairs
Families, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs
Health and Ageing

Economics
Treasury
Industry, Tourism and Resources

Employment, Workplace Relations and Education
Employment and Workplace Relations
Education, Science and Training

Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts
Environment and Heritage
Communications, Information Technology and the Arts
Finance and Public Administration
Parliament
Prime Minister and Cabinet
Finance and Administration
Human Services

Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade
Foreign Affairs and Trade
Defence (including Veterans’ Affairs)

Legal and Constitutional
Attorney-General
Immigration and Multicultural Affairs

Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport
Transport and Regional Services
Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry.

Agreed to 9 February 2006.

2 Australian Crime Commission—Joint Statutory Committee—Authorisation to meet
That the Parliamentary Joint Committee on the Australian Crime Commission be authorised to hold a public meeting during the sitting of the Senate on Monday, 19 June 2006, from 5.45 pm, to take evidence for the committee’s inquiry into amphetamines and other synthetic drugs.

Agreed to 14 June 2006.

3 Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade—Joint Standing Committee—Authorisation to meet
That the Joint Standing Committee on Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade be authorised to hold private meetings otherwise than in accordance with standing order 33(1) during the sittings of the Senate.

Agreed to 6 December 2004.

4 Migration—Joint Standing Committee—Authorisation to meet
That the Joint Standing Committee on Migration be authorised to hold private meetings otherwise than in accordance with standing order 33(1) during the sittings of the Senate.

Agreed to 29 March 2006.

5 Privileges—Standing Committee—Adoption of 94th report recommendation
That the Senate authorise the President, if required, to engage counsel as amicus curiae if either the action for defamation against Mr David Armstrong or a similar action against Mr William O’Chee is set down for trial.

Agreed to 4 September 2000.

6 Unauthorised disclosure of committee proceedings
That the following order operate as a sessional order:

1. The Senate confirms that any disclosure of evidence or documents submitted to a committee, of documents prepared by a committee, or of deliberations of a committee, without the approval of the committee or of the Senate, may be treated by the Senate as a contempt.
(2) The Senate reaffirms its resolution of 20 June 1996, relating to procedures to be followed by committees in cases of unauthorised disclosure of committee proceedings.

(3) The Senate provides the following guidelines to be observed by committees in applying that resolution, and declares that the Senate will observe the guidelines in determining whether to refer a matter to the Committee of Privileges:

1. Unless there are particular circumstances involving actual or potential substantial interference with the work of a committee or of the Senate, the following kinds of unauthorised disclosure should not be raised as matters of privilege:
   (a) disclosure of a committee report in the time between the substantial conclusion of the committee's deliberations on the report and its presentation to the Senate;
   (b) disclosure of other documents prepared by a committee and not published by the committee, where the committee would have published them, or could appropriately have published them, in any event, or where they contain only research or publicly-available material, or where their disclosure is otherwise inconsequential;
   (c) disclosure of documents and evidence submitted to a committee and not published by the committee, where the committee would have published them, or could appropriately have published them, in any event;
   (d) disclosure of private deliberations of a committee where the freedom of the committee to deliberate is unlikely to be significantly affected.

2. The following kinds of unauthorised disclosure are those for which the contempt jurisdiction of the Senate should primarily be reserved, and which should therefore be raised as matters of privilege:
   (a) disclosure of documents or evidence submitted to a committee where the committee has deliberately decided to treat the documents or evidence as in camera material, for the protection of witnesses or others, or because disclosure would otherwise be harmful to the public interest;
   (b) disclosure of documents prepared by a committee where that involves disclosure of material of the kind specified in paragraph (a);
   (c) disclosure of private deliberations of a committee where that involves disclosure of that kind of material, or significantly impedes the committee's freedom to deliberate.

3. An unauthorised disclosure not falling into the categories in guidelines 1 and 2 should not be raised as a matter of privilege unless it involves actual or potential substantial interference with the work of a committee or of the Senate.

4. When considering any unauthorised disclosure of material in the possession of a committee, the committee should consider whether there was any substantive reason for not publishing that material.
(4) Before deciding to raise a matter of privilege involving possible unauthorised disclosure of committee proceedings, any committee may seek the guidance of the Committee of Privileges as to whether a matter should be pursued. If the committee decides that such a matter should be raised, it must consult with the Committee of Privileges before taking the matter further.

(5) When applying this resolution a committee shall have regard to the matters set out in paragraphs 3.43 to 3.59 of the 122nd Report of the Committee of Privileges, June 2005.

(Agreed to 6 October 2005 upon adoption of a recommendation of the Procedure Committee in its first report of 2005.)

Estimates

7 2005-06 Budget estimates—Answers to questions
That answers be provided by 31 January 2005 to:
   (a) estimates questions on notice lodged with legislation committees in the course of the estimates hearings in May and June 2004; and
   (b) estimates questions on notice lodged with legislation committees by 2 December 2004.

(Agreed to 18 November 2004.)

8 2006-07 Budget estimates—Hearings
   (1) That the 2006-07 Budget estimates hearings by legislation committees be scheduled as follows:
      Monday, 22 May to Thursday, 25 May (Group A)
      Monday, 29 May to Thursday, 1 June (Group B).
   (2) That committees meet in the following groups:
      Group A:
         Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts
         Finance and Public Administration
         Legal and Constitutional
         Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport
      Group B:
         Community Affairs
         Economics
         Employment, Workplace Relations and Education
         Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade.

(Agreed to 11 May 2006.)
9 **2006-07 Budget estimates—Answers to questions**

The dates set by legislation committees for answering questions taken on notice during the 2006-07 Budget estimates are as follows:

**Group A:**
- Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts: Friday, 28 July 2006
- Finance and Public Administration: Friday, 7 July 2006
- Legal and Constitutional: Friday, 14 July 2006
- Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport: Thursday, 13 July 2006

**Group B:**
- Community Affairs: Friday, 28 July 2006
- Economics: Friday, 28 July 2006
- Employment, Workplace Relations and Education: Friday, 28 July 2006

Standing order 74(5) takes effect 30 days after these dates.

**Meeting of Senate**

10 **Meeting of Senate**

That the days of meeting of the Senate for 2006 be as follows:

**Autumn sittings:**
- Tuesday, 7 February to Thursday, 9 February
- Monday, 27 February to Thursday, 2 March

**Autumn sittings (2):**
- Monday, 27 March to Thursday, 30 March

**Budget sittings:**
- Tuesday, 9 May to Thursday, 11 May

**Winter sittings:**
- Tuesday, 13 June to Thursday, 15 June
- Monday, 19 June to Thursday, 22 June

**Spring sittings:**
- Tuesday, 8 August to Thursday, 10 August
- Monday, 14 August to Thursday, 17 August
- Monday, 4 September to Thursday, 7 September
- Monday, 11 September to Thursday, 14 September

**Spring sittings (2):**
- Monday, 9 October to Thursday, 12 October
- Monday, 16 October to Thursday, 19 October
- Monday, 6 November to Thursday, 9 November
- Monday, 27 November to Thursday, 30 November
- Monday, 4 December to Thursday, 7 December.

(Agreed to 8 December 2005.)
11 **Adjournment debate on Tuesdays—Temporary order**

That the following order operate as a temporary order until the conclusion of the 2006 sittings:

On the question for the adjournment of the Senate on Tuesday, a senator who has spoken once subject to the time limit of 10 minutes may speak again for not more than 10 minutes if no other senator who has not already spoken once wishes to speak, provided that a senator may by leave speak for not more than 20 minutes on one occasion.

(*Agreed to 7 February 2006.*)

12 **Divisions on Thursday—Temporary order**

That the following order operate as a temporary order until 30 June 2006:

If a division is called for on Thursday after 4.30 pm, the matter before the Senate shall be adjourned until the next day of sitting at a time fixed by the Senate.

(*Agreed to 27 February 2006.*)

13 **Days and hours of meeting and routine of business—Variation**

(1) On Tuesday, 13 and 20 June 2006:

(a) the hours of meeting shall be 12.30 pm to 6.30 pm and 7.30 pm to adjournment;

(b) the routine of business from 7.30 pm shall be government business only; and

(c) the question for the adjournment of the Senate shall be proposed at 11 pm.

(2) On Wednesday, 14 June 2006, the routine of business be varied to provide that:

(a) matters of public interest be called on at 1.15 pm; and

(b) questions without notice be called on at 2.30 pm.

(3) On Thursday, 15 and 22 June 2006:

(a) the hours of meeting shall be 9.30 am to 6.30 pm and 7.30 pm to 11.40 pm;

(b) consideration of general business and consideration of committee reports, government responses and Auditor-General’s reports under standing order 62(1) and (2) shall not be proceeded with;

(c) the routine of business from not later than 4.30 pm shall be government business only;

(d) divisions may take place after 4.30 pm; and

(e) the question for the adjournment of the Senate shall be proposed at 11 pm.

(4) The Senate shall sit on Friday, 16 and 23 June 2006 and that:

(a) the hours of meeting shall be 9 am to 4.25 pm;

(b) the routine of business shall be:

(i) notices of motion, and

(ii) government business only; and

(c) the question for the adjournment of the Senate shall be proposed at 3.45 pm.

(*Agreed to 13 June 2006.*)
Orders for production of documents

14 Trade—Free trade agreement—Order for production of documents
That there be laid on the table by the Minister representing the Minister for Trade, no later than 4 pm on Tuesday, 7 December 2004, the final letters and any attachments and annexures exchanged between the governments of Australia and the United States of America (US) to finalise the free trade agreement between Australia and the US.
(Motion of Senator Nettle agreed to 2 December 2004.)

15 Foreign Affairs—Gallipoli—Road works—Order for production of documents
That there be laid on the table by the Minister for Defence, no later than Thursday, 12 May 2005, all briefings to the Minister and the Minister for Veterans’ Affairs, on the matter of road works at Gallipoli over the past 4 years, and all internal minutes and file notes, including records of meetings between the Office of Australian War Graves and officials of the Government of Turkey on the same subject.
(Motion of Senator Bishop agreed to 11 May 2005.)

16 Family and Community Services—Housing Assistance agreements—Order for production of documents
(1) That the Senate:
(a) notes that the Housing Assistance (Form of Agreement) Determination 2003 in Schedule 1, subsections 4(33) to 4(36) requires states to report on expenditure and progress towards their respective bilateral agreements to the Commonwealth within 6 months after the end of each grant year;
(b) orders that there be laid on the table, no later than 3.30 pm on 12 May 2005, all reports provided by the states and territories to the Commonwealth under those provisions for the financial year 2003-04; and
(c) orders that all reports provided by the states and territories to the Commonwealth under those provisions be tabled in the Senate within 5 sittings days, or one calendar month, after receipt (whichever is the later), and that the Senate be notified in writing by the Minister for Family and Community Services within 5 sitting days of the expiration of the 6 months if reports have not been provided within the required 6 months.
(2) That this order is of continuing effect.
(Motion of Senator Bartlett agreed to 12 May 2005.)

17 Environment—Tasmania—Proposed pulp mill—Order for production of documents
That there be laid on the table by the Minister for the Environment and Heritage, no later than 3.30 pm on 16 June 2005, all correspondence from January 2002 to the present between the Minister, his staff and department and Gunns Pty Ltd relating to the proposed pulp mill in Tasmania.
(Motion of the Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown) agreed to 12 May 2005.)
18 Environment—Tasmania—Proposed pulp mill—Order for production of documents

That there be laid on the table by the Minister representing the Prime Minister, no later than 3.30 pm on 22 June 2005, all correspondence from January 2002 to the present between the Prime Minister, his staff and department and Gunns Pty Ltd relating to the proposed pulp mill in Tasmania.

(Motion of the Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown) agreed to 14 June 2005.)

19 Law and Justice—Airport security—Order for production of documents

That there be laid on the table by the Minister for Justice and Customs, no later than 5 pm on Monday, 20 June 2005, copies of all reports prepared by the Australian Customs Service since 1 January 2004 which refer to issues of airport security, including the report completed in September 2004, referred to on page 1 of The Australian on 31 May 2005 (“Airport staff “smuggling drugs””), other than material specifically relating to current ongoing investigations.

(Motion of the Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison) agreed to 20 June 2005.)

20 Taxation—Deductible gift recipient status—Environment groups—Order for production of documents

That there be laid on the table by the Minister for the Environment and Heritage, no later than 3.30 pm on Wednesday, 22 June 2005, all correspondence between the Minister and the Assistant Treasurer, the Australian Taxation Office, or the Institute of Public Affairs in 2004 and 2005 relating to the issue of deductible gift recipient status of environment groups.

(Motion of the Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown) agreed to 21 June 2005.)

Orders for production of documents still current from previous parliaments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of order</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Addressed to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25.10.1995</td>
<td>Administrative decision-making—Effect of international instruments</td>
<td>Minister representing the Attorney-General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.05.1998</td>
<td>Waterfront reform</td>
<td>Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Development (Senator Alston); Minister representing the Minister for Workplace Relations and Small Business (Senator Alston); and Minister representing the Prime Minister (Senator Hill)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07.03.2000</td>
<td>Environment—Queensland—Tree clearing</td>
<td>Minister for the Environment and Heritage (Senator Hill)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.04.2000</td>
<td>Aged care—Riverside Nursing Home</td>
<td>Minister representing the Minister for Aged Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of order</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>Addressed to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.06.2000</td>
<td>Tax reform—Petrol pricing</td>
<td>Assistant Treasurer (Senator Kemp)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.11.2000</td>
<td>Environment—Tasmania</td>
<td>Minister representing the Minister for Sport and Tourism (Senator Minchin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.03.2001</td>
<td>Taxation</td>
<td>Minister representing the Treasurer (Senator Kemp)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.05.2001</td>
<td>HIH Insurance</td>
<td>Minister representing the Treasurer (Senator Kemp)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.05.2001</td>
<td>Workplace relations</td>
<td>Minister representing the Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.08.2001</td>
<td>Foreign Affairs—Japanese fishing boats</td>
<td>Minister representing the Ministers for Foreign Affairs and Trade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.08.2001</td>
<td>Transport—Black Spot Project</td>
<td>Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.08.2001</td>
<td>Environment—Great Barrier Reef—Water quality control</td>
<td>Leader of the Government in the Senate (Senator Hill)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.09.2001</td>
<td>Transport—Ansett Australia</td>
<td>Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.09.2001</td>
<td>Transport—Ansett Australia</td>
<td>Minister representing the Prime Minister</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.06.2002</td>
<td>Mining—Christmas Island</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.06.2002</td>
<td>Superannuation system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.06.2002</td>
<td>Finance—Retirement and income modelling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.08.2002</td>
<td>Animal Welfare—Cattle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.08.2002</td>
<td>Superannuation Working Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.11.2002</td>
<td>Environment—Queensland—Nathan Dam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.11.2002</td>
<td>Trade—General Agreement on Trade in Services</td>
<td>Minister representing the Minister for Trade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.11.2002</td>
<td>Environment—Oceans policy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.11.2002</td>
<td>Superannuation—Insurance and Superannuation Commission</td>
<td>Minister representing the Treasurer (Senator Minchin) and the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer (Senator Coonan)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.12.2002</td>
<td>Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of order</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>Addressed to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.12.2002</td>
<td>Science and Technology—Genetically-modified food</td>
<td>Minister representing the Minister for Foreign Affairs and representing the Prime Minister (Senator Hill)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.02.2003</td>
<td>Environment—National Radioactive Waste Repository</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.02.2003</td>
<td>Environment—National Radioactive Waste Repository</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.03.2003</td>
<td>Environment—National Radioactive Waste Repository</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.03.2003</td>
<td>Immigration—Illegal migration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.05.2003</td>
<td>Environment—Radioactive waste—National store</td>
<td>Minister representing the Minister for Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.05.2003</td>
<td>Industry—Basslink</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.06.2003</td>
<td>Energy Grants (Credits) Scheme—Draft regulations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08.10.2003</td>
<td>Health—National Drug Research Strategy</td>
<td>Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.10.2003</td>
<td>Immigration—Management of detention centres</td>
<td>Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.10.003</td>
<td>Finance—Calculation of the IBNR levy</td>
<td>Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.10.2003</td>
<td>Animal Welfare—Live sheep export</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.10.2003</td>
<td>Environment—Sepon Mine</td>
<td>Minister representing the Minister for Trade (Senator Hill)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.10.2003</td>
<td>Science and Technology—Assisted reproductive technology</td>
<td>Leader of the Government in the Senate (Senator Hill)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.10.2003</td>
<td>Education—Higher education—Regional impact statement</td>
<td>Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.11.2003</td>
<td>Finance—Deposit bonds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.12.2003</td>
<td>Taxation—First home owners, ‘bracket creep’ and Intergeneration Report</td>
<td>Minister representing the Treasurer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.12.2003</td>
<td>Health—Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.12.2003</td>
<td>Environment—Sepon mine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.02.2003</td>
<td>Science and Technology—Assisted reproductive technology</td>
<td>Leader of the Government in the Senate (Senator Hill)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of order</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>Addressed to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.03.2004</td>
<td>Superannuation—Departing temporary residents</td>
<td>Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.03.2004</td>
<td>Australian Federal Police Commissioner—Statement</td>
<td>Leader of the Government in the Senate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.04.2004</td>
<td>Immigration—Ministerial discretion</td>
<td>Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.06.2004</td>
<td>Indigenous Australians—Lands Acquisition Amendment Regulations 2004 (No. 2)</td>
<td>Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.06.2004</td>
<td>Defence—Iraq—Human rights abuses</td>
<td>Minister for Defence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.06.2004</td>
<td>Science and Technology—Synthetic aperture radar</td>
<td>Minister representing the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.06.2004</td>
<td>Defence—Office of National Assessments report</td>
<td>Leader of the Government in the Senate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.06.2004</td>
<td>Environment—Videophone facilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04.08.2004</td>
<td>Defence—Missile defence program</td>
<td>Minister for Defence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.08.2004</td>
<td>Environment—Bushfire mitigation and management</td>
<td>Minister representing the Prime Minister</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.08.2004</td>
<td>Environment—Repulse Bay</td>
<td>Minister for the Environment and Heritage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.08.2004</td>
<td>Environment—Nuclear waste storage</td>
<td>Minister for Finance and Administration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONTINGENT NOTICES OF MOTION**

**Auditor-General’s reports—Consideration**

1. Leader of the Opposition in the Senate (Senator Evans)
   Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison)
   Leader of the Family First Party (Senator Fielding)
   Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown)
   Senator Nettle

To move (contingent on the President presenting a report of the Auditor-General on any day or notifying the Senate that such a report had been presented under standing order 166)—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the senator moving a motion to take note of the report and any senator speaking to it for not more than 10 minutes, with the total time for the debate not to exceed 60 minutes.
Conduct of business

2 Leader of the Government in the Senate (Senator Minchin): To move (contingent on the Senate on any day concluding its consideration of any item of business and prior to the Senate proceeding to the consideration of another item of business)—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent a minister moving a motion to provide for the consideration of any matter.

3 Leader of the Opposition in the Senate (Senator Evans)
   Leader of The Nationals in the Senate (Senator Boswell)
   Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison)
   Leader of the Family First Party (Senator Fielding)
   Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown)
   Senator Nettle
   To move (contingent on the Senate on any day concluding its consideration of any item of business and prior to the Senate proceeding to the consideration of another item of business)—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the senator moving a motion relating to the conduct of the business of the Senate or to provide for the consideration of any matter.

Government documents

4 Leader of the Opposition in the Senate (Senator Evans)
   Leader of The Nationals in the Senate (Senator Boswell)
   Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison)
   Leader of the Family First Party (Senator Fielding)
   Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown)
   Senator Nettle
   To move (contingent on the Senate proceeding to the consideration of government documents)—That so much of the standing orders relating to the consideration of government documents be suspended as would prevent the senator moving a motion relating to the order in which the documents are called on by the President.

Limitation of time

   Leader of the Opposition in the Senate (Senator Evans)
   Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison)
   Leader of the Family First Party (Senator Fielding)
   Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown)
   Senator Nettle

5 To move (contingent on a minister moving a motion that a bill be considered an urgent bill)—That so much of standing order 142 be suspended as would prevent debate taking place on the motion.

6 To move (contingent on a minister moving a motion to specify time to be allotted to the consideration of a bill, or any stage of a bill)—That so much of standing order 142 be suspended as would prevent the motion being debated without limitation of time and each senator speaking for the time allotted by standing orders.
7 To move (contingent on the chair declaring that the time allotted for the consideration of a bill, or any stage of a bill, has expired)—That so much of standing order 142 be suspended as would prevent further consideration of the bill, or the stage of the bill, without limitation of time or for a specified period.

Matters of urgency

8 Leader of the Government in the Senate (Senator Minchin): To move (contingent on the moving of a motion to debate a matter of urgency under standing order 75)—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent a minister moving an amendment to the motion.

9 Leader of the Opposition in the Senate (Senator Evans)
   Leader of The Nationals in the Senate (Senator Boswell)
   Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison)
   Leader of the Family First Party (Senator Fielding)
   Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown)
   Senator Nettle
   To move (contingent on the moving of a motion to debate a matter of urgency under standing order 75)—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the senator moving an amendment to the motion.

Order of business

10 Leader of the Opposition in the Senate (Senator Evans)
    Leader of The Nationals in the Senate (Senator Boswell)
    Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison)
    Leader of the Family First Party (Senator Fielding)
    Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown)
    Senator Nettle
    To move (contingent on the President proceeding to the placing of business on any day)—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the senator moving a motion relating to the order of business on the Notice Paper.

Questions without notice

11 Leader of the Opposition in the Senate (Senator Evans)
    Leader of The Nationals in the Senate (Senator Boswell)
    Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison)
    Leader of the Family First Party (Senator Fielding)
    Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown)
    Senator Nettle
    To move (contingent on a minister at question time on any day asking that further questions be placed on notice)—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the senator moving a motion that, at question time on any day, questions may be put to ministers until 28 questions, including supplementary questions, have been asked and answered.
Statements

12 Leader of the Opposition in the Senate (Senator Evans)
   Leader of The Nationals in the Senate (Senator Boswell)
   Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison)
   Leader of the Family First Party (Senator Fielding)
   Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown)
   Senator Nettle
   To move (contingent on any senator being refused leave to make a statement to the Senate)—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent that senator making that statement.

Tabling of documents

13 Leader of the Opposition in the Senate (Senator Evans)
   Leader of The Nationals in the Senate (Senator Boswell)
   Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison)
   Leader of the Family First Party (Senator Fielding)
   Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown)
   Senator Nettle
   To move (contingent on any senator being refused leave to table a document in the Senate)—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the senator moving that the document be tabled.

TEMPORARY CHAIRS OF COMMITTEES

Senators Barnett, Brandis, Chapman, Crossin, Ferguson, Forshaw, Hutchins, Kirk, Lightfoot, Marshall, Moore, Murray, Troeth and Watson

CATEGORIES OF COMMITTEES

Standing Committees
Appropriations and Staffing
House
Library
Privileges
Procedure
Publications
Selection of Bills
Senators’ Interests

Legislative Scrutiny Standing Committees
Regulations and Ordinances
Scrutiny of Bills
Legislative and General Purpose Standing Committees
Community Affairs Legislation
Community Affairs References
Economics Legislation
Economics References
Employment, Workplace Relations and Education Legislation
Employment, Workplace Relations and Education References
Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts Legislation
Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts References
Finance and Public Administration Legislation
Finance and Public Administration References
Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade Legislation
Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade References
Legal and Constitutional Legislation
Legal and Constitutional References
Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport Legislation
Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport References

Select Committees
Administration of Indigenous Affairs
Lindeberg Grievance
Mental Health
Scrafton Evidence

Joint Statutory Committees
Australian Crime Commission
Broadcasting of Parliamentary Proceedings
Corporations and Financial Services
Intelligence and Security
Native Title and the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Land Account
Public Accounts and Audit
Public Works

Joint Committees
Electoral Matters
Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade
Migration
National Capital and External Territories
Parliamentary Library
Treaties

N.B. Details appear in the following section, with committees listed in alphabetical order.
COMMITTEES

Administration of Indigenous Affairs—Select Committee
(appointed 16 June 2004; reappointed 17 November 2004; final report tabled 8 March 2005)
Members
Senator Moore (Chair), Senator Johnston (Deputy Chair), Senators Carr, Crossin, Heffernan, Nettle, Ridgeway and Scullion
Reports presented
Interim report (presented to the President on 31 August 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
After ATSIC – Life in the mainstream? (tabled 8 March 2005)

Appropriations and Staffing—Standing Committee
Members
The President (Chairman), the Leader of the Government in the Senate, the Leader of the Opposition in the Senate and Senators Bartlett, Boswell, Faulkner, Ferris, Heffernan and Ray
Reports presented
41st report—Security funding; Appropriation bills: Payments to international organisations (tabled 8 December 2004)
42nd report—Estimates for the Department of the Senate 2005-06 (tabled 11 May 2005)

Australian Crime Commission—Joint Statutory Committee
Members
Senator Ian Macdonald (Chair), Mr Kerr (Deputy Chair), Senators Ferris, Ludwig and Polley and Mrs Gash, Mr Hayes, Mr Richardson and Mr Wood
Current inquiry
Amphetamines and other synthetic drugs (adopted 5 December 2005)
Reports presented
Examination of the annual report for 2002-03 of the National Crime Authority and the Australian Crime Commission (presented to the President on 31 August 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Inquiry into the trafficking of women for sexual servitude—Supplementary report (tabled 11 August 2005)
Broadcasting of Parliamentary Proceedings—Joint Statutory Committee

Members
The President, the Speaker and Senators Faulkner and Ferris and Mr Bartlett, Mr Cadman, Mr Lindsay, Mr Murphy and Ms Vamvakinou

Community Affairs Legislation Committee

Portfolios
Families, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs; Health and Ageing

Members
Senator Humphries (Chair), Senator Moore (Deputy Chair), Senators Adams, Barnett, Nettle and Polley

Substitute members
Matters relating to the Family and Community Services—Senator Siewert to replace Senator Nettle
Transparent Advertising and Notification of Pregnancy Counselling Services Bill 2005—Senator Stott Despoja to replace Senator Nettle

Participating members

Current inquiry
Transparent Advertising and Notification of Pregnancy Counselling Services Bill 2005 (referred 11 May 2006; reporting date: 17 August 2006)

Reports presented
Tobacco advertising prohibition (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Kirk, on 30 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Provisions of the Private Health Insurance Incentives Amendment Bill 2004 (tabled 8 February 2005)
Provisions of the National Health Amendment (Prostheses) Bill 2004 (tabled 10 February 2005)
Annual reports (No. 1 of 2005), March 2005 (tabled 16 March 2005)
Budget estimates 2005-06, June 2005 (tabled 20 June 2005)
Provisions of the National Health Amendment (Budget Measures—Pharmaceutical Benefits Safety Net) Bill 2005 (tabled 7 November 2005)
Community Affairs References Committee

Members
- Senator Moore (Chair), Senator Humphries (Deputy Chair), Senators Adams, Allison, Caro Brown and Polley

Substitute members
- Petrol sniffing in remote Aboriginal communities—
  Senator Bartlett to replace Senator Allison
  Senator Crossin to replace Senator Carol Brown

Participating members

Current inquiries
- Petrol sniffing in remote Aboriginal communities (referred 5 October 2005; reporting date: 20 June 2006)
- Gynaecological cancer in Australia (referred 11 May 2006; reporting date: 19 October 2006)
- Funding and operation of the Commonwealth-State/Territory Disability Agreement (referred 11 May 2006; reporting date: last sitting week of 2006)

Reports presented
- Inquiry into aged care—Interim report (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Kirk, on 30 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
- Matters not disposed of at the end of the 40th Parliament (tabled 1 December 2004)
- Matters not disposed of at the end of the 40th Parliament (tabled 7 March 2005)
Quality and equity in aged care (tabled 23 June 2005)
Response to the petition on gynaecological health issues (tabled 30 March 2006)
Workplace exposure to toxic dust (presented to the Deputy President on 31 May 2006,
pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 13 June 2006)

Corporations and Financial Services—Joint Statutory Committee

Members
Senator Chapman (Chair), Ms AE Burke (Deputy Chair), Senators Brandis, Murray, Sherry and Wong and Mr Baker, Mr Bartlett, Mr Bowen and Mr McArthur

Current inquiry
Corporate responsibility (adopted 22 June 2005)

Reports presented
Australian Accounting Standards tabled in compliance with the Corporations Act 2001 on 30 August and 16 November 2004 (tabled 10 February 2005)
Statutory oversight of the Australian Securities and Investments Commission (tabled 12 May 2005)
Inquiry into the exposure draft of the Corporations Amendment Bill (No. 2) 2005 (tabled 16 June 2005) and erratum (tabled 16 June 2005)
Property investment advice – Safe as houses? (tabled 23 June 2005) and erratum (tabled 23 June 2005)
Timeshare: The price of leisure (tabled 5 September 2005)
Statutory oversight of the Australian Securities and Investments Commission (presented to the Deputy President on 19 December 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 7 February 2006)

Economics Legislation Committee

Portfolios
Treasury; Industry, Tourism and Resources

Members
Senator Brandis (Chair), Senator Stephens (Deputy Chair), Senators Chapman, Murray, Watson and Webber

Substitute member
Matters relating to the Resources portfolio—Senator Allison to replace Senator Murray

Participating members

Current inquiries
Reports presented

Annual reports (No. 2 of 2004), September 2004 (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Ferguson, on 1 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)

Provisions of the Tax Laws Amendment (Superannuation Reporting) Bill 2004 (tabled 7 December 2004)


Budget estimates 2005-06, June 2005 (tabled 20 June 2005)


Annual reports (No. 2 of 2005), November 2005 (tabled 10 November 2005)


Annual reports (No. 1 of 2006), March 2006 (tabled 30 March 2006)

Provisions of the Petroleum Retail Legislation Repeal Bill 2006—Interim report (presented to the Deputy President on 2 May 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 9 May 2006)


Provisions of the Customs Amendment (Fuel Tax Reform and Other Measures) Bill 2006 and three related bills—Interim report (presented to the Deputy President on 7 June 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 13 June 2006)


* Provisions of the Customs Amendment (Fuel Tax Reform and Other Measures) Bill 2006, the Customs Tariff Amendment (Fuel Tax Reform and Other Measures) Bill 2006, the Excise Laws Amendment (Fuel Tax Reform and Other Measures) Bill 2006 and the Excise Tariff Amendment (Fuel Tax Reform and Other Measures) Bill 2006 (presented to the President on 14 June 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7))
Economics References Committee
Members
Senator Stephens (Chair), Senator Brandis (Deputy Chair), Senators Chapman, Lundy, Murray and Webber
Substitute member
Matters relating to the Resources portfolio—Senator Allison to replace Senator Murray
Participating members
Report presented
Consenting adults deficits and household debt: Links between Australia’s current account deficit, the demand for imported goods and household debt (tabled 13 October 2005)

Electoral Matters—Joint Standing Committee
(appointed 18 November 2004)
Members
Mr Lindsay (Chair), Senators Brandis, Carr, Hogg, Mason and Murray and Mr Ciobo, Mr Danby, Mr Griffin and Ms Panopoulos
Current inquiry
Civics and electoral education (referred 24 March 2006)
Reports presented
The 2004 federal election—Report of the inquiry into the conduct of the 2004 federal election and matters related thereto (tabled 10 October 2005) and corrigendum (tabled 9 November 2005)
Funding and disclosure: Inquiry into disclosure of donations to political parties and candidates (presented to the President on 31 March 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 9 May 2006)

Employment, Workplace Relations and Education Legislation Committee
Portfolios
Employment and Workplace Relations; Education, Science and Training
Members
Senator Troeth (Chair), Senator Marshall (Deputy Chair), Senators Barnett, George Campbell, Johnston and Stott Despoja
Substitute members
Matters relating to the Schools and Training portfolio—Senator Allison to replace Senator Stott Despoja
Matters relating to the Workplace Relations portfolio—Senator Murray to replace Senator Stott Despoja
Participating members

Reports presented
Provisions of the Higher Education Legislation Amendment Bill (No. 3) 2004 (presented to the President on 31 August 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004) and a supplementary report from the Australian Democrats (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Ferguson, on 2 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Annual reports (No. 2 of 2004), September 2004 (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Ferguson, on 1 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Provisions of the Workplace Relations Amendment (Protecting Small Business Employment) Bill 2004—Interim report (presented to the President on 14 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Inquiry into the proposed amendment in the form of Schedule 1B to the Workplace Relations Amendment (Codifying Contempt Offences) Bill 2004—Interim report (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator McLucas, on 27 October 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Workplace Relations Amendment (Agreement Validation) Bill 2004 (tabled 29 November 2004)
Matters not disposed of at the end of the 40th Parliament (tabled 8 December 2004)
Provisions of the Workplace Relations Amendment (Right of Entry) Bill 2004 (tabled 14 March 2005)
Annual reports (No. 1 of 2005), March 2005 (tabled 16 March 2005)
Budget estimates 2005-06, June 2005 (tabled 20 June 2005)
Annual reports (No. 2 of 2005), September 2005 (tabled 8 September 2005)
Provisions of the Workplace Relations Amendment (Work Choices) Bill 2005 (presented to the Deputy President on 22 November 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 28 November 2005)
Annual reports (No. 1 of 2006), March 2006 (tabled 29 March 2006)
Provisions of the Australian Research Council Amendment Bill 2006 (presented to the Deputy President on 2 June 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 13 June 2006)
Provisions of the Australian Technical Colleges (Flexibility in Achieving Australia’s Skills Needs) Amendment Bill 2006 (presented to the Deputy President on 6 June 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 13 June 2006)

Employment, Workplace Relations and Education References Committee

Members
Senator Marshall (Chair), Senator Troeth (Deputy Chair), Senators Barnett, George Campbell, McEwen and Stott Despoja

Substitute members
Matters relating to the Schools and Training portfolio—Senator Allison to replace Senator Stott Despoja
Matters relating to the Workplace Relations portfolio—Senator Murray to replace Senator Stott Despoja

Participating members

Current inquiry
Pacific region seasonal contract labour (referred 7 December 2005; reporting date: 17 August 2006)
Reports presented

Inquiry into lifelong learning—Interim report (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Kirk, on 20 October 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)

Inquiry into Indigenous training and employment—Interim report (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Kirk, on 20 October 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)

Inquiry into student income support—Interim report (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Kirk, on 20 October 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)

Matters not disposed of at the end of the 40th Parliament (tabled 8 December 2004)

Indigenous education funding—Interim report (tabled 16 March 2005)

Unfair dismissal and small business employment (tabled 21 June 2005)

Indigenous education funding—Final report (tabled 22 June 2005) and corrigendum (tabled 23 June 2004)

Student income support (tabled 23 June 2005)

Workplace agreements (presented to the President on 31 October 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 7 November 2005)

Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts Legislation Committee

Portfolios

Environment and Heritage; Communications, Information Technology and the Arts

Members

Senator Eggleston (Chair), Senator Lundy (Deputy Chair), Senators Patterson, Ronaldson, Siewert and Wortley

Participating members


Current inquiry


Reports presented

Annual reports (No. 2 of 2004), September 2004 (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Ferguson, on 1 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)


Annual reports (No. 1 of 2005), March 2005 (tabled 16 March 2005)
Provisions of the Telecommunications Legislation Amendment (Regular Reviews and Other Measures) Bill 2005 (*presented to the Deputy President on 11 May 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 12 May 2005*)

Budget estimates 2005-06, June 2005 (*tabled 20 June 2005*)

Annual reports (No. 2 of 2005), September 2005 (*tabled 8 September 2005*)

Telstra (Transition to Full Private Ownership) Bill 2005 and related bills (*tabled 12 September 2005*)


Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Heritage Protection Amendment Bill 2005 [2006] (*tabled 8 February 2006*)

Additional estimates 2005-06, March 2006 (*tabled 28 March 2006*)

Annual reports (No. 1 of 2006), March 2006 (*tabled 29 March 2006*)

Australian Broadcasting Corporation Amendment Bill 2006 (*presented to the Deputy President on 2 May 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 9 May 2006*)


Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts References Committee

Members
Senator Bartlett (*Chair*), Senator Adams (*Deputy Chair*), Senators Lundy, Marshall, Ronaldson and Wortley

Participating members

Current inquiries
Australia’s national parks (*referred 7 December 2005; reporting date: 30 November 2006*)

Women in sport and recreation in Australia (*referred 29 March 2006; reporting date: first sitting day in September 2006*)

Reports presented
Budgetary and environmental implications of the Government’s energy white paper—Interim report (*presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Ferguson, on 2 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004*)

Matters not disposed of at the end of the 40th Parliament (*tabled 1 December 2004*)

Matters not disposed of at the end of the 40th Parliament (*tabled 8 December 2004*)

Turning back the tide – the invasive species challenge: Report on the regulation, control and management of invasive species and the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Amendment (Invasive Species) Bill 2002 (*tabled 8 December 2004*)

A lost opportunity? Inquiry into the provisions of the Australian Communications and Media Authority Bill 2004 and related bills and matters (*tabled 10 March 2005*)
Budgetary and environmental implications of the Government’s energy white paper—
Interim report (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Brandis, on
18 April 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 11 May 2005)
Lurching forward, looking back: Budgetary and environmental implications of the
Government’s Energy White Paper (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees,
Senator Crossin, on 16 May 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 14 June
2005)
The performance of the Australian telecommunications regulatory regime (tabled
10 August 2005)
Living with salinity – a report on progress: The extent and economic impact of salinity
in Australia (tabled 28 March 2006)

Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee

Portfolios
Parliament; Prime Minister and Cabinet; Finance and Administration; Human Services

Members
Senator Mason (Chair), Senator Murray (Deputy Chair), Senators Brandis,
Carol Brown, Fifield and Forshaw

Participating members
Senators Abetz, Bartlett, Bernardi, Bob Brown, Carr, Chapman, Colbeck, Conroy,
Coonan, Crossin, Eggleston, Evans, Faulkner, Ferguson, Ferris, Fielding,
Fierravanti-Wells, Hogg, Joyce, Ludwig, Lundy, Ian Macdonald, Marshall,
McGauran, Milne, Moore, O’Brien, Parry, Payne, Ray, Sherry, Siewert, Stephens,
Trood, Watson and Webber

Reports presented
Annual reports (No. 2 of 2004), September 2004 (presented to the Temporary Chair of
Committees, Senator Ferguson, on 1 September 2004, pursuant to standing order
38(7); tabled 16 November 2004) and corrigendum (presented to the Temporary Chair
of Committees, Senator McLucas, on 7 September 2004, pursuant to standing order
38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Annual reports (No. 1 of 2005), May 2005 (tabled 10 May 2005)
Budget estimates 2005-06, June 2005 (tabled 20 June 2005)
Annual reports (No. 2 of 2005), September 2005 (tabled 8 September 2005)
Provisions of the Electoral and Referendum Amendment (Electoral Integrity and
Other Measures) Bill 2005 (tabled 28 March 2006) and corrigendum (tabled 9 May
2006)
Annual reports (No. 1 of 2006), March 2006 (tabled 29 March 2006)

Finance and Public Administration References Committee

Members
Senator Forshaw (Chair), Senator Watson (Deputy Chair), Senators Carol Brown,
Fifield, Moore and Murray
Participating members

Reports presented
Inquiry into government advertising and accountability—Interim report (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Brandis, on 3 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Regional Partnerships and Sustainable Regions programs (tabled 6 October 2005)
Matters relating to the Gallipoli Peninsula (tabled 13 October 2005)
Government advertising and accountability (tabled 6 December 2005)

Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade—Joint Standing Committee
(appointed 18 November 2004)

Members
Senator Ferguson (Chair), Senators Bartlett, Crossin, Eggleston, Hutchins, Johnston, Kirk, Moore, Payne, Scullion, Stott Despoja and Webber and Mr Baird, Mr Barresi, Mr Danby, Mrs Draper, Mr Edwards, Mrs Gash, Mr Gibbons, Mr Haase, Mr Hatton, Mr Jull, Mrs Moyalan, Mr Prosser, Mr Scott, Mr Sercombe, Dr Southcott, Mr Snowdon, Mr CP Thompson, Ms Vamvakinou, Mr Wakelin and Mr Wilkie

Current inquiries
Australia’s relations with the Republic of Korea; and developments on the Korean peninsula (referred 7 April 2005)
Australian Defence Force regional air superiority (referred 14 June 2005)
* Australia’s relationship with Malaysia (adopted 14 June 2006)

Reports presented
Expanding Australia’s trade and investment relations with the Gulf States (tabled 7 March 2005)
Australia’s human rights dialogue process (tabled 12 September 2005)
Australia’s free trade agreements with Singapore, Thailand and the United States: progress to date and lessons for the future (tabled 7 November 2005)
Visit to Australian Defence Forces deployed to support the rehabilitation of Iraq – Report of the delegation, 22 to 28 October 2005 (tabled 13 June 2006)
Australia’s defence relations with the United States (tabled 13 June 2006)
Expanding Australia’s trade and investment relations with North America (tabled 13 June 2006)
Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade Legislation Committee

Portfolios
Foreign Affairs and Trade; Defence (including Veterans’ Affairs)

Members
Senator Johnston (Chair), Senator Hutchins (Deputy Chair), Senators Bishop, Ferguson, Payne and Stott Despoja

Participating members

Reports presented
Annual reports (No. 2 of 2004), September 2004 (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Ferguson, on 1 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Annual reports (No. 1 of 2005), March 2005 (tabled 16 March 2005)
Budget estimates 2005-06, June 2005 (tabled 20 June 2005)
Annual reports (No. 2 of 2005), September 2005 (tabled 8 September 2005)
Annual reports (No. 1 of 2006), March 2006 (tabled 29 March 2006)
Provisions of the Australian Trade Commission Legislation Amendment Bill 2006 (presented to the Deputy President on 2 May 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 9 May 2006)

Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade References Committee

Members
Senator Hutchins (Chair), Senator Johnston (Deputy Chair), Senators Bishop, Hogg, Joyce and Stott Despoja

Substitute member
Naval shipbuilding in Australia—Senator Bartlett to replace Senator Stott Despoja

Participating members

Current inquiry
Naval shipbuilding in Australia (referred 10 November 2005; reporting date: last sitting day in 2006)
Reports presented

Inquiry into the effectiveness of Australia’s military justice system—Interim report (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator McLucas, on 8 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)

Matters not disposed of at the end of the 40th Parliament (tabled 6 December 2004)

The effectiveness of Australia’s military justice system (tabled 16 December 2004)

Duties of Australian personnel in Iraq (tabled 18 August 2005)

Mr Chen Yonglin’s request for political asylum (tabled 12 September 2005)

The removal, search for and discovery of Ms Vivian Solon—Interim report (tabled 13 September 2005)

Opportunities and challenges: Australia’s relationship with China (tabled 10 November 2005)

The removal, search for and discovery of Ms Vivian Solon—Final report (tabled 8 December 2005)

China’s emergence: Implications for Australia (tabled 30 March 2006)

House—Standing Committee

Members

The President (Chair), the Deputy President and Senators Carr, Crossin, Ferris, Lightfoot and Stephens

Intelligence and Security—Joint Statutory Committee

(formerly the Parliamentary Joint Committee on ASIO, ASIS and DSD; name amended 2 December 2005 pursuant to item 39 in Part 4 of Schedule 1 of the Intelligence Services Legislation Amendment Act 2005)

Members

Mr Jull (Chair), Senators Faulkner, Ferguson, Nash and Ray and Mr Byrne, Mr Ciobo, Mr Kerr and Mr McArthur

Current inquiries

Review of listings of certain terrorist organisations under the Criminal Code Act 1995 (statutory responsibility)

Review of administration and expenditure no. 4 – recruitment and training (adopted 13 September 2005)

Reports presented

Review of the listing of six terrorist organisations (tabled 7 March 2005)

Review of administration and expenditure for ASIO, ASIS and DSD (tabled 14 March 2005)

Annual report of committee activities 2004-05 (tabled 14 June 2005)

Review of the listing of Tanzim Qa’idat al-jihad fi Bilad al-Rafidayn (the al-Zarqawi network) as a terrorist organisation (tabled 14 June 2005)

Review of the listing of seven terrorist organisations (tabled 9 August 2005)

Review of the listing of four terrorist organisations (tabled 5 September 2005)

Intelligence Services Legislation Amendment Bill 2005 (tabled 12 September 2005)
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Review of the listing of the Kurdistan Workers’ Party (PKK) (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Brandis, on 26 April 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 9 May 2006)

Legal and Constitutional Legislation Committee

Portfolio
Attorney-General; Immigration and Multicultural Affairs

Members
Senator Payne (Chair), Senator Crossin (Deputy Chair), Senators Bartlett, Kirk, Mason and Scullion

Substitute member
Matters relating to the Attorney-General’s portfolio—Senator Stott Despoja to replace Senator Bartlett

Participating members

Current inquiry
Provisions of the Corporations (Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander) Bill 2005
(referred 7 September 2005; reporting date: 14 September 2006)

Reports presented
Annual reports (No. 2 of 2004), September 2004 (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Ferguson, on 1 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Marriage Amendment Bill 2004 (presented to the President on 6 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Provisions of the Criminal Code Amendment (Suicide Related Material Offences) Bill 2004 (presented to the President on 6 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Copyright Legislation Amendment Bill 2004 (tabled 7 December 2004)
Disability Discrimination Amendment (Education Standards) Bill 2004 (tabled 8 December 2004)
Annual reports (No. 1 of 2005), March 2005 (tabled 16 March 2005)
Provisions of the Migration Litigation Reform Bill 2005 (presented to the Deputy President on 11 May 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 12 May 2005)
Provisions of the National Security Information Legislation Amendment Bill 2005 (presented to the Deputy President on 11 May 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 12 May 2005)
Crimes Legislation Amendment (Telecommunications Interception and Other Measures) Bill 2005 (presented to the Deputy President on 17 June 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 20 June 2005)

Budget estimates 2005-06, June 2005 (tabled 20 June 2005)

Provisions of the Copyright Amendment (Film Directors’ Rights) Bill 2005 (tabled 10 August 2005)

Provisions of the Law and Justice Legislation Amendment (Serious Drug Offences and Other Measures) Bill 2005 (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Kirk, on 15 August 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 August 2005)

Annual reports (No. 2 of 2005), September 2005 (tabled 8 September 2005)

Provisions of the Law and Justice Legislation Amendment (Video Link Evidence and Other Measures) Bill 2005 (presented to the Deputy President on 1 November 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 7 November 2005)

Provisions of the Anti-Terrorism Bill (No. 2) 2005 (tabled 28 November 2005)

Defence Legislation Amendment (Aid to Civilian Authorities) Bill 2005 (tabled 7 February 2006)


Provisions of the Family Law Amendment (Shared Parental Responsibility) Bill 2005 (presented to the Deputy President on 24 March 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 27 March 2006)


Annual reports (No. 1 of 2006), March 2006 (tabled 29 March 2006)

Exposure draft of the Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorism Financing Bill 2005 (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Brandis, on 13 April 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 9 May 2006)

Provisions of the Customs Legislation Amendment (Border Compliance and Other Measures) Bill 2006—Interim report (presented to the Deputy President on 2 May 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 9 May 2006)

Provisions of the Federal Magistrates Amendment (Disability and Death Benefits) Bill 2006 (presented to the Deputy President on 2 May 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 9 May 2006)

Migration Amendment (Employer Sanctions) Bill 2006 (presented to the Deputy President on 2 May 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 9 May 2006)

Provisions of the Customs Legislation Amendment (Border Compliance and Other Measures) Bill 2006 (presented to the Deputy President on 4 May 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 9 May 2006)


Provisions of the Migration Amendment (Designated Unauthorised Arrivals) Bill 2006 (tabled 13 June 2006)
Legal and Constitutional References Committee

Members

Senator Crossin (Chair), Senator Fieravanti-Wells (Deputy Chair), Senators Bartlett, Joyce, Kirk and Ludwig

Participating members


Current inquiry

Indigenous workers whose paid labour was controlled by Government (referred 13 June 2006; reporting date: last sitting day in 2006)

Reports presented

The road to a republic (presented to the President on 31 August 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)

Inquiry into Australian expatriates—Interim report (presented to the President on 1 October 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)

Matters not disposed of at the end of the 40th Parliament (tabled 6 December 2004)

They still call Australia home: Inquiry into Australian expatriates (tabled 8 March 2005)

The real Big Brother: Inquiry into the Privacy Act 1988 (tabled 23 June 2005)

Administration and operation of the Migration Act 1958 (Cth)—Interim report (presented to the Deputy President on 21 December 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 7 February 2006)

Administration and operation of the Migration Act 1958 (tabled 2 March 2006)

Library—Standing Committee

Members

The President (Chair) and Senators Allison, Brandis, Hutchins, Nash, Trood and Webber

Lindeberg Grievance—Select Committee

(appointed 1 April 2004; final report tabled 16 November 2004)

Report presented

Report (presented to the Deputy President on 15 November 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)

Mental Health—Select Committee


Members

Leader of the Australian Democrats (Chair), Senator Humphries (Deputy Chair) and Senators Forshaw, Moore, Scullion, Troeth and Webber
Reports presented

A national approach to mental health – from crisis to community—First report (tabled 30 March 2006)

A national approach to mental health – from crisis to community—Final report (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Brandis, on 28 April 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 9 May 2006)

________________________

**Migration—Joint Standing Committee**

(appointed 18 November 2004)

Members

Mr Randall (*Chair*), Senator Kirk (*Deputy Chair*), Senators Bartlett, Eggleston and Parry and Mr L Ferguson, Mrs Irwin, Mr Keenan, Dr Lawrence and Dr Southcott

Current inquiry

Skills recognition, upgrading and licensing (*referred 19 April 2005*)

Reports presented

- Inspections of Baxter Immigration Detention Facility and Port Augusta Residential Housing Project, April 2005 (*tabled 22 June 2005*)

________________________

**National Capital and External Territories—Joint Standing Committee**

(appointed 18 November 2004)

Members

Senator Lightfoot (*Chair*), Senator Lundy (*Deputy Chair*), the Deputy President and Chairman of Committees, the Deputy Speaker, and Senators Carr, Joyce and Stott Despoja and Mrs AL Ellis, Mr Neville, Ms Panopoulos, Mr Snowdon and Mr Secker

Reports presented

- Indian Ocean territories: Review of the annual reports of the Department of Transport and Regional Services and the Department of the Environment and Heritage (*presented to the President on 31 August 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004*)
- Difficult choices: Inquiry into the role of the National Capital Authority in determining the extent of redevelopment of the Pierces Creek Settlement in the ACT (*presented to the President on 31 August 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004*)
- Antarctica: Australia’s pristine frontier—Report on the adequacy of funding for Australia’s Antarctic Program (*tabled 23 June 2005*)
- Norfolk Island financial sustainability: The challenge – sink or swim (*tabled 1 December 2005*)
- Current and future governance arrangements for the Indian Ocean Territories (*tabled 13 June 2006*)

________________________
Native Title and the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Land Account—Joint Statutory Committee

(in accordance with the Extension of Sunset of Parliamentary Joint Committee on Native Title Act 2004, the committee ceased operation on 23 March 2006; name amended 22 February 2005 pursuant to items 208 and 210 in Part 2 of Schedule 1 of the Financial Framework Legislation Amendment Act 2005)

Members

Senator Scullion (Chair), Mr McMullan (Deputy Chair), Senators Crossin, Evans, Johnston and Siewert and Mr Melham, Mr Randall, Mr Slipper and Mr Tollner

Reports presented

Examination of annual reports in fulfilment of the committee’s duties pursuant to s.206(c) of the Native Title Act 1993—

2003-04 (tabled 23 June 2005)

2004-05 (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Brandis, on 21 March 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 27 March 2006)

Report on the operation of Native Title Representative Bodies (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Brandis, on 21 March 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 27 March 2006)

Parliamentary Library—Joint Standing Committee

(appointed 7 December 2005)

Members

Senators Allison, Brandis, Hutchins, Nash, Trood and Webber and Mr Adams, Mr Broadbent, Mr Georgiou, Mr Hatton, Mr BP O’Connor, Mr Wakelin and Mr Anderson

Privileges—Standing Committee

Members

Senator Faulkner (Chair), Senator Ronaldson (Deputy Chair), Senators Humphries, Johnston, Payne, Ray and Sherry

Reports presented

120th report—Possible unauthorised disclosure of private deliberations or draft report of Select Committee on the Free Trade Agreement between Australia and the United States of America (tabled 8 March 2005)

121st report—Possible unauthorised disclosure of draft reports of Community Affairs References Committee (tabled 15 March 2005)

122nd report—Parliamentary privilege – unauthorised disclosure of committee proceedings (tabled 21 June 2005)

123rd report—Possible failure by a senator to comply with the Senate’s resolution relating to registration of interests (tabled 5 October 2005)

124th report—Person referred to in the Senate (Professor David Peetz) (tabled 6 December 2005)
125th report—Parliamentary privilege: Precedents, procedures and practice in the Australian Senate 1966-2005 (presented to the Deputy President on 19 December 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 7 February 2006)

126th report—Person referred to in the Senate (Professor Barbara Pocock) (tabled 27 February 2006)

Procedure—Standing Committee

Members

The Deputy President (Chair), the President, the Leader of the Government in the Senate, the Leader of the Opposition in the Senate and Senators Bartlett, Eggleston, Ellison, Faulkner, Ferguson and Ray

Current inquiry

Adequacy and appropriateness of the Register of Senators’ Interests (referred 20 June 2005)

Reports presented

First report of 2005—Storage of Senate documents; Unauthorised disclosure of committee proceedings (presented to the President on 20 September 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 5 October 2005)

Second report of 2005—Declaration of interests: registration of Senators’ share tradings; Unanswered questions and orders for documents: proposed amendments of standing orders 74(5) and 164; Repeated motions for suspension of standing orders: ruling of the President of 14 September 2005 (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Moore, on 28 October 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 7 November 2005)

Public Accounts and Audit—Joint Statutory Committee

Members

Mr ADH Smith (Chairman), Senators Bishop†, Hogg, Humphries, Murray, Nash and Watson and Mrs BK Bishop, Mr Broadbent, Mr Emerson, Ms Grierson, Dr Jensen, Ms JM Kelly, Ms King, Dr Laming and Mr Tanner

†Senator Bishop to be discharged at the completion of the committee’s inquiry into the financial reporting and equipment acquisition at the Department of Defence and Defence Materiel Organisation with Senator Moore to be reappointed in his place

Current inquiries

Certain taxation matters (adopted 7 December 2005)

Financial reporting and equipment acquisition at the Department of Defence and Defence Materiel Organisation (adopted 1 March 2006)

Reports presented

Nomination of a new Commonwealth Auditor-General, pursuant to subsection 8A(7) of the Public Accounts and Audit Committee Act 1951 (statement made, by way of a report, 10 March 2005)

Report 403—Access of Indigenous Australians to law and justice services (tabled 22 June 2005)

No. 84—15 June 2006


Committee documents presented

Public Works—Joint Statutory Committee

Members
Mrs Moylan (Chairman), Senators Forshaw, Parry and Troeth and Mr Forrest, Mr Jenkins, Mr BP O’Connor, Mr Ripoll and Mr Wakelin

Reports presented
Development of land at Lee Point, Darwin, for defence and private housing (Fifth report of 2004) (tabled 8 December 2004)
Fit-out of new leased premises for the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet at 1 National Circuit, Barton, ACT (Sixth report of 2004) (tabled 8 December 2004)
Fit-out of new leased premises for the Attorney-General’s Department at 3-5 National Circuit, Barton, ACT (Seventh report of 2004) (tabled 8 December 2004)
New east building for the Australian War Memorial, Canberra, ACT (Eighth report of 2004) (tabled 8 December 2004)
Fit-out of new leased premises for the Department of Industry, Tourism and Resources in Civic, ACT (First report of 2005) (tabled 16 March 2005)
New housing for Defence Housing Authority at McDowall, Brisbane, Queensland (Second report of 2005) (tabled 14 June 2005)
Provision of facilities for Maribyrnong Immigration Detention Centre additional accommodation and related works, Maribyrnong, Victoria (Third report of 2005) (tabled 14 June 2005)
Defence Science and Technology Organisation Ordnance Breakdown Facility, Port Wakefield, South Australia (Fifth report of 2005) (tabled 14 June 2005)
Mid-life upgrade of existing chancery at the Australian High Commission, Singapore (Seventh report of 2005) (tabled 22 June 2005)
Reserve Bank of Australia business resumption site (Tenth report of 2005) (tabled 22 June 2005)
Holsworthy program – Special operations working accommodation and base redevelopment stage 1 (Eleventh report of 2005) (tabled 18 August 2005)
Operational upgrade, Darwin Detention Facility, Berrimah, NT (Thirteenth report of 2005) (tabled 18 August 2005)
Redevelopment of Kokoda Barracks, Canungra, Queensland (Fifteenth report of 2005) (tabled 18 August 2005)
Refurbishment of the Royal Australian Mint, Canberra, ACT (Seventeenth report of 2005) (tabled 12 October 2005)
RAAF Base Amberley redevelopment stage 2, Queensland (Eighteenth report of 2005) (tabled 7 November 2005)
CSIRO minerals laboratory extensions at Waterford, Perth, WA (Twentieth report of 2005) (tabled 9 November 2005)
Fit-out of new leased premises for the Australian Customs Service at 1010 Latrobe Street, Melbourne Docklands (Twenty-second report of 2005) (tabled 7 December 2005)
Construction of Chancery, Phnom Penh, Cambodia (First report of 2006) (tabled 27 February 2006)
Fit-out of an extension to leased premises for IP Australia in Woden, ACT (Fourth report of 2006) (tabled 29 March 2006)
Redevelopment of Post 1945 Conflicts Galleries and Discovery Room for the Australian War Memorial, Canberra, ACT (Fifth report of 2006) (tabled 29 March 2006)
Fit-out of new leased premises for the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry in Civic, ACT (Sixth report of 2006) (tabled 10 May 2006)
Fit-out of new leased premises for the Australian Taxation Office at the site known as Section 84, Precincts B and C, Canberra City, ACT (Seventh report of 2006) (tabled 10 May 2006)
* HMAS Cairns redevelopment, Cairns, Queensland (Ninth report of 2006) (tabled 14 June 2006)
Publications—Standing Committee

Members
Senator Watson (Chair), Senators Johnston, Marshall, Nash, Polley, Sterle and Wortley

Reports presented
1st report (tabled 9 December 2004)
2nd report (tabled 17 March 2005)
3rd report (tabled 12 May 2005)
4th report (tabled 23 June 2005)
5th report (tabled 18 August 2005)
6th report (tabled 15 September 2005)
7th report (tabled 13 October 2005)
8th report (tabled 10 November 2005)
9th report (tabled 8 December 2005)
10th report (tabled 2 March 2006)
11th report (tabled 30 March 2006)
12th report (tabled 11 May 2006)
Distribution of the Parliamentary Papers series (tabled 13 June 2006)

Regulations and Ordinances—Legislative Scrutiny Standing Committee

Members
Senator Watson (Chairman), Senators Bartlett, Carol Brown, Fierravanti-Wells, Mason and Wortley

Report presented

Documents presented
Ministerial correspondence relating to the scrutiny of delegated legislation, February to December 2004 (tabled 9 March 2005)
Ministerial correspondence relating to the scrutiny of delegated legislation, December 2004 to June 2005 (tabled 10 November 2005)
Ministerial correspondence relating to the scrutiny of delegated legislation, May to December 2005 (tabled 2 March 2006)

Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport Legislation Committee

Portfolios
Transport and Regional Services; Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Members
Senator Heffernan (Chair), Senator McEwen (Deputy Chair), Senators Ferris, Milne, Nash and Sterle
Participating members


Current inquiries

The administration by the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry of the citrus canker outbreak (adopted under standing order 25(2)(b), 27 May 2005)
National Animal Welfare Bill 2005 (referred 22 June 2005; reporting date: last sitting day in June 2006)

Reports presented

Annual reports (No. 2 of 2004), including final report on the administration of the Civil Aviation Safety Authority, September 2004 (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Ferguson, on 1 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Provisions of the Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry Legislation Amendment Bill (No. 2) 2004 (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Ferguson, on 2 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Provisions of the National Animal Welfare Bill 2003—Interim report (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Watson, on 8 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Matters not disposed of at the end of the 40th Parliament (tabled 1 December 2004)
Annual reports (No. 1 of 2005), March 2005 (tabled 16 March 2005)
Administration of Biosecurity Australia – Revised draft import risk analysis for bananas from the Philippines (tabled 17 March 2005)
Administration of Biosecurity Australia – Revised draft import risk analysis for apples from New Zealand (tabled 17 March 2005)
Budget estimates 2005-06, June 2005 (tabled 20 June 2005)
Civil Aviation Legislation Amendment (Mutual Recognition with New Zealand) Bill 2005 (tabled 5 September 2005)
Annual reports (No. 2 of 2005), September 2005 (tabled 8 September 2005)
Annual reports (No. 1 of 2006), May 2006 (tabled 10 May 2006)
Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport References Committee

Members
Senator Siewert (Chair), Senator Heffernan (Deputy Chair), Senators McEwen, Nash, O’Brien and Sterle

Participating members

Current inquiries
Water policy initiatives (referred 14 September 2005; reporting date: last sitting day in June 2006)
Australia’s future oil supply (referred 29 November 2005; reporting date: 19 October 2006)

Reports presented
Australian forest plantations: A review of Plantations for Australia: The 2020 Vision (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Ferguson, on 2 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004) and corrigendum (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Brandis, on 3 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004) and further corrigenda (tabled 8 December 2004)
Iraqi wheat debt – repayments for wheat growers (tabled 16 June 2005)
The operation of the wine-making industry (tabled 13 October 2005)

Scrafton Evidence—Select Committee

(appointed 30 August 2004; reappointed 18 November 2004; final report tabled 9 December 2004)

Members
Senator Collins (Chair), Senator Brandis (Deputy Chair), Senators Bartlett, Faulkner and Ferguson

Report presented
Report (tabled 9 December 2004)

Scrutiny of Bills—Legislative Scrutiny Standing Committee

Members
Senator Ray (Chairman), Senator Mason (Deputy Chairman), Senators Barnett, Johnston, McEwen and Murray

Current inquiry
Entry, search and seizure provisions in Commonwealth legislation (referred 25 March 2004; readopted 29 November 2004)

Alert Digests presented
No. 11 of 2004 (tabled 1 December 2004)
No. 12 of 2004 (tabled 8 December 2004)
No. 1 of 2005 (tabled 9 February 2005)
No. 2 of 2005 (tabled 9 March 2005)
No. 3 of 2005 (tabled 16 March 2005)
No. 4 of 2005 (tabled 12 May 2005)
No. 5 of 2005 (presented to the Deputy President on 1 June 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 14 June 2005)
No. 6 of 2005 (tabled 15 June 2005)
No. 7 of 2005 (tabled 22 June 2005)
No. 8 of 2005 (tabled 10 August 2005)
No. 9 of 2005 (tabled 18 August 2005)
No. 10 of 2005 (tabled 7 September 2005)
No. 11 of 2005 (tabled 14 September 2005)
No. 12 of 2005 (tabled 5 October 2005)
No. 13 of 2005 (tabled 9 November 2005)
No. 14 of 2005 (tabled 30 November 2005)
No. 15 of 2005 (tabled 7 December 2005)
No. 1 of 2006 (tabled 8 February 2006)
No. 2 of 2006 (tabled 1 March 2006)
No. 3 of 2006 (tabled 29 March 2006)
No. 4 of 2006 (tabled 10 May 2006)
* No. 5 of 2006 (tabled 14 June 2006)

Reports presented

Matters not disposed of at the end of the 40th Parliament (tabled 29 November 2004)
Eleventh report of 2004 (tabled 1 December 2004)
Twelfth report of 2004 (tabled 8 December 2004)
First report of 2005 (tabled 9 February 2005)
Third report of 2005 (tabled 16 March 2005)
Fourth report of 2005 (tabled 12 May 2005)
Fifth report of 2005 (tabled 15 June 2005)
Sixth report of 2005 (tabled 22 June 2005)
Seventh report of 2005 (tabled 10 August 2005)
Eighth report of 2005 (tabled 18 August 2005)
Tenth report of 2005: [including: Retrospectivity—Scrutiny of Bills Committee Practice] (tabled 14 September 2005)
Eleventh report of 2005 (tabled 5 October 2005)
Twelfth report of 2005 (tabled 12 October 2005)
Thirteenth report of 2005 (tabled 30 November 2005)
First report of 2006 (tabled 1 March 2006)
Second report of 2006 (tabled 29 March 2006)
Selection of Bills—Standing Committee

Members

The Government Whip (Chair), the Opposition Whip, the Australian Democrats Whip, the Nationals Whip, the Australian Greens Whip and Senators Eggleston, Ellison, Ludwig and Webber

Reports presented

Report no. 12 of 2004 (presented 1 December 2004)
Report no. 13 of 2004 (presented 6 December 2004)
Report no. 14 of 2004 (presented 8 December 2004)
Report no. 1 of 2005 (presented 9 February 2005)
Report no. 3 of 2005 (presented 16 March 2005)
Report no. 4 of 2005 (presented 11 May 2005)
Report no. 5 of 2005 (presented 15 June 2005)
Report no. 6 of 2005 (presented 22 June 2005)
Report no. 7 of 2005 (presented 10 August 2005)
Report no. 8 of 2005 (presented 17 August 2005)
Report no. 9 of 2005 (presented 7 September 2005)
Report no. 10 of 2005 (presented 14 September 2005)
Report no. 11 of 2005 (presented 5 October 2005)
Report no. 12 of 2005 (presented 12 October 2005)
Report no. 13 of 2005 (presented 9 November 2005)
Report no. 15 of 2005 (presented 8 December 2005)
Report no. 1 of 2006 (presented 8 February 2006)
Report no. 2 of 2006 (presented 1 March 2006)
Report no. 3 of 2006 (presented 30 March 2006)
Report no. 4 of 2006 (presented 11 May 2006)
* Report no. 5 of 2006 (presented 14 June 2006)

Senators’ Interests—Standing Committee

Members

Senator Webber (Chair), Senator Lightfoot (Deputy Chair), Senators Allison, Forshaw, Humphries, Kirk, McEwen and Nash

Notifications of alterations of interests

Register of senators’ interests, incorporating a statement of interests and notifications of alterations of interests of senators lodged between 19 June and 6 December 2004 (tabled 8 December 2004)
Register of senators’ interests, incorporating statements of interests and notifications of alterations of interests of senators lodged between 7 December 2004 and 20 June 2005 (tabled 22 June 2005)
Register of senators’ interests, incorporating registrable statements of interests and notifications of alterations of interests of senators lodged between 21 June and 12 September 2005—Volumes 1 (A–L) and 2 (M–Z) (tabled 15 September 2005)
Register of senators’ interests, incorporating statements of registrable interests and notifications of alterations of interests of senators lodged between 13 September 2005 and 5 December 2005 (tabled 7 December 2005)
Reports presented

Report 2/2006: Review of arrangements for registration of senators’ interests
(presented to the Deputy President on 6 April 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 9 May 2006)

Treaties—Joint Standing Committee
(appointed 18 November 2004)

Members
Dr Southcott (Chair), Senators Bartlett, Carol Brown, Mason, McGauran, Sterle, Trood and Wortley and Mr Adams, Mr Johnson, Mr Keenan, Mrs May, Ms Panopoulos, Mr Ripoll, Mr Scott and Mr Wilkie

Reports presented

Report 63—Treaties tabled on 7 December 2004 (tabled 7 March 2005)
Report 64—Treaties tabled on 7 December 2004 (2) (tabled 11 May 2005)
Report 65—Treaties tabled on 7 December 2004 (3) and 8 February 2005 (tabled 20 June 2005)
Proposed agreement between Australia and the United States of America (US) relating to the surrender of US nationals to the International Criminal Court (statement made, by way of a report, 20 June 2005)
Report 68—Treaties tabled on 7 December 2004 (5) and 9 August 2005 (tabled 7 November 2005)
Report 70—Treaty tabled on 9 November 2005 (tabled 7 December 2005)
SENATE APPOINTMENTS TO STATUTORY AUTHORITIES

Advisory Council on Australian Archives
Senator Faulkner (reappointed 20 June 2005, with effect from 27 June 2005, for a period of 3 years).

Council of the National Library of Australia
Senator Brandis (appointed 14 March 2005 for a period of 3 years).

Parliamentary Retiring Allowances Trust
Senators Faulkner and Watson (appointed 1 July 2005 and 10 February 1994, respectively).

HARRY EVANS
Clerk of the Senate
### MINISTERIAL REPRESENTATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minister</th>
<th>Representing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator the Honourable Nicholas Minchin (Nick)</strong></td>
<td>Prime Minister</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Finance and Administration</td>
<td>Treasurer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leader of the Government in the Senate</td>
<td>Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vice-President of the Executive Council</td>
<td>Minister for Small Business and Tourism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator the Honourable Helen Coonan</strong></td>
<td>Minister for Trade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts</td>
<td>Minister for Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy Leader of the Government in the Senate</td>
<td>Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator the Honourable Amanda Vanstone</strong></td>
<td>Minister for Education, Science and Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs</td>
<td>Minister for Vocational and Technical Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator the Honourable Ian Campbell</strong></td>
<td>Minister for Transport and Regional Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for the Environment and Heritage</td>
<td>Minister for Defence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator the Honourable Christopher Ellison (Chris)</strong></td>
<td>Minister for Local Government, Territories and Roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Justice and Customs</td>
<td>Minister for Veterans’ Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manager of Government Business in the Senate</td>
<td>Attorney-General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator the Honourable Charles Kemp (Rod)</strong></td>
<td>Minister for Families, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for the Arts and Sport</td>
<td>Minister for Community Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator the Honourable Eric Abetz</strong></td>
<td>Minister for Human Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Fisheries, Forestry and Conservation</td>
<td>Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator the Honourable Santo Santoro</strong></td>
<td>Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Ageing</td>
<td>Minister for Workforce Participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parliamentary Secretaries</strong></td>
<td>Special Minister of State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator the Honourable Richard Colbeck</strong></td>
<td>Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator the Honourable John Macdonald (Sandy)</strong></td>
<td>Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Defence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In those instances where Senators prefer to be known by other than their first name, the preferred name is underlined.*
A GUIDE TO THE NOTICE PAPER

The Notice Paper is issued each sitting day and contains details of current business before the Senate. Its structure is based on four main types of business, as follows:

- **Matters of privilege** take precedence over all other business and are listed at the beginning of the Notice Paper when they arise. They consist of notices of motion which the President has determined warrant such precedence and any orders relating to uncompleted debates on such motions.

- **Business of the Senate** has precedence over government and general business for the day on which it is listed. It includes disallowance motions, orders of the day for the presentation of committee reports, motions to refer matters to standing committees, motions for leave of absence for a senator and motions concerning the qualification of a senator.

- **Government business** is business initiated by a minister. It takes precedence over general business except for a period of 2½ hours each week set aside on Thursdays for general business.

- **General business** is all other business initiated by senators who are not ministers. It takes precedence over government business only as described above.

Within each of these categories, business consists of notices of motion and orders of the day:

- **Notices of motion** are statements of intention that senators intend to move particular motions on the days indicated. They are entered on the Notice Paper in the order given and may be given jointly by two or more senators. Notices of motion are usually considered before orders of the day.

- **Orders of the day** are items of business which the Senate has ordered to be considered on particular days, usually arising from adjourned debates on matters (including legislation) or requirements to present committee reports.

On days other than Thursdays, the Notice Paper records in full current items of business of the Senate and government business, but includes only new items of general business from the previous sitting day. On Thursdays, business relating to the consideration of government documents, committee reports and government responses to committee reports is also published.

Other sections in the Notice Paper are as follows:

- **Orders of the day relating to committee reports and government responses** follows government business and lists orders of the day for adjourned debates on motions to consider or adopt committee reports and government responses which have been presented during the week. These orders may be considered for one hour on Thursdays at the conclusion of general business. New items appear in the following day’s Notice Paper. The section is printed in full on Thursdays.

- **Orders of the day relating to government documents** appears in general business and lists orders of the day for adjourned debates on motions to take note of government documents. Such orders arise from consideration of the government documents presented on a particular day and include consideration of any documents not reached on the day. They are also listed for consideration for one hour on Thursdays during the consideration of general business. New items appear in the following day’s Notice Paper. The section is printed in full on Thursdays.
Business for future consideration lists any notice of motion or order of the day to be considered on a specific day in the future; for example, a committee report ordered to be presented on a specific date, or a notice of motion given for a day other than the next day of sitting.

Bills referred to committees lists all bills or provisions of bills currently being considered by committees.

Questions on notice includes the text of new questions on notice and lists the numbers of unanswered questions.

Orders of the Senate includes orders of short-term duration such as orders for production of documents and those relating to days of sitting for a period of sittings.

Contingent notices of motion are statements of intention by senators that, contingent on a specified occurrence, they may move a motion, usually to suspend standing orders. They are grouped by subject.

Temporary chairs of committees is a daily list of all senators appointed to take the chair in the absence of the President or Deputy President.

Categories of committees is a daily list, categorised by type, of Senate and joint committees. Details of each committee appear in the committee section.

Committees lists all of Senate and joint committees, including membership, current inquiries and reports presented on or since the previous sitting day.

Senate appointments to statutory authorities lists the statutory authorities on which the Senate is represented and details of representation.

Ministerial representation lists Senate ministers and the portfolios they represent.

The ‘full’ Notice Paper

On the first day of each period of sittings a full Notice Paper is printed listing all outstanding business before the Senate, including the full text of all unresolved notices of motion and unanswered questions on notice. This edition is a complete reference to unresolved business from earlier in the session and is useful to keep. All business before the Senate is published daily in the full online version of the Notice Paper, available on ParlInfo and on the Senate’s Internet site at aph.gov.au/senate.

Inquiries concerning the Notice Paper or business listed in it may be directed to the Senate Table Office on (02) 6277 5018.

Printed by authority of the Senate