The Senate meets at 12.30 pm
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Notifications prefixed by an (*) appear for the first time.
BUSINESS OF THE SENATE

Orders of the Day

1 Employment, Workplace Relations and Education Legislation Committee
   Report to be presented on the provisions of the OHS and SRC Legislation Amendment Bill 2005. (Referred pursuant to Selection of Bills Committee report.)

*2 Community Affairs Legislation Committee
   Report to be presented on the provisions of the Health and Other Services (Compensation) Amendment Bill 2006. (Referred pursuant to Selection of Bills Committee report.)

*3 Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts Legislation Committee
   Report to be presented on the provisions of the Renewable Energy (Electricity) Amendment Bill 2006. (Referred pursuant to Selection of Bills Committee report.)

GOVERNMENT BUSINESS

Orders of the Day

1 Australian Broadcasting Corporation Amendment Bill 2006—(Senate bill)—(Minister for Finance and Administration, Senator Minchin)
   Second reading—Adjourned debate (29 March 2006).

2 National Health and Medical Research Council Amendment Bill 2006—(Senate bill)—(Minister for Finance and Administration, Senator Minchin)
   Second reading—Adjourned debate (29 March 2006).

3 Superannuation Legislation Amendment (Trustee Board and Other Measures) Bill 2006—(Senate bill)—(Minister for Finance and Administration, Senator Minchin)
   Second reading—Adjourned debate (29 March 2006).

4 Student Assistance Legislation Amendment Bill 2005—(Minister for the Arts and Sport, Senator Kemp)
   Second reading—Adjourned debate (adjourned, Senator Kemp, 27 February 2006).

5 Superannuation Legislation Amendment Bill 2004—(Minister for Justice and Customs, Senator Ellison)
   Second reading—Adjourned debate (adjourned, Senator George Campbell, 2 December 2004).
Second reading—Adjourned debate (23 June 2005).

7 Civil Aviation Legislation Amendment (Mutual Recognition with New Zealand) Bill 2005 [2006]—(Senate bill)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (23 June 2005).

8 Migration Amendment (Employer Sanctions) Bill 2006—(Senate bill)—(Minister for Finance and Administration, Senator Minchin)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (23 June 2005).

9 Health Insurance Amendment (Medical Specialists) Bill 2005—(Minister for Finance and Administration, Senator Minchin)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (29 March 2006).

10 Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Heritage Protection Amendment Bill 2005 [2006]—(Senate bill)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (12 October 2005).

11 Occupational Health and Safety (Commonwealth Employment) Amendment Bill 2005—(Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration, Senator Colbeck)

12 Broadcasting Services Amendment (Subscription Television Drama and Community Broadcasting Licences) Bill 2006—(Senate bill)—(Minister for Justice and Customs, Senator Ellison)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (1 March 2006).

13 Broadcasting Legislation Amendment Bill (No. 1) 2005 [2006]—(Senate bill)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (23 June 2005).

14 Trade Practices Amendment (National Access Regime) Bill 2006—(Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Defence, Senator Sandy Macdonald)

15 Occupational Health and Safety (Commonwealth Employment) Amendment (Promoting Safer Workplaces) Bill 2005—(Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration, Senator Colbeck)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (8 December 2005).

16 Sex Discrimination Amendment (Teaching Profession) Bill 2004—(Minister for Fisheries, Forestry and Conservation, Senator Abetz)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (adjourned, Senator Abetz, 14 June 2005).

17 Superannuation Legislation Amendment (Superannuation Safety and Other Measures) Bill 2005—(Minister for Finance and Administration, Senator Minchin)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (adjourned, Senator Minchin, 18 August 2005).
18 Budget statement and documents 2005-06
Adjourned debate on the motion of the Minister for Finance and Administration (Senator Minchin)—That the Senate take note of the statement and documents (adjourned, Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration (Senator Colbeck), 12 May 2005).

ORDERS OF THE DAY RELATING TO COMMITTEE REPORTS AND GOVERNMENT RESPONSES AND AUDITOR-GENERAL’S REPORTS

Orders of the Day relating to Committee Reports and Government Responses

Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Ray—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Ray, in continuation, 30 March 2006).

*2 Community Affairs References Committee—Report—Response to the petition on gynaecological health issues
Adjourned debate on the motion of the chair of the committee (Senator Moore)—That the Senate take note of the report (Senator Moore, in continuation, 30 March 2006).

*3 Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade References Committee—Report—China’s emergence: Implications for Australia
Adjourned debate on the motion of the chair of the committee (Senator Hutchins)—That the Senate take note of the report (Senator Hutchins, in continuation, 30 March 2006).

*4 Mental Health—Select Committee—First report—A national approach to mental health - from crisis to community
Adjourned debate on the motion of the chair of the committee (Senator Allison)—That the Senate take note of the report (Senator Moore, in continuation, 30 March 2006).

5 Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts References Committee—Report—Living with salinity – a report on progress: The extent and economic impact of salinity in Australia
Adjourned debate on the motion of the chair of the committee (Senator Bartlett)—That the Senate take note of the report (adjourned, Senator Sterle, 28 March 2006).

6 Treaties—Joint Standing Committee—Report—Treaties tabled on 29 November 2005 (2)
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Wortley—That the Senate take note of the report (Senator Wortley, in continuation, 28 March 2006).
7 Community Affairs References Committee—Report entitled: Poverty and financial hardship—A hand up not a hand out: Renewing the fight against poverty—Government response
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (adjourned, Senator Siewert, 27 March 2006).

8 Legal and Constitutional References Committee—Report—Administration and operation of the Migration Act 1958
Adjourned debate on the motion of the chair of the committee (Senator Crossin)—That the Senate take note of the report (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 2 March 2006).

Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Murray—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 2 March 2006).

Orders of the Day relating to Auditor-General’s reports

1 Auditor-General—Audit report no. 31 of 2005-06—Performance audit—Roads to Recovery: Department of Transport and Regional Services
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator O’Brien—That the Senate take note of the document (adjourned, Senator Kirk, 2 March 2006).

2 Auditor-General—Audit report no. 32 of 2005-06—Performance audit—Management of the tender process for the detention services contract: Department of Immigration and Multicultural Affairs
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Ludwig—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Ludwig, in continuation, 2 March 2006).

3 Auditor-General—Audit report no. 33 of 2005-06—Performance audit—Administration of petroleum and tobacco excise collections follow-up audit: Australian Taxation Office
Consideration (2 March 2006).

4 Auditor-General—Audit report no. 34 of 2005-06—Performance audit—Advance passenger processing: Department of Immigration and Multicultural Affairs
Consideration (27 March 2006).

5 Auditor-General—Audit report no. 35 of 2005-06—Performance audit—The Australian Taxation Office’s administration of activity statement high risk refunds
Consideration (28 March 2006).
GENERAL BUSINESS

Notices of Motion

Notice given 9 December 2004

56 Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown): To move—That the Senate calls on the Government to investigate the potential for a World Heritage nomination for Tasmania’s Tarkine wilderness.

Notice given 7 March 2005

80 Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison): To move—That the Senate—

(a) congratulates the Minister Assisting the Prime Minister for Women’s Issues on reaffirming at the United Nations, during the week beginning 27 February 2005, the Government’s ongoing commitment to the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action and its refusal to agree to proposals from the United States of America that would have explicitly omitted women’s right to safe and legal abortion;

(b) affirms reproductive health rights as fundamental human rights; and

(c) calls on the governments of other states and the Northern Territory to follow the Australian Capital Territory’s lead in removing pregnancy termination from the criminal code.

Notice given 10 May 2005

123 Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison): To move—That the Senate—

(a) affirms:

(i) its support for the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women and, in particular, Article 12 that refers to the need to ensure ‘access to health care services, including those related to family planning’;

(ii) the principle that health decisions should be made by those most closely involved with them, and

(iii) its respect for the right of women to make decisions regarding their fertility, including unplanned pregnancies, based on their life situations, personal values and beliefs;

(b) notes that in the Australian Survey of Social Attitudes (2003), 81.2 per cent of Australians agreed that women should have the right to choose an abortion, 9 per cent disagreed and 10 per cent were undecided;

(c) encourages:

(i) the provision of unbiased, relevant and accurate information for women experiencing unwanted pregnancy, without coercion;

(ii) accurate advice and support for women to act on their own values in making reproductive decisions, whether they be adoption, motherhood or termination of pregnancy, including non-directive, all-options counselling;

(iii) improvements in the evaluation of, and access to, advice and support on contraceptive choices,
(iv) measures to ensure a wide variety of contraceptive measures are accessible and affordable, and that the privacy of women and men accessing such measures is protected,
(v) the more ready availability of emergency contraception from a variety of settings, and
(vi) lifelong sexuality and health education;
(d) calls on the Government to work with state and territory governments to develop:
   (i) a thorough and inclusive national framework of evidence-based and age-appropriate sex education in all schools, and
   (ii) national standards for pregnancy counselling services; and
(e) supports the privacy of medical records for reproductive health, including abortion and access to Medicare rebates for termination services.

Notice given 11 May 2005

137 Senator Conroy: To move—That the order of the Senate providing for estimates hearings be amended by adding at the end of paragraph (2) the following: “and (b) that officers of the ACCC responsible for communications matters, including telecommunications matters, are required to appear before the Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts Legislation Committee considering Budget estimates during May 2005”.

Notice given 16 June 2005

176 Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown): To move—That the Senate calls on the Government to bring Australia’s troops home from Iraq.

Notice given 17 August 2005

225 Senator Nettle: To move—That the Senate—
   (a) notes that:
      (i) Friday, 26 August 2005 is the 4th anniversary of the rescue of 433 asylum seekers by the MV Tampa, and
      (ii) 4 years later, 32 asylum seekers remain on Nauru as part of the Government’s cruel Pacific Solution; and
   (b) calls on the Government to bring the remaining asylum seekers still on Nauru to the Australian mainland.

Notice given 6 September 2005

240 Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison) and Senator McLucas: To move—That the Senate—
   (a) recognises that the United Nations (UN) Secretary General’s report on achieving the Millennium Development Goals, In larger freedom, calls on governments to ensure universal access to reproductive health services;
   (b) acknowledges that in January 2005 the Prime Minister (Mr Howard) reaffirmed the vision of the International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD) for human development, social justice, economic progress and environmental preservation and called on the international community, national governments and private philanthropic organisations to prioritise the ICPD Program of Action; and
(c) recognises that access to sexual and reproductive health is also a critical strategy towards achieving gender equality and women’s empowerment, the third of the Millennium Development Goals.

(As amended on 8 September 2005.)

Notice given 8 September 2005

252 Senator Milne: To move—that the Senate—

(a) notes that:

(i) based on longstanding bipartisan policy as announced by the then Prime Minister, Mr Fraser, in May 1997 (Uranium—Australia’s Decision, Fraser Government, 24 May 1977), export of uranium from Australia is permitted, in the case of non-nuclear weapon states, only to those which are party to the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty and with which Australia has a bilateral safeguards agreement, and

(ii) India is not a signatory to the treaty; and therefore

(b) calls on the Government to immediately rule out the export of uranium from Australia to India in order to uphold our international obligations as a signatory to the treaty.

Notice of motion altered on 12 September 2005 pursuant to standing order 77.

Notice given 4 October 2005

268 Senator Carr: To move—that the Senate supports the maintenance of compulsory voting for federal elections.

Notice given 13 October 2005

298 Senator Stott Despoja: To move—that the following bill be introduced: A Bill for an Act to amend the Privacy Act 1988 to provide for the uniform application of the Act and to remove from the Act the exemption for political acts and practices, and for related purposes. Privacy (Equality of Application) Amendment Bill 2005.

Notice given 30 November 2005

339 Senator Lundy: To move—that the Senate condemns the Howard Government’s targeted attack on women in Australian society through its extreme industrial relations changes and the changes contained in the so-called welfare to work proposals.

340 Senator Sherry: To move—that there be laid on the table by the Minister representing the Treasurer, no later than 2.30 pm on Friday, 2 December 2005, all correspondence in relation to the nomination and appointment of Mr Robert Gerard to the Board of the Reserve Bank of Australia, from 1 January 2003 until 1 December 2005, between:

(a) the Department of the Treasury and the Treasurer (Mr Costello);

(b) the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet and the Prime Minister (Mr Howard); and

(c) the Attorney-General (Mr Ruddock) and the Treasurer.
Notice given 2 December 2005

343 Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown): To move—That the Senate—

(a) abhors the hanging of Australian citizen Mr Nguyen Tuong Van in Singapore on Friday, 2 December 2005; and

(b) reiterates its opposition to the death penalty wherever in the world it is invoked.

Notice given 7 February 2006

364 Leader of the Family First Party (Senator Fielding): To move—That the time for the presentation of the report of the Community Affairs Legislation Committee on the Therapeutic Goods Amendment (Repeal of Ministerial responsibility for approval of RU486) Bill 2005 be extended to 16 February 2006.

Notice given 29 March 2006

410 Senator Ludwig: To move—That the Senate—

(a) condemns the Howard Government’s extreme workplace relations changes which took effect in the week beginning 26 March 2006, and the immediate adverse impact that they have had in undermining the employment security and conditions of all ten million working Australians; and

(b) calls on the Government to scrap its extreme workplace relations changes and turn its attention to those areas that will realise genuine productivity improvements, in particular fixing the nation’s skills shortage.

Notice given 30 March 2006

*413 Senator Stott Despoja: To move—That the Senate—

(a) notes:

(i) the Make Poverty History campaign’s White Band Day on Sunday, 2 April 2006;

(ii) the continuing tremendous efforts of the many non-government organisations involved in the Make Poverty History campaign, in pursuit of their commitment to the Millennium Development Goals,

(ii) the Government’s response to the tsunami crisis and aid budget increase and the understanding that this response may serve as a guide to Australia in supporting the Make Poverty History campaign to achieve its goal of halving world poverty by 2015,

(iv) that Australia has the capacity to assist the campaign in a particularly constructive and valuable way, and

(v) that an end to world poverty is attainable with the assistance and determination of nations such as Australia; and

(b) calls on the Government to continue to increase the proportion of budget funding for aid in the 2006 budget, consistent with its commitment to helping developing countries reduce poverty and achieve sustainable development.
Senator Stott Despoja: To move—That the Senate—

(a) notes that:

(i) the 50th Session of the Commission on the Status of Women was held in New York from 27 February to 10 March 2006, and

(ii) the themes for this session were enhanced participation of women in development – an enabling environment for achieving gender equality and the advancement of women, taking into account education, health and work – and equal participation of women and men in decision-making processes at all levels; and

(b) urges the Government to sign the Optional Protocol to progress these issues more effectively and set an example for other countries around the world which have not yet signed the Optional Protocol.

Senator Milne: To move—That the Senate—

(a) notes:

(i) the Chinese Ambassador to Australia, Madam Fu Ying, stated in December 2005 that China does not have sufficient uranium for both its weapons and civilian energy programs,

(ii) Australian yellowcake needs to go to conversion, enrichment and processing facilities before being allocated to declared civilian nuclear power stations,

(iii) most of the conversion, enrichment and processing facilities are not declared facilities and are therefore not covered by International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) safeguards, and

(iv) those facilities that are declared are only declared at the discretion of the Chinese Government, and therefore are voluntary and can at any time be removed from IAEA safeguards;

(b) recognises therefore that by exporting uranium to China, Australia will be supporting, either directly or indirectly, the Chinese nuclear weapons program in contravention of the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty; and

(c) opposes and condemns the export of uranium to China.

Senator Milne: To move—That the Senate—

(a) notes:

(i) the statement by the Prime Minister, Mr Howard, on 28 March 2006 that "whilst India is not a signatory to the [Nuclear Non-Proliferation] treaty, everybody knows that, her behaviour since exploding a device in 1974 has been impeccable",

(ii) that India conducted nuclear tests in 1998, prompting the Australian Government to sever defence links with India,

(iii) that India resumed missile testing in 2001, using an intermediate range ballistic missile capable of carrying a nuclear warhead,

(iv) that India has still not become a party to either the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty nor the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty, and

(v) India has a well-developed, active and secret program to outfit its uranium enrichment program and circumvent other countries' technology export control efforts, according to a recently-released report by the United States of America-based Institute of Science and International Security; and
(b) calls on the Prime Minister to rule out any change to the Government’s policy of refusing to permit the sale of uranium to India.

Orders of the Day relating to Government Documents

1 Northern Territory Fisheries Joint Authority—Report for 2002-03
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Siewert—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator O’Brien, in continuation, 2 March 2006).

2 Department of Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—Report for 2004-05
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Crossin—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Forshaw, in continuation, 2 March 2006).

3 Department of Defence—Report for 2004-05

4 Migration Agents Registration Authority—Report for 2004-05
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 9 February 2006).

5 Australia-China Council—Report for 2004-05

6 Bilateral treaty—Text of the proposed treaty action together with the national interest analysis and annexures—Agreement between the Government of Australia and the Government of the Republic of Turkey for the Promotion and Protection of Investments, done at Canberra on 16 June 2005
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 9 February 2006).

   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 9 February 2006).

8 Aboriginal Land Commissioner—Report for 2004-05
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 9 February 2006).

9 Aboriginal Legal Rights Movement Inc.—Native Title Unit—Report for 2004-05
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 9 February 2006).

10 North Queensland Land Council Native Title Representative Body Aboriginal Corporation—Report for 2004-05
    Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 9 February 2006).

11 Torres Strait Regional Authority—Report for 2004-05
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 9 February 2006).
Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission—Report of an inquiry into a complaint by Mr Zacharias Manongga Consul for the Northern Territory, Consul of the Republic of Indonesia that the human rights of Indonesian fishers detained on vessels in Darwin Harbour were breached by the Commonwealth of Australia (HREOC report no. 31)

Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 9 February 2006).

Australian Rail Track Corporation Limited (ARTC)—Report for 2004-05


Multilateral treaty—Text of the proposed treaty action together with the national interest analysis and annexures—Amendments, done at Nairobi, Kenya on 25 November 2005, to Appendices I and II of the Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, done at Bonn on 23 June 1979

Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 9 February 2006).

Australian Taxation Office—Government Co-contribution Scheme—Quarterly report for the period 1 July to 30 September 2005

Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 9 February 2006).


Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 9 February 2006).

Natural Heritage Trust—Report for 2004-05

Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Milne—That the Senate take note of the document (adjourned, Senator Siewert, 9 February 2006).


Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stott Despoja—That the Senate take note of the document (adjourned, Senator Bartlett, 9 February 2006).

National Native Title Tribunal—Report for 2004-05

Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stott Despoja—That the Senate take note of the document (adjourned, Senator Bartlett, 9 February 2006).

National Rural Advisory Council—Report for 2001-02, including a report on the Rural Adjustment Scheme

Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stott Despoja—That the Senate take note of the document (adjourned, Senator Bartlett, 9 February 2006).

National Rural Advisory Council—Report for 2002-03

Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stott Despoja—That the Senate take note of the document (adjourned, Senator Bartlett, 9 February 2006).
22 Private Health Insurance Administration Council—Report for 2004-05
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stott Despoja—That the Senate take

23 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of
detention arrangements—Government response to the Commonwealth
Ombudsman’s reports—Personal identifiers 003/05 to 013/05 and 015/05,
7 February 2006
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note
   of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

24 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of
detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—
Personal identifier 003/05, 4 November 2005
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note
   of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

25 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of
detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—
Personal identifier 004/05, 21 November 2005
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note
   of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

26 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of
detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—
Personal identifier 005/05, 4 November 2005
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note
   of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

27 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of
detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—
Personal identifier 006/05, 21 November 2005
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note
   of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

28 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of
detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—
Personal identifier 007/05, 21 November 2005
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note
   of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

29 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of
detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—
Personal identifier 008/05, 21 November 2005
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note
   of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

30 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of
detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—
Personal identifier 009/05, 25 November 2005
   Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note
   of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).
31 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—Personal identifier 010/05, 25 November 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

32 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—Personal identifier 011/05, 4 November 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

33 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—Personal identifier 012/05, 4 November 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

34 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—Personal identifier 013/05, 25 November 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

35 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—Personal identifier 015/05, 4 November 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Crossin—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Crossin, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

38 Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Social Justice Commissioner—Report for 2005—Native Title (Report no. 4/2005)
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

40 Indigenous Land Corporation—Report for 2004-05
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).
41 Wreck Bay Aboriginal Community Council—Report for 2004-05
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

42 Cape York Land Council Aboriginal Corporation—Report for 2004-05
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

43 Ngaanyatjarra Council (Aboriginal Corporation)—Report for 2004-05
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

44 Customs Act 1901—Customs (Prohibited Exports) Regulations 1958—Permissions granted under regulation 7 for the period 1 July to 31 December 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Stephens—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Stephens, in continuation, 28 February 2006).

Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Watson—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 1 March 2006).

46 Australian Political Exchange Council—Report for 2004-05
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 1 March 2006).

47 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—Personal identifier 014/05, 1 December 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 1 March 2006).

48 Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Report by the Commonwealth Ombudsman—Personal identifier 016/05, 1 December 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bartlett—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 1 March 2006).

49 Foreign Investment Review Board—Report for 2004-05
Consideration (27 March 2006).

50 Department of Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—Digital Television Regulatory Framework—Reports on reviews, February 2006
Consideration (27 March 2006).

51 Department of Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—Protection visa processing taking more than 90 days—Report for the period 1 July to 31 October 2005
Consideration (28 March 2006).
52 Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—Report for 2003-04—Corrigendum
Consideration (28 March 2006).

53 Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—Report for 2004-05—Corrigendum
Consideration (28 March 2006).

54 Australian Taxation Office—Government Co-contribution Scheme—Quarterly report for the period 1 October to 31 December 2005
Consideration (28 March 2006).

Consideration (28 March 2006).

Consideration (28 March 2006).

57 Queensland Fisheries Joint Authority—Report for 2003-04
Consideration (28 March 2006).

58 National Occupational Health and Safety Commission—Report for the period 1 July to 31 December 2005 [Final]
Consideration (28 March 2006).

59 Indigenous Business Australia—Corporate plan 2006-2008
Consideration (28 March 2006).

60 Australian Competition and Consumer Commission—Telstra’s compliance with price control arrangements—Report for 2004-05
Consideration (28 March 2006).

Consideration (28 March 2006).

62 Bilateral treaty—Text of the proposed treaty action together with the national interest analysis and annexures—Agreement between the Government of Australia and the Government of the Republic of Indonesia for Cooperation in Scientific Research and Technological Development, done at Jakarta on 11 July 2005
Consideration (28 March 2006).

63 Bilateral treaty—Text of the proposed treaty action together with the national interest analysis and annexures—Agreement between the Government of Australia and the Government of New Zealand in relation to mutual recognition of securities offerings (Melbourne, 22 February 2006)
Consideration (28 March 2006).
Bilateral treaty—Text of the proposed treaty action together with the national interest analysis and annexures—Exchange of Letters constituting an Agreement between the Government of Australia and the Government of New Zealand to Amend Article 3 of the Australia New Zealand Closer Economic Relations Trade Agreement (ANZCERTA) of 28 March 1983

Consideration (28 March 2006).

Multilateral treaty—Text of the proposed treaty action together with the national interest analysis and annexures—International Convention on Civil Liability for Bunker Oil Pollution Damage, 2001

Consideration (28 March 2006).


Consideration (28 March 2006).

Multilateral treaty—Text of the proposed treaty action together with the national interest analysis and annexures—Agreement for Establishment of the Global Crop Diversity Trust, done at Rome on 1 April 2004

Consideration (28 March 2006).

Multilateral treaty—Text of the proposed treaty action together with the national interest analysis and annexures—Agreement Establishing the Pacific Islands Forum, done at Port Moresby on 27 October 2005

Consideration (28 March 2006).


Consideration (28 March 2006).

Migration Act 1958—Section 440A—Conduct of Refugee Review Tribunal reviews not completed within 90 days—Report for the period 1 July to 31 October 2005

Consideration (29 March 2006).


Consideration (29 March 2006).

Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency—Quarterly report for the period 1 July to 30 September 2005

Consideration (29 March 2006).

Migration Act 1958—Section 486O—Assessment of appropriateness of detention arrangements—Government response to the Commonwealth Ombudsman’s reports—Personal identifiers 017/05 to 019/05 and 020/06 to 048/06, 28 March 2006

Consideration (29 March 2006).
Orders of the Day

1 Anti-Genocide Bill 1999 [2004]—(Senate bill)  
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

2 Charter of Political Honesty Bill 2000 [2004]—(Senate bill)—(Senator Murray)  
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

3 Constitution Alteration (Appropriations for the Ordinary Annual Services of the Government) 2001 [2004]—(Senate bill)—(Senators Murray and Stott Despoja)  
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

4 Constitution Alteration (Elector’s Initiative, Fixed Term Parliaments and Qualification of Members) 2000 [2004]—(Senate bill)—(Senator Murray)  
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).  
(This bill may be considered together with the Electoral Amendment (Political Honesty) Bill 2003 [2004] during the second reading debate, order of 13 October 2005.)

5 Defence Amendment (Parliamentary approval for Australian involvement in overseas conflicts) Bill 2003 [2004]—(Senate bill)—(Senators Bartlett and Stott Despoja)  
Second reading—Adjourned debate (Senator Bishop, in continuation, 10 February 2005) (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

6 Electoral Amendment (Political Honesty) Bill 2003 [2004]—(Senate bill)—(Senator Murray)  
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).  
(This bill may be considered together with the Constitution Alteration (Elector’s Initiative, Fixed Term Parliaments and Qualification of Members) 2000 [2004] during the second reading debate, order of 13 October 2005.)

7 Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Amendment (Invasive Species) Bill 2002 [2004]—(Senate bill)—(Senator Bartlett)  
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

8 Euthanasia Laws (Repeal) Bill 2004—(Senate bill)—(Leader of the Australian Democrats, Senator Allison)  
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

10 Freedom of Information Amendment (Open Government) Bill 2003 [2004]—
(Senate bill)—(Senator Murray)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

11 Genetic Privacy and Non-discrimination Bill 1998 [2004]—(Senate bill)—
(Senator Stott Despoja)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

12 Ministers of State (Post-Retirement Employment Restrictions) Bill 2002 [2004]—
(Senate bill)—(Senators Stott Despoja and Murray)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

14 Patents Amendment Bill 1996 [2004]—(Senate bill)—(Senator Stott Despoja)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

15 Parliamentary Approval of Treaties Bill 1995 [2004]—(Senate bill)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

16 Public Interest Disclosure (Protection of Whistleblowers) Bill 2002 [2004]—
(Senate bill)—(Senator Murray)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

17 Reconciliation Bill 2001 [2004]—(Senate bill)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

18 Republic (Consultation of the People) Bill 2001 [2004]—(Senate bill)—
(Senator Stott Despoja)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

19 Sexuality Anti-Vilification Bill 2003 [2004]—(Senate bill)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

20 Sexuality and Gender Identity Discrimination Bill 2003 [2004]—(Senate bill)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

21 State Elections (One Vote, One Value) Bill 2001 [2004]—(Senate bill)—
(Senator Murray)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

22 Textbook Subsidy Bill 2003 [2004]—(Senate bill)—(Senator Stott Despoja)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

23 Uranium Mining in or near Australian World Heritage Properties (Prohibition) Bill 1998 [2004]—(Senate bill)—(Leader of the Australian Democrats, Senator Allison)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).
24 **Workplace Relations Amendment (Paid Maternity Leave) Bill 2002 [2004]**—
(Senate bill)—(Senator Stott Despoja)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 17 November 2004).

25 **Communications—Telstra**
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Conroy—That the Senate—
(a) notes that:
   (i) the Government has failed to ensure that telecommunications service standards are up to scratch in rural and regional Australia,
   (ii) the chief of the Government’s telecommunications inquiry, Mr Dick Estens, has said that telecommunications services in the bush remain a ‘shemozzle’, and
   (iii) selling Telstra will cost the budget $255 million over the next 4 years; and
(b) calls on the Government to keep Telstra in majority public ownership to ensure reliable telecommunications services for all Australians (Senator Heffernan, in continuation, 18 November 2004).

26 **Criminal Code Amendment (Workplace Death and Serious Injury) Bill 2004**—(Senate bill)—(Senator Nettle)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 30 November 2004).

27 **Constitution Alteration (Right to Stand for Parliament—Qualification of Members and Candidates) 1998 (No. 2) [2004]**—(Senate bill)—(Leader of the Australian Greens, Senator Bob Brown)
In committee (committee to consider the bill as reported by the committee of the whole on 15 May 2003)—(restored pursuant to resolution of 1 December 2004).

28 **Senate Voters’ Choice (Preference Allocation) Bill 2004**—(Senate bill)—(Leader of the Australian Greens, Senator Bob Brown)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (Senator Bob Brown, in continuation, 9 December 2004).

29 **Flags Amendment (Eureka Flag) Bill 2004**—(Senate bill)—(Senator Marshall)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 9 December 2004).

31 **Economy**
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Ludwig—That the Senate—
(a) notes the deterioration in the economy including the record current account deficit of 7.1 per cent of gross domestic product (GDP), record net foreign debt of $422 billion, the negative household savings ratio and among the lowest GDP growth rates of the advanced economies; and
(b) calls on the Government:
   (i) to acknowledge that there are severe economic imbalances in the economy that threaten to push interest rates still higher,
   (ii) to implement policies that will lift the productive potential of the economy,
   (iii) to invest in skills development to ease skill shortages which are now at 20 year highs, and
   (iv) to support infrastructure investment to ease capacity constraints and inflation pressures and promote exports (Senator Lundy, in continuation, 10 March 2005).
Spyware Bill 2005—(Senate bill)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (12 May 2005).

Family and Community Services—Welfare reform
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Wong—That the Senate notes the Howard Government’s cuts to the incomes of the most vulnerable families in Australia, its introduction of a parents’ dole and a disability dole, and its failure to effectively tackle the need for real welfare reform (12 May 2005).

Migration Amendment (Act of Compassion) Bill 2005
Migration Amendment (Mandatory Detention) Bill 2005—(Senate bills)—(Senator Nettle and the Leader of the Australian Greens, Senator Bob Brown)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (Senator Nettle, in continuation, 16 June 2005).

Taxation Laws Amendment (Scholarships) Bill 2005—(Senate bill)—(Senator Stott Despoja)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (Senator Stott Despoja, in continuation, 21 June 2005).

Transparent Advertising and Notification of Pregnancy Counselling Services Bill 2005—(Senate bill)—(Senator Stott Despoja)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (Senator Stott Despoja, in continuation, 23 June 2005).

Truth in Food Labelling Bill 2003 [2005]—(Senate bill)—(Leader of the Australian Greens, Senator Bob Brown)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (Minister for the Arts and Sport (Senator Kemp), in continuation, 15 September 2005) (restored pursuant to resolution of 11 August 2005).

Employment—Skills shortages—Training policies
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator George Campbell—That the Senate notes that:
(a) the Howard Government’s training policies since 1996 have contributed to Australia’s current skills shortages in the traditional trades; and
(b) the Government’s inaction in addressing this national skills crisis is hurting Australian businesses, families, young people and the economy (Senator Moore, in continuation, 11 August 2005).

Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs—Ministerial responsibility
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Ludwig—That the Senate expresses its deep concern that the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs (Senator Vanstone) has failed to take responsibility for the Department of Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs despite the ongoing revelations and rising financial and human cost of the portfolio mismanagement (18 August 2005).
41 36th Presiding Officers and Clerks Conference, APIA, Samoa, 11 to 15 July 2005 and ancillary meetings—Report by Deputy President Senator John Hogg and Deputy Speaker the Honourable Ian Causley MP, dated August 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Ferguson—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Ferguson, in continuation, 6 September 2005).

42 Parliament—Senate—Processes and procedures
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Carr—That the Senate condemns the Government’s arrogant abuse of its Senate majority in subverting the Senate’s processes and procedures (Minister for Ageing (Senator Santoro), in continuation, 8 September 2005).

43 Enhancements to the Australian Defence Force military justice system, 5 October 2005—Ministerial statement
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Bishop—That the Senate take note of the statement (Senator Hogg, in continuation, 5 October 2005).

44 Australian electoral system
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Carr—That the Senate opposes attempts by the Government to restrict the franchise and reduce the transparency of the Australian electoral system (Minister for Fisheries, Forestry and Conservation (Senator Abetz), in continuation, 6 October 2005).

45 Parliamentary Charter of Rights and Freedoms Bill 2001 [2005]—(Senate bill)
Second reading (restored pursuant to resolution of 9 November 2005).

46 Law and Justice—Australia’s border security
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator O’Brien—That the Senate notes the incompetence of the Howard Government in its failure to protect Australia’s border security, quarantine and environmental integrity and its fishery resource in northern Australia and northern Australian waters (Senator Ronaldson, in continuation, 10 November 2005).

48 Community Affairs References Committee—Children in institutional care—States and territories—Response—Letters to the President of the Senate, responding to the resolutions of the Senate of 29 December 2005, from the—
Minister for Family and Community Services (Northern Territory) (Ms Lawrie), dated 9 January 2006
Premier of Queensland (Mr Beattie), dated 22 December 2005
Premier of Victoria (Mr Bracks), dated 23 December 2005
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator Murray—That the Senate take note of the document (Senator Murray, in continuation, 7 February 2006).

49 Administration—Government accountability
Adjourned debate on the motion of Senator O’Brien—That the Senate notes that:
(a) over a decade in office the Howard Government has established a new low for government integrity and accountability; and
(b) the Howard Government’s record is littered with scandals involving rorts, waste and incompetence (2 March 2006).
*50* Protecting Children from Junk Food Advertising Bill 2006—(Senate bill)—
(Leader of the Australian Democrats, Senator Allison)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 30 March 2006).

——

**BUSINESS FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION**

Next day of sitting (10 May 2006)

Business of the Senate—Notices of Motion

*Notice given 27 March 2006*

1 **Senator Bartlett:** To move—That—

(a) the Senate notes that:

(i) for much of the 20th century, respective Australian state and territory legislation established government control over the lives of many Indigenous Australians,

(ii) in relation to financial affairs, state and territory governments:

(A) controlled the employment, earnings and entitlements of many Indigenous people,

(B) did not always provide written evidence of dealings on their monies,

(C) were legally responsible for the trust accounts into which private monies were placed, and

(D) did not always pay Indigenous people the full amount of earnings to which they were legally entitled,

(iii) research to date shows that in some cases significant sums have yet to be repaid, and

(iv) publicly available evidence also shows that some Indigenous Australians suffered physical, sexual and financial abuse at the hands of employers and officials designated to protect their interests; and

(b) the following matters be referred to the Legal and Constitutional References Committee for inquiry and report by the last sitting day of 2006:

(i) the approximate number of Indigenous workers in each state and territory whose paid labour was controlled by government,

(ii) the financial arrangements regarding their wages, such as the cash component of the wage; what procedures were implemented to ensure the wage was paid; what proportion of the wage was withheld under government control; what were the constraints on workers accessing their savings; how could workers verify dealings on their monies; and when were they given free control of their accounts,

(iii) what effective security did governments initiate to safeguard Indigenous wards from physical, sexual and employment abuses; how did governments respond to reported abuses; and were the best interests of wards prioritised in government employment policies,
(iv) how were intercepted wages and savings safeguarded from fraud by employers, government agents and mission personnel; were governments warned that workers' wages or savings were at risk of fraud or loss; and how did governments respond to recommendations for tighter security of workers' funds,

(v) did governments impose levies and taxes on Indigenous monies under their control in addition to federal income tax; what was the quantum, purpose and duration of such levies; were Indigenous people informed of these levies; and were the levies properly applied,

(vi) to what extent did governments control the distribution to Indigenous beneficiaries of maternity allowances, child endowment, pensions, workers compensation, inheritances and estates; were these entitlements distributed in full to all beneficiaries; did governments delegate distribution of maternity allowances, child endowment and pensions to other parties such as protectors, pastoralists or missions; what procedures did governments put in place to ensure these delegates passed on the full entitlement to beneficiaries; and what is the incidence of any misappropriation of these entitlements,

(vii) what trust funds did governments establish from Indigenous earnings, savings and entitlements; how were these funds secured against losses by fraud, negligence or misappropriation; what was the extent of investment of trust funds and to whose profit; to what extent did investment programs disadvantage trust beneficiaries; did governments receive warnings or advice regarding misuse of trust funds; and how did they respond,

(viii) what investigations have states and territories undertaken into official management of Indigenous monies during the 20th century; what commitment have the states and territories made to disclose this evidence to the individuals or descendants who were denied written record of dealings on their own monies; what is the extent of current databases and what resources are applied to make full discovery of financial management of private monies available to individuals and descendants; what funding has been applied to compile databases as a resource to contest legal action by aggrieved parties; and whether all financial records should be controlled by a qualified neutral body to ensure security of the data and equity of access,

(ix) what commitments are state and territory governments making to quantify wages, savings and entitlements missing or misappropriated under official management, and to compensate the persons or descendants of all those who endured financial loss and/or physical or sexual abuses; and what is the responsibility of governments to repay or compensate those who suffered physically or financially under 'protection' regimes,

(x) what mechanisms have been implemented in other jurisdictions with similar histories of Indigenous protection strategies to redress injustices suffered by wards, and
whether there is a need to ‘set the record straight’ through a national forum to publicly air the complexity and the consequences of mandatory controls over Indigenous labour and finances during most of the 20th century.

Notice of motion altered on 27 April 2006 pursuant to standing order 77.

Notice given 29 March 2006

2 Senator Carr: To move—That—

(a) the Senate recognises that secure and affordable housing provides a platform for social inclusion, good mental and physical health and participation in employment, education and training; and

(b) the following matter be referred to the Community Affairs References Committee for inquiry and report by 29 November 2006:

An examination of the housing needs of low and middle income households across Australia, whether those needs are being met and options for improving outcomes, including:

(i) the capacity of the Commonwealth Government to influence the price and availability of housing,

(ii) the effectiveness and efficiency of existing forms of direct government rental housing assistance in alleviating housing stress and ensuring that Australians have access to affordable, secure and appropriately located housing, with particular reference to:

(A) the effectiveness of Commonwealth Rent Assistance in improving affordable access to the private rental market,

(B) the intent and effect of the Commonwealth State Housing Agreement, and

(C) the evidence from Australia and overseas on the relative cost-effectiveness of different forms of direct housing assistance,

(iii) the potential for attracting private investment into affordable rental housing,

(iv) recent changes in the shape of the private housing market and their impact on home ownership, rental affordability and housing security, with particular reference to:

(A) trends in the proportion of Australian households that own their home outright, those that own their home with a mortgage and those that rent,

(B) the potential for intergenerational inequity as a result of sustained low levels of home ownership affordability,

(C) the availability and effects of government subsidies and loan financing schemes and possible alternative approaches to government support for home ownership, and

(D) the benefits and risks associated with new financial instruments, including shared equity and reverse mortgage products, and

(v) the specific issues faced by Indigenous households and communities.
3 Senator Wong: To move—That the Workplace Relations Regulations 2006, as contained in Selective Legislative Instrument 2006 No. 52 and made under the Workplace Relations Act 1996 and the Workplace Relations Amendment (Work Choices) Act 2005, be disallowed.

Fourteen sitting days remain for resolving.**

** Indicates sitting days remaining, including today, within which the motion must be disposed of or the Regulations will be deemed to have been disallowed.

Business of the Senate—Orders of the Day

1 Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport Legislation Committee

Report to be presented on annual reports tabled by 31 October 2005.

*2 Employment, Workplace Relations and Education Legislation Committee

Report to be presented on the provisions of the Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation Amendment Bill 2006. (Referred pursuant to Selection of Bills Committee report.)

*3 Employment, Workplace Relations and Education Legislation Committee

Report to be presented on the provisions of the Australian Research Council Amendment Bill 2006. (Referred pursuant to Selection of Bills Committee report.)

General Business—Notice of Motion

Notice given 30 March 2006

*415 Senators Stott Despoja and Bartlett: To move—That the following bill be introduced: A Bill for an Act to amend the Marriage Act 1961 to provide for same-sex unions, and for related purposes. Same-Sex Unions Bill 2006.

On 11 May 2006

Business of the Senate—Notice of Motion

Notice given 1 March 2006

1 Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison): To move—That the following matter be referred to the Employment, Workplace Relations and Education References Committee for inquiry and report by 7 November 2006:

Sexual and reproductive health education in Australia, with particular reference to:

(a) the current state of school based sex education, with reference to the effectiveness of current arrangements in ensuring that all students have access to developmentally appropriate, comprehensive, inclusive, evidence based and medically accurate information which encompasses:

(i) sexual development,
(ii) non-exploitive sexual relationships, and
(iii) reproductive health including the full range of contraceptive choices, safe sex practices and sexually transmitted infections (STIs);

(b) the importance of sex education in reducing unplanned pregnancies and abortions in Australia;
(c) the appropriate role and adequacy of training and support for teachers and school nurses providing sex education;
(d) the need for appropriate accountability mechanisms to ensure that sex education is consistent with good practice;
(e) the analysis of overseas sexuality and reproductive health education models and their potential application to the Australian context;
(f) the opportunities for current funding arrangements and agreements between the Commonwealth and state governments to better ensure access to comprehensive evidence based sexuality and reproductive health information;
(g) the need for a national strategy for lifelong sexuality and health education, with adequate funding for general public information and education campaigns on existing services and new and emerging issues such as new forms of contraception and outbreaks of STIs;
(h) the role of school based sex education, within a national coordinated approach to the sexual and reproductive health of the Australian population;
(i) the development of national guidelines for preventative sexual and reproductive health care for young people by general practitioners and other primary care providers; and
(j) the examination of the potential of school based or school linked health centres for providing sexuality and reproductive health education and health care for young people.

Business of the Senate—Order of the Day

*1 Legal and Constitutional Legislation Committee
Report to be presented on the provisions of the Law Enforcement Integrity Commissioner Bill 2006, the Law Enforcement Integrity Commissioner (Consequential Amendments) Bill 2006 and the Law Enforcement (AFP Professional Standards and Related Measures) Bill 2006. (Referred pursuant to Selection of Bills Committee report.)

On 31 May 2006

Business of the Senate—Order of the Day

1 Community Affairs References Committee
Report to be presented on workplace exposure to toxic dust.

On 15 June 2006

Business of the Senate—Order of the Day

1 Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport References Committee
Report to be presented on Australia’s future oil supply.
On 20 June 2006

Business of the Senate—Order of the Day

1 Community Affairs References Committee
Report to be presented on petrol sniffing in remote Aboriginal communities.

By the last sitting day in June 2006 (22 June 2006)

Business of the Senate—Orders of the Day

1 National Capital and External Territories—Joint Standing Committee
Report to be presented on current and future governance arrangements for the Indian Ocean Territories.

2 Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport Legislation Committee
Report to be presented on the National Animal Welfare Bill 2005. (Referred pursuant to Selection of Bills Committee report.)

3 Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport References Committee
Report to be presented on water policy initiatives.

General Business—Order of the Day

35 National Animal Welfare Bill 2005—(Senate bill)—(Senator Bartlett)
Second reading—Adjourned debate (Senator Bartlett, in continuation, 20 June 2005).

Eleven sitting days after today (9 August 2006)

Business of the Senate—Notice of Motion

Notice given 27 March 2006


Twelve sitting days remain for resolving.**

** Indicates sitting days remaining, including today, within which the motion must be disposed of or the Guidelines will be deemed to have been disallowed.

On 17 August 2006

Business of the Senate—Order of the Day

1 Employment, Workplace Relations and Education References Committee
Report to be presented on Pacific region seasonal contract labour.
By the first sitting day in September 2006 (4 September 2006)

Business of the Senate—Order of the Day

1 Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts References Committee
   Report to be presented on women in sport and recreation in Australia.

On the tenth sitting day after 30 June 2006 (6 September 2006)

Business of the Senate—Order of the Day

1 Legislation Committees
   Reports to be presented on annual reports tabled by 30 April 2006.

On 14 September 2006

Business of the Senate—Order of the Day

1 Legal and Constitutional Legislation Committee
   Report to be presented on the provisions of the Corporations (Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander) Bill 2005. (Referred pursuant to Selection of Bills Committee report.)

On 30 November 2006

Business of the Senate—Order of the Day

1 Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts References Committee
   Report to be presented on Australia’s national parks.

By the last sitting day in 2006 (7 December 2006)

Business of the Senate—Order of the Day

1 Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade References Committee
   Report to be presented on naval shipbuilding in Australia.

Bills Referred to Committees

Bill currently referred†
National Animal Welfare Bill 2005‡
Referred to the Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport Legislation Committee (referred 22 June 2005; reporting date varied 10 August 2005; reporting date: last sitting day in June 2006).
Provisions of bills currently referred†

Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation Amendment Bill 2006‡
Referred to the Employment, Workplace Relations and Education Legislation Committee (referred upon the introduction of the bill in the House of Representatives pursuant to the Selection of Bills Committee report no. 3, 30 March 2006; bill introduced 30 March 2006; reporting date: 10 May 2006).

Australian Research Council Amendment Bill 2006‡
Referred to the Employment, Workplace Relations and Education Legislation Committee (referred upon the introduction of the bill in the House of Representatives pursuant to the Selection of Bills Committee report no. 3, 30 March 2006; bill introduced 30 March 2006; reporting date: 10 May 2006).

Corporations (Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander) Bill 2005‡
Referred to the Legal and Constitutional Legislation Committee (referred 7 September 2005; reporting date varied 11 October 2005; reporting date varied 7 February 2006; reporting date: 14 September 2006).

Health and Other Services (Compensation) Amendment Bill 2006‡
Referred to the Community Affairs Legislation Committee (referred 30 March 2006; reporting date: 9 May 2006).

Law Enforcement Integrity Commissioner Bill 2006‡

Law Enforcement Integrity Commissioner (Consequential Amendments) Bill 2006‡

Law Enforcement (AFP Professional Standards and Related Measures) Bill 2006‡
Referred to the Legal and Constitutional Legislation Committee (referred 30 March 2006; reporting date: 11 May 2006).

OHS and SRC Legislation Amendment Bill 2005‡
Referred to the Employment, Workplace Relations and Education Legislation Committee (referred 1 March 2006; reporting date: 9 May 2006).

Petroleum Retail Legislation Repeal Bill 2006‡
Referred to the Economics Legislation Committee (referred upon the introduction of the bill in the House of Representatives pursuant to the Selection of Bills Committee report no 3, 30 March 2006; bill introduced 30 March 2006; interim report presented 2 May 2006 proposing a final reporting date of 11 May 2006).

Renewable Energy (Electricity) Amendment Bill 2006‡
Referred to the Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts Legislation Committee (referred 30 March 2006; reporting date: 9 May 2006).

†Further information about the progress of these bills may be found in the Senate Bills List at www.aph.gov.au/parlinfo/billsnet/billslst.pdf.
‡Pursuant to adoption of report of Selection of Bills Committee.
**BILL DISCHARGED, LAID ASIDE OR NEGATIVED**

**Government Bill**

Superannuation Laws Amendment (Abolition of Surcharge) Bill 2005  
Restored to Notice Paper pursuant to resolution of 10 August 2005 and passed.

### QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

**Questions remaining unanswered**

Question Nos, as shown, from 29 to 1684 remain unanswered for 30 or more days (see standing order 74(5)).

**Notice given 16 November 2004**

29 **Senator Allison:** To ask the Leader of the Government in the Senate—When will the Minister respond to Senator Allison’s letter of 7 April 2003 concerning orders for the production of documents.

49 **Senator Murray:** To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

1. Is the Minister, in his capacity as Manager of Government Business in the Senate, aware of the following statement made by the Minister for Small Business and Tourism (Mr Hockey) in a *Meet the Press* interview aired on 14 September 2003: ‘What I do know is the Labor Party and the Democrats are holding up a vast amount of legislation that the Government has put in place in the Senate’.

2. Does the Minister accept the *Australian Concise Oxford Dictionary’s* definition of ‘vast’ as ‘immense, huge, very great’.

3. Can the Minister: (a) provide a list for the Senate of any bill that could conceivably be regarded as being held up, as described by Mr Hockey; and (b) give his reasons for making that judgment.

**Notice given 17 November 2004**

56 **Senator Evans:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—With reference to all forms of end product report by the Defence Signals Directorate (DSD reports) which summarise raw intelligence product:

1. Which ministers received any of the DSD reports that were found by the Inspector-General to be in breach of the Rules on Sigint and Australian Persons.

2. On what precise dates did this occur.

3. Which minister’s offices, that is personal staff members or departmental liaison officers, received the DSD reports that were in breach of the Rules on Sigint and Australian Persons.

4. On what precise dates did this occur.
(5) Did any departments receive any of the DSD reports that were in breach of the Rules on Sigint and Australian Persons; if so, which ones and on what dates.

(6) For both (1) and (3), were all four DSD reports that the Inspector-General found breached the rules received by any minister or minister’s office; if not, how many of the four reports were received by each of the ministers and/or minister’s office.

(7) Of those reports that were made in breach of the rules and were received by a minister and/or minister’s office, did they include either of the two reports containing intelligence information on communications by an Australian lawyer with a foreign client.

(In this question, the phrase ‘DSD reports’ refers to all forms of end product by the DSD which summarise raw intelligence product. Such reports are variously referred to in the summary of the Inspector-General for Security and Intelligence’s MV Tampa investigation as ‘reports summarising the results of collection activity’, ‘end product reports’ and ‘situation updates’.)

68 Senator Bob Brown: To ask the Minister representing the Prime Minister—
(a) What is the Halliburton stake in the consortium which built and operates the Alice Springs to Darwin railway line; (b) was Halliburton the project leader; and (c) what discussions has the Prime Minister or the department had with Halliburton about the projects, including where and when these were held.

Notice given 19 November 2004

103 Senator Faulkner: To ask the Minister representing the Prime Minister—
(1) Since March 1996, on how many occasions has the Prime Minister stayed at Claridges Hotel in Mayfair, London.

(2) On what dates did the Prime Minister stay at this self-described “five star, de luxe, luxury” hotel.

(3) On his most recent trip to London, did the Prime Minister stay in the Brook Apartment penthouse suite, described by the hotel as ‘220 square metres/2,368 square feet (approximately), 2 King Beds. This stunning apartment has been restored in the Art Deco style with an elegant, gentle mauve décor, light oak floors and original fittings from the 1930s. The bedrooms are large and luxurious, each with their own dressing-rooms. The marble bathrooms are equally splendid with extra deep baths and separate showers. A beautiful sitting-room with full height windows looks out onto a stunning private roof terrace. In addition, there is an elegant dining-room with a cocktail bar and cloakroom. A personal butler service is provided with the penthouse’.

(4) What was the cost of the Prime Minister’s: (a) accommodation; (b) food; (c) beverages; and (c) other items (please specify) at Claridges for this recent trip.

(5) How many other rooms and suites were used by the Prime Minister’s party for this trip, and for what purposes.

(6) For the Prime Minister’s most recent trip, what were the costs for the Prime Minister’s party, excluding the Prime Minister, of: (a) accommodation; (b) food; (c) beverages; and (d) other items (please specify).
(7) Apart from the services provided and paid for outlined under (3) and (4) above, did the hotel provide any other services to the Prime Minister and his party.

(8) Has the bill for the hotel been presented and paid; if not, why not; if so, who paid the bill.

(9) On each of the occasions the Prime Minister has used this hotel since 1996, has he always stayed at the Brook Apartment penthouse suite; if not, on which occasion has he used other suites in the hotel, and which suites were used.

(10) On each occasion that the Prime Minister stayed at the hotel, what was the cost of the Prime Minister’s: (a) accommodation; (b) food; (c) beverages; and (c) other items (please specify).

(11) On each occasion that the Prime Minister stayed at the hotel since March 1996, how much was paid by the department to the hotel for associated costs excluding the amounts at (7) above.

105 Senator Faulkner: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—With reference to the Superannuation Co-contribution advertising campaign:

(1) For each of the financial years, 2003-04 and 2004-05: (a) what is the cost of this advertising campaign; and (b) what is the breakdown of these advertising costs for: (a) television (TV) placements; (b) radio placements; (c) newspaper placements; (d) mail outs with brochures and letters signed by Mr Carmody; and (e) advertising research.

(2) When did TV advertising screening begin, and when is it planned to end.

(3) How many letters were sent by Mr Carmody.

(4) On what basis was the mail out selected.

(5) What database was used to select addresses – the Australian Taxation Office database, the electoral database or other.

(6) Given that the advertisements now do not reflect Government policy on the co-contribution, is there any plan to update the campaign; if so, what campaign components will be updated and how much will this cost.

(7) (a) What appropriations will the department use to authorise any of the payments either committed to be made or proposed to be made as part of this advertising campaign; (b) will those appropriations be made in the 2003-04 or 2004-05 financial year; (c) will the appropriations relate to a departmental or administered item or the Advance to the Minister for Finance and Administration; and (d) if an appropriation relates to a departmental or administered item, what is the relevant line item in the relevant Portfolio Budget Statement for that item.

(8) Has a request been made of the Minister for Finance and Administration to issue a drawing right to pay out moneys for any part of the advertising campaign; if so: (a) what are the details of that request; and (b) against which particular appropriation is it requested that the money be paid.

(9) Has the Minister for Finance and Administration issued a drawing right as referred to in paragraph (8) above; if so, what are the details of that drawing right.
Has an official or minister made a payment of public money or debited an amount against an appropriation in accordance with a drawing right issued by the Minister for Finance and Administration for any part of the advertising campaign.

Notice given 23 December 2004

278 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Prime Minister—On what date(s) has the Prime Minister visited: (a) Christmas Island; (b) the Cocos (Keeling) Islands; and (c) Norfolk Island.

288 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—

(1) (a) Was the Minister or his office contacted by the proponents of a steel profiling plant at Moruya, New South Wales, listed in the Dairy Regional Assistance Program project summary of round 6 for the 2001-02 financial year; and (b) was the Minister or his office contacted by any person on behalf of the proponents of the above project.

(2) Was the Minister or his office contacted by the Federal Member for Eden Monaro (Mr Nairn) in relation to the above project.

(3) Was the Minister or his office contacted by any member of the South East New South Wales Area Consultative Committee in relation to the above project.

(4) Was the Minister or his office contacted by the Minister for Transport and Regional Services, or his staff, or officers of the Department of Transport and Regional Services in relation to the above project.

(5) With reference to any contact by the persons listed above with the Minister or his office: (a) when did each communication take place; (b) who was involved in each communication; (c) what was the nature of each communication; (d) what was the form of each communication; and (e) which officers from the department were involved in any way in these contacts.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 293-296)—

(1) On what date(s) did: (a) the Minister; (b) the Minister’s office; and (c) the department, become aware that Trafigura Fuels Australia Pty Ltd proposed to import a shipment of ethanol to Australia from Brazil in September 2002.

(2) What was the source of this information to: (a) the Minister; (b) the Minister’s office; and (c) the department.

(3) Was the Minister or his office or the department requested to investigate and/or take action to prevent the arrival of this shipment by any ethanol producer or distributor or industry organisation; if so: (a) who made this request; (b) when was it made; and (c) what form did this request take.

(4) Did the Minister or his office or the department engage in discussions and/or activities in August 2002 or September 2002 to develop a proposal to prevent the arrival of this shipment of ethanol from Brazil; if so, what was the nature of these discussions and/or activities, including dates of discussions and/or activities, personnel involved and cost.

293 Minister representing the Prime Minister
Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

(1) Did the Minister receive a request from the Minister for Trade to authorise staff at the Australian Embassy in Brazil in August 2002 and/or September 2002 to gather and provide information about a proposed shipment of ethanol to Australia by Trafigura Fuels Australia Pty Ltd.

(2) Did staff at the Australian Embassy in Brazil in August 2002 and/or September 2002 gather and provide information about a proposed shipment of ethanol to Australia by Trafigura Fuels Australia Pty Ltd; if so: (a) who requested the staff to engage in that task; (b) who authorised staff to agree to the request; (c) what action did staff take; (d) which staff engaged in the task; (e) on what date(s) did staff engage in the task; (f) what was the cost of engaging in the task; (g) to whom did the staff deliver this information in Australia; and (h) what form did that communication take.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Prime Minister—

(1) Has the Prime Minister’s office had any involvement in the Government’s response to legal claims against the Commonwealth by Mr Mark McMurtrie of New Italy, New South Wales; if so, what involvement has the Prime Minister’s office had in relation to this matter.

(2) Has the Prime Minister’s office convened any meetings at the Commonwealth Parliamentary Offices in Sydney, or any other location, with Mr McMurtrie and/or any other party to discuss a resolution to Mr McMurtrie’s claims; if so, for each meeting:
   (a) when and at what time was the meeting held;
   (b) what was discussed; and
   (c) who was present.

(3) Have members of the Prime Minister’s office given to Mr McMurtrie, or any other party, orally or in writing, any undertakings in respect to the resolution of Mr McMurtrie’s claims; if so:
   (a) which staff member gave these undertakings; and
   (b) in each case:
      (i) what was the undertaking,
      (ii) who received the undertaking,
      (iii) when was the undertaking given, and
      (iv) was the undertaking given orally or in writing, if orally:
         (A) at what time, and
         (B) how was it provided (i.e. telephone, meeting etc.), and
         if written, can a copy of the undertaking be provided; if not, why not.

(4) Has the Prime Minister and/or his office received correspondence from third parties who are concerned about the conduct of the Prime Minister’s office in relation to this matter; if so:
   (a) what was the nature of the concerns expressed on each occasion; and
   (b) can a copy of the correspondence be provided; if not, why not.

(5) Is the Prime Minister aware of the obligations imposed on ministers by A Guide on Key Elements of Ministerial Responsibility (December 1998) with respect to the timely response to questions on notice.
(6) Is the Prime Minister aware that a question placed on notice during the previous Parliament in precisely the same terms as this question lapsed unanswered after 210 days.

Notice given 10 March 2005

447 Senator Bishop: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Veterans’ Affairs—

(1) In each of the past 5 years what funds have been spent at Gallipoli on: (a) capital works; (b) travel by officials of the Department of Veterans Affairs (DVA) and the Office of Australian War Graves (OAWG); (c) entertainment; and (d) other costs including the provision of public facilities.

(2) What specific capital works have been funded directly by Australia or as part contribution to works conducted by the Government of Turkey.

(3) Is the Minister aware of any funding contributed by the New Zealand Government, and the purpose of that funding.

(4) In each of the past five years, on how many occasions have discussions been held with Turkish authorities concerning the upgrading of the road.

(5) Was the OAWG consulted by Turkish authorities on the design, funding and timing of the current road works; if so, when and, if consulted in writing, can a copy of the correspondence be provided; if not, why not.

(6) What Commonwealth funding has been, or will be, contributed to the upgrading of the road.

(7) (a) Have representations been made to the Government of Turkey to suspend the upgrading of the current road works; if so, when and by whom; and (b) if consultations were made in writing, can a copy of the correspondence be made available to the Senate; if not, why not.

(8) What investigations have been made by OAWG, or its agents, into allegations that human remains have been uncovered, and in some cases destroyed, at the current road works.

(9) What research and examination was conducted prior to the current road works with respect to: (a) the environment; and (b) sites of military significance.

(10) How many Australians were posted missing at Gallipoli and never found.

(11) When were discussions last held with Turkish authorities concerning reported plans to charge admission to the Gallipoli site.

(12) On each of the past five Anzac days, what was the estimated crowd at Gallipoli.

(13) What is the estimated budget for Anzac Day 2005, in total, and, for the entertainment component.

(14) How many Australian Defence Force (ADF) personnel will be in attendance in 2005, and at what cost.

(15) Which Federal Parliamentarians have, or will be, invited to travel to Turkey to attend the commemoration of the 90th anniversary of the Gallipoli landing.

(16) What is the estimated cost to the Commonwealth of Federal Parliamentarians travelling to Turkey for this commemoration.
(17) Can the Minister confirm what proportion of these costs will be met from
the Saluting Their Service program.

(18) (a) What regulation is conducted by Turkish authorities with respect to the
sale and consumption of alcohol at Gallipoli; (b) what representations have
been made on this subject; and (c) by whom and with what result.

Senator Bob Brown: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 469-474)—With
reference to Gunns’ proposed pulp mill at Bell Bay in Tasmania:

(1) From January 2002 to date, what communications have there been between
the Minister, the Minister’s staff or department and Gunns Ltd relating to
the proposed pulp mill, and in each case: (a) what was the date of the
communication; (b) what was the nature of the communication; (c) who
was involved in the communication; and (d) what was the purpose and
content of the communication.

(2) (a) What conditions apply to the Government’s offer of $5 million
assistance for the pulp mill; and (b) when is the money likely to be made
available.

469 Minister representing the Prime Minister

471 Minister representing the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources

Senator Bishop: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Veterans’
Affairs—

(1) Further to questions on notice nos 447 and 464, on how many occasions
since August 2004 has Air Vice Marshal (AVM) Beck, Director of the
Office of Australian War Graves (OAWG), visited Turkey.

(2) For each of AVM Beck’s visits: (a) what was the itinerary of each visit;
(b) what was the cost of each visit; (c) what meetings did he have with
Turkish officials; and (d) on each occasion, with whom did he speak.

(3) When was AVM Beck first provided with information from Turkish
officials concerning road works at Gallipoli.

(4) Can the Minister confirm if AVM Beck consulted with the Outer Area
Office of the Commonwealth War Graves Commission (CWGC) in
Maidenhead, United Kingdom, or the out station at Canakkale, Turkey.

(5) What, if any, consultation was there with tour operators in Australia,
Istanbul or Canakkale.

(6) Can the Minister confirm that the planning procedures for these road works
were the same as those for the construction of the Peace Park; if not, why
not.

(7) When was AVM Beck first provided with prepared options and draft plans,
either as impressions or as formal drawings.

(8) How many options were provided, and what, if any, feedback was given.

(9) Did the options include stopping the road works short of Anzac Cove, or an
alternative route to landward; if so, why were they not pursued.

(10) Can the Minister confirm precisely which sections of the road were subject
to these consultations.
(11) What information, by way of drawings, photographs, or graphic images were provided by AVM Beck for Turkish consideration.

(12) Currently, what is the width of each section of the road, and what is the width of each new section.

(13) (a) Have other officers visited Turkey to assist AVM Beck, if so who; and (b) what has been the total cost of their travel.

(14) Has OAWG established an office at Canakkale; if so: (a) what was the cost; (b) for how long; and (c) by whom was it staffed.

(15) (a) What technical advice has been sought from consultants in connection with the road plans; (b) for what purpose; (c) from whom was the information sought; and (d) what was the cost.

(16) What expressions of concern were made by AVM Beck to Turkish officials on the extent of the earth works and the disfigurement of the cliff face.

(17) (a) What measures were considered to prevent erosion; and (b) what volume of material is planned to be placed in the sea or on the beach.

(18) Did the plans provide for environmental regeneration and protection.

(19) Did Australia provide technical advice on any part of the construction including drainage, erosion protection, traffic management, replanting or visitor control and if so, who provided that advice.

(20) (a) Apart from the road construction, what other broader planning was undertaken prior to this project to examine the effect of tourism on the whole Gallipoli site; (b) what strategic planning has been undertaken; and (c) what input has been provided by the Government.

(21) Over the past 5 years: (a) what consultancy or expert advice has been sought with respect to the preservation of heritage values on the entire Gallipoli site; (b) what are the details of each consultancy or advice; and (c) what are the costs.

(22) At any stage, did AVM Beck object to the scope of the Turkish plans and were those concerns conveyed to the Minister; if so: (a) when and in what form was that concern expressed to the Minister; and (b) what was the Minister’s response.

(23) (a) Did AVM Beck object to the Turkish plans; (b) was a request made for amendments, or for work to be suspended; if so: (i) when were these requests made, and (ii) what were the responses.

(24) Can the Minister confirm the number of occasions, and the dates, of these discussions held with the Embassy of Turkey in Canberra on this project, and which departments were represented.

(25) Did the Minister and the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade receive representations from the Government of Turkey in Canberra or Ankara, on the detailed planning for this project; if so, can this information be provided.

(26) In each of the options considered: (a) what variations were there with respect to parking at all sites; (b) which of the variations were requested by Australia; (c) which of the variations were objected to by Australia; and (d) what was the outcome.

(27) As part of the works now under way, what parking capacity is being provided for buses and cars, and at what sites.

(28) How many cubic metres of soil are being removed from the sites, and how is it being disposed of.
(29) On how many occasions has AVM Beck briefed the Minister since August 2004.

(30) Has the Department of Environment and Heritage been consulted, at any stage, on any options; if so, what was its response.

(31) Was the Australian War Memorial (AWM) involved in any of the planning process, and in particular, what advice was sought and provided on likely burial sites of those missing from 1915 in the areas affected by the roads.

(32) What information was provided by the CWGC on the likely burial sites of missing Australians, and what were the terms of that advice.

(33) What other capital works were subject to consultations by AVM Beck, for which facilities, and where.

(34) Did Australia offer any capital support for any of the works discussed; if so, what was the cost and what were the purposes.

(35) With reference to the entertainment to be provided during the ANZAC Day period at Gallipoli 2005, which entertainers other than Mr John Farnham were considered or contacted, and were Mr Guy Sebastian and Ms Casey Chambers included on those lists.

(36) (a) Who contacted Mr John Farnham; (b) over what period did discussions continue on his engagement; (c) what were the terms of the proposed engagement; and (d) what was the estimated cost of his services.

(37) (a) On whose direction was the proposal for Mr John Farnham’s engagement cancelled; (b) did this direction require cancellation of any agreement or contract; if so: (i) was there a cancellation fee, and (ii) what was the cost of that cancellation fee.

(38) (a) What process was instituted to select the contractors providing the sound and light show; (b) was it an open or selective tender process; and (c) why were Australian providers not considered and selected.

(39) How many ministerial representations have been received by the Minister to 30 March 2005 on the matter of entertainment at Gallipoli and the road works.

(40) What is the cost of the contract for the sound and light show, and what is the name of the contractor(s) selected.

(41) How was the string quartet selected, who are they, and what is the cost.

(42) How many Australian Defence Force (ADF) personnel will be in attendance from Australia and other locations, and at what cost.

(43) How many persons in the following categories will be in attendance: (a) officials from Australia (b) officials from Turkey; (c) elected representatives; and (d) members of royalty.

(44) How many will be in the official party, and of those, how many will be funded by Australia and at what cost.

(45) How many veterans have been included in the official party, who are they, and what is the cost of their travel.

(46) How many officials with security responsibilities will be in attendance from Australia, and from which agencies.
Senator Bishop: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Veterans’ Affairs—

(1) For each of the past 3 financial years, including 2004-2005 to date, what amount was spent by the Department on: (a) hospitality extended by the Minister; and (b) supporting ministerial travel overseas by way of: (i) accompanying officers, (ii) briefing, and (iii) hospitality and other support by way of itinerary preparation and travel bookings.

(2) For each of the next three financial years, what is the projected amount to be spent by the Department on: (a) hospitality directed and/or hosted by the Minister; and (b) the projected amount to be spent by the Department on international ministerial travel.

Senator Bishop: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Veterans’ Affairs—With reference to the Minister’s media release (VA009 dated 11 February 2005) headlined: ‘Minister meets Hunter Veterans’:

(1) Would the Minister advise: (a) when planning for the visit commenced and was finalised; (b) whether the visit was initiated by the Department or the Minister’s office; (c) what was the cost of the visit to the Commonwealth; (d) which federal Members of Parliament were advised of the visit; and (e) on what date and in what manner they were made aware of the visit.

(2) Which federal Members of Parliament were invited to attend the visit with the Minister.

(3) Who accompanied the Minister and in what capacity.

(4) With reference to the Minister’s media release (VA005 dated 21 January 2005) headlined: ‘Minister visits veterans at aged care facility in Townsville’, what are the answers to questions 1, 2 and 3 above.

(5) With reference to the Minister’s media release (VA004 dated 20 January 2005) headlined: ‘Minister meets Toowoomba veterans’, what are the answers to questions 1, 2 and 3 above.

(6) With reference to the Minister’s media release (VA003 dated 19 January 2005) headlined: ‘Minister meets Lismore veterans’, what are the answers to questions 1, 2 and 3 above.

(7) With reference to the Minister’s media release (VA001 dated 17 January 2005) headlined: ‘Minister meets Southern Fleurieu Peninsula veterans’, what are the answers to questions 1, 2 and 3 above.

Senator George Campbell: To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—With reference to the Commonwealth Fleet Management Agreement:

(1) Can a break-down be provided of all vehicles owned or leased by the Commonwealth Government under the Fleet Management Agreement, including: (a) the total number of vehicles; (b) vehicle type (e.g. sedan, wagon etc); and (c) user (e.g. department, authority etc).

(2) Can a copy be provided of the Fleet Management Agreement.

(3) Under the Fleet Management Agreement, does the Commonwealth have any say over the type of vehicles that are used.
(4) Can full details be provided of any vehicles owned or leased by the Commonwealth which are not covered by the Fleet Management Agreement.

Notice given 4 May 2005

Senator Evans: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 585-615)—With reference to the department and/or its agencies:

(1) For each financial year from 2000-01 to 2004-05 to date: (a) how many consultants were engaged by the department and/or its agencies to conduct surveys of community attitudes to departmental programs and what was the total cost; and (b) for each consultancy: (i) what was the cost, (ii) who was the consultant, and (iii) was this consultant selected by tender; if so, was the tender select or open; if not, why not.

(2) Were any of the surveys released publicly; if so, in each case, when was the material released; if not, in each case, what was the basis for not releasing the material publicly.

586 Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services
588 Minister representing the Minister for Trade
590 Minister representing the Minister for Foreign Affairs
594 Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry
596 Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training
597 Minister representing the Minister for Families, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs
599 Minister representing the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations
600 Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts
601 Minister representing the Special Minister of State
604 Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts
605 Minister representing the Special Minister of State
609 Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training
612 Minister representing the Minister for Local Government, Territories and Roads
614 Minister representing the Special Minister of State

Senator Evans: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 647-677)—For each of the financial years 2000-01 to 2004-05 to date, can the following information be provided for the department and/or its agencies:

(1) What were the base and top level salaries of Australian Public Service (APS) level 1 to 6 officers and equivalent staff employed.

(2) What were the base and top level salaries of APS Executive level and Senior Executive Service officers and equivalent staff employed.

(3) Are APS officers eligible for performance or other bonuses; if so: (a) to what levels are these bonuses applied; (b) are these applied on an annual basis; (c) what conditions are placed on the qualification for these bonuses; and (d) how many bonuses were paid at each level, and what was their dollar value for the periods specified above.

(4) (a) How many senior officers have been supplied with motor vehicles; and (b) what has been the cost to date.

(5) (a) How many senior officers have been supplied with mobile phones; and (b) what has been the cost to date.
(6) How many management retreats or training programs have staff attended.

(7) How many management retreats or training programs have been held off-site.

(8) In the case of each off-site management retreat or training program:
(a) where was the event held; and (b) what was the cost of: (i) accommodation, (ii) food, (iii) alcohol, (iv) transport, and (v) other costs incurred.

(9) How many official domestic trips have been undertaken by staff and what was the cost of this domestic travel, and in each case: (a) what was the destination; (b) what was the purpose of the travel; and (c) what was the cost of the travel, including a breakdown of: (i) accommodation, (ii) food, (iii) alcohol, (iv) transport, and (v) other costs incurred.

(10) How many official overseas trips have been undertaken by staff and what was the cost of this travel, and in each case: (a) what was the destination; (b) what was the purpose of the travel; and (c) what was the cost of the travel, including a breakdown of: (i) accommodation, (ii) food, (iii) alcohol, (iv) transport, and (v) other costs incurred.

(11) (a) What was the total cost of air charters used; and (b) on how many occasions was aircraft chartered, and in each case, what was the name of the charter company that provided the service and the respective costs.

650 Minister representing the Minister for Trade
652 Minister representing the Minister for Foreign Affairs
667 Minister representing the Minister for Human Services

Senator Evans: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 678-708)—

(1) In relation to all overseas travel where expenses were met by the Minister’s portfolios, for each of the financial years 2000-01 to 2004-05 to date what was the total cost of travel and related expenses in relation to: (a) the Minister; (b) the Minister’s family; and (c) the Minister’s staff.

(2) In relation to all air charters engaged and paid for by the Minister and/or the Minister’s office and/or the department and its agencies, for each of the financial years 2000-01 to 2004-05 to date: (a) on how many occasions did the Minister or his/her office or department and/or agency charter aircraft, and in each case, what was the name of the charter company that provided the service and the related respective costs; and (b) what was the total cost.

678 Minister representing the Prime Minister
694 Minister for the Environment and Heritage
698 Minister representing the Minister for Human Services
708 Minister representing the Minister Assisting the Prime Minister for Women’s Issues

Senator Evans: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 710-740)—For each financial year since 2000-01 to 2004-05 to date:

(1) (a) What overseas travel was undertaken by the Minister; (b) what was the purpose of the Minister’s visit; (c) when did the Minister depart Australia; (d) who travelled with the Minister; and (e) when did the Minister return to Australia.

(2) (a) Who did the Minister meet during the visit; and (b) what were the times and dates of each meeting.
(3) (a) On how many of these trips was the Minister accompanied by a business delegation; and (b) can details be provided of any delegation accompanying the Minister.

(4) Who met the cost of travel and other expenses associated with the trip.

(5) What total travel and associated expenses, if any, were met by the department in relation to: (a) the Minister; (b) the Minister’s family; (c) the Minister’s staff; and (d) departmental and/or agency staff.

(6) What were the costs per expenditure item for: (a) the Minister; (b) the Minister’s family; and (c) the Minister’s staff, including but not necessarily limited to: (i) fares, (ii) allowances, (iii) accommodation, (iv) hospitality, (v) insurance, and (vi) other costs.

(7) What were the costs per expenditure item for each departmental and/or agency officer, including but not necessarily limited to: (a) fares; (b) allowances; (c) accommodation; (d) hospitality; (e) insurance; and (f) other costs.

(8) (a) What was the total cost of air charters used by the Minister or his/her office or department; and (b) on how many occasions did the Minister or his/her office or department and/or agency charter aircraft, and in each case, what was the name of the charter company that provided the service and the respective costs.

Senator Evans: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 741-771)—For each financial year from 2000-01 to 2002-03 can the following information relating to advertising be provided:

(1) (a) What advertising campaigns were commenced; and (b) for what programs.

(2) In relation to each campaign: (a) what was its total cost, including a breakdown of advertising costs for: (i) television placements, (ii) radio placements, (iii) newspaper placements, (iv) mail outs with brochures, and (v) research on advertising; and (b) what was the commencement and cessation date for each aspect of the campaign placement.

(3) For each campaign: (a) on which television stations did the advertising campaign screen; (b) on which radio stations did the advertising campaign feature; and (c) in which newspapers did the advertising campaign feature.

(4) Which: (a) creative agency or agencies; and (b) research agency or agencies, were engaged for the campaign.

(5) In the event of a mail out, what database was used to select addresses – the Australian Taxation Office database, the electoral database or other.
(6) (a) What appropriations did the department use to authorise any of the payments either committed to be made or proposed to be made as part of this advertising campaign; (b) in which financial year will these appropriations be made; (c) will the appropriations relate to a departmental or administered item or the Advance to the Minister for Finance and Administration; and (d) if an appropriation relates to a departmental or administered item, what is the relevant line item in the relevant Portfolio Budget Statement for that item.

(7) Was a request made of the Minister for Finance and Administration to issue a drawing right to pay out moneys for any part of the advertising campaign; if so: (a) what are the details of that request; and (b) against which particular appropriation is it requested that the money be paid.

(8) Did the Minister for Finance and Administration issue a drawing right as referred to in paragraph (7); if so, what are the details of that drawing right.

(9) Has an official or minister made a payment of public money or debited an amount against an appropriation in accordance with a drawing right issued by the Minister for Finance and Administration for any part of the advertising campaign.

747 Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing
751 Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs
752 Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training
754 Minister representing the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources
756 Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts
760 Minister for the Arts and Sport
761 Minister representing the Minister for Human Services
762 Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs
767 Minister representing the Minister for Small Business and Tourism

Senator Evans: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 833-863)—With reference to the department and/or its agencies:

(1) For each of the financial years 2000-01 to 2004-05 to date, can a list be provided of customer service telephone lines, including: (a) the telephone number of each customer service line; (b) whether the number is toll free and open 24 hours; (c) which output area is responsible for the customer service line; and (d) where this call centre is located.

(2) For each of the financial years 2000-01 to 2004-05 to date, what was the cost of maintaining the customer service lines.

(3) For each of the financial years 2000-01 to 2004-05 to date, can a breakdown be provided of all direct and indirect costs, including: (a) staff costs; (b) infrastructure costs (including maintenance); (c) telephone costs; (d) departmental costs; and (e) any other costs.

(4) How many calls have been received, by year, in each year of the customer service line’s operation.

853 Minister representing the Minister for Human Services
Senator O'Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 960-962)—

(1) For each financial year since 1 July 1997 can information be provided on undertakings given to fund the Australian School of Fine Furniture (ASFF) in Tasmania and the relevant program(s) under which they were given.

(2) For each financial year since 1 July 1997 can information be provided on actual funds provided to the ASFF and the relevant program(s) under which they were made available.

(3) When was each undertaking to provide Commonwealth funding to the ASFF announced and who made the announcement.

(4) For each undertaking by the Minister or the department to make Commonwealth funding available to the ASFF can information be provided on: (a) what due diligence or other examination of the project was carried out to ensure the financial viability of the project and to ensure Commonwealth funds would be effectively used prior to making the undertaking to make funds available to the ASFF; (b) who conducted the due diligence or other examination of the project and how were they selected; (c) when did the due diligence or other examination of the project commence and when was it completed; (d) what was the cost to the Commonwealth of the due diligence or other examination of the project; (e) when was the due diligence or other examination of the project made available to the Minister; and (f) can a copy of the due diligence or other examination of the project be provided; if not, why not.

960 Minister representing the Prime Minister

961 Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training

962 Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training

Notice given 24 June 2005

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 982-1011)—For each of the financial years 2001-02, 2002-03, 2003-04 and 2004-05, has the Minister, the department or any agency or statutory authority for which the Minister is responsible, made grants or other payments to business organisations and/or associations, including but not necessarily limited to peak employer groups; if so, can information be provided for each grant or other payment including: (a) the name and address of the recipient organisation; (b) the quantum and purpose of the payment; (c) the name of the program under which the grant or other payment was funded; (d) who approved the grant or other payment; and (e) whether the grant or payment was successfully acquitted; if so, when; if not, can details be provided, including action taken to recover the grant or other payment.

991 Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

997 Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts

1000 Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

1001 Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts
Notice given 19 July 2005

1031 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

(1) What are the most recent figures available for expenditure on the Medicare Safety Net: (a) in total; and (b) by electorate.

(2) How many people have registered for the Medicare Safety Net: (a) in total; and (b) by electorate.

(3) How many people have reached the Medicare Safety Net threshold: (a) in total; and (b) by electorate.

(4) Can information be provided on: (a) when, by electorate, the next Medicare Safety Net data will be publicly available; (b) how frequently Medicare Safety Net data will be publicly available; and (c) how frequently, by electorate, Medicare Safety Net data will be publicly available.

Notice given 21 July 2005

1037 Senator Forshaw: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations—With reference to the current and proposed advertising campaign on the Government’s proposed changes to workplace laws:

(1) For the 2005-06 and 2006-07 financial years, what is the total budget for the campaign.

(2) For each of the financial years in (1), can information be provided on the budgeted advertising costs, including: (a) television; (b) radio; (c) newspapers; (d) printing and mail outs; and (e) research.

(3) Which creative agencies have been used in the campaign or are contracted for future involvement.

(4) Which research agencies have been used in the campaign or are contracted for future involvement.

(5) How were the creative agencies and research agencies selected for the campaign.

(6) During the campaign to date, what research reports have been supplied to the department by the creative agencies and research agencies.

(7) To date, what payments have been made to each agency used in the campaign.

(8) If there is to be a mail out to taxpayers as part of this campaign: (a) to how many households and businesses will information be sent; and (b) what databases will be used to select the addresses.

(9) (a) What appropriations have the department been using to pay for the advertising campaign; and (b) from what appropriations will future expenditures be drawn.
Notice given 9 August 2005

1069 Senator McLucas: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to general aviation matters in North Queensland:

(1) Is the Minister satisfied with the quality and level of monitoring and surveillance of the aviation industry in North Queensland, in particular with regard to safety, and the performance of those charged with air transport safety.

(2) Can the Minister detail any changes in personnel, or positions, in the North Queensland CASA office in the 3 months ending 9 August 2005.

(3) What action, if any, has the department, or any statutory authorities for which the Minister is responsible, taken to reduce North Queensland’s tragic record of 52 aviation fatalities in the past 5 years.

(4) Has the Minister, or his predecessor, requested any report, analysis, study or other information that might help to explain North Queensland’s aircraft fatality record; if so, can details be provided.

Notice given 18 August 2005

1100 Senator Faulkner: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—With reference to the Super Choice advertising campaign:

(1) For each of the financial years, 2004-05 and 2005-06: (a) what is the cost of this advertising campaign; and (b) what is the breakdown of these advertising costs for: (i) television (TV) placements, (ii) radio placements, (iii) newspaper placements, (iv) printing and mail outs, and (v) research.

(2) When did the campaign begin, and when is it planned to end.

(3) Over what period will the TV advertisements run.

(4) What: (a) creative agency or agencies; and (b) research agency or agencies, have been engaged in the campaign.

(5) Is a mail out planned; if so: (a) to whom will the mail out be targeted; and (b) what database will be used to select addresses – the Australian Taxation Office database, the electoral database or other.

(6) As of 17 August 2005, how many phone calls had the Super Choice Infoline (13 28 64) received.

(7) (a) What appropriations will the department use to authorise any of the payments either committed to be made or proposed to be made as part of this advertising campaign; (b) will those appropriations be made in the 2004-05 or 2005-06 financial year; (c) will the appropriations relate to a departmental or administered item or the Advance to the Minister for Finance and Administration; and (d) if an appropriation relates to a departmental or administered item, what is the relevant line item in the relevant Portfolio Budget Statement for that item.

(8) Has a request been made of the Minister for Finance and Administration to issue a drawing right to pay out moneys for any part of the advertising campaign; if so: (a) what are the details of that request; and (b) against which particular appropriation is it requested that the money be paid.

(9) Has the Minister for Finance and Administration issued a drawing right as referred to in paragraph (8) above; if so, what are the details of that drawing right.
(10) Has an official or minister made a payment of public money or debited an amount against an appropriation in accordance with a drawing right issued by the Minister for Finance and Administration for any part of the advertising campaign.

Senator Faulkner: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations—With reference to the Industrial Relations advertising campaign:

(1) For each of the financial years, 2004-05 and 2005-06: (a) what is the cost of this advertising campaign; and (b) what is the breakdown of these advertising costs for: (i) television (TV) placements, (ii) radio placements, (iii) newspaper placements, (iv) printing and mail outs, and (v) research.

(2) When did the campaign begin, and when is it planned to end.

(3) Over what period will the TV advertisements run.

(4) What: (a) creative agency or agencies; and (b) research agency or agencies, have been engaged in the campaign.

(5) Is a mail out planned; if so: (a) to whom will the mail out be targeted; and (b) what database will be used to select addresses – the Australian Taxation Office database, the electoral database or other.

(6) (a) What appropriations will the department use to authorise any of the payments either committed to be made or proposed to be made as part of this advertising campaign; (b) will those appropriations be made in the 2004-05 or 2005-06 financial year; (c) will the appropriations relate to a departmental or administered item or the Advance to the Minister for Finance and Administration; and (d) if an appropriation relates to a departmental or administered item, what is the relevant line item in the relevant Portfolio Budget Statement for that item.

(7) Has a request been made of the Minister for Finance and Administration to issue a drawing right to pay out moneys for any part of the advertising campaign; if so: (a) what are the details of that request; and (b) against which particular appropriation is it requested that the money be paid.

(8) Has the Minister for Finance and Administration issued a drawing right as referred to in (7) above; if so, what are the details of that drawing right.

(9) Has an official or minister made a payment of public money or debited an amount against an appropriation in accordance with a drawing right issued by the Minister for Finance and Administration for any part of the advertising campaign.

Notice given 29 August 2005

Senator Allison: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—With reference to the reduction of the Government vehicle fleet by 1 500 vehicles since 2001:

(1) How was this reduction achieved.

(2) Are efforts being made to achieve further reductions; if so, what are these efforts.
Notice given 6 September 2005

1148 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—

(1) Can the Minister clarify a recent statement that the Government will ensure services to customers in areas of ‘market failure’ after full privatisation of Telstra.

(2) Are ‘areas of market failure’ determined by the Government to be in: (a) rural; (b) remote; or (c) metropolitan, areas.

(3) What is the Government’s definition of ‘market failure’.

(4) To what extent and how does the Government consider that the privatisation of the Telstra environment will facilitate competition in areas of ‘market failure’.

(5) Has the Government accepted that areas of ‘market failure’, however defined, are never likely to attract competition.

(6) Does the Government agree that the commitment to ensure services to customers in areas of ‘market failure’ provides a perverse incentive for Telstra to: (a) withhold or diminish services in these areas; and (b) impede efforts by competitors to set up service provision in these areas.

(7) What is the extent of ‘market failure’ that has been caused by Telstra’s prevention of other businesses from setting up services.

(8) How will the Government deal with the well-documented cases of Telstra pushing small competitors out of business when they try to establish competing businesses, particularly in regional areas in, for example, Crookwell, Bungendore and Albury-Wodonga.

(9) How will the Government deal with excessive regulatory gaming by Telstra, whereby it effectively delays or prevents access by competitors to declared services.

(10) What is the Government’s estimation of the effect of the proposed additional regulation on: (a) Telstra’s annual profits; and (b) Telstra’s share price.

(11) Does the Government have a conflict of interest in protecting the shareholders from the cost of additional regulation and ensuring consumers receive the benefits of modern telecommunications infrastructure and services; if so, to what extent.

(12) How will the Government reconcile the mutually exclusive objective of providing for effective regulation of telecommunications and maximising Telstra’s share price.

(13) How will the Government ensure that the operational separation model for Telstra creates an incentive for Telstra to treat its retail arm and its competitors equitably.

(14) How will the Government ensure that Telstra does not operate its retail arm at a loss by charging high wholesale prices to itself and competitors.

(15) Will the Government give the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (ACCC) divestiture powers in case operational separation fails.

(16) What were the reasons for structural separation of Telstra not being considered in the package.
(17) Does the Government agree that the fact that Telstra is vertically integrated is the single most important factor in Australia being ranked 21st in broadband penetration in the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Communications Outlook, 2005.

(18) How does Australia compare with other OECD countries in terms of the rate of penetration of broadband, as opposed to the current rate of uptake.

(19) Does the Government acknowledge that Australia’s rate of uptake is relatively high because it starts from a very low base compared with other OECD countries.

(20) How does the Government’s definition of ‘broadband’ differ from other countries in the OECD.

(21) What will the Government do about the obvious weakness of the anti-competitive conduct regime in the Trade Practices Act as demonstrated by the ACCCs experience with the Telstra broadband pricing competition notice.

(22) What will the Government do to make it easier for Telstra’s competitors to get access to reasonably-priced backhaul.

(23) How will the Government ensure that people in regional areas where there is no competition receive better broadband services as standards improve in metropolitan areas.

(24) What safeguards will the Government put in place to ensure that money put aside for regional areas will: (a) not simply fall back into Telstra’s hands so as to cement its monopoly in regional areas; and (b) be applied equitably and not directed to Coalition or marginal electorates.

Notice given 9 September 2005

1171 Senator Wong: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training—For the years 1996 to 2005, can figures be provided, disaggregated by: (a) state and territory; (b) tertiary education institution; and (c) by federal electorate, for the number and the proportion of those who, having completed year 12, went on to: (i) higher education; and (ii) technical and further education or other vocational education and training programs, in their first year out of school.

Notice given 14 September 2005

1222 Senator Crossin: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training—

(1) With reference to the Investing in Our Schools Program, to date, how many schools in the Northern Territory have applied for funding under this program.

(2) Can a list be provided of the schools identified in (1) above, including a breakdown by government and non-government schools.

(3) Can a list be provided of the successful applications, including: (a) the name of the school; (b) the project details; and (c) the amount of funding.

(4) Are the schools which applied unsuccessfully in round one reconsidered in any further rounds or are their applications spent.

(5) With reference to the Parent School Participation Initiative program, to date, how many schools in the Northern Territory have applied for funding under this program.
(6) Can a list be provided of the schools identified in (5) above, including a breakdown by government and non-government schools.

(7) Can a list be provided of the successful applications, including: (a) the name of the school; (b) the project details; and (c) the date and amount of the funding.

(8) For each of the successful cases, what is the amount of funding received by the schools in the past year under the former Aboriginal Student Support and Parent Awareness scheme.

(9) Are the schools which have been unsuccessful to date reconsidered in any further rounds or are their applications spent.

Notice given 15 September 2005

Senator Crossin: To ask the Minister for Fisheries, Forestry and Conservation—With reference to an article in the Northern Territory News, dated 14 July 2005, page 4, in which it was alleged that, over the next 5 years, funding for fisheries protection patrols in the southern ocean will be $217 million, while for the northern fishery it will be only $91.4 million, and that the figure for the northern area includes expenditure on the Darwin Detention Centre; and also to the response of the Minister that the Government spent a lot more in the north when all costs were taken into account, but at that time was unable to provide a breakdown of those costs:

(1) For each of the northern and southern fisheries areas, can the Minister provide a breakdown of the costs by specific programs in relation to the following: (a) running Australian Customs Service (ACS) boats in fisheries, including vessel operations and crew costs; (b) navy patrol boats in fisheries protection; (c) running the Ocean Viking in the southern ocean; (d) running Coastwatch, for: (i) planes, and (ii) crew costs; (e) maintaining and operating any detention centres used for illegal fishers; and (f) any other costs attributable to fisheries protection (e.g. Australian Fisheries Management Offices).

(2) For each of the northern and southern fisheries areas, how many illegal fishing boats have been sighted and recorded for the years 2004 and 2005 to date.

(3) How many have been apprehended.

(4) What was the type and quantity of the catch they were carrying.

(5) (a) How many crew did they have in total; and (b) where were the crew detained.

(6) How many of these boats were fitted with the more sophisticated equipment.

(7) How many illegal fishing boats have been reported as having actually landed and where did this occur.

(8) Given that it has been claimed (Northern Territory News, dated 13 July 2005) that illegal fishers are coming ashore on northern islands and that caches of shark fin have been found by the authorities, can the Minister confirm these claims; if so, how many such incidents have been reported and where.
(9) With reference to the Minister’s press release of 10 May 2005 (DAFF05/087M) which stated that Australian Fisheries Management Association would be funded with $1.1 million for a system to positively identify detained fishers to ensure that repeat offenders can be identified: (a) what is this system; and (b) has it been established yet.

(10) (a) How many boats, ACS or navy, are permanently on patrol in the southern ocean; and (b) how many boats are on patrol in the northern area.

(11) Can a breakdown be provided of the locations of Australian Fisheries Management Offices around the country.

(12) With reference to an article on page 1 of the Northern Territory News, dated 14 June 2005, in which it was alleged that Chinese mafia were funding illegal fishermen in the top end: (a) is the Minister aware of such stories; and (b) what is being done to follow up and investigate them.

(13) If evidence of foreign business in illegal fishing exists, from which country or countries does it come.

(14) With reference to an editorial in the Northern Territory News, dated 7 July 2005, in which it was claimed that illegal fishers and some commercial fishermen are plundering Australian waters for sharks, but that while Australian commercial fishermen are legally allowed to sell any by-product, such as shark fin, it is alleged that some are actively fishing for shark, given that it is difficult to distinguish between by-product and actively caught shark: is the Minister aware of these allegations; if so, what is being done to investigate them.

1232 Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—

(1) For each of the years 1996 to 2005 to date, how many visas have been cancelled because the holder was deemed to be a threat to national security.

(2) Can details be provided on the nationality, visa class and visa number of the persons who have had their visas cancelled due to national security reasons, or subsequent security assessments, and the reason those assessments were undertaken.

(3) Of those persons identified in (2) above: (a) how many had permanent residency; and (b) what were their nationalities.

(4) For each person identified in (2) above, what was the time between the cancellation of the visa due to security reasons and the exclusion of that person from Australia.

(5) Can a list be provided of the circumstances in which a person can be subject to a security assessment after being allowed entry into Australia.

(6) In the situations mentioned in (5) above, is the person informed of why the visa has been cancelled; if so, at what time does this occur and in what format is the information provided; if not, why not.

(7) What avenues of appeal are available against such a cancellation, both internally in the Department of Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs (DIMIA) and externally; if there are no avenues of appeal available, why not; if there are avenues of appeal available: (a) what are they; (b) how are they used; and (c) for the years 1996 to 2005 to date, what has been the outcome of the appeals.

(8) For each of the years 1996 to 2005 to date, how many visas have been refused because the applicant was deemed a threat to national security.
(9) Can details be provided on the nationality, visa class and number of persons who have had visas refused due to national security reasons, or subsequent security assessments, and the reason those assessments were undertaken.

(10) Has the department received any correspondence, e-mail, cable or telephone call from any government agency of the United States of America regarding Mr Scott Parkin in respect of subject matter that was likely to alter his security assessment; if so: (a) on what date was the information received; (b) from which agency was the information received; and (c) what was the format in which the information was received (i.e. telephone call, written letter, etc.).

(11) Was the information used in the security assessment of Mr Parkin.

(12) Were there any discrepancies between the information Mr Parkin supplied on his inbound passenger card to the facts known and by the Australian Security Intelligence Organisation, DIMIA and the Australian Federal Police.

Notice given 26 September 2005

Senator Marshall: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1242-1243)—

(1) For the 2005 calendar year to date, can the names be provided of all legal firms employed by the department to undertake work for the Government on the development or drafting of workplace relations legislation.

(2) For each of the firms listed in the answer to (1) above, can the following information be provided: (a) when did the contract commence and when will it end; (b) what service is the legal firm providing to the Government; (c) has the legal firm seconded staff to the department; if so: (i) how many staff members have been seconded, and (ii) for how long are the staff members seconded; (d) has the legal firm seconded staff to the Minister’s office; if so: (i) how many staff members have been seconded, and (ii) for how long are the staff members seconded; (e) what is the value of the contract; and (f) was there a public tendering process for the contract; if so: (i) when was the process advertised and in which publications, (ii) what details were provided in the tendering advertising and documentation, and (iii) can a copy of the tendering documents and relevant advertising be provided.

1243 Minister representing the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations

Notice given 29 September 2005

1263 Senator Siewert: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—(a) How many marine parks and marine reserves have been declared since 1996 in: (i) Commonwealth waters; and (ii) state waters; and (b) can a list be provided of the marine parks and reserves declared in Commonwealth waters since 1996.

Notice given 5 October 2005

1282 Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—With reference to the Australian Transaction Reports and Analysis Centre Privacy Consultative Committee:

(1) (a) Can the dates of committee meetings held in the financial years 2001-02 to 2004-05 be provided, together with a list of the organisations that attended each meeting; (b) what was the duration of each meeting; (c) what are the functions, powers and duties of the committee; (d) can minutes for
each meeting be provided; if not, why not; and (e) can any outcomes or recommendations arising from the meetings be provided.

(2) If there were any recommendations arising out of the meetings: (a) what has been done to implement those recommendations; (b) what is the cost of implementation; and (c) what is the status of the implementation of the recommendations.

1283 Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—

(1) Does the department issue best-practice guidelines relating to the development of software.

(2) Are guidelines changed if: (a) the system is developed entirely for the use of the department or agency; and (b) the system is developed for the public to access a service provided by a department or agency.

(3) For both instances in (2) above, what is best practice in terms of the development of software and platform and operating system dependency.

(4) Can a copy of the guidelines be provided.

Notice given 6 October 2005

1291 Senator Sherry: To ask the Minister for Finance and Administration—As at 1 July 2002, 1 July 2005 and 1 July 2008 (or to the nearest relevant date where information is available) and in relation to each of the Public Sector Superannuation Scheme, the Commonwealth Superannuation Scheme, the Defence Force Retirement and Death Benefits Scheme and the Military Superannuation and Benefits Scheme:

(1) What is the total number of persons covered by each scheme and the total value of government liability owed.

(2) What is the total number of former public sector employees, not yet retired, and what is the total value of government liability owed to such persons.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1295-1296)—Is the Minister aware of a proposal to build a memorial to the Cootamundra Aboriginal Girls’ Training Centre on land at Hovell Street, Cootamundra, controlled by the Australian Rail Track Corporation; if so: (a) when and how did the Minister become aware of the proposal; (b) when and from whom has the Minister or the department received representations in relation to the proposal; (c) what representations relating to the proposal has the Minister made to: (i) the Minister for Finance and Administration, and (ii) the Minister for Transport and Regional Services; (d) what was the nature and the outcome of each representation; (e) if a representation was made in writing, can a copy of the representation be provided; if not, why not; and (f) if records of a representation were made, can a copy of such records be provided; if not, why not.

1296 Minister representing the Minister Assisting the Prime Minister for Indigenous Affairs

Notice given 10 October 2005

1300 Senator McLucas: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—With reference to the commitment made by the Minister in October 2004 for a departmental review into SV40 contamination of polio vaccine used in the 1950s and 1960s and its possible links with cancer:

(1) Is this review in progress.

(2) Who is conducting this review.
(3) What are the terms of reference for this review.
(4) (a) When does the Minister expect to receive a report of the findings; and 
(b) will these results be made public.

**Notice given 13 October 2005**

1308 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Vocational and Technical Education—With reference to the statement made by the Parliamentary Secretary, Senator Colbeck (Senate Hansard, 10 October 2005, p. 9) that, ‘Business plans submitted by Australian technical college authorities involving any large advertising amounts would be monitored in any case. However, if an ATC does need to spend more than $100 000 on advertising to recruit quality staff and to attract students and employers, this amendment will have the affect of delaying the Australian technical college’s ability to do its work’:

(1) (a) How will ATC business plans be monitored; (b) what will be the 
reporting mechanism of that monitoring; and (c) when will it occur.
(2) How will the notification of, or accounting for, the standard information 
required to undertake such a project, such as its purpose and nature, the 
intended audience, who authorised it, its cost etc., in other words all the 
standard information required to undertake the project, delay the project.

**Notice given 3 November 2005**

1343 Senator Bob Brown: To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—Over the past 5 years: (a) how many asylum seekers have been in 
detention for more than 3 months; (b) what has been the total cost to Australian 
taxpayers of detaining asylum seekers; and (c) what percentage of the total number 
of asylum seekers has been accepted as genuine refugees.

**Notice given 9 November 2005**

1355 Senator Bishop: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—

(1) Has the Minister received requests for ex gratia/act of grace payments in 
respect of the suicide of Mr Jeremy Hayward, Mr John Satatas, Mr Nicholas Shiels and Mr Jeremy Williams; if so, what actions have been 
undertaken to expedite these matters in light of the undertaking in the 
Government’s response to the Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade 
References Committee’s report, Inquiry into the effectiveness of Australia’s military justice system, to ‘clear the backlog of grievances … by the end of 
2005’.
(2) Who in the department is responsible for expediting these matters.
(3) Over the past 3 years: (a) how many requests have been received by the 
Minister or the department for ex gratia/act of grace payments by 
Australian Defence Force personnel or their families; and (b) in each case: 
(i) what was the nature of the incident, and (ii) what resolution was reached.
(4) Over the past 3 years: (a) what ex gratia/act of grace payments have been 
made; (b) what were the amounts involved; and (c) who received the 
payments.
Notice given 30 November 2005

1404 Senator Sherry: To ask the Minister representing the Treasurer—What is the estimated number of taxpayers within each tax threshold income range for the tax thresholds from 1 July 2005, and tax thresholds to apply from 1 July 2006.

1405 Senator Sherry: To ask the Minister representing the Treasurer—For the past 5 financial years: (a) what is the dollar value of uncollected Superannuation Guarantee payments that the Australian Taxation Office has ‘wiped’ from the debts to be collected, given that it is uncollectible from employers; and (b) how many employers and employees have been affected in each financial year.

1406 Senator Sherry: To ask the Minister representing the Treasurer—For the past 5 financial years: (a) what is the amount of exit tax collected on superannuation; and (b) from how many persons has it been collected.

Notice given 1 December 2005

1407 Senator Siewert: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—

(1) How many Indonesian fishing boats have been: (a) seen by authorities in Australian waters; (b) intercepted and turned away from Australian waters; (c) seized in Australian waters; and (d) approached by authorities but avoided interception in Australian waters.

(2) How many patrols have been conducted in Australian waters.

(3) (a) How many vessels are involved in intercepting illegal fishing boats; (b) what are the specifications of these vessels; and (c) in what ports are they based.

1411 Senator Milne: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—

(1) How many rescues of suspected illegal entry vessels was Coastwatch involved in between 1 January 1999 and 31 December 2001; (b) what were the codenames of those suspected illegal entry vessels; and (c) how many passengers were aboard those vessels.

(2) (a) What action was taken by Coastwatch on 27 March and 28 March 2001 in relation to the rescue at sea of the suspected illegal entry vessel codenamed Gelantipy; and (b) what records are held by Coastwatch in relation to the rescue at sea of this vessel.

Notice given 2 December 2005

1418 Senator Evans: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations—

(1) In relation to the funding provided for the Welfare to Work package, shown on pages 133-134 of Budget Paper No. 2: (a) how do those figures relate to the figures provided by the department in response to written questions W156, W157, W158 and W159 provided to the Employment, Workplace Relations and Education Legislation Committee during estimates hearings in June 2005; and (b) how do the program and payment cost figures provided in those answers relate to the Department of Education and Workplace Relations funding figures in the budget paper for the following initiatives: (i) increasing participation of parents, (ii) increasing participation of people with a disability, (iii) increasing participation of the very long-term unemployed, and (iv) increasing participation of the mature aged.
(2) Can the Minister confirm that in response to W156, relating to the initiative entitled ‘Increasing participation of people with a disability’, the department has provided figures showing net additional estimated program costs that total $302 million over the 3 financial years to 2008-09.

(3) Can the Minister confirm that in response to W156, the department has provided figures showing net estimated payment savings that total $590.5 million over the 3 financial years to 2008-09.

(4) Can the Minister confirm that the budget paper shows a net additional cost of $481.9 million over the 4 financial years to 2008-09, or $449.3 million over the 3 financial years to 2008-09.

(5) Can the Minister explain the discrepancy between the figures provided in W156, which show a net saving of $288.5 million (total of (2) and (3) above) in relation to the initiative entitled ‘Increasing participation of people with a disability’ for the 3 financial years to 2008-09 and the $449.3 million net additional cost as provided in the budget paper over the same period.

(6) Do the funding figures in the budget paper include other funding items beyond those identified in W156; if so, can these be identified, along with the value of each of these items for each financial year from 2005-06 to 2008-09.

(7) Can the Minister confirm that in response to W157, relating to the initiative entitled ‘Increasing participation of parents’ the department has provided figures showing net additional estimated program costs that total $386.3 million over the 3 financial years to 2008-09.

(8) Can the Minister confirm that in response to W157, the department has provided figures showing estimated net payment savings that total $424.5 million over the 3 financial years to 2008-09.

(9) Can the Minister confirm that the budget paper shows a net additional cost of $282.4 million over the 4 financial years to 2008-09, or $255.9 million over the 3 financial years to 2008-09.

(10) Can the Minister explain the discrepancy between the figures provided in W157, which show a net saving of $38.2 million (total of (6) and (7) above) in relation to the initiative entitled ‘Increasing participation of parents’ for the 3 financial years to 2008-09 and the $255.9 million net additional cost as provided in the budget paper over the same period.

(11) Do the funding figures in the budget paper include other funding items beyond those identified in W157; if so: (a) can these figures be identified; and (b) what is the value of each of these items for each financial year from 2005-06 to 2008-09.

(12) (a) Can the Minister explain why the funding for the initiative entitled ‘Increasing participation of parents’ increases each financial year to $163 million in 2007-08 and then falls to just $2.2 million in 2008-09; and (b) what are the reasons for such a dramatic drop in funding for this initiative in 2008-09.

(13) Can the Minister confirm that in response to W158, relating to the initiative entitled ‘Increasing participation of the mature aged’, the department has provided figures showing net additional estimated program costs that total $71.3 million over the 3 financial years to 2008-09.
(14) Can the Minister confirm that in response to W158, the department has provided figures showing estimated net payment savings that total $18.6 million over the 3 financial years to 2008-09.

(15) Can the Minister confirm that the budget paper shows a net additional saving of $5.6 million over the 4 financial years to 2008-09, or a saving of $1.5 million over the 3 financial years to 2008-09.

(16) Can the Minister explain the discrepancy between the figures provided in W158, which show a net cost of $52.7 million (total of (13) and (14) above) in relation to the initiative entitled ‘Increasing participation of the mature aged’ for the 3 financial years to 2008-09 and the net savings of $1.5 million as provided in the budget paper over the same period.

(17) Do the funding figures in the budget paper include other funding items beyond those identified in W158; if so: (a) can these be identified; and (b) what is the value of each of these items in each financial year from 2005-06 to 2008-09.

(18) Can the Minister confirm that in response to W159, relating to the initiative entitled ‘Increasing participation of the very long term unemployed’ the department has provided figures showing net additional estimated program costs that total $310.6 million over the 3 financial years to 2008-09.

(19) Can the Minister confirm that in response to W159, the department has provided figures showing estimated net additional costs that total $34.7 million over the 3 financial years to 2008-09.

(20) Can the Minister confirm that the budget paper shows a net additional saving of $359.9 million over the 4 financial years to 2008-09, or a saving of $355.2 million over the 3 financial years to 2008-09.

(21) Can the Minister explain the discrepancy between the figures provided in W159, which show a net cost of $345.3 million (total of (18) and (19) above) in relation to the initiative entitled “Increasing participation of the very long term unemployed” for the 3 financial years to 2008-09 and the net savings of $355.2 million as provided in the budget paper over the same period.

(22) Do the funding figures in the budget paper include other funding items beyond those identified in W159; if so: (a) can these be identified; and (b) what is the value of each of these items for each financial year from 2005-06 to 2008-09.

Notice given 8 December 2005

Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—For each of the financial years 2002-03 to 2004-05 to date:

(1) How many Suspected Illegal Entry Vessels (SIEV) have been detected in Australian waters.

(2) How many SIEV were first detected by: (a) Australian Customs Service (ACS); (b) Australian Fisheries Management Authority; (c) other federal agencies; (d) state or local government; and (e) other non-government agencies.

(3) For each SIEV: (a) on what date was the entry detected; (b) how many SIEVs were detected in each entry; (c) how did ACS detect the SIEV (i.e. Coastwatch aerial surveillance, reports from another government agency, reports from an individual, any other manner); (d) where was the SIEV detected; (e) did ACS or Coastwatch intercept the SIEV; (f) on what
date was the SIEV intercepted; (g) were any other agencies involved in the interception of the SIEV; if not, why not; and if not, was another government agency able to intercept the SIEV; (h) was the SIEV impounded or turned around; (i) what was the number of persons on the SIEV; (j) what was the number of persons detained from the SIEV; (k) what was the number of persons on each SIEV who have had criminal charges brought against them and what were the number and nature of the charges; (l) how many of those charges resulted in a prosecution; (m) how many prosecutions resulted in a successful conviction and what was the sentence; (n) if the SIEV was impounded: (i) has it been since released, (ii) has it been destroyed, or (iii) is it still impounded; (o) if it was released, to whom; and (p) if it was not impounded, what was done with the SIEV after it had been intercepted.

Notice given 19 December 2005

1456 Senator Nettle: To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—With reference to detainees in the Baxter Detention Centre:

(1) For the past 5 years, by month, how many asylum seekers have been admitted to the Glenside Hospital.

(2) Of those detainees who were hospitalised: (a) how long had each of them been detained before they were hospitalised; (b) how many were found to be genuine refugees; (c) how many were granted Bridging Visas or Temporary Protection Visas; and (d) how many were granted Pending Removal Visas.

Notice given 5 January 2006

1462 Senator Webber: To ask the Minister representing the Treasurer—Are banks with an Australian banking licence permitted to hold data or records on accounts in associated banks or subsidiaries domiciled in known tax havens.

Notice given 17 January 2006

1466 Senator O'Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) Has the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) engaged Korda Mentha to undertake a consultancy in relation to the Chief Financial Controller; if so, what was the nature of this work.

(2) What procurement guidelines were followed in relation to the letting of this contract.

(3) If subject to an open tender process, how many tenders were lodged and who lodged tenders.

(4) If subject to a restricted tender process, which companies were invited to lodge a tender and who determined which companies would be invited to submit a tender.

(5) If there was no tender process, on what basis was Korda Mentha granted the contract and what process was followed to ensure that CASA received value for money.

(6) (a) When was the contract let; (b) when did work commence; and (c) when was that work completed.
(7) What was the value of the contract and was there any variation in the agreed price; if so: (a) what was the basis for varying the contract price; and (b) who approved the variation in the contract price.

(8) What was the total cost of this work.

1467 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) Did the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) engage Korda Mentha to undertake a due diligence review of the CASA Improvement Program (CASAIP).

(2) What procurement guidelines were followed in relation to the letting of this contract.

(3) If subject to an open tender process, how many tenders were lodged and who lodged tenders.

(4) If subject to a restricted tender process, which companies were invited to lodge a tender and who determined which companies would be invited to submit a tender.

(5) If there was no tender process, on what basis was Korda Mentha granted the contract and what process was followed to ensure that CASA received value for money.

(6) (a) When was the contract let; (b) when did work on the review commence; and (c) when was that work completed.

(7) What was the value of the contract and was there any variation in the agreed price; if so: (a) what was the basis for varying the contract price; and (b) who approved the variation in the contract price.

(8) What was the total cost of the review.

(9) What recommendations did the review make in relation to CASAIP.

(10) Did the review conclude that CASA was receiving an appropriate return, or would receive an appropriate return, from its investment in CASAIP.

(11) Who considered the findings of the review.

(12) Which recommendations were accepted and which recommendations were rejected.

(13) Have all the recommendations made in relation to CASAIP that were accepted now been implemented; if so, when was that work completed; if not, when will that work be completed.

1470 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) Since 2002-03, on how many occasions has action been proposed or taken by the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) against Transair Pty Ltd or any related company.

(2) In each case, how and when was this action, or proposed action, communicated to Transair or related companies.

(3) Did the Minister, or the former Minister, receive advice relating to this action, or proposed action; if so, in each case: (a) what action did CASA take or propose to take; (b) when was the advice provided to the ministers or their offices; (c) what action was taken by the ministers or their offices in response to this advice; and (d) did CASA amend its action or proposed action against Transair or related companies.
Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) Does the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) have offices in Melbourne, Moorabbin and Canberra.

(2) What was the total cost of establishing these offices, disaggregated to show relevant costs.

(3) What is the total cost of maintaining these offices, disaggregated to show relevant costs including but not necessarily limited to equipment purchase and hire, maintenance and staffing costs.

(4) Does the CEO also work at a fourth office located at his residence; if so: (a) what was the total cost of establishing this office, disaggregated to show relevant costs; (b) what annual costs are met by CASA, disaggregated to show relevant costs including, but not necessarily limited to, equipment purchase and hire, maintenance and staffing; (c) what equipment has been purchased and/or hired for this office; and (d) what rules apply to the use of this equipment.

(5) In the 2004-05 financial year, what period of time, other than weekends, was spent by the CEO working out of the office at his residence.

(6) In the 2004-05 financial year, how many full working days did the CEO spend at: (a) his Canberra office; (b) his Moorabbin office; (c) his Melbourne office; and, if applicable (d) his home office.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) (a) When was the Office of the Chief Executive Officer in the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) established; and (b) what was the initial staff allocation and annual budget for the office.

(2) (a) What financial and staffing resources have been transferred from other areas of CASA into the Office of the Chief Executive Officer; and (b) in each case, when were these financial and staff resources transferred.

(3) For each year since the establishment of the Office of the Chief Executive Officer: (a) what was the office’s annual budget, establishment staffing level and actual staffing level; (b) what was the Chief Executive Officer’s travel, accommodation and other expenses; (c) what variations were made to the office budget including the cost category, the initial allocation and the revised allocation; and (d) what salaries were paid to, and travel, accommodation and other expenses incurred by officers other than the Chief Executive Officer, by category, and any variations to the level of funding for these cost categories.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) Since the 2000-01 financial year, on how many occasions have family members accompanied the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) on official trips.

(2) In each case:
(a) who travelled with the CEO,
(b) was the travel intrastate, interstate or international;
(c) if international: (i) when did the travel commence, (ii) what countries were visited, and (iii) when did the travel conclude;
(d) if interstate: (i) when did the travel commence, (ii) what was the state of origin, (iii) what states were visited, and (iv) when did the travel conclude;
(e) did CASA meet the: (i) cost of travel, (ii) cost of accommodation, and (iii) related costs, for family members; if so: what costs were met, who approved the funding, and was the Minister or his office informed prior to the travel.

1475 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Prime Minister—
(1) What rules apply to partners or family members of senior departmental officers, or senior officials employed in government agencies, travelling at government expense.
(2) What process is used to assess whether the travel costs of partners or family members of senior departmental officers or senior officials are met by the Government.
(3) (a) Who undertakes such an assessment; and (b) who approves funding for family travel.

1478 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) Audit and Risk Committee:
(1) When was the committee established.
(2) Who initiated its establishment.
(3) Who has chaired the committee and, in each case, what were the terms of the appointment.
(4) Who has been appointed to the committee and, in each case: (a) what were the terms of the appointment; and (b) was the appointee a CASA employee, contractor or consultant; if a contractor or consultant, what was the name of the company that employed the appointee.
(5) What audits have been undertaken by the committee and, in each case: (a) who determined the audit would be undertaken; (b) when did the audit commence; (c) when was it completed; and (d) what was the outcome of the audit.
(6) In addition to the Audit and Risk Committee, what other audit processes are in place within CASA.
(7) Since 1 January 2003, what audits have been undertaken using these other audit processes and, in each case: (a) who determined the audit would be undertaken; (b) when did the audit commence; (c) when was it completed; and (d) what was the outcome of the audit.

1479 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—Did any meetings take place involving the former Minister, a member of the then Minister’s staff, the Member for Leichhardt and a representative of Aero Tropics and/or Transair Pty Ltd in the then Minister’s office in 2004 and/or 2005; if so: (a) for each meeting: (i) when did the meeting take place and who was in attendance, including officers from the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) and/or the department, and (ii) was the matter of action taken, or proposed to be taken, by CASA against Transair or any related company discussed; and (b) what action was taken by the then Minister, his staff, CASA or officers from the department following any of these meetings.
Notice given 18 January 2006

1480 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations—With reference to the statement by the former Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business on 18 September 2001, ‘The Government believes that Air New Zealand, as the owner of Ansett, bears heavy moral and legal responsibilities to meet Ansett employees’ entitlements. The board of Air New Zealand will be vigorously pursued’: (a) Can the Minister provide details of the Government’s vigorous pursuit of Air New Zealand; and (b) what outcomes can be attributed to this action.

1484 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Prime Minister—With reference to the Secretary of the Department of Transport and Regional Services, Mr Michael Taylor:

(1) When was Mr Taylor appointed as Secretary of the Department of Transport and Regional Services.

(2) Did the Prime Minister approve Mr Taylor’s conditions of employment including: (a) a $680 payment per fortnight to cover ‘temporary’ accommodation arrangements in Canberra; and (b) payments up to $6 600 per annum for ‘reunion travel’ including airfares and taxi fares.

(3) For each of the financial years 2004-05 and 2005-06 to date, what quantum of ‘temporary’ accommodation payments were paid to Mr Taylor.

(4) For each of the financial years 2004-05 and 2005-06 to date, disaggregated to show airfares and taxi fares, what quantum of ‘reunion travel’ payments were paid to Mr Taylor.

(5) When was Mr Taylor appointed as Secretary of the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry.

(6) Did Mr Taylor enjoy equivalent conditions of employment during his tenure as Secretary of the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry; if so, for each of the financial years 1999-2000, 2000-01, 2001-02, 2002-03, 2003-04 and 2004-05, what quantum of: (a) ‘temporary’ accommodation payments; and (b) ‘reunion travel’ payments, were paid to Mr Taylor.

(7) Which other secretaries, if any, are in receipt of ‘temporary’ accommodation payments and ‘reunion travel’ payments as a condition of employment.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1487-1516)—

(1) What programs and/or grants administered by the department provide assistance to the people living in the federal electorate of Bass.

(2) When did the delivery of these programs and/or grants commence.

(3) For each of the financial years 2002-03, 2003-04 and 2004-05, what funding was provided through these programs and/or grants for the people of Bass.

(4) For the 2005-06 financial year, what funding has been appropriated for these programs and/or grants.
(5) For the 2005-06 financial year, what funding has been approved under these programs and/or grants to assist organisations and individuals in the electorate of Bass.

1487 Minister representing the Prime Minister
1493 Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing
1494 Minister representing the Attorney-General
1496 Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs
1497 Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training
1498 Minister representing the Minister for Families, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs
1501 Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts
1503 Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry
1504 Minister for Justice and Customs
1505 Minister for Fisheries, Forestry and Conservation
1506 Minister for the Arts and Sport
1507 Minister representing the Minister for Human Services
1510 Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training
1513 Minister representing the Minister for Veterans’ Affairs
1515 Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1517-1546)—For each financial year since 2001-02, what grants or payments has the Minister’s department, or have agencies for which the Minister is responsible, made to City View Christian Church Inc. (formerly known as Crusade Centre Inc.) based in Launceston, Tasmania.

1517 Minister representing the Prime Minister
1523 Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing
1526 Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs
1530 Minister representing the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations
1531 Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts
1533 Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry
1535 Minister for Fisheries, Forestry and Conservation
1536 Minister for the Arts and Sport
1537 Minister representing the Minister for Human Services
1538 Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer
1540 Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training
1543 Minister representing the Minister for Veterans’ Affairs
1544 Minister representing the Minister for Workforce Participation
1545 Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs

Senator Nettle: To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—What has been the total itemised cost (including, but not limited to, legal fees, expert and consultant reports, translation, accommodation and transport expenses, miscellaneous fees and administration costs) incurred to date in support of the litigation involving: (a) Robert Jocivic; (b) Ali Tastan; (c) Fatiah Tuncock; and (d) Shayan Badraie.
1548 Senator Nettle: To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—

(1) What has been the total cost of accommodation, medical and other expenses for Robert Jocivic in Belgrade

(2) What has been the total cost of accommodation, medical and all other expenses for Ali Tastan, including all related travel.

(3) What has been the total cost of legal opinions regarding the Nystrom case and its consequences for those in detention and those already deported.

(4) What has been the total itemised cost (including, but not limited to, legal fees, expert and consultant reports, translation, accommodation and transport expenses, miscellaneous fees and administration costs) incurred to date in support of the litigation involving Mr Nystrom.

1549 Senator Nettle: To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—

(1) Can a list be provided of the number of Temporary Protection Visa (TPV) holders in Australia, including a breakdown by state, local government area, gender, nationality and age.

(2) Can a list be provided of the numbers of TPV holders who have been refused a permanent visa after their original TPV has expired.

Notice given 23 January 2006

1555 Senator Bob Brown: To ask the Minister representing the Prime Minister—With reference to the granting of a state funeral:

(1) What are the criteria for awarding a state funeral or memorial service.

(2) What was the process, if any, that led to the assessment that Mr Kerry Packer met these criteria.

(3) What is the estimated cost of the state service for Mr Packer.

1556 Senator Bob Brown: To ask the Minister representing the Treasurer—

(1) Over the past 5 years, how much money have Australian companies spent on ‘facilitation’ payments.

(2) What is the estimated cost to Australian taxpayers of providing tax deductibility for ‘facilitation’ payments.

(3) Following the concerns relating to Australia’s laws raised by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), will the Treasurer commit to introducing laws banning the tax deductibility of ‘facilitation’ payments in the next parliamentary sitting period.

(4) Will the Treasurer adopt the OECD’s recommendation and push for stronger whistleblower protection laws to protect Australian public servants based overseas who detect instances of bribery.

1559 Senator Siewert: To ask the Minister representing the Prime Minister—With reference to a visit to Australia by Bill Lowther, a non-executive director of British Nuclear Fuels Limited: Did the Prime Minister, or anyone from the Prime Minister’s office, meet with Bill Lowther; if so: (a) what was the nature of the meeting or meetings; (b) who attended any such meeting or meetings; and (c) when did any such meetings occur.
1563 Senator Conroy: To ask the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—

(1) Can the Minister confirm that the Department of Communications, Information Technology and the Arts commissioned a report by the Allen Consulting Group on the economic impact of the provision of multi-channelling and other services in the digital terrestrial spectrum.

(2) What was the cost of this consultancy.

(3) Will the Minister table in the Senate a copy of the report by Allen Consulting; if not, can the Minister explain why it will not be disclosed.

1565 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) Does the Chief Financial Officer of the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) provide CASA’s monthly executive meeting with a list of staff who have failed to properly acquit their travel allowances and associated payments.

(2) What action is taken against officers who fail to properly acquit allowances and associated payments.

(3) Has CASA’s Chief Executive Officer (CEO) been named in these reports for failing to properly acquit travel allowances and associated payments; if so, can details be provided of: (a) the date of the report; (b) the nature of the CEO’s failure to properly acquit expenditure; (c) the date or dates of travel; (d) the places of travel; and (e) the cost of travel including: (i) airfares, (ii) accommodation, and (iii) other expenses by expense category.

(4) For each occasion on which the CEO has been named, can details be provided of: (a) action taken to ensure travel was acquitted; and (b) the date travel was properly acquitted.

1567 Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—Can a copy be provided of the report of the Border Rationalisation Task Force, Border Australian: A Service Partnership – a report of the Border Rationalisation Task Force, chaired by Mr Gary Sturgess.

1572 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) Can the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) provide detailed justification, in terms of cost recovery, for each of its fees listed on the website.

(2) Has an evaluation been made of the impact of these fees on trends in general aviation activity in Australia; if so, can a copy be provided; if not, why not.

(3) How many Aviation Security Identity Card (ASIC) applications by general aviation pilots have been rejected.
(4) What is the security or other rationale behind general aviation operators requiring three separate photo identifications (IDs) (for example, a Flight Crew Licence, Aviation Identification (AVID) and ASIC) all of which contain the same information.

(5) What is the security rationale behind general aviation pilots requiring photo IDs but not passengers.

(6) What has been the cost of the security measures, announced in 2005, at each remote airfield.

(7) Is the work complete; if not, what has yet to be done.

(8) What was done at each of these locations and how does it improve security.

(9) Are ASICs required to be produced at all remote airfields; if not, at which airfields are they not required and why.

Notice given 8 February 2006

Senator Siewert: To ask the Minister for Fisheries, Forestry and Conservation—

In relation to the Commonwealth approved and supported native forest clearing and woodchip plantation establishment project on Tiwi Islands, Northern Territory:

(1) Is the Minister aware of the statement in a Great Southern Plantations (GSP) Ltd media release of Thursday, 17 February 2005, by the project operator GSP that ‘The Sylvatech acquisition will provide Great Southern access to extensive plantation land for future projects at a significant discount to current market prices for land in Great Southern’s traditional plantation regions’.

(2) Is the Minister aware that, according to GSP’s own information to its shareholders, it is paying the Tiwi Island Indigenous land owners a rental of around one dollar per hectare per year for the forested land that is being cleared for woodchip plantations.

(3) Is the Minister aware of the prices GSP and other woodchip plantation companies pay per hectare per year for land rental or lease in southern growing areas; if so, can details of these prices be provided.

(4) Is the Minister concerned that Tiwi Island Indigenous land owners are being disadvantaged in renting their land so far below current market rates.

(5) What does the Minister intend doing to rectify this corporate behaviour.

Notice given 15 February 2006

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Prime Minister—

(1) On what dates has the Australian Government Secretaries’ Group on Indigenous Affairs met.

(2) At which of these meetings, if any, has the Secretary of the Department of Transport and Regional Services been absent.

Senator Milne: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—

(1) Could the Government have extradited Abu Quassey from Indonesia to face charges over SIEV X under the Australia-Indonesia extradition treaty; if not, why not; if so, why did the Government not press for his extradition.

(2) Could the Government have extradited anyone else from Indonesia to face charges over SIEV X under the Australia-Indonesia extradition treaty; if not, why not; if so, why did the Government not press for their extradition.
(3) Could the Government have extradited Abu Quassey from Indonesia to face charges over SIEV X independently of the Australia-Indonesia extradition treaty; if not, why not; if so, why did the Government not press for his extradition.

(4) Could the Government have extradited anyone else from Indonesia to face charges over SIEV X independently of the Australia-Indonesia extradition treaty; if not, why not; if so, why did the Government not press for their extradition.

(5) Could the Government have extradited Abu Quassey from Egypt to face charges over SIEV X despite the fact that Australia has no extradition treaty with Egypt; if not, why not; if so, why did the Government not press for his extradition.

(6) Has anyone been prosecuted in Indonesia in relation to the sinking of SIEV X; if so, can details of the outcome of any such prosecutions be provided.

(7) Has anyone been prosecuted in any other country in relation to the sinking of SIEV X; if so, can details of the outcome of any such prosecutions be provided.

Notice given 16 February 2006

1591 Senator Siewert: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

(1) Is the Minister or his department in receipt of nominations for National Heritage or World Heritage listing for the Burrup Peninsula or Dampier Rock Art Province; if so: (a) how many nominations; (b) from which parties; and (c) on what dates.

(2) Has the Minister or his department received any urgent listing nominations; if so, what is the status of those nominations.

(3) Has the Minister or his department received any appeals against the National Heritage listing for the Burrup Peninsula or Dampier Rock Art Province; if so, how many and from whom.

(4) If the Minister or his department has received appeals against the listing, will the details of those appeals be released.

(5) If the Minister has received appeals against the listing, are those appeals available to the nominees and by what process.

(6) Has the Minister or his department had any discussions or correspondence with agencies or the Government of Western Australia in relation to their views on the National Heritage listing of the Burrup Peninsula or Dampier Rock Art Province; if so, can an outline of those discussions be provided.

(7) Has the Minister or his department had any discussions or correspondence with agencies or the Government of Western Australia in relation to their views on the World Heritage listing of the Burrup Peninsula or Dampier Rock Art Province; if so, can an outline of those discussions be provided.

(8) Has the Minister or his department commissioned any reports into the heritage or other values of the Burrup Peninsula or Dampier Rock Art Province; if so: (a) what are they; (b) how many have been concluded; (c) how many are outstanding; and (d) can any completed reports be released.

(9) Does the Minister acknowledge that the heritage values of the area are of global significance.
Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training —

(1) What are the implications of the ruling of 8 December 2005 by the final Court of Appeal in France with regard to the illegality of storing spent nuclear fuel from the Lucas Heights nuclear reactor.

(2) Does this have any impact on the decision to proceed with the new research reactor at Lucas Heights.

(3) How soon does the Government expect the subject waste to be returned to Australia.

(4) Where and how will it be stored when it arrives.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) Can details be provided of all foreign flagged ships that have operated on the Australian coastline under single or continuous voyage permits since 1 September 2005, including: (a) the name of the ship; (b) the dates the ship operated on the Australian coastline; (c) the cargo carried; (d) the country of origin of the ship; (e) details of the nationality of the crew; and (f) the type of permit under which the ship operated.

(2) (a) Which of these ships carried ammonium nitrate; and (b) can details be provided, including the dates of passage and the ports between which this cargo was carried.

(3) (a) Which of these ships carried high consequence dangerous goods; (b) can details be provided, including the cargo, the dates of passage and the ports between which these cargoes were carried; and (c) if it is not possible to identify which foreign ships were carrying high consequence goods on the Australian coastline under a single or continuous voyage permit, why not.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) Aviation Medicine Section:

(1) How many current medical certificates are endorsed ‘renew by CASA only’.

(2) How are applications for renewal of medical certificates endorsed ‘renew by CASA only’ assessed.

(3) Are all officers responsible for assessing applications for renewal of medical certificates endorsed ‘renew by CASA only’ registered with a medical registration authority of a state or territory of the Commonwealth; if not, why not.

(4) What service standards apply to the assessment of applications for renewal of medical certificates endorsed ‘renew by CASA only’.

(5) Are all officers responsible for the appointment of Designated Aviation Medical Examiners registered with a medical registration authority of a state or territory of the Commonwealth; if not, why not.
(6) Is it a requirement that the Principal Medical Officer or an officer acting in that position is registered with a medical registration authority of a state or territory of the Commonwealth; if not, why not.

1604 Senator Bob Brown: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) (a) Why is it not mandatory that planes, particularly charter flights carrying six or more passengers, be equipped with an approved terrain awareness and warning system; (b) who made this decision and when; and (c) is there a requirement for planes carrying more than six passengers in the United States to be so equipped.

(2) In relation to the plane crash carrying five people near Benalla in 2004: (a) was this plane equipped with an approved terrain awareness and warning system; if not, could the plane crash have been avoided with such equipment aboard; and (b) did the Melbourne control tower receive (five times) an alarm triggered by the plane before it crashed; if so: (i) why was the alarm not heeded, (ii) what response did the alarm evoke on each occasion, (iii) what action has been taken about the failure to heed the alarm, and (iv) what action has been taken to ensure such alarms are heeded in the future.

1605 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—

(1) On what date did the Minister become aware of the Telstra plan to remove 5 000 payphones over the next 7 months.

(2) On what date did the Minister ask Telstra to provide a copy of the Telstra Country Wide briefing note containing details of the plan.

(3) On what date did Telstra provide the Minister with the Telstra Country Wide briefing note.

(4) Can a copy of the Telstra Country Wide briefing note be provided; if not, why not.

(5) With reference to the Tasmanian payphones targeted for removal and identified in the Telstra Country Wide briefing note, can the following details be provided, in each case, the: (a) location, including, where applicable, the street address; (b) average usage by dollar amount by financial year for the past 3 years; (c) date the payphone was installed; and (d) proposed date of removal.

Notice given 28 February 2006

1606 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

(1) Given that the Victorian and New South Wales (NSW) governments have committed $50 million from the proceeds of the sale to returning water to the Snowy River, and $10 million to maximising environmental outcomes, what money will the Commonwealth contribute to the ongoing health and viability of the Snowy River.

(2) (a) Will the Commonwealth Government commit to ensuring the Snowy River flows at a minimum of 28 per cent of its original flow, prior to the proposed sale; and (b) will the Commonwealth hold the NSW Government to its previous commitments to establish the Snowy Scientific Committee to ensure environmental flows have the greatest possible ecological benefit.
(3) Given the Minister’s strong interest in Alpine Heritage: (a) does he fully support privatisation of such an important and iconic piece of infrastructure; and (b) has he assessed the environmental and heritage impacts of the sale.

Notice given 9 March 2006

1611 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

(1) How many Australians contract Q fever every year.
(2) Can the Government confirm the findings of recent research that farmers are at high-risk of contracting Q fever.
(3) How many people are vaccinated against Q fever annually.
(4) Is the Government ceasing its subsidy for Q fever vaccination; if so: (a) how much will this increase the cost of vaccination; and (b) has the Government done any modelling of the impact this will have on the prevalence of Q fever vaccination; if not, why not; if so, can the results be provided.
(5) Does the Government consider that the rate of Q fever vaccination will decrease if the subsidy is removed.

Notice given 14 March 2006

1612 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Treasurer—

(1) Is the Government aware of a new retail promotion for Peter Stuyvesant cigarettes on offer in a number of capital cities, including Sydney, Perth and Melbourne, that consists of 20 cigarettes inside a tin container which has peel off health warnings on the outside of the tin.
(3) Is the Government or the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission aware that retailers are informing customers that these cigarettes are ‘light’, as indicated by the blue and white colour coded sticker; if not, will an investigation be conducted into this claim.
(4) Is it the case that cigarette retailers stockpiled the pre-graphic warning products to delay the necessity to sell packs with the graphic warnings, which came into effect on 1 March 2006.
(5) What, if any, action will be taken against manufacturers or retailers if significant stockpiling has taken place.

Notice given 9 March 2006

1614 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—With reference to the article ‘Exposure to movie smoking: Its relation to smoking initiation among US adolescents’, in Paediatrics, Volume 116(5), pp 1183-1191, which found that exposure to smoking in movies was a primary risk factor for smoking initiation in adolescents:

(1) Has any comparable Australian research been conducted that examines the relationship between exposure to smoking in movies and smoking initiation in Australian young people; if so, what are the findings of this research.
(2) Has the Government funded any research into this issue; if so, what are the details of this funding and research; if not, why not.
(3) Does the Government agree that exposure of young people to smoking in movies increases the likelihood that young people will commence smoking.

(4) What initiatives has the Government put in place to combat the effect of exposure of young people to smoking in movies.

(5) Has the Government investigated any of the following evidence-based approaches to reducing the impact of smoking in movies on young people: (a) applying the ‘R’ rating to any film that shows tobacco use; (b) showing anti-smoking ads or public service announcements prior to movies with smoking; (c) requiring movie producers to post a certificate in the credits at the end of the movie declaring that no-one on the production received anything of value (money, free cigarettes or other gifts, free publicity, interest-free loans, or anything else) from anyone in exchange for using or displaying tobacco; or (d) banning tobacco brand identification and the presence of tobacco brand imagery (such as billboards) in the background of any movie scene.

(6) Does the Government support the development of an industry code of conduct for the depiction of smoking in films.

1615 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry —

(1) Has the Government investigated the utility of vaccinating domesticated poultry in Australia as a preventative action to combat bird flu.

(2) Would the vaccination of domesticated poultry protect the industry, therefore potentially saving millions of dollars, and also reduce the pool of the virus from which a mutation to a human form of the virus might occur.

(3) If targeted vaccination of birds were used around any future outbreaks of bird flu in Australia, would this provide a potential buffer zone that would reduce the likelihood of spreading of the disease.

(4) Is the Government considering banning free-range poultry if there are bird flu outbreaks in Australia.

(5) Is the Government stockpiling any of the existing anti-bird flu vaccines for poultry.

1616 Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Fisheries, Forestry and Conservation —

With reference to the interception of the Chen Long vessel: Since the vessel was intercepted by the Australian Fisheries Management Authority (AFMA), has the Office of Parliamentary Council received a request or drafting instruction from AFMA, the Australian Quarantine and Inspection Service, the Australian Customs Service or the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry; if so: (a) what is the nature of the requests; (b) when were they received; and (c) what priority has been assigned to this bill.

1617 Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Fisheries, Forestry and Conservation—

(1) Where is the law inadequate in relation to the Chen Long detention.

(2) Has advice been received in relation to any deficiencies or gaps to relevant laws applicable in this instance.

(3) What changes to the law are being urgently considered.

1618 Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Fisheries, Forestry and Conservation—

With reference to the Australian Fisheries Management Authority’s (AFMA’s.) role in the capture and detention of the Chen Long:

(1) What section of what Act was used to effect the initial detention.
(2) Were further grounds for detention used during the duration.

(3) Can details be provided indicating how the AFMA became aware of the vessel, including detection, subsequent monitoring, length and means of surveillance and the vessel’s position and course.

(4) How was the decision to detain the vessel arrived at and who were the participants in the decision-making process (indicating position number and title, not names).

(5) Were the ship’s log and records sequestered and were they relied upon either as grounds for detention or prosecutorial evidence for a Commonwealth offence; if so, can the details be provided outlining the grounds for detention and the power used and/or grounds for prosecutorial evidence and the offence used.

(6) Regarding the detention of the Chinese freighter Chen Long, what was the cost per day for refrigeration of the 639 tonnes of reef fish.

(7) What were the costs of interception and escort of vessel to port.

(8) What were the costs of guarding services of vessel whilst in port.

(9) What were the berthing costs per day for this vessel.

(10) Was maintenance and upkeep performed on this vessel during its detention; if so, by whom and what were the total costs.

(11) What was the total cost per day of the crew’s detention.

(12) What was the total cost of investigation.

(13) For how many days was the vessel in detention and for how long were the fish refrigerated.

(14) What other costs can be attributed to this operation.

(15) What was the total overall cost of this operation.

(16) Was this matter referred to Indonesia for investigation; if so, what was the response received.

1619 Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—

(1) Have any of the crew of the Chen Long claimed protection or other types of visas; if so, can details be provided of numbers and class of visa application.

(2) Have any of the crew of the Pong Su claimed protection or another type of visa; if so, can details be provided of numbers and class of visa application.

1620 Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—

(1) What were the grounds and reasons for not prosecuting the captain and crew of the Chinese freighter Chen Long (if the evidence was insufficient, specify why; if the investigation process was deficient, specify in what areas).

(2) In what area was the law inadequate to prosecute the captain and crew of the Chen Long.

1621 Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—

(1) Did the Australian Crime Commission (ACC) appoint Kathleen Florian to the position of manager of investigations in Queensland.

(2) Was her new position confirmed in an e-mail to ACC staff.

(3) Did the ACC subsequently give the job to Marty Nicholson.
(4) Did the ACC then confirm him in the position in an e-mail to staff.
(5) What was the reason for this change.

Notice given 15 March 2006

1622 Senator Sherry: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer—What is: (a) the total number of self managed superannuation funds; and (b) the total number of self managed superannuation fund members, in the following asset ranges: (i) less than $50 000, (ii) between $50 000 and $100 000, (iii) between $100 000 and $200 000, (iv) between $200 000 and $300 000, and (v) more than $300 000.

Notice given 16 March 2006

1623 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

(1) Is the Minister aware that an alternative exists for the conventional Pap smear, that is the Thin Prep Pap Test.
(2) What information is the Minister aware of on the comparative effectiveness of the two methods for detecting cervical abnormalities.
(3) What information is the Minister aware of on the clinical benefits of the Thin Prep Pap Test, such as increased disease detection, reduction of equivocal diagnoses and the ability to perform additional tests on the same vial, for example HPV, Chlamydia and Gonorrhoea.
(4) Does the Minister have any data on levels of community awareness of this alternative to the conventional Pap smear.
(5) What is the average financial out of pocket cost for a conventional Pap smear in comparison to the Thin Prep Pap Test.
(6) What funding is the Government providing to assist women with access to this alternative Pap test.

1624 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—With reference to the Minister’s comments reported in the Australian Financial Review of 2 March 2006, that ‘conscous of the fact that the legislation governing private health insurance was last revised in a period where the parliamentary majority was not especially sympathetic to the concept of private health’: does this indicate an intention to reintroduce legislative changes that would allow private health insurance cover for out of pocket expenses associated with Medicare-rebated consultation; if not, to what was the Minister referring.

1625 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—Is the Government considering introducing a fee for each prescription that is dispensed for concessional patients once they reach the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme safety net threshold; if so, what is the fee proposed.

Notice given 21 March 2006

1627 Senator George Campbell: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Human Services—With reference to the victims of the Port Arthur massacre:

(1) What programs has the Government put in place to provide ongoing assistance to survivors of the massacre.
(2) Is there a special fund or scheme in place to which survivors can apply for assistance with pharmaceutical, medical and related costs.
Senator George Campbell: To ask the Minister representing the Attorney-General—With reference to the victims of the Port Arthur massacre and the recommendations made by the Auditor-General, Mr Doyle, in 1997:

(1) Have the Auditor-General’s recommendations been implemented; if not, why not; if so, what department is responsible for implementing the recommendations, particularly those regarding the massacre survivors.

(2) Who was the public liability underwriter of the Port Arthur site.

(3) Who currently provides public liability cover for the site.

Senator Webber: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—

(1) How much funding was provided for the 2005-06 financial year to each division of General Practice for Mental Health.

(2) Have these amounts increased each year over the past five financial years; if so, by how much.

(3) What formula does the department use to determine how much each division receives.

Senator Webber: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—Why does Australia not provide abattoir facilities, within Australia, where animals can be slaughtered as humanely as possible to facilitate compliance with the religious requirements of overseas markets.

Notice given 22 March 2006

Senator Evans: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training—With reference to the Indigenous Education Strategic Initiatives Programme (IESIP):

(1) Can the Minister confirm that there was a 63 per cent, or approximately $142 million, underspend in the IESIP in the 2004-05 financial year; if not, what was the exact amount of the underspend.

(2) Can details be provided showing a specific breakdown of the measures and/or activities under IESIP, including how much money was allocated for, and spent on, each one in the 2004-05 financial year and the percentage of underspend for each specific measure/activity.

(3) For each specific measure/activity, please provide an explanation for the underspend in the 2004-05 financial year.

(4) What amount of departmental expenses and administered funds has been allocated for, and spent on, each specific measure/activity under IESIP in the 2004-05 financial year.

(5) How much money has been allocated for, and spent to date on, each measure/activity under IESIP in the 2005-06 financial year.

(6) What amount of departmental expenses and administered funds has been allocated for, and spent to date on, each specific measure/activity under IESIP in the 2005-06 financial year.
Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—With reference to the answer to the question on notice no. 1301 (Senate Hansard, 22 December 2005, p. 207) regarding medications listed on the Pharmaceutical Benefit Scheme (PBS) for patients with osteoporosis:

1. Why are drugs such as alendronate (Fosomax), widely prescribed as a preventative treatment against fractures, available on the PBS listing only to patients who have already sustained a fracture, however minimal.

2. Has the Pharmaceutical Benefits Advisory Committee done a cost analysis on the benefit of extending the listing of Fosomax as a preventative treatment to those patients with a diagnosis of and/or less at risk of fracturing due to osteoporosis.

Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—With reference to the article ‘Australians chased by anti-Mafia investigators’, in the Age of 22 March 2006, that four Australians are allegedly under investigation by Italian authorities for organised crime-related activities:

1. Is the matter currently under investigation by the Australian Federal Police (AFP); if so: (a) when and by whom was it brought to the attention of the AFP; (b) on what date did the investigation commence; and (c) what is the current status of the investigation.

2. Has an extradition request been received by the AFP in respect of the four individuals mentioned in the article; if so: (a) on what date was the request received; and (b) what action was taken upon receipt of the request and on what date was that action taken.

3. Has the matter been referred by the AFP to the Commonwealth Director of Public Prosecutions (CDPP) for prosecution or has a brief been referred to the CDPP for consideration of a prosecution; if so: (a) on what date was the brief forwarded to the CDPP; and (b) to the AFP’s knowledge, what action has been taken by the CDPP in respect of this matter.

Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—With reference to the article ‘Australians chased by anti-Mafia investigators’, in the Age of 22 March 2006, that four Australians are allegedly under investigation by Italian authorities for organised crime-related activities:

1. Has that matter been referred to the Commonwealth Director of Public Prosecutions (CDPP); if so: (a) by whom or by which agency was it referred to the CDPP and on what date was it referred; (b) what is the current status of the brief; and (c) was it returned on the basis of insufficient evidence and the case closed; if not, on what basis was prosecution of the case rejected.

2. Regarding the offer of an Italian ‘undercover operative’ to testify in Australia, was the testimony a part of the abovementioned brief; if not: (a) why not; (b) did the CDPP assess this testimony separately; and (c) why was the offer of an Italian operative to testify in Australia rejected.

Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—With reference to the article ‘Australians chased by anti-Mafia investigators’, in the Age of 22 March 2006, that four Australians are allegedly under investigation by Italian authorities for organised crime-related activities:

1. Has the Attorney-General’s Department (AGD) received an extradition request from the Italian Government or Italian authorities in respect of the four individuals named; if not: (a) can the department indicate whether
there has been any contact between the Italian authorities and the AGD in respect of an extradition warrant; and (b) what is the status of any extradition warrant in respect of those individuals.

(2) If an extradition request has been made by the Italian authorities to the department, has it been passed to the Minister’s office or the Commonwealth Director of Public Prosecutions (CDPP); if so: (a) what action has either the Minister or the CDPP taken in respect of this matter; (b) on what date was it referred to the CDPP; and (c) can details be provided as to what action has been taken.

1643 Senator Ludwig: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—With reference to the article ‘Australians chased by anti-Mafia investigators’, in the Age of 22 March 2006, that four Australians are allegedly under investigation by Italian authorities for organised crime-related activities:

(1) Is this matter currently under inquiry by the Australian Crime Commission (ACC); if not, why not; if so: (a) when and by whom was it brought to the attention of the ACC; (b) on what date did the inquiry commence; and (c) what is the status of the investigation.

(2) Has the matter been referred by the ACC to the Australian Federal Police (AFP) for investigation or has a brief been referred to the AFP for consideration of a prosecution; if so: (a) on what date was the brief forwarded to the AFP; and (b) to the ACC’s knowledge, what action has been taken by the AFP in respect of this matter.

(3) Has the matter been referred by the ACC to the Commonwealth Director of Public Prosecutions (CDPP) for prosecution or has a brief been referred to the CDPP for consideration of a prosecution; if so: (a) on what date was the brief forwarded to the CDPP; and (b) to the ACC’s knowledge, what action has been taken by the CDPP in respect of this matter.

(4) Is the ACC aware of whether or not the Italian Government has brought extradition proceedings against the four persons named in the article; if so, can details be provided.

Notice given 24 March 2006

1644 Senator Siewert: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training—With reference to the appointment of Australia’s Chief Scientist, Dr Jim Peacock:

(1) Is the Minister aware that Dr Peacock has a stake in patents on some aspects of crop control and sterility technology.

(2) Will the Minister table details of any such patents; if not, why not.

(3) Is the Minister concerned about the appearance or reality of a conflict of interest in the Chief Scientist having a commercial stake in some of the policy areas in which he is responsible for advising the Government; if not, why not.

1646 Senator Siewert: To ask the Minister for Fisheries, Forestry and Conservation—With reference to the answer to question on notice no. 1331 (Senate Hansard, 9 February 2006, p. 106) and the $12.5 million Forestry Assistance Program for Western Australia and the $2.5 million Grants for Forest Communities program, announced by the Minister on 26 July 2004:

(1) Under each program: (a) what is the total amount that has been provided to date; and (b) how much more remains to be provided.
(2) Has the Australian National Audit Office (ANAO) undertaken an audit of these programs; if so, have the audit reports been tabled and if they have not, will the Minister table the audit reports.

(3) If the ANAO have not undertaken an audit of these programs: (a) why not; and (b) what steps have been taken to ensure that the grants have been used in accordance with the conditions attached to them.

Notice given 27 March 2006

1650 Senator McLucas: To ask the Minister for Ageing—With reference to the 2002-03, 2003-04 and 2004-05 Annual Reports of the Aged Care Standards and Accreditation Agency and their respective reporting on the numbers of ‘spot checks’:

(1) For each year listed above, and for 2005-06 to date, how many of these ‘spot checks’ were: (a) review audits with notice; (b) unannounced review audits; (c) support contacts with notice; and (d) unannounced support contacts.

(2) How much notice is given for: (a) unannounced review audits; and (b) unannounced support contacts.

(3) Which of ‘unannounced review audits’ or ‘unannounced support contacts’ would be considered to be a ‘spot check’.

Senator Murray: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1651-1652)—With reference to a report released by the Centre for Australian Ethical Research (CAER) in March 2006, Just how business is done? A review of Australian business’ approach to Bribery and Corruption:

(1) The CAER report notes sanctions in the United States of America (US) and the United Kingdom (UK) are more severe for companies and individuals engaging in bribery than those in Australia: (a) is this just a reflection of the generally weaker approach taken on this issue by the Australian Government; and (b) will the Government increase sanctions for bribery to match those of the US and UK.

(2) The CAER report notes that of the top 100 companies by market capitalisation in the UK, 92 per cent have explicitly prohibited giving and receiving bribes, in the US it is 80 per cent, in Europe it is 91 per cent, but in Australia it is approximately 50 per cent: what is the Government doing to bring Australian listed companies up to the standards of the UK, US and Europe.

(3) The CAER report notes that out of the S&P/ASX 100, 51 companies have explicitly prohibited their employees from giving and receiving bribes; only 18 companies have a policy prohibiting bribery and an appropriate system; and, only 5 companies have a policy prohibiting facilitation payments supported by an adequate system: (a) what is the Government doing to ensure all S&P/ASX 100 Australian companies take bribery and corruption seriously and have appropriate systems in place; and (b) what is the Government planning to do about bribery and corruption policies and systems in non-S&P/ASX 100 companies.

(4) The CAER report notes that the ASX does not currently suggest corruption as an issue for inclusion in business ethics codes: what is the Government doing to ensure the ASX takes more specific action on bribery and corruption in its codes.
(5) The CAER report notes that the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development Working Group on Bribery recently released a report on Australia’s application of international bribery conventions and that the report made a number of recommendations and highlighted a number of inconsistencies, including the inconsistent and vague way in which Australian law treats facilitation payments, in the way Australia approaches enforcing anti-corruption mandates: (a) what is the Government doing to ensure that Australia is seen to take bribery and corruption seriously; and (b) when will these recommendations and inconsistencies be dealt with.

1651 Minister representing the Treasurer
1652 Minister for Justice and Customs

1653 Senator Fielding: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Human Services—
(1) Who is the Registrar of the Child Support Agency.
(2) If there is an individual holding the position of Registrar, who appointed this person.
(3) (a) Which statutory instrument was used for this appointment; and (b) can a true and certified copy of the statutory instrument be provided; if not, why not.

Senator Allison: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1654-1655)—
(1) (a) How much money has the Government provided to the Australian Centre of Excellence in Male Reproductive Health since 2000, including the 1999-2000 financial year; and (b) can a breakdown be provided showing the total amount for each financial year, including commitments to funds in the future.
(2) When is this funding due to expire.
(3) Does the Government intend to provide the Australian Centre of Excellence in Male Reproductive Health with more funding after this date; if so, how much funding and over what period will it be provided; if not, why not.
(4) Has any of the funding been used to address managing male fertility, including male contraception; if so, can details be provided.
(5) Has any of the funding been used for educational purposes on managing male fertility; if so, can details be provided.
(6) Has an equivalent sum of money been provided to any organisation specifically for women’s reproductive health; if so, can details of this organisation and its funding be provided.

1654 Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing
1655 Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing

Senator Allison: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos 1656-1657)—
(1) What amount of money has the Government provided to the Jean Hailes Foundation since 2000, including the 1999-2000 financial year.
(2) Can the Government confirm that its funding to the Jean Hailes Foundation for professional development for clinicians and community education primarily focused on the health and wellbeing of women aged between 35 and 65 years is due to expire at the end of June 2006.
(3) Does the Government intend providing the Jean Hailes Foundation with more funding after this date; if so, what will be the amount of that funding and over what period of time; if not: (a) why not; and (b) will an equivalent sum of money be provided to another organisation to continue professional development for clinicians and community education on the health and wellbeing of women aged between 35 and 65 years.

1656 Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing
1657 Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing

1660 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—
(1) Is the Minister aware of reports that the organs of executed prisoners in China are removed without their knowledge or consent and used for transplant purposes.
(2) What information does the Minister have on the validity of these reports.
(3) Has the Government investigated whether any Australian citizens have received organ transplants from executed prisoners in China; if so, what were the findings from this investigation; if not, why not.
(4) Has the Government investigated whether Australians are involved in overseas commercial organ transplant activities; if so, what were the findings from this investigation; if not, why not.
(5) What current laws regulate the involvement of Australians in commercial organ transplant activities in Australia and overseas.

1661 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—
(1) Is the Minister aware that Pfizer has decided that it will not be making Olmetec available in Australia.
(2) Is the Minister aware that there are Australians who wish to have access to Olmetec who are not able to get access to the drug.
(3) What processes will the Government put in place to ensure that Australians will have access to Olmetec.
(4) Will patients be able to access this drug through the Special Access Scheme; if not, why not.
(5) Is the Minister aware that Pfizer has indicated that it will not be making Olmetec available as reference pricing has meant that the drug is not commercially viable in Australia.
(6) How many other drugs have not been made available in Australia even though they have been approved for release.
(7) How many other drugs have not been made available in Australia even though they have been recommended for funding.

1662 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Foreign Affairs—
(1) What knowledge does the Australian Government have on Philippine President Gloria Macapagal-Arroyo’s proclamation of a state of national emergency on 25 February 2006 and the holding of five members of the Philippine House of Representatives (Satur Ocampo, Teodoro Casino, Joel Virador, Liza Maza and Rafael Mariano) under threat of arrest.
(2) (a) What representations has the Australian Government made to the
Philippine Government in relation to this matter; and (b) if no
representations have been made, why not.

(3) Has the Australian Government expressed concern over the holding of
these democratically-elected members of parliament in the Philippines; if
not, why not.

1663 **Senator Stott Despoja:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for
Education, Science and Training—

(1) (a) Can the Minister clarify the funding arrangements for the Workplace
Productivity Programme; and (b) will the funding be derived from the
voluntary student unionism transition funds promised by the then Minister,
Dr Nelson, in 2005.

(2) When will the transition fund of $80 million over 3 years, promised by the
then Minister be made available to universities.

(3) How will this money be allocated.

1664 **Senator Wong:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Vocational and
Technical Education—

(1) On what date did the Minister decide to review the Government’s contracts
with the National Centre for Vocational Education Research Ltd (NCVER)
for research and statistical collection.

(2) On what dates, and for what purposes, has the Minister met with
representatives of the NCVER Ltd since 1 July 2005 and who attended each
meeting.

(3) (a) At which of these meetings did the Minister express concern over the
current operations of the NCVER; and (b) what was the nature and basis of
the Minister’s concerns.

(4) At which of these meetings did the Minister raise the issue of the review of
the NCVER.

(5) When was NCVER Ltd informed of the Government’s decision to review
these contracts.

(6) (a) On what date is the review due to report; and (b) when will the report
and recommendations be made public.

**Notice given 28 March 2006**

1665 **Senator Evans:** To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Employment
and Workplace Relations—With reference to the Indigenous Business
Development Programme grant made to Hillsong Emerge Pty Ltd totalling
$672 000 for business development through the Enterprise hubs and Shine
program:

(1) Can a timeline be provided of discussion and correspondence between the
department and representatives from Hillsong Emerge in relation to the
business development grant before Hillsong Emerge submitted its formal
application, including the location, date and attendees of any meetings, and
the dates and general contents of any correspondence.

(2) Did Hillsong Emerge approach the department, or vice-versa, in relation to
the business development grant.

(3) What services were intended to be provided free-of-charge to the
Indigenous community through the hubs.
(4) Is the department aware of any services provided by Hillsong Emerge from the hubs that were not free-of-charge; if so, can details be provided, including the fee for the service.

(5) Were services for a fee to Indigenous clients permitted under the grant.

(6) Did the department regulate or monitor fees that were charged by Hillsong Emerge for services rendered to Indigenous clients.

(7) Was the department aware that Hillsong Emerge offered to draft business strategic plans and proposals from these hubs for Indigenous clients for a fee; if so: (a) on what date did the department become aware of this; and (b) was this permitted under the grant.

(8) Were services to non-Indigenous clients permitted under the grant.

(9) How much funding was allocated for, and spent on, material by Hillsong Emerge to promote the activities of the hubs.

(10) How much of the funding grant was allocated and spent by Hillsong Emerge on developing staff manuals.

(11) (a) How much money did the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of Hillsong Emerge receive from this funding grant; and (b) what is the extent of the role of the CEO in the operation of the hubs.

(12) Will the Enterprise Hubs, run by Hillsong Emerge, receive any further funding from the Indigenous Business Development Programme after February 2006; if so, what will be the extent of the funding, including:
   (a) the amount of the grant or interim funding;
   (b) the nature and objectives of the initiative;
   (c) the specific programs, activities and services provided under the initiative;
   (d) the locations of the initiative; and
   (e) the start date and end date of the initiative.

(13) Has Hillsong Emerge received, or will it receive, any funding grants under the Indigenous Business Development Programme in the 2005-06 financial year; if so, can the following details be provided:
   (a) the amount of the grant;
   (b) the nature and objectives of the initiative;
   (c) the specific activities/services provided under the initiative;
   (d) the locations of the initiative; and
   (e) the start date and end date of the initiative.

(14) Not including Hillsong Emerge, are there any, or have there been, other enterprise hubs funded by the Indigenous Business Development Programme; if so, for each hub can the following details be provided:
   (a) the name of the organisation responsible for operating the hub;
   (b) the amount of funding granted in each of the: (i) 2004-05, and (ii) 2005-06 (to date) financial years.
   (c) the locations of the hub;
   (d) the programs that are run from the hub;
   (e) the purpose of the programs;
   (f) the number of staff who are employed under the grant; and
   (g) the performance indicators for the programs.
Senator Evans: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Families, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs—With reference to staff in the Office of Indigenous Policy Coordination (OIPC):

1. How many staff, by each state and territory, were/are in the OIPC as at:
   (a) 30 June 2005; and (b) today’s date (or most recent figure).

2. How many OIPC staff, by state and territory, were/are based in Indigenous Co-ordination Centres (ICC) as at: (a) June 2005; and (b) today’s date (or most recent figure).

3. What percentage of OIPC staff, by state and territory, have been employed for less than: (a) 6 months; (b) 12 months; and (c) 2 years.

4. What percentage of ICC staff, by state and territory, have been employed by the OIPC for less than: (a) 6 months; (b) 12 months; and (c) 2 years.

Notice given 29 March 2006

Senator Webber: To ask the Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts—

1. What is the timeline for the planned roll-out of ABC News Radio to regional Australia.

2. What is the proposed order in which areas will gain access to news radio services.

3. What is the proposed cost of this roll-out.

4. Can the Minister provide a time frame in which all areas of Australia will have access to news radio services.

Senator Bob Brown: To ask the Minister representing the Treasurer—

1. What measures have been taken to ensure the superannuation deductions of newly arrived refugees are being transferred to appropriate superannuation schemes.

2. Are there cases in which such refugees in Tasmanian do not receive the deductions benefits.

Senator Wong: To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—

1. For each year since 1996, and for each state and territory, how many ‘457’ visa applicants have been granted an exemption from the minimum skill requirements.

2. For each year since 1996, and for each state and territory, how many ‘457’ visas have been approved:
   (a) below the gazetted minimum salary level;
   (b) at the gazetted minimum salary level; and
   (c) above the gazetted minimum salary level.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—With reference to the abandoned vessel identified as Jian Seng:

1. On what date was the vessel first sighted in Australian waters.

2. Who first sighted the vessel.

3. Who reported it to the Australian Customs Service and/or another agency.

4. On what date was it reported.

5. What was the location of the vessel when first sighted.
(6) What activity was the vessel engaged in at the time of this first sighting.
(7) Was the vessel under tow.
(8) Was the vessel drifting.
(9) Were any people on board the vessel.
(10) Was the vessel in the vicinity of other vessels; if so, what is the identity of these vessels.
(11) On what date was the: (a) Australian Customs Service; (b) Minister’s office; and (c) the Minister, informed of this sighting.
(12) On what date did Customs undertake an aerial inspection of the vessel.
(13) What prompted this aerial inspection.
(14) If it was a further sighting: (a) who made the report; (b) what was the location of the vessel at the time of this sighting; and (c) what activity was the vessel engaged in at the time of this inspection.
(15) Was the vessel drifting.
(16) Was the vessel in the vicinity of other vessels.
(17) What was the location of the vessel at the time of the aerial inspection.
(18) What activity was the vessel engaged in at the time of this inspection.
(19) Was the vessel in the vicinity of other vessels; if so, what is the identity of these vessels.
(20) Was the vessel under tow.
(21) On what date was a Customs vessel dispatched to intercept the vessel.
(22) From which port was the Customs vessel dispatched.
(23) What prompted the dispatch of the Customs vessel.
(24) If it was a further sighting: (a) who made the report; (b) what was the location of the vessel at the time of this sighting; and (c) what activity was the vessel engaged in at the time of this inspection.
(25) Was the vessel drifting.
(26) Was the vessel in the vicinity of other vessels.
(27) On what date did the Customs vessel intercept the vessel.
(28) On what date was the vessel boarded.
(29) What was the reason for the delayed boarding.
(30) How was the vessel identified.
(31) What volume of rice was found on board.
(32) What other goods were found.
(33) Did officials of any other agency board the vessel with Customs.
(34) With reference to the statement by a Customs spokesperson that ‘it appears to have been adrift for some time before we boarded it’: how was it ascertained that the vessel had been adrift for some time.
(35) How long had the vessel been adrift before it was boarded.
(36) On what dates was: (a) the Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) (b) the Office of Transport Security; and (c) Maritime Safety Queensland, informed the vessel was drifting in Australian waters.
(37) On what date were other vessels in the area alerted about the hazard represented by the unmanned and unlit vessel.
(38) On what date was responsibility for the vessel passed to AMSA.

(39) What disaggregated costs have been borne by the department and its agencies in relation to the identification and management of the vessel.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to the abandoned vessel identified as ‘Jian Seng’:

(1) On what date was the: (a) Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA); (b) Office of Transport Security; (c) Minister’s office; and (d) Minister, informed that the vessel was adrift in the Gulf of Carpentaria.

(2) In each case: (a) what was the source of the information; and (b) what was the location of the vessel at that time.

(3) On what date was an alert about the unlit and unmanned ‘Jian Seng’ issued to vessels in its vicinity.

(4) What was the form of the alert.

(5) What was the location of the vessel at this time.

(6) How did AMSA manage the potential risk to the marine environment posed by this adrift vessel.

(7) On what date did AMSA assume responsibility for control of the vessel.

(8) What was the location of the vessel at this time.

(9) What emergency towage arrangements were put in place.

(10) On what date did the vessel arrive at Weipa.

(11) Was the vessel anchored outside or inside Weipa Harbour; if outside, why.

(12) (a) Which agencies inspected the vessel; (b) on what dates; and (c) for what purposes.

(13) (a) Was the hull inspected for exotic marine pests; and (b) how was this inspection conducted.

(14) On what date was the vessel permitted entry to Weipa Harbour.

(15) What role, if any, have agencies other than AMSA, including but not necessarily limited to AusSar and Marine Safety Queensland, played in: (a) the minimisation of risk to the marine environment and other vessels; and (b) the recovery of the vessel.

(16) What is the vessel’s home port and registry.

(17) What disaggregated costs have been borne by the department and its agencies in relation to the identification and management of the vessel.

(18) (a) On what date was the International Maritime Organisation (IMO) number of the vessel identified; and (b) what is the IMO number.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—

(1) Does the Office of Transport Security monitor the presence of vessels in Australian waters; if so, how.

(2) On what date did the Office of Transport Security identify the presence of the abandoned vessel ‘Jian Seng’ in Australian waters.

(3) How long had the ‘Jian Seng’ been adrift before the Office of Transport Security identified its presence.

(4) Was there any lapse of awareness by the Office of Transport Security in relation to the ‘Jian Seng’; if so, has the Minister investigated this lapse.
Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—with reference to the operations of Pan Shipping Australia:

1. Has the vessel Boomerang I been re-flagged to the Australian registry; if so, on what date.

2. On what date did Boomerang I commence coastal trading.

3. (a) What was the port of origin; and (b) what was the port of destination.

4. Have any Flag of Convenience vessels trading under a single or continuous voyage permit carried cargo for which the Boomerang I was available and suitable for the task; if so, in each case:
   (a) can the dates and the ports of origin and destination be provided;
   (b) when and how was the department informed of this carriage;
   (c) did the department subsequently issue any directions to the permit holder including a direction to discharge the cargo; if so (i) on what dates were these directions issued, and (ii) how did the permit holder respond;
   (d) did the carriage of the containers constitute a breach of the permit;
   (e) on what date did the permit holder provide a Statement of Cargo Actually Carried;
   (f) what was the nature of the cargo;
   (g) what action has the department initiated against the permit holder; and
   (h) what action has the department taken to prevent a further breach of the coastal trade provisions of the Navigation Act 1912 undermining Pan Shipping Australia’s operations.

Senator Crossin: To ask the Minister for the Arts and Sport—

1. What were the arrangements for the transfer of money devoted to Indigenous Sport under Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Commission (ATSIC) to be transferred to the Department of Communications, Information Technology and the Arts (DCITA).

2. What date did this transfer occur.

3. How much money was transferred from ATSIC’s budget to DCTIA for use on indigenous sports programs.

4. (a) What was the amount of money budgeted for under the Indigenous Sport and Recreation Program (ISRP) for the 2004-05 and 2005-06 financial years; and (b) what amount was expended during the 2004-05 and 2005-06 financial years.

5. Can a list be provided of all programs or requests funded under this program by state and territory and the amount allocated to each of these programs.

6. Who is responsible for assessing funds to be allocated under this program.

7. Are requests for funding initially assessed by state and territory departments of DCITA and then forwarded to Canberra for final approval; if so, how many requests for funding under this program were received in the Northern Territory (NT) in each of the 2004-05 and 2005-06 financial years.

8. How many of these were approved by the NT office of DCITA but not given final approval.
(9) How many of these were not given any approval or funds at all during these years.
(10) Why were these unallocated funds under this program at the end of last year.
(11) What process was put in place to reassess unallocated funds.
(12) In regard to the AFL (Australian Football League) NT’s initial application for $200 000 for the 2005-06 financial year that was not approved: (a) on what grounds was this funding not approved; and (b) who was responsible for that recommendation.
(13) Given that the AFL NT originally applied for $200 000 and was finally given only $75 000, where has the remaining $125 000 been allocated.
(14) What indicators or objectives are used to elevate programs that receive any funding under this program.
(15) Can a copy be provided of each and every program, including the objectives and performance indicators, or evaluation, that was funded in the NT under this program for the 2005-06 financial year.

Notice given 30 March 2006

*1676 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training—

(1) What projects are currently receiving federal funding for learning disability.
(2) What initiatives have been taken by the Government since 1996 to assist children with learning disabilities in schools.
(3) Does the Government consider that the needs of an estimated 2 in 10 children with an identified learning disability or problem are currently being met.
(4) Why did the former Minister, Dr Nelson, indicate to ACLB Ltd (Australian Children’s Literary Board), the not-for-profit organisation that runs educational and artistic programs for children with learning disabilities, that he supported its work but that the Government educational policy does not allow for it to be federally-funded.

Notice given 3 April 2006

*1677 Senator Bob Brown: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Foreign Affairs—With reference to the visit of the Chinese State Premier, Wen Jiabao, in April 2006:

(1) How will the issue of political repression in China, including censorship of the press and Internet, be promoted by the Minister.
(2) Will the Minister request that China end its ban on political parties other than the Community Party.
(3) Will the occupation of Tibet and repression of Tibetan political and religious freedom be discussed.
(4) Will the Foreign Minister foster an Australian parliamentary group being given free access for a visit to Tibet in 2006.
(5) Will Australia emulate the United States of America by requesting that His Holiness the Dalai Lama be invited to visit China.
Senator Murray: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Families, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs—With reference to the answer to question on notice no. 1459 (Senate Hansard, 30 March 2006, p.163):

1. Will the Minister guarantee that necessary accountability regulations and processes are put in place to rectify the ‘uncertainty’ in relation to the Commonwealth State Territory Disability Agreement (CSTDA) expenditure as identified by the Australian National Audit Office (ANAO) in its performance audit of the CSTDA, report no. 14 of 2005-06 (paragraph 38).

2. Will the Minister seriously address the ANAO’s finding that currently there are no ‘adequate measures’ (paragraph 3.52) to determine whether the CSTDA is either effectively or efficiently meeting its objectives of improving the quality of life for disabled persons.

3. Will the Minister acknowledge that failure to ensure full transparency and accountability can result in serious contraventions of the intent of an agreement such as the CSTDA.

4. (a) Will the Minister honour Senator Murray and the Senate with an adequate response to the very serious allegation of financial impropriety involving the Hospitaller Order of St John of God (HOSJG), New South Wales, and the St John of God Services, Victoria, as given in evidence to the 2001 Community Affairs References Committee’s child migrant inquiry (Submission no.79, p.11; and Committee Hansard, 11 November 2003, p.37), and as brought to the Minister’s attention by Senator Murray’s copied letter on 26 November 2003; and (b) why has this matter not been followed up by the department.

5. Will the Minister also ensure that appropriate staff screening, selection, recruitment, training and supervision measures are in place when determining whether an organisation is a fit and proper recipient of funds (either new or ongoing) under the CSTDA, especially in institutions where disabled persons have been alleged or found to be the victims of physical, sexual and emotional abuse, as with the HOSJG.

6. Overall, and with respect to the answer to question on notice no. 1459, will the Minister display an appropriate level of ministerial responsibility by responding to these questions in a substantive manner rather than with blunt, dismissive and uncaring responses as previously received.

Senator Siewert: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Local Government, Territories and Roads—With reference to the requirement for Phosphate Resources Limited (PRL) to rehabilitate its mined areas on Christmas Island:

1. What are the objectives of, and completion criteria for, this rehabilitation.

2. How much area has PRL treated for rehabilitation and where are these areas located.

3. What have been the outcomes of this attempted mine rehabilitation.

4. How much of the affected area now meets rehabilitation completion criteria.

5. How much area remains to be rehabilitated by PRL.

6. What is the estimated cost of completing PRL’s rehabilitation obligations.

7. Has sufficient financial provision been made for these liabilities.
Senator Stott Despoja: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—With reference to the recently-announced pregnancy support counselling package and the National Pregnancy Support Telephone Helpline:

(1) When will the regulations for the new Medicare item number be introduced in the Parliament.

(2) Through what mechanism will the helpline be implemented; for example, will it require legislation or regulation; if so, when will the legislation or regulations be introduced.

(3) Will the helpline be providing a counselling service, a referral service, or both.

(4) If the helpline will be providing counselling, will this be available for women who wish to continue with their pregnancies and/or women who wish to terminate their pregnancies and/or women who are uncertain about whether they wish to continue or terminate their pregnancies.

(5) The Medicare Benefits Schedule (MBS) items will be available to women who have had a pregnancy in the preceding 12 months: will women who have:

(a) had a baby in the preceding 12 months be provided with information and support through the helpline; if not: (i) why not, (ii) what services will be available to them, and (iii) how and at what point will it be made clear that this service does not provide support for women who have had babies in the preceding 12 months; and

(b) had an abortion in the preceding 12 months be provided with information and support through the helpline; if not: (i) why not, (ii) what services will be available to them, and (iii) how and at what point will it be made clear that this service does not provide support for women who have had an abortion in the preceding 12 months.

(6) If the helpline will be providing a referral service, will this be available to women who wish to continue with their pregnancies and/or women who wish to terminate their pregnancies and/or women who are uncertain about whether they wish to continue or terminate their pregnancies; if so, why; if not, why not.

(7) The Government’s media release states 'The Helpline will provide information on a full range of services and organisations available to support pregnant women’:

(a) what does the Government mean by the phrase ‘services and organisations available to support pregnant women’; and

(b) does this include services for women who wish to terminate their pregnancies, that is, will the helpline refer women to pregnancies termination services; if not: (i) what support and information will be provided by the helpline to women who want to terminate their pregnancies, (ii) how will women who want to terminate their pregnancies be informed that the helpline does not refer to abortion services, (iii) at what point in any call to the helpline will women be informed that the helpline does not refer to abortion services, (iv) will the ‘targeted communication activities’ to inform women of the helpline make it clear that the helpline does not support women seeking abortion or refer to abortion services; if not, why not, and
(v) what other services will be available to support women who want to terminate their pregnancies before and after a decision to terminate.

(8) If the helpline will be referring women to other support and counselling services:
   (a) what is meant by the ‘broad philosophy within which any organisation or service provider operates’;
   (b) will the broad philosophy include whether the service supports or refers women who wish to have an abortion;
   (c) how will the Government determine the ‘broad philosophy within which any organisation or service provider operates’;
   (d) will the referral to another service include a clear statement of whether the services provides a referral to an abortion clinic; if not, why not;
   (e) how and at what point in the conversation will women calling the helpline be informed of the philosophical outlook of a service they are being referred to;
   (f) how will the Government determine which services the helpline will refer women to;
   (g) will the helpline only refer to services in receipt of Government funding;
   (h) will the helpline have the Government imprimatur on it; if so, what are the legitimate expectations and level of trust that women can have of a service endorsed by the Government;
   (i) will the services that women will be referred to by the helpline have the Government imprimatur on them; if so, does this mean that the Government is endorsing these services;
   (j) what recourse will women have if they are deceived, misled or subjected to malpractice by services to which they are referred by the helpline (i.e. where can complaints be lodged, who will respond to such complaints and in what time frame); and
   (k) what will be the consequences for services that women are referred to by the helpline that deceive or mislead women or otherwise provide a service which is not consistent with good practice in counselling.

(9) Will the helpline give women factual information about abortion; if so:
   (a) how will the factual nature be determined; (b) will it be determined by the World Health Organization (WHO) or relevant professional medical and health bodies (the Australian Medical Association, for instance).

(10) Will the helpline be permitted to provide information contradicted by organisations like the WHO or relevant professional medical and health bodies; if so, why; if not, what will be the consequences for the contracted service if they do.

(11) What sort of undertakings and monitoring will the Government be doing to ensure women are being delivered an honest, unbiased service by the helpline.

(12) What recourse will women have if they are given deceiving or misleading information about the risks of termination by services to which they are referred by the helpline (i.e. where can complaints be lodged, who will respond to such complaints and in what time frame).
13) The media release states that the contract for the successful tenderer to provide the helpline will include regular reporting against ‘agreed outcomes’:

(a) will an agreed outcome be to reduce the number of abortions in Australia; if so: (i) how is this consistent with the service being non-directive, and (ii) what will be the consequence for services that fail to deliver it; and

(b) will an agreed outcome be to reduce the number of unplanned pregnancies; if not, why not.

14) According to the information available so far, to qualify for the MBS rebate general practitioners (GPs) may provide the counselling or refer clients to an allied health professional to receive counselling:

(a) will GPs and allied health professionals be required to declare their philosophical outlook with regard to abortion, including whether they will refer women to an abortion service; if not, how will the Government ensure that women are receiving an unbiased service; and

(b) how will GPs know who to refer to.

15) Why have qualified GPs, psychologists and other professionals, who just happen to work for abortion clinics, been excluded from the MBS rebate.

16) Why has the Government excluded these professionals, but not pregnancy counsellors who are linked to anti-choice organisations.

17) What role will the Minister play in determining the successful tenderer for the helpline.

18) What is the timeline for the tender process.

19) Who will decide who will sit on the advisory council for the: (a) helpline operator tender process; and (b) development of the training modules tender process.

20) (a) What criteria will be used to determine what professional bodies will be represented on the advisory councils; and (b) who will decide these criteria.

21) How often will the performance of the successful tenderer be evaluated.

22) Given that post-natal depression affects one in seven women giving birth in Australia, including pregnancies which were planned, why is the availability of the MBS pregnancy counselling item post pregnancy restricted to women who have had an unintended pregnancy in the preceding 12 months.

23) Is the Government going to introduce an MBS pregnancy counselling item which will be available to support all women post pregnancy.

24) Will the MBS item counselling be available to women regardless of whether they continue with their pregnancies or not.

25) The Government’s questions and answers document on the pregnancy support measures says that the helpline is for women who wish to explore their options in the face of unintended pregnancy or where they are uncertain about continuing with the pregnancy and that callers in other circumstances will be referred to more appropriate services: does this mean that women with a planned pregnancy will not be able to use this helpline to access support; if not, will the Government be providing funding for pregnancy support counselling for these women.
(26) Will the Government be providing funding to support GPs and allied health professionals to undertake the training module that will be developed in relation to pregnancy counselling and advice, as it did for the Better Outcomes in Mental Health Care Program.

(27) Why will it not be mandatory for GPs to have completed the training module that will be developed in relation to pregnancy counselling and advice before they are able to access the MBS pregnancy counselling item as was required for the Medicare items for the GP counselling.

(28) Given that the proposed helpline closely resembles one proposed by Senator Santoro in 2005, can you please advise which of Senator Santoro’s following proposals will be included in the Government’s hotline:

(a) details of support and support organisations available to women during pregnancy;
(b) details of support and support organisations for women with a new baby;
(c) details of government financial benefits available to women who have a new baby and ongoing support for single mothers;
(d) medical information about pregnancy and foetal development, childbirth and abortion, including information about the risks associated with abortion, both long-term and short-term, physical and psychological; if so, will this information be approved by the AMA, WHO, or National Health and Medical Research Council;
(e) medical information about the risks involved with a pregnancy and childbirth; and
(f) information on options other than abortion; if so, will the helpline also give out information on the abortion option and provide referrals to abortion service providers.

(29) The goals of Senator Santoro’s proposal included collecting:

(a) demographic information on women who have abortions, such as statistics on age and marital status;
(b) statistics on the gestational age at which pregnancies are terminated;
(c) the ground for abortions taking place (that is, for reason of physical or mental health of women having abortions, or because of suspected medical condition of the foetus);
(d) statistics on methods used to terminate the pregnancy;
(e) statistics on post-operative complications experienced by women who have abortions;
(f) information on the number of abortions which take place in metropolitan and country hospitals, public and private facilitates, and on whether the woman undergoing the abortion is a metropolitan or country resident; and
(g) statistics on the category, that is, where, of doctor performing the termination.

Can the department confirm:

(i) whether any of this information will be collected about women terminating their pregnancies, and
(ii) the reporting requirements for counsellors operating under the Government’s proposed pregnancy counselling helpline (for example, will they play a role in confirming the legality of a woman’s reasons for terminating; will they report on the names of doctors the woman has seen about this or other pregnancies and the nature of their advice and referral patterns; and will they report on the woman’s experience with an abortion service provider).

*1682 Senator Evans: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Families, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs—With reference to the Shared Responsibility Agreement (SRA) evaluation process:

1. Who are the consultants that have been selected to conduct evaluations in the 2005-06 financial year.
2. What are the criteria against which the SRAs will be assessed.
3. (a) Will each SRA be assessed to determine whether both the community and Government have delivered their obligations; and (b) how will this be assessed.
4. Will the evaluations involve an assessment of quantitative data or be more qualitative in nature.
5. How many evaluations do you expect will be completed in the 2005-06 financial year.
6. How many final evaluation reports has the Office of Indigenous Policy and Coordination received to date.
7. Can copies be provided of any evaluation reports completed to date.
8. Have the relevant communities been provided with copies of the report.
9. What are the names of the locations of SRAs that have been evaluated to date.
10. How many SRAs have been identified through the evaluation process, to date, as involving unmet obligations, either by the community or Government.

*1683 Senator Evans: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Families, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs—In relation to the negotiation of Indigenous regional representation arrangements by the Office of Indigenous Policy Coordination (OIPC):

1. (a) How many arrangements have been finalised to date; and (b) where are they located.
2. How many arrangements, and in what regions, are currently being negotiated by OIPC.
3. What section of OIPC is responsible for negotiating these arrangements.
4. How much funding was allocated to this task in the 2005-06 financial year.
5. (a) On average, how many OIPC staff work full-time on negotiating a set of arrangements in one region; and (b) what are their staff classifications.
6. What resources are provided to Indigenous regional representatives to develop such arrangements, including: (a) travel expenses; (b) sitting fees for meetings with OIPC; (c) office facilities; and (d) expenses associated with arranging community meetings.
7. Are there any limits on how many Indigenous representatives OIPC is prepared to formally negotiate with in a particular region; if so, what is this limit.
(8) In the 2005-06 financial year, how many Indigenous representatives have received financial support or remuneration for their involvement in negotiations.

(9) Is OIPC prepared to allocate funds to regional representative structures for their ongoing administrative costs; if so, can specific details be provided of what OIPC is prepared to fund.

*1684 Senator Evans: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations—

(1) When were the Community Development Employment Projects (CDEP) guidelines for the 2005-06 financial year first implemented.

(2) What is the definition of a job placement.

(3) How long does a job placement last for.

(4) (a) How much are employers paid as an incentive to provide a job placement to a CDEP participant; and (b) can details be provided of all payments at the beginning, middle and end of the placement.

(5) What is the Minister’s definition of employment, including how many hours per week and how long it must last.

(6) Do the job placements arranged by CDEP organisations differ from those that are arranged by Job Network providers; if so, how.

(7) Are CDEP organisations and Job Network providers paid the same fee for placing a participant of their respective programs into a job placement; if not, what is the difference.

(8) How many CDEP participants have been put in job placements since 1 July 2005.

(9) How many CDEP participants have had job placements in CDEP organisations in the 2005-06 financial year.

(10) Does the department have any data on how many CDEP participants obtain employment as a result of a job placement; if so, can the data be provided for the 2005-06 financial year.

(11) Does the department have any data on how many CDEP participants have obtained full-time employment generally; if so, can the data be provided for the 2005-06 financial year.

(12) How many CDEP participants have obtained employment, either full-time or part-time, in CDEP organisations in the 2005-06 financial year.

Notice given 10 April 2006

*1685 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources—

(1) How many completed Australian patent applications have been lodged with IP Australia in each of the following years: (a) 2003; (b) 2004; (c) 2005; and (d) 2006 to date.

(2) How many completed Australian patent applications were granted in each of the above years.

(3) How many patent examiners were employed by IP Australia in each of the following years: (a) 2003; (b) 2004; and (c) 2005.

(4) For each of the above years, how many of these officers were employed on a full-time basis and how many were employed on a fixed-term or casual basis.
(5) What is the number of patent examiners currently employed by IP Australia.

(6) How many are employed on a full-time basis and how many are employed on a fixed-term or casual basis.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to the Minister’s statement of 21 February 2006 that the Government has indicated to Singapore it is willing to embrace open skies when the aviation industry returns to ‘normal levels’: can a definition of ‘normal levels’ be provided.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to the Minister’s commitment, given on 21 February 2006, to raise the matter of a European air services agreement with the British Prime Minister, Mr Tony Blair, during his visit to Australia ‘and whenever we have opportunities to meet with leaders in other parts of the world’:

(1) Did the Minister raise this matter with Mr Blair during his visit in March 2006; if so, what response did the Minister receive; if not, why not.

(2) Has the Minister raised this matter with other heads of government; if so, which heads of government and, in each case, what response has the Minister received; if not, why not.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to the Government’s decision to liberalise air travel between Australia and the rest of the world, announced on 3 June 1999, which was described at the time as ‘great news for cities such as Adelaide, Cairns and Darwin’ by the former Minister, Mr Anderson:

(1) What was Adelaide’s total number of international passengers in 1999 compared to 2005.

(2) What was Adelaide’s share of international passengers in 1999 compared to 2005.

(3) What was Adelaide’s total number of international flights in 1999 compared to 2005.

(4) What was Adelaide’s share of international flights in 1999 compared to 2005.

(5) How many international airports did Adelaide serve in 1999 compared to 2005.

(6) What was Cairns’ total number of international passengers in 1999 compared to 2005.

(7) What was Cairns’ share of international passengers in 1999 compared to 2005.

(8) What was Cairns’ total number of international flights in 1999 compared to 2005.

(9) What was Cairns’ share of international flights in 1999 compared to 2005.

(10) How many international airports did Cairns serve in 1999 compared to 2005.

(11) What was Darwin’s total number of international passengers in 1999 compared to 2005.

(12) What was Darwin’s share of international passengers in 1999 compared to 2005.
(13) What was Darwin’s total number of international flights in 1999 compared to 2005.
(14) What was Darwin’s share of international flights in 1999 compared to 2005.
(15) How many international airports did Darwin serve in 1999 compared to 2005.
(16) Which regional airports serviced international flights in 1999 compared to 2005.
(17) Which international airlines flew to Australia in 1999 compared to 2005.

*1689 Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services—With reference to the Post-licence Driver Education Programme announced during the 2004 election campaign:
(1) Which overseas models were considered when developing this program.
(2) Has a trial of the program been undertaken; if so, when did the trial commence and when did it conclude, by location.
(3) How many drivers were involved in the trial.
(4) What incentives were offered to young people to participate in the trial.
(5) What was the cost of these incentives.
(6) What was the cost of the trial.
(7) Has the trial been evaluated; if so: (a) what was the methodology of the evaluation; (b) when did the evaluation begin; and (c) when was it completed.
(8) What did the evaluation cost.
(9) Can a copy be provided of the evaluation report; if not, why not.
(10) What are the total costs attributed to this program for each financial year since its inception.
(11) Will a compulsory national young-driver education program be introduced in 2007; if not, why not; if so, when will the program commence.

Notice given 11 April 2006

*1690 Senator Bishop: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Defence—
(1) How many ships currently comprise the Royal Australian Navy fleet.
(2) What is the name, type, tonnage, home port and optimum crewing level of each ship.
(3) What is the current crewing level of each ship.
(4) (a) Which ships are currently: (i) laid up, or (ii) subject to maintenance; and (b) what is their location, what is the nature of the maintenance, estimated duration, contracted cost and the name of the contractor.
(5) In which cases have maintenance contracts been extended, prices revised and what was the reason in each case.
(6) For each ship undergoing maintenance: (a) what duties have been assigned to crews; and (b) how many have been transferred to other ships.
*1691 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

(1) (a) How many warheads does China have in its current nuclear arsenal; and
(b) how many of those warheads are mounted on: (i) short-range missiles, (ii) intermediate range missiles, (iii) long-range missiles, (iv) mobile launchers, (v) submarines, and (vi) aircraft.

(2) (a) How many long-range missiles does China have and what are their characteristics; and (b) what plans does China have to upgrade its nuclear arsenal.

(3) Which facilities are used for the supply of fissile material for the Chinese nuclear arsenal.

(4) What facilities does China possess for enrichment and/or conversion of 308 to UF6 and which of those facilities are 100 per cent civil-dedicated.

(5) Are any Chinese conversion and enrichment facilities 100 per cent civil use only.

(6) (a) Which Chinese conversion and enrichment facilities are used for both civil and military purposes; and (b) is such joint-use common not only in China, but in a number of countries to which Australia exports uranium, including France.

(7) Can the Government guarantee positively that all facilities listed under Annex B of the Australia-China safeguards agreement are for civil use only and have no military connection whatsoever.

(8) Can a complete list be provided of all facilities listed under Annex B, including details of the uses and history of these facilities.

(9) Can the Government guarantee that Australian uranium exported to China will never be subjected to the following common practices: (a) flag-swapping; (b) identity swapping; and (c) safeguards obligations swapping.

(10) How much uranium does China produce itself per year.

(11) Is China’s own uranium production capacity subject to International Atomic Energy Agency safeguards.

(12) How much of China’s domestically-produced uranium would be utilised by its military programs.

(13) How much of China’s domestically-produced uranium is used in civil programs.

(14) How much of this is likely to be displaced by Australian uranium and become available for military programs.

Senator Allison: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos *1692-*1693)—With reference to the recent statements by the Prime Minister about the removal of discrimination against same-sex couples, and to the then Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer, Senator Coonan’s, second reading speech on 22 June 2004 in relation to proposed interdependency provisions in Commonwealth superannuation schemes:

(1) What was the result of the review conducted by ministers responsible for the Commonwealth superannuation schemes, to ‘ensure consistency with these interdependency amendments’. 
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(2) When is it anticipated that legislation ensuring this ‘consistency’ will be introduced in the Parliament.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—With reference to the compensation claim against the Government by Marnic Worldwide Pty Ltd:

1. On what basis was it determined that the claim be considered under the Compensation for Detriment Caused by Defective Administration (CDDA) Scheme and not under legal services directions.

2. (a) Who undertook the above assessment process; (b) when was the assessment process commenced; (c) when was the assessment process completed; and (d) who made the final determination.

3. Was the decision to consider this matter under the CDDA scheme based on precedents; if so, can details be provided of these precedents.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—With reference to the compensation claim against the Government by Marnic Worldwide Pty Ltd: Has the Government reached agreement with Marnic on the facts of this matter: (a) if so: (i) on what date, (ii) what material did the Government use to establish the agreed facts, (iii) did that material include material supplied by Marnic and its legal advisors, and (iv) what are the agreed facts; and (b) if not: (i) why not, (ii) what action is the Government taking to reach agreement with Marnic on the agreed facts, (iii) what material is the Government using to establish the agreed facts, and (iv) does that material include material supplied by Marnic and its legal advisors.

Senator O’Brien: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—With reference to the compensation claim against the Government by Marnic Worldwide Pty Ltd:

1. Has the Government appointed an independent person to assess the quantum of damages payable to Marnic, as it did in relation to the Hewett compensation claim; if so, who is the independent person and how was that person selected.

2. Does the Government intend to appoint an independent person; if so, how will that person be selected; if not: (a) why not; and (b) who will undertake the assessment of the quantum of damages payable to Marnic.

Senator Crossin: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations—

1. (a) How are decisions being made on the removal of the Remote Area Exemption (RAE) in remote communities; and (b) what are the roles of both the community and Government.

2. If a community agrees to the removal of the RAE, is it correct that they can then get as many Community Development Employment Projects (CDEP) places as they like; if so, will all of these participants also have to sign on with a job finder.
(3) How long will these people be able to stay on a CDEP.

(4) What other conditions would apply in such a case.

(5) (a) How are CDEP participant places now being allocated; and (b) who makes the decision on the number of places.

(6) If remote communities can in fact increase the number of CDEP participants, by removing the RAE, which CDEP funding will increase with it (for example, wages and oncosts – both recurrent and capital – or just wages).

(7) If oncosts do increase: (a) by what amounts will they increase; and (b) is it on a set formula amount per participant.

(8) If the number of participants increases and oncost funding rises: (a) where will the additional funding be found; and (b) is it new money; if not, from which existing program will it be taken.

(9) If a community can and, in fact, does want to increase CDEP numbers in this way, what help will they be given in overcoming problems such as lack of housing for any additional supervisory or training staff within the community.

(10) Given that young people are supposed to undergo education or training and that this will require more education and training facilities and staff in regional and remote areas: what plans are there to address these needs.

(11) Under the proposed changes to CDEP, announced on 30 March 2006, what classification applies to towns such as Alice Springs, Tennant Creek, Nhulunbuy, Katherine and Darwin (i.e. are they metropolitan, regional or remote.

(12) With reference to CDEP participants in Darwin working in schools or at the hospital: if after 12 months they are still in these positions and still on CDEP, will they be forced off CDEP.

(13) Given that Maningrida Marine Rangers are presently on a CDEP: will the rangers have to come off the project if they are still on the CDEP in 12 or 24 months time.

(14) In an urban or regional area, if a participant still has not got a ‘real’ job after 12 months what social security will they receive.

(15) Can former participants return to a CDEP; if so, after how long.

(16) If a young person undertakes training and at the end of it there is still no ‘real’ job, what happens to that person.

(17) (a) What is the national budget for CDEP; and (b) can a breakdown be provided of the component parts.

(18) How much of the national budget for CDEP is spent in the Northern Territory.

(19) Can an up-to-date list be provided of CDEP organisations in the Northern Territory, including the number of participants in each organisation.

Notice given 21 April 2006

*1698 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Families, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs—Can a copy of the report into the review of the In-Home Care Program be provided; if not, why not.
Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Families, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs—

(1) What is the timeline for the National Standards for Child Care Services (NSCCS) Project being undertaken as part of the work of the Children’s Services Sub-Committee within the Council of Australian Governments (COAG) process.

(2) Can a copy of the project brief for the NSCCS be provided; if not, why not.

(3) Can a copy of the report into the review of the national standards for child care services be provided; if not, why not.

Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—With reference to Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS) and that the incidence of SIDS is five times higher in Aboriginal and Torres Straight Islander communities than the national average; according to the National Institute of Clinical Studies Report Evidence-Practice Gaps, Volume 2, research indicates putting infants in the back sleeping position produces greater protection from SIDS than the side position; and the majority of Indigenous infants appear to be placed on their side to sleep: Can details of any Government-funded educational and public awareness campaigns for Indigenous communities that specifically emphasise the need for back sleeping position rather than an avoidance of stomach sleeping position be provided, including: (a) the amount of funding; (b) timeframe for implementation; and (c) copy of any of the materials.

Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—With reference to the National Institute of Clinical Studies Report Evidence-Practice Gaps, Volume 2, which reported that half of the people with asthma for whom preventers would be beneficial are not taking them regularly and that there is currently an overuse of ipratropium bromide in the management of mild and moderate asthma attacks:

(1) What is the Government doing to investigate the reasons for under use of preventers, including costs of medications and consultations.

(2) What, if any, education programs has the Government implemented to encourage appropriate use of preventers in the ongoing treatment of asthma.

(3) What does the Government intend doing to decrease the inappropriate use of ipratropium bromide.

Senator Allison: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing—With reference to the study ‘Hospitalisation and costs attributable to tobacco smoking in Australia 2001-2002’, by Associate Professor Susan Hurley, conducted for the VicHealth Centre for Tobacco Control and published in the Medical Journal of Australia, 2 January 2006, which identified significant savings to the health care system from investment in tobacco control programs:

(1) Has the Government funded any research into this issue; if so, can details be provided of this funding and research; if not, why not.

(2) Does the Government agree that there is a link between anti-smoking campaigns and lower hospitalisations due to smoking and smoking-related illnesses, proving the cost effectiveness of such campaigns.

(3) (a) Will provision for these findings be made in the upcoming May 2006 Budget; and (b) will anti-smoking campaigns be given greater financial or other assistance; if not, why not.
Senator Allison: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos *1703-*1704)—

(1) Is the Minister aware of the United Kingdom (UK) study of ‘Sex and relationship education for 13-16 year olds: Evidence from England’ conducted by the RIPPLE Study Team and reported in Sex Education, Volume 6, No. 1, February 2006.

(2) Is the Minister aware that the UK Government has, in recent years, focused on the need to improve sex and relationship education (SRE) in schools in order to reduce the rates of teenage pregnancies and the number of young people contracting sexually-transmitted infections (STIs).

(3) Given the similar rates of teenage pregnancy and STIs in Australia, does the Minister share the UK Government’s policy objective on this issue.

(4) Is the Minister aware that UK studies have thus far shown that:
   (a) often little time is allocated for the delivery of SRE, it is delivered too late for many students and tends to have an overly biological focus;
   (b) SRE has failed to address affective issues around emotions and relationships, attitudes or skills development;
   (c) lack of time available for planning and delivery of SRE; and
   (d) lack of teachers’ confidence and commitment, embarrassment and lack of training, and difficulties with implementing and monitoring a cross-curricular approach.

(5) Does the Minister consider that these may also be issues in Australia.

(6) When was the last time a study was conducted into SRE in Australian schools.

(7) What plans does the Minister have to:
   (a) commission research into SRE;
   (b) discuss teenage pregnancy, STIs and/or SRE with state and territory health and education ministers; and
   (c) reduce the current rates of teenage pregnancies and teenage STIs.

*1703 Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing
*1704 Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training

Senator Allison: To ask the Ministers listed below (Question Nos *1705-*1706)—With reference to the White Paper on overseas aid and the core group recommendation that Australia increase its aid funding for basic health needs, including a strengthening of the HIV/AIDS response and rolling back malaria in the Pacific:

(1) Will the Government also consider a specific focus on tuberculosis (TB); if not, why not.

(2) Will there be designated funding streams for HIV/AIDS, TB and malaria.

(3) Will the Government consider increasing in Australian funding for these three diseases by at least $125 million in each of the next two years of which at least $70 million each year be allocated to the global fund to fight HIV/AIDS, TB and malaria.

*1705 Minister representing the Treasurer
*1706 Minister representing the Minister for Foreign Affairs
*1707 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—With reference to the article published in the Sydney Morning Herald of 13 April 2006, regarding drug crime boss, Mr Michael Hurley:

(1) Is the Minister aware that the bail hearing for Mr Hurley heard that he had arranged a police bribe to obtain the identity of the police informant 'Tom' and that by the time Operation Mocha had moved in on Mr Hurley and Mr Les Mara they had fled and that, according to Crown prosecutors, Mr Hurley 'had the capacity to subvert authorities'.

(2) Have the Australian Federal Police (AFP) identified and charged officers known to have been 'subverted' by Mr Hurley and others, over the past 20 years.

(3) What investigation, if any, is taking place into the matter.

(4) Was recently dismissed AFP officer Mr Gerry Fletcher at any stage suspected of having been 'subverted' by Mr Hurley.

(5) Can the Minister confirm that former AFP officer Mr Fletcher has now been dismissed.

(6) Is the Sydney Morning Herald accurate in reporting the following:

'Meanwhile, a respected Australian Federal Police (AFP) officer, an expert on organised crime and on Hurley in particular, has been sacked for failing to make a formal report on a meeting he had with the crime boss before police smashed the drug ring in which Hurley was the alleged kingpin.

The officer, Gerry Fletcher, has commenced an unfair dismissal claim against the AFP which is currently before the Australian Industrial Relations Commission.'

(7) If the report in paragraph (6) is not accurate, in what respect is it inaccurate.

*1708 Senator Allison: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—

(1) What environmental taxes on cars, petrol, wood and other products have recently been imposed by China.

(2) Were these environmental taxes negotiated as part of the Asia-Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate; if not, how do they relate to the Asia-Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate.

(3) To what extent is China using market-based mechanisms to address greenhouse abatement and/or avoidance.

(4) To what extent is each of the other parties to the Asia-Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate, including Australia, using market-based mechanisms to address greenhouse abatement and/or avoidance.

(5) To what extent is China using the expansion of nuclear power to address greenhouse abatement and/or avoidance by 2020.

(6) Does, or will, nuclear power expansion form part of the Asia-Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate; if so, can details be provided.

(7) Is it still the case that Australia’s greenhouse emissions are expected to increase by more than 20 per cent above 1990 levels by 2020; if not, what is the anticipated increase.

(8) How does Australia’s increase above 1990 levels by 2020 compare with each of the other countries in the Asia-Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate.
(9) Does the Government accept that to avoid dangerous climate change, global greenhouse emission reductions must be within the range of 15 per cent to 30 per cent by 2020; if not, what percentage reduction does the Government consider necessary.

(10) (a) Is it the case that China plans to expand renewable energy to 15 per cent of power generated by 2020; and (b) was this decision part of the Asia-Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate agreement; if so, what were the commitments of other parties to the agreement with respect to renewable energy.

Senator Allison: To ask the Minister for the Environment and Heritage—With reference to the answer to question on notice No. 1115 (Senate Hansard, 8 November 2005, p. 144), which stated ‘The Vision Statement for the Asia Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate explicitly includes wind power as one of the areas for collaboration by partner countries. However, no decisions have yet been made on specific implementation measures or arrangements. These issues will be discussed at the initial ministerial meeting of partner countries, which will be held in Australia in November 2005’:

(1) What were the results of that meeting of partner countries with regard to renewable energy.

(2) Have the industry development mechanisms to accelerate the generation of wind power, as proposed by the Global Wind Energy Council, been agreed to; if not, why.

(3) Have Australia’s commitments to renewables been affected by the decision to invoke the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act (EPBC) on the Bald Hills Wind Farm: if so, how.

(4) Can details be provided on progress with the states and territories through the Ministerial Council on Energy to reduce regulatory and technical impediments to renewable energy uptake, with a particular focus on wind energy.

(5) What share of: (a) total renewable energy; and (b) total energy, does the Government anticipate will be generated by wind by: (i) 2010, (ii) 2015, and (iii) 2020.

(6) (a) What share of the renewable energy market does the Government consider will be captured by Australia’s renewable energy industry in: (i) 2010, (ii) 2015, and (iii) 2020; (b) what would this mean in terms of investment and export income and jobs in Australia; and (c) if no projection has been made, why not.

(7) What is the current estimate of greenhouse emission abatement and/or avoidance for each of the following Federal Government programs and by when will this be achieved:

(a) $14 million Wind Energy Forecasting Capability;

(b) $20 million Advanced Electricity Storage Technologies Program;

(c) $100 million Renewable Energy Development Initiative.

(8) Can a progress report on each of these programs be provided.

(9) Can a progress report be provided on the Program Guidelines for the Low Emissions Technology Demonstration Fund, a draft of which was released in June 2005.
(10) What are the criteria to assess technologies to be funded under the Low Emissions Technology Fund.

(11) What is the process to assess technologies.

(12) What is the timeframe for implementation of the Low Emissions Technology Fund.

(13) (a) What projects have been funded so far; and (b) can details be provided of the timeframe for emissions abatement and/or avoidance.

(14) Would the development of nuclear power qualify for the Low Emissions Technology Fund.

(15) Has there been an application under the Low Emissions Technology Fund for development related to nuclear power; if so, can details be provided.

Notice given 26 April 2006

*1710 Senator Nettle: To ask the Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs—

(1) (a) What information and training has been provided to the department and Refugee Review Tribunal decision-makers to ensure that they are fully aware of the implications of the 2003 decision of the High Court of Australia to recognise sexuality as a legitimate basis for an asylum claim.

(2) Can a copy of any training information or instruction be provided.

(3) If no material or training currently exists, is there an intention to provide such information or training; if not, why not.

*1711 Senator Nettle: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

(1) What was the status of the meeting that the Prime Minister had with Mr Yasser Arafat in March 2000.

(2) Why does the Government not recognise the head of the Palestinian Delegation to Australia as an ambassador and afford that person the appropriate diplomatic entitlements.

*1712 Senator Nettle: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Foreign Affairs—

(1) Does the Government accept the 1969 Act of Free Choice as a legitimate expression of the will of the West Papuan people.

(2) Will the Government support calls for the United Nations Secretary-General to review the status of the Act of Free Choice.

(3) What role does the Government see for the international community to mediate discussions between the Indonesian Government and the independence movement in West Papua.

(4) (a) How does the Government propose to monitor human rights in West Papua; and (b) will the Government raise the status of West Papua as an issue in upcoming international and regional forums.

*1713 Senator Nettle: To ask the Minister for Justice and Customs—with reference to the actions of the Australian High Technology Crime Centre (AHTCC) in regards to a satirical internet page www.ohnhowardpm.org written by Mr Richard Neville.

(1) (a) Who contacted the AHTCC in regard to the web page; and (b) when (time and date).
(2) What was the complaint and/or reason for referring this website to the AHTCC.

(3) (a) Who assessed the case within AHTCC; (b) what was the level of the official; and (c) what course of action was recommended.

(4) On what legal basis was any course of action made.

(5) What action did the AHTCC take in regard to the website.

(6) (a) Which organisations did the AHTCC contact; and (b) did the AHTCC ask for the website to be removed from the Internet; if so, on what basis was this request made.

(7) What further action has been taken in this case.

(8) Has any similar request been made by any other ministry to investigate satirical websites; if so: (a) by which departments; (b) which sites; and (c) what action was taken.

*1714 Senator Nettle: To ask the Minister representing the Prime Minister—With reference to the request to remove a satirical Internet page, www.johnhowardpm.org, written by Mr Richard Neville.

(1) On what basis was the decision made to ask the Australian High Technology Crime Centre (AHTCC) to investigate and/or pull down the satirical website.

(2) On what legal basis was the decision made to ask the AHTCC to investigate and/or pull down the satirical website.

(3) Who made the decision to follow this course of action.

(4) Did the Prime Minister initiate this course of action.

(5) Was the Prime Minister aware of this course of action.

(6) Did the Prime Minister approve of this course action.

(7) (a) On how many occasions has the department taken a similar action; and (b) on each occasion: (i) what were the circumstances and relevant websites, and (ii) what action was taken.

(8) Was the department aware that this site was a satire.

*1715 Senator Bob Brown: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations—With reference to the exemption for Exclusive Brethren workplaces from union inspection under the new Workplace Relations regulations:

(1) Which person or persons sought the exemption, when and with whom did they consult.

(2) What were the reasons for the exemption.

(3) What other communication took place and, whether in electronic or hard copy form, is this available; if so, can a copy be provided.

(4) What talks has the minister had with: Exclusive Brethren representatives; union representatives; and others; and, in each case, can the following be provided: (a) names; (b) places; and (c) times.
Notice given 2 May 2006

*1716 Senator Bob Brown: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Veterans’ Affairs—With reference to the answer to question on notice no. 1164 (Senate Hansard, 29 March 2006, p. 192), in particular paragraph 2(b), which indicates that ‘post operational psychological screening’ takes place:

(1) In each of the past 5 years, how many personnel have completed operational duty.

(2) How many of these had psychological screening.

(3) Did this screening specifically seek to discover post operational stress related symptoms or signs.

(4) (a) How many post operational personnel were suffering such symptoms or signs; and (b) what does follow-up indicate.

*1717 Senator Bob Brown: To ask the Minister representing the Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry—With reference to the oversupply of grapes in Australia, in particular, the Riverland:

(1) Is it true that in the 1990s the Federal Government, in order to attract large investments in new plantings, offered the corporate sector and wine makers huge incentives, including accelerated depreciation of all new vineyard developments.

(2) What is the Government doing to help growers survive the current glut.

(3) Has the Government decided whether to reinvent the wine equalisation tax to the advantage of marginal growers or otherwise aid the industry.

(4) What measures, if any, is the Government taking to help family farms survive the currently low grape prices.

*1718 Senator Evans: To ask the Minister representing the Attorney-General—

(1) How many of the armoured limousines for VIP transport, ordered by the department from Tenix, have been delivered.

(2) (a) When was each vehicle delivered; and (b) are any still to be delivered; if so, what is the expected date.

(3) What was the delivery date for each vehicle under the original contract with Tenix.

(4) If the delivery date of any vehicle has not been in accordance with the terms of the original contract, what is the reason for the delay.

(5) What is the cost of the vehicles specified under the contract with Tenix.

(6) What is the final cost of each vehicle, including security upgrades.

(7) Can an explanation be provided of any discrepancy between the original negotiated cost of the vehicles and the final cost on delivery.

(8) Can details be provided of any maintenance costs for each vehicle incurred since its delivery.
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Committees

1 Allocation of departments

Departments and agencies are allocated to the legislative and general purpose standing committees as follows:

Community Affairs
- Families, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs
- Health and Ageing

Economics
- Treasury
- Industry, Tourism and Resources

Employment, Workplace Relations and Education
- Employment and Workplace Relations
- Education, Science and Training

Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts
- Environment and Heritage
- Communications, Information Technology and the Arts

Finance and Public Administration
- Parliament
- Prime Minister and Cabinet
- Finance and Administration
- Human Services

Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade
- Foreign Affairs and Trade
- Defence (including Veterans’ Affairs)

Legal and Constitutional
- Attorney-General
- Immigration and Multicultural Affairs

Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport
- Transport and Regional Services
- Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry.

(Agreed to 9 February 2006.)
2 Estimates—Answers to questions
That answers be provided by 31 January 2005 to:
(a) estimates questions on notice lodged with legislation committees in the course of the estimates hearings in May and June 2004; and
(b) estimates questions on notice lodged with legislation committees by 2 December 2004.
(Agreed to 18 November 2004.)

3 Estimates hearings—2005-06 additional estimates
(1) That estimates hearings by legislation committees be scheduled as follows:

2005-06 additional estimates:
   Monday, 13 February and Tuesday, 14 February and, if required,
   Friday, 17 February (Group A)
   Wednesday, 15 February and Thursday, 16 February and, if
   required, Friday, 17 February (Group B).

(2) That the committees consider the proposed expenditure in accordance with the allocation of departments to committees agreed to by the Senate.

(3) That committees meet in the following groups:
   Group A:
   Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts
   Finance and Public Administration
   Legal and Constitutional
   Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport
   Group B:
   Community Affairs
   Economics
   Employment, Workplace Relations and Education
   Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade.

(4) That the committees report to the Senate on 28 March 2006 in respect of the 2005-06 additional estimates.
(Agreed to 9 February 2006.)

4 Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade—Joint Standing Committee—Authorisation to meet
That the Joint Standing Committee on Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade be authorised to hold private meetings otherwise than in accordance with standing order 33(1) during the sittings of the Senate.
(Agreed to 6 December 2004.)

5 Migration—Joint Standing Committee—Authorisation to meet
That the Joint Standing Committee on Migration be authorised to hold private meetings otherwise than in accordance with standing order 33(1) during the sittings of the Senate.
(Agreed to 29 March 2006.)
6 Privileges—Standing Committee—Adoption of 94th report recommendation

That the Senate authorise the President, if required, to engage counsel as amicus curiae if either the action for defamation against Mr David Armstrong or a similar action against Mr William O’Chee is set down for trial.

(Agreed to 4 September 2000.)

7 Unauthorised disclosure of committee proceedings

That the following order operate as a sessional order:

(1) The Senate confirms that any disclosure of evidence or documents submitted to a committee, of documents prepared by a committee, or of deliberations of a committee, without the approval of the committee or of the Senate, may be treated by the Senate as a contempt.

(2) The Senate reaffirms its resolution of 20 June 1996, relating to procedures to be followed by committees in cases of unauthorised disclosure of committee proceedings.

(3) The Senate provides the following guidelines to be observed by committees in applying that resolution, and declares that the Senate will observe the guidelines in determining whether to refer a matter to the Committee of Privileges:

1. Unless there are particular circumstances involving actual or potential substantial interference with the work of a committee or of the Senate, the following kinds of unauthorised disclosure should not be raised as matters of privilege:

   (a) disclosure of a committee report in the time between the substantial conclusion of the committee's deliberations on the report and its presentation to the Senate;

   (b) disclosure of other documents prepared by a committee and not published by the committee, where the committee would have published them, or could appropriately have published them, in any event, or where they contain only research or publicly-available material, or where their disclosure is otherwise inconsequential;

   (c) disclosure of documents and evidence submitted to a committee and not published by the committee, where the committee would have published them, or could appropriately have published them, in any event;

   (d) disclosure of private deliberations of a committee where the freedom of the committee to deliberate is unlikely to be significantly affected.

2. The following kinds of unauthorised disclosure are those for which the contempt jurisdiction of the Senate should primarily be reserved, and which should therefore be raised as matters of privilege:

   (a) disclosure of documents or evidence submitted to a committee where the committee has deliberately decided to treat the documents or evidence as in camera material, for the protection of witnesses or others, or because disclosure would otherwise be harmful to the public interest;
(b) disclosure of documents prepared by a committee where that
involves disclosure of material of the kind specified in
paragraph (a);
(c) disclosure of private deliberations of a committee where that
involves disclosure of that kind of material, or significantly
impedes the committee's freedom to deliberate.

3. An unauthorised disclosure not falling into the categories in
guidelines 1 and 2 should not be raised as a matter of privilege
unless it involves actual or potential substantial interference with the
work of a committee or of the Senate.

4. When considering any unauthorised disclosure of material in the
possession of a committee, the committee should consider whether
there was any substantive reason for not publishing that material.

(4) Before deciding to raise a matter of privilege involving possible
unauthorised disclosure of committee proceedings, any committee may
seek the guidance of the Committee of Privileges as to whether a matter
should be pursued. If the committee decides that such a matter should be
raised, it must consult with the Committee of Privileges before taking the
matter further.

(5) When applying this resolution a committee shall have regard to the matters
set out in paragraphs 3.43 to 3.59 of the 122nd Report of the Committee of
Privileges, June 2005.

(Agreed to 6 October 2005 upon adoption of a recommendation of the Procedure
Committee in its first report of 2005.)

Meeting of Senate

8 Meeting of Senate

That the days of meeting of the Senate for 2006 be as follows:

Autumn sittings:
Tuesday, 7 February to Thursday, 9 February
Monday, 27 February to Thursday, 2 March

Autumn sittings (2):
Monday, 27 March to Thursday, 30 March

Budget sittings:
Tuesday, 9 May to Thursday, 11 May

Winter sittings:
Tuesday, 13 June to Thursday, 15 June
Monday, 19 June to Thursday, 22 June

Spring sittings:
Tuesday, 8 August to Thursday, 10 August
Monday, 14 August to Thursday, 17 August
Monday, 4 September to Thursday, 7 September
Monday, 11 September to Thursday, 14 September
Spring sittings (2):
- Monday, 9 October to Thursday, 12 October
- Monday, 16 October to Thursday, 19 October
- Monday, 6 November to Thursday, 9 November
- Monday, 27 November to Thursday, 30 November
- Monday, 4 December to Thursday, 7 December.

(Agreed to 8 December 2005.)

9 Adjournment debate on Tuesdays—Temporary order
That the following order operate as a temporary order until the conclusion of the 2006 sittings:

On the question for the adjournment of the Senate on Tuesday, a senator who has spoken once subject to the time limit of 10 minutes may speak again for not more than 10 minutes if no other senator who has not already spoken once wishes to speak, provided that a senator may by leave speak for not more than 20 minutes on one occasion.

(Agreed to 7 February 2006.)

10 Divisions on Thursday—Temporary order
That the following order operate as a temporary order until 30 June 2006:

If a division is called for on Thursday after 4.30 pm, the matter before the Senate shall be adjourned until the next day of sitting at a time fixed by the Senate.

(Agreed to 27 February 2006.)

Orders for production of documents

11 Trade—Free trade agreement—Order for production of documents
That there be laid on the table by the Minister representing the Minister for Trade, no later than 4 pm on Tuesday, 7 December 2004, the final letters and any attachments and annexures exchanged between the governments of Australia and the United States of America (US) to finalise the free trade agreement between Australia and the US.

(Motion of Senator Nettle agreed to 2 December 2004.)

12 Foreign Affairs—Gallipoli—Road works—Order for production of documents
That there be laid on the table by the Minister for Defence, no later than Thursday, 12 May 2005, all briefings to the Minister and the Minister for Veterans’ Affairs, on the matter of road works at Gallipoli over the past 4 years, and all internal minutes and file notes, including records of meetings between the Office of Australian War Graves and officials of the Government of Turkey on the same subject.

(Motion of Senator Bishop agreed to 11 May 2005.)
13 **Family and Community Services—Housing Assistance agreements—Order for production of documents**

(1) That the Senate:

(a) notes that the Housing Assistance (Form of Agreement) Determination 2003 in Schedule 1, subsections 4(33) to 4(36) requires states to report on expenditure and progress towards their respective bilateral agreements to the Commonwealth within 6 months after the end of each grant year;

(b) orders that there be laid on the table, no later than 3.30 pm on 12 May 2005, all reports provided by the states and territories to the Commonwealth under those provisions for the financial year 2003-04; and

(c) orders that all reports provided by the states and territories to the Commonwealth under those provisions be tabled in the Senate within 5 sittings days, or one calendar month, after receipt (whichever is the later), and that the Senate be notified in writing by the Minister for Family and Community Services within 5 sitting days of the expiration of the 6 months if reports have not been provided within the required 6 months.

(2) That this order is of continuing effect.

(Motion of Senator Bartlett agreed to 12 May 2005.)

14 **Environment—Tasmania—Proposed pulp mill—Order for production of documents**

That there be laid on the table by the Minister for the Environment and Heritage, no later than 3.30 pm on 16 June 2005, all correspondence from January 2002 to the present between the Minister, his staff and department and Gunns Pty Ltd relating to the proposed pulp mill in Tasmania.

(Motion of the Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown) agreed to 12 May 2005.)

15 **Environment—Tasmania—Proposed pulp mill—Order for production of documents**

That there be laid on the table by the Minister representing the Prime Minister, no later than 3.30 pm on 22 June 2005, all correspondence from January 2002 to the present between the Prime Minister, his staff and department and Gunns Pty Ltd relating to the proposed pulp mill in Tasmania.

(Motion of the Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown) agreed to 14 June 2005.)

16 **Law and Justice—Airport security—Order for production of documents**

That there be laid on the table by the Minister for Justice and Customs, no later than 5 pm on Monday, 20 June 2005, copies of all reports prepared by the Australian Customs Service since 1 January 2004 which refer to issues of airport security, including the report completed in September 2004, referred to on page 1 of *The Australian* on 31 May 2005 (‘Airport staff “smuggling drugs”’), other than material specifically relating to current ongoing investigations.

(Motion of the Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison) agreed to 20 June 2005.)
Taxation—Deductible gift recipient status—Environment groups—Order for production of documents

That there be laid on the table by the Minister for the Environment and Heritage, no later than 3.30 pm on Wednesday, 22 June 2005, all correspondence between the Minister and the Assistant Treasurer, the Australian Taxation Office, or the Institute of Public Affairs in 2004 and 2005 relating to the issue of deductible gift recipient status of environment groups.

(Motion of the Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown) agreed to 21 June 2005.)

Orders for production of documents still current from previous parliaments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of order</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Addressed to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25.10.1995</td>
<td>Administrative decision-making—Effect of international instruments</td>
<td>Minister representing the Attorney-General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.05.1998</td>
<td>Waterfront reform</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07.03.2000</td>
<td>Environment—Queensland—Tree clearing</td>
<td>Minister for the Environment and Heritage (Senator Hill)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.04.2000</td>
<td>Aged care—Riverside Nursing Home</td>
<td>Minister representing the Minister for Aged Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.06.2000</td>
<td>Tax reform—Petrol pricing</td>
<td>Assistant Treasurer (Senator Kemp)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.11.2000</td>
<td>Environment—Tasmania</td>
<td>Minister representing the Minister for Sport and Tourism (Senator Minchin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.03.2001</td>
<td>Taxation</td>
<td>Minister representing the Treasurer (Senator Kemp)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.05.2001</td>
<td>HIH Insurance</td>
<td>Minister representing the Treasurer (Senator Kemp)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.05.2001</td>
<td>Workplace relations</td>
<td>Minister representing the Minister for Employment, Workplace Relations and Small Business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.08.2001</td>
<td>Foreign Affairs—Japanese fishing boats</td>
<td>Minister representing the Ministers for Foreign Affairs and Trade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.08.2001</td>
<td>Transport—Black Spot Project</td>
<td>Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of order</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>Addressed to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.08.2001</td>
<td>Environment—Great Barrier Reef—Water quality control</td>
<td>Leader of the Government in the Senate (Senator Hill)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.09.2001</td>
<td>Transport—Ansett Australia</td>
<td>Minister representing the Minister for Transport and Regional Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.09.2001</td>
<td>Transport—Ansett Australia</td>
<td>Minister representing the Prime Minister</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.06.2002</td>
<td>Mining—Christmas Island</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.06.2002</td>
<td>Superannuation system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.06.2002</td>
<td>Finance—Retirement and income modelling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.08.2002</td>
<td>Animal Welfare—Cattle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.08.2002</td>
<td>Superannuation Working Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.11.2002</td>
<td>Environment—Queensland—Nathan Dam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.11.2002</td>
<td>Trade—General Agreement on Trade in Services</td>
<td>Minister representing the Minister for Trade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.11.2002</td>
<td>Environment—Oceans policy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.11.2002</td>
<td>Superannuation—Insurance and Superannuation Commission</td>
<td>Minister representing the Treasurer (Senator Minchin) and the Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer (Senator Coonan)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.12.2002</td>
<td>Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.12.2002</td>
<td>Science and Technology—Genetically-modified food</td>
<td>Minister representing the Minister for Foreign Affairs and representing the Prime Minister (Senator Hill)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.02.2003</td>
<td>Environment—National Radioactive Waste Repository</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.02.2003</td>
<td>Environment—National Radioactive Waste Repository</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.03.2003</td>
<td>Environment—National Radioactive Waste Repository</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.03.2003</td>
<td>Immigration—Illegal migration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.05.2003</td>
<td>Environment—Radioactive waste—National store</td>
<td>Minister representing the Minister for Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.05.2003</td>
<td>Industry—Basslink</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.06.2003</td>
<td>Energy Grants (Credits) Scheme—Draft regulations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of order</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>Addressed to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08.10.2003</td>
<td>Health—National Drug Research Strategy</td>
<td>Minister representing the Minister for Health and Ageing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.10.2003</td>
<td>Immigration—Management of detention centres</td>
<td>Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.10.003</td>
<td>Finance—Calculation of the IBNR levy</td>
<td>Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.10.2003</td>
<td>Animal Welfare—Live sheep export</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.10.2003</td>
<td>Environment—Sepon Mine</td>
<td>Minister representing the Minister for Trade (Senator Hill)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.10.2003</td>
<td>Science and Technology—Assisted reproductive technology</td>
<td>Leader of the Government in the Senate (Senator Hill)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.10.2003</td>
<td>Education—Higher education—Regional impact statement</td>
<td>Minister representing the Minister for Education, Science and Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.11.2003</td>
<td>Finance—Deposit bonds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.12.2003</td>
<td>Taxation—First home owners, 'bracket creep' and Intergeneration Report</td>
<td>Minister representing the Treasurer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.12.2003</td>
<td>Health—Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.12.2003</td>
<td>Environment—Sepon mine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.02.2003</td>
<td>Science and Technology—Assisted reproductive technology</td>
<td>Leader of the Government in the Senate (Senator Hill)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.03.2004</td>
<td>Superannuation—Departing temporary residents</td>
<td>Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.03.2004</td>
<td>Australian Federal Police Commissioner—Statement</td>
<td>Leader of the Government in the Senate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.04.2004</td>
<td>Immigration—Ministerial discretion</td>
<td>Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.06.2004</td>
<td>Indigenous Australians—Lands Acquisition Amendment Regulations 2004 (No. 2)</td>
<td>Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.06.2004</td>
<td>Defence—Iraq—Human rights abuses</td>
<td>Minister for Defence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.06.2004</td>
<td>Science and Technology—Synthetic aperture radar</td>
<td>Minister representing the Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.06.2004</td>
<td>Defence—Office of National Assessments report</td>
<td>Leader of the Government in the Senate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.06.2004</td>
<td>Environment—Videophone facilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONTINGENT NOTICES OF MOTION

Auditor-General’s reports—Consideration

1 Leader of the Opposition in the Senate (Senator Evans)
   Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison)
   Leader of the Family First Party (Senator Fielding)
   Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown)
   Senator Nettle

To move (contingent on the President presenting a report of the Auditor-General on any day or notifying the Senate that such a report had been presented under standing order 166)—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the senator moving a motion to take note of the report and any senator speaking to it for not more than 10 minutes, with the total time for the debate not to exceed 60 minutes.

Conduct of business

2 Leader of the Government in the Senate (Senator Minchin): To move (contingent on the Senate on any day concluding its consideration of any item of business and prior to the Senate proceeding to the consideration of another item of business)—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent a minister moving a motion to provide for the consideration of any matter.

3 Leader of the Opposition in the Senate (Senator Evans)
   Leader of The Nationals in the Senate (Senator Boswell)
   Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison)
   Leader of the Family First Party (Senator Fielding)
   Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown)
   Senator Nettle

To move (contingent on the Senate on any day concluding its consideration of any item of business and prior to the Senate proceeding to the consideration of another item of business)—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the senator moving a motion relating to the conduct of the business of the Senate or to provide for the consideration of any matter.
Government documents

4 Leader of the Opposition in the Senate (Senator Evans)
Leader of The Nationals in the Senate (Senator Boswell)
Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison)
Leader of the Family First Party (Senator Fielding)
Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown)
Senator Nettle
To move (contingent on the Senate proceeding to the consideration of government documents)—That so much of the standing orders relating to the consideration of government documents be suspended as would prevent the senator moving a motion relating to the order in which the documents are called on by the President.

Limitation of time

Leader of the Opposition in the Senate (Senator Evans)
Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison)
Leader of the Family First Party (Senator Fielding)
Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown)
Senator Nettle
5 To move (contingent on a minister moving a motion that a bill be considered an urgent bill)—That so much of standing order 142 be suspended as would prevent debate taking place on the motion.

6 To move (contingent on a minister moving a motion to specify time to be allotted to the consideration of a bill, or any stage of a bill)—That so much of standing order 142 be suspended as would prevent the motion being debated without limitation of time and each senator speaking for the time allotted by standing orders.

7 To move (contingent on the chair declaring that the time allotted for the consideration of a bill, or any stage of a bill, has expired)—That so much of standing order 142 be suspended as would prevent further consideration of the bill, or the stage of the bill, without limitation of time or for a specified period.

Matters of urgency

8 Leader of the Government in the Senate (Senator Minchin): To move (contingent on the moving of a motion to debate a matter of urgency under standing order 75)—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent a minister moving an amendment to the motion.
9 Leader of the Opposition in the Senate (Senator Evans)
Leader of The Nationals in the Senate (Senator Boswell)
Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison)
Leader of the Family First Party (Senator Fielding)
Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown)
Senator Nettle
To move (contingent on the moving of a motion to debate a matter of urgency under standing order 75)—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the senator moving an amendment to the motion.

Order of business
10 Leader of the Opposition in the Senate (Senator Evans)
Leader of The Nationals in the Senate (Senator Boswell)
Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison)
Leader of the Family First Party (Senator Fielding)
Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown)
Senator Nettle
To move (contingent on the President proceeding to the placing of business on any day)—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the senator moving a motion relating to the order of business on the Notice Paper.

Questions without notice
11 Leader of the Opposition in the Senate (Senator Evans)
Leader of The Nationals in the Senate (Senator Boswell)
Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison)
Leader of the Family First Party (Senator Fielding)
Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown)
Senator Nettle
To move (contingent on a minister at question time on any day asking that further questions be placed on notice)—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the senator moving a motion that, at question time on any day, questions may be put to ministers until 28 questions, including supplementary questions, have been asked and answered.

Statements
12 Leader of the Opposition in the Senate (Senator Evans)
Leader of The Nationals in the Senate (Senator Boswell)
Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison)
Leader of the Family First Party (Senator Fielding)
Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown)
Senator Nettle
To move (contingent on any senator being refused leave to make a statement to the Senate)—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent that senator making that statement.
Tabling of documents

13 Leader of the Opposition in the Senate (Senator Evans)
Leader of The Nationals in the Senate (Senator Boswell)
Leader of the Australian Democrats (Senator Allison)
Leader of the Family First Party (Senator Fielding)
Leader of the Australian Greens (Senator Bob Brown)
Senator Nettle

To move (contingent on any senator being refused leave to table a document in the Senate)—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the senator moving that the document be tabled.

TEMPORARY CHAIRS OF COMMITTEES

Senators Barnett, Brandis, Chapman, Crossin, Ferguson, Forshaw, Hutchins, Kirk, Lightfoot, Marshall, Moore, Murray, Troeth and Watson

CATEGORIES OF COMMITTEES

Standing Committees
Appropriations and Staffing
House
Library
Privileges
Procedure
Publications
Selection of Bills
Senators’ Interests

Legislative Scrutiny Standing Committees
Regulations and Ordinances
Scrutiny of Bills

Legislative and General Purpose Standing Committees
Community Affairs Legislation
Community Affairs References
Economics Legislation
Economics References
Employment, Workplace Relations and Education Legislation
Employment, Workplace Relations and Education References

Legislative and General Purpose Standing Committees—continued
Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts Legislation
Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts References
Finance and Public Administration Legislation
Finance and Public Administration References
Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade Legislation
Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade References
Legal and Constitutional Legislation
Legal and Constitutional References
Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport Legislation
Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport References

Select Committees
Administration of Indigenous Affairs
Select Committees—continued
Lindeberg Grievance
Mental Health
Serafion Evidence

Joint Statutory Committees—continued
Australian Crime Commission
Broadcasting of Parliamentary Proceedings
Corporations and Financial Services
Intelligence and Security
Native Title and the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Land Account

Joint Statutory Committees—continued
Public Accounts and Audit
Public Works

Joint Committees
Electoral Matters
Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade
Migration
National Capital and External Territories
Parliamentary Library
Treaties

N.B. Details appear in the following section, with committees listed in alphabetical order.

________________________

COMMITTEES

Administration of Indigenous Affairs—Select Committee
(appointed 16 June 2004; reappointed 17 November 2004; final report tabled 8 March 2005)

Members
Senator Moore (Chair), Senator Johnston (Deputy Chair), Senators Carr, Crossin, Heffernan, Nettle, Ridgeway and Scullion

Reports presented
Interim report (presented to the President on 31 August 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
After ATSIC – Life in the mainstream? (tabled 8 March 2005)

________________________

Appropriations and Staffing—Standing Committee
Members
The President (Chairman), the Leader of the Government in the Senate, the Leader of the Opposition in the Senate and Senators Bartlett, Boswell, Faulkner, Ferris, Heffernan and Ray

Reports presented
41st report—Security funding; Appropriation bills: Payments to international organisations (tabled 8 December 2004)
42nd report—Estimates for the Department of the Senate 2005-06 (tabled 11 May 2005)
Australian Crime Commission—Joint Statutory Committee

Members
Senator Ian Macdonald (Chair), Mr Kerr (Deputy Chair), Senators Ferris, Ludwig and Polley and Mrs Gash, Mr Hayes, Mr Richardson and Mr Wood

Current inquiry
Amphetamines and other synthetic drugs (adopted 5 December 2005)

Reports presented
Examination of the annual report for 2002-03 of the National Crime Authority and the Australian Crime Commission (presented to the President on 31 August 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Inquiry into the trafficking of women for sexual servitude—Supplementary report (tabled 11 August 2005)

Broadcasting of Parliamentary Proceedings—Joint Statutory Committee

Members
The President, the Speaker and Senators Faulkner and Ferris and Mr Bartlett, Mr Cadman, Mr Lindsay, Mr Murphy and Ms Vamvakinou

Community Affairs Legislation Committee

Portfolios
Family and Community Services; Health and Ageing

Members
Senator Humphries (Chair), Senator Moore (Deputy Chair), Senators Adams, Barnett, Nettle and Polley

Substitute member
Matters relating to the Family and Community Services—Senator Siewert to replace Senator Nettle

Participating members

Current inquiry

Reports presented
Tobacco advertising prohibition (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Kirk, on 30 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Provisions of the Private Health Insurance Incentives Amendment Bill 2004 (tabled 8 February 2005)
Provisions of the National Health Amendment (Prostheses) Bill 2004 (tabled 10 February 2005)
Annual reports (No. 1 of 2005), March 2005 (tabled 16 March 2005)
Budget estimates 2005-06, June 2005 (tabled 20 June 2005)
Provisions of the National Health Amendment (Budget Measures—Pharmaceutical Benefits Safety Net) Bill 2005 (tabled 7 November 2005)
Therapeutic Goods Amendment (Repeal of Ministerial responsibility for approval of RU486) Bill 2005 (tabled 8 February 2006)
Provisions of the Family Assistance, Social Security and Veterans’ Affairs Legislation Amendment (2005 Budget and Other Measures) Bill 2006 (presented to the Deputy President on 24 March 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 27 March 2006)
Annual reports (No. 1 of 2006), March 2006 (tabled 29 March 2006)
* National Health and Medical Research Council Amendment Bill 2006 (presented to the Deputy President on 2 May 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7))

Community Affairs References Committee

Members
Senator Moore (Chair), Senator Humphries (Deputy Chair), Senators Adams, Allison, Carol Brown and Polley

Substitute members
Petrol sniffing in remote Aboriginal communities—
Senator Bartlett to replace Senator Allison
Senator Crossin to replace Senator Carol Brown

Participating members

Current inquiries
Workplace exposure to toxic dust (referred 22 June 2005; reporting date: 31 May 2006)
Petrol sniffing in remote Aboriginal communities (referred 5 October 2005; reporting date: 20 June 2006)
Reports presented

Inquiry into aged care—Interim report (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Kirk, on 30 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Matters not disposed of at the end of the 40th Parliament (tabled 1 December 2004)
Matters not disposed of at the end of the 40th Parliament (tabled 7 March 2005)
Quality and equity in aged care (tabled 23 June 2005)
* Response to the petition on gynaecological health issues (tabled 30 March 2006)

Corporations and Financial Services—Joint Statutory Committee

Members
Senator Chapman (Chair), Ms AE Burke (Deputy Chair), Senators Brandis, Murray, Sherry and Wong and Mr Baker, Mr Bartlett, Mr Bowen and Mr McArthur

Current inquiry
Corporate responsibility (adopted 22 June 2005)

Reports presented
Australian Accounting Standards tabled in compliance with the Corporations Act 2001 on 30 August and 16 November 2004 (tabled 10 February 2005)
Statutory oversight of the Australian Securities and Investments Commission (tabled 12 May 2005)
Inquiry into the exposure draft of the Corporations Amendment Bill (No. 2) 2005 (tabled 16 June 2005) and erratum (tabled 16 June 2005)
Property investment advice – Safe as houses? (tabled 23 June 2005) and erratum (tabled 23 June 2005)
Timeshare: The price of leisure (tabled 5 September 2005)
Statutory oversight of the Australian Securities and Investments Commission (presented to the Deputy President on 19 December 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 7 February 2006)

Economics Legislation Committee

Portfolios
Treasury; Industry, Tourism and Resources

Members
Senator Brandis (Chair), Senator Stephens (Deputy Chair), Senators Chapman, Murray, Watson and Webber

Substitute member
Matters relating to the Resources portfolio—Senator Allison to replace Senator Murray
Participating members


Current inquiry

* Provisions of the Petroleum Retail Legislation Repeal Bill 2006 (referred upon the introduction of the bill in the House of Representatives pursuant to the Selection of Bills Committee report no. 3, 30 March 2006; bill introduced 30 March 2006)

Reports presented

Annual reports (No. 2 of 2004), September 2004 (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Ferguson, on 1 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)

Provisions of the Tax Laws Amendment (Superannuation Reporting) Bill 2004 (tabled 7 December 2004)


Budget estimates 2005-06, June 2005 (tabled 20 June 2005)


Annual reports (No. 2 of 2005), November 2005 (tabled 10 November 2005)


* Annual reports (No. 1 of 2006), March 2006 (tabled 30 March 2006)

* Provisions of the Petroleum Retail Legislation Repeal Bill 2006—Interim report (presented to the Deputy President on 2 May 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7))

Economics References Committee

Members

Senator Stephens (Chair), Senator Brandis (Deputy Chair), Senators Chapman, Lundy, Murray and Webber
Substitute member

Matters relating to the Resources portfolio—Senator Allison to replace Senator Murray

Participating members


Report presented

Consenting adults deficits and household debt: Links between Australia’s current account deficit, the demand for imported goods and household debt (tabled 13 October 2005)

Electoral Matters—Joint Standing Committee

(appointed 18 November 2004)

Members

Mr Lindsay (Chair), Senators Brandis, Carr, Hogg, Mason and Murray and Mr Ciobo, Mr Danby, Mr Griffin and Ms Panopoulos

Current inquiry

* Civics and electoral education (referred 24 March 2006)

Reports presented

The 2004 federal election—Report of the inquiry into the conduct of the 2004 federal election and matters related thereto (tabled 10 October 2005) and corrigendum (tabled 9 November 2005)

* Funding and disclosure: Inquiry into disclosure of donations to political parties and candidates (presented to the President on 31 March 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7))

Employment, Workplace Relations and Education Legislation Committee

Portfolios

Employment and Workplace Relations; Education, Science and Training

Members

Senator Troeth (Chair), Senator Marshall (Deputy Chair), Senators Barnett, George Campbell, Johnston and Stott Despoja

Substitute members

Matters relating to the Schools and Training portfolio—Senator Allison to replace Senator Stott Despoja

Matters relating to the Workplace Relations portfolio—Senator Murray to replace Senator Stott Despoja

Participating members

Current inquiries

Provisions of the OHS and SRC Legislation Amendment Bill 2005 (referred 1 March 2006; reporting date: 9 May 2006)

* Provisions of the Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation Amendment Bill 2006 (referred upon the introduction of the bill in the House of Representatives pursuant to the Selection of Bills Committee report no. 3, 30 March 2006; bill introduced 30 March 2006; reporting date: 10 May 2006)

* Provisions of the Australian Research Council Amendment Bill 2006 (referred upon the introduction of the bill in the House of Representatives pursuant to the Selection of Bills Committee report no. 3, 30 March 2006; bill introduced 30 March 2006; reporting date: 10 May 2006)

Reports presented

Provisions of the Higher Education Legislation Amendment Bill (No. 3) 2004 (presented to the President on 31 August 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004) and a supplementary report from the Australian Democrats (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Ferguson, on 2 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)

Annual reports (No. 2 of 2004), September 2004 (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Ferguson, on 1 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)

Provisions of the Workplace Relations Amendment (Protecting Small Business Employment) Bill 2004—Interim report (presented to the President on 14 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)

Inquiry into the proposed amendment in the form of Schedule 1B to the Workplace Relations Amendment (Codifying Contempt Offences) Bill 2004—Interim report (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator McLucas, on 27 October 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)

Workplace Relations Amendment (Agreement Validation) Bill 2004 (tabled 29 November 2004)

Matters not disposed of at the end of the 40th Parliament (tabled 8 December 2004)


Provisions of the Workplace Relations Amendment (Right of Entry) Bill 2004 (tabled 14 March 2005)


Annual reports (No. 1 of 2005), March 2005 (tabled 16 March 2005)


Budget estimates 2005-06, June 2005 (tabled 20 June 2005)


Annual reports (No. 2 of 2005), September 2005 (tabled 8 September 2005)


Provisions of the Workplace Relations Amendment (Work Choices) Bill 2005 (presented to the Deputy President on 22 November 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 28 November 2005)


Annual reports (No. 1 of 2006), March 2006 (tabled 29 March 2006)

---

**Employment, Workplace Relations and Education References Committee**

**Members**

Senator Marshall (Chair), Senator Troeth (Deputy Chair), Senators Barnett, George Campbell, McEwen and Stott Despoja

**Substitute members**

Matters relating to the Schools and Training portfolio—Senator Allison to replace Senator Stott Despoja

Matters relating to the Workplace Relations portfolio—Senator Murray to replace Senator Stott Despoja

**Participating members**


**Current inquiry**

Pacific region seasonal contract labour (referred 7 December 2005; reporting date: 17 August 2006)

**Reports presented**

Inquiry into lifelong learning—Interim report (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Kirk, on 20 October 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)

Inquiry into Indigenous training and employment—Interim report (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Kirk, on 20 October 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)

Inquiry into student income support—Interim report (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Kirk, on 20 October 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Matters not disposed of at the end of the 40th Parliament (tabled 8 December 2004)
Indigenous education funding—Interim report (tabled 16 March 2005)
Unfair dismissal and small business employment (tabled 21 June 2005)
Indigenous education funding—Final report (tabled 22 June 2005) and corrigendum (tabled 23 June 2004)
Student income support (tabled 23 June 2005)
Workplace agreements (presented to the President on 31 October 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 7 November 2005)

Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts Legislation Committee

Portfolios
Environment and Heritage; Communications, Information Technology and the Arts

Members
Senator Eggleston (Chair), Senator Lundy (Deputy Chair), Senators Patterson, Ronaldson, Siewert and Wortley

Participating members

Current inquiry

Reports presented
Annual reports (No. 2 of 2004), September 2004 (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Ferguson, on 1 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Annual reports (No. 1 of 2005), March 2005 (tabled 16 March 2005)
Provisions of the Telecommunications Legislation Amendment (Regular Reviews and Other Measures) Bill 2005 (presented to the Deputy President on 11 May 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 12 May 2005)
Budget estimates 2005-06, June 2005 (tabled 20 June 2005)
Annual reports (No. 2 of 2005), September 2005 (tabled 8 September 2005)
Telstra (Transition to Full Private Ownership) Bill 2005 and related bills (tabled 12 September 2005)
Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Heritage Protection Amendment Bill 2005 [2006] (tabled 8 February 2006)
Annual reports (No. 1 of 2006), March 2006 (tabled 29 March 2006)
* Australian Broadcasting Corporation Amendment Bill 2006 (presented to the Deputy
President on 2 May 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7))

Environment, Communications, Information Technology and the Arts References
Committee

Members
Senator Bartlett (Chair), Senator Adams (Deputy Chair), Senators Lundy, Marshall,
Ronaldson and Wortley

Participating members
Senators Abetz, Allison, Boswell, Bob Brown, George Campbell, Carr, Chapman,
Colbeck, Conroy, Coonan, Crossin, Eggleston, Evans, Faulkner, Ferguson, Ferris,
Fielding, Forshaw, Humphries, Joyce, Ludwig, Ian Macdonald, Mason, McGauran,
McLucas, Milne, Moore, Nash, Nettle, O’Brien, Payne, Ray, Scullion, Siewert,
Stephens, Watson and Webber

Current inquiries
Australia’s national parks (referred 7 December 2005; reporting date: 30 November
2006)
Women in sport and recreation in Australia (referred 29 March 2006; reporting date:
first sitting day in September 2006)

Reports presented
Budgetary and environmental implications of the Government’s energy white paper—
Interim report (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Ferguson,
on 2 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Matters not disposed of at the end of the 40th Parliament (tabled 1 December 2004)
Matters not disposed of at the end of the 40th Parliament (tabled 8 December 2004)
Turning back the tide – the invasive species challenge: Report on the regulation,
control and management of invasive species and the Environment Protection and
Biodiversity Conservation Amendment (Invasive Species) Bill 2002 (tabled
8 December 2004)

A lost opportunity? Inquiry into the provisions of the Australian Communications and
Media Authority Bill 2004 and related bills and matters (tabled 10 March 2005)
Budgetary and environmental implications of the Government’s energy white paper—
Interim report (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Brandis, on
18 April 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 11 May 2005)
Lurching forward, looking back: Budgetary and environmental implications of the
Government’s Energy White Paper (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees,
Senator Crossin, on 16 May 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 14 June
2005)

The performance of the Australian telecommunications regulatory regime (tabled
10 August 2005)
Living with salinity – a report on progress: The extent and economic impact of salinity
in Australia (tabled 28 March 2006)
Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee

Portfolios
Parliament; Prime Minister and Cabinet; Finance and Administration; Human Services

Members
Senator Mason (Chair), Senator Murray (Deputy Chair), Senators Brandis, Carol Brown, Fifield and Forshaw

Participating members

Reports presented
Annual reports (No. 2 of 2004), September 2004 (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Ferguson, on 1 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004) and corrigendum (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator McLucas, on 7 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Annual reports (No. 1 of 2005), May 2005 (tabled 10 May 2005)
Budget estimates 2005-06, June 2005 (tabled 20 June 2005)
Annual reports (No. 2 of 2005), September 2005 (tabled 8 September 2005)
Provisions of the Electoral and Referendum Amendment (Electoral Integrity and Other Measures) Bill 2005 (tabled 28 March 2006)
Annual reports (No. 1 of 2006), March 2006 (tabled 30 March 2006)

Finance and Public Administration References Committee

Members
Senator Forshaw (Chair), Senator Watson (Deputy Chair), Senators Carol Brown, Fifield, Moore and Murray

Participating members

Reports presented
Inquiry into government advertising and accountability—Interim report (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Brandis, on 3 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Regional Partnerships and Sustainable Regions programs (tabled 6 October 2005)
Matters relating to the Gallipoli Peninsula (tabled 13 October 2005)
Government advertising and accountability (tabled 6 December 2005)
Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade—Joint Standing Committee
(appointed 18 November 2004)

Members
Senator Ferguson (Chair), Senators Bartlett, Crossin, Eggleston, Hutchins, Johnston, Kirk, Moore, Payne, Scullion, Stott Despoja and Webber and Mr Baird, Mr Barresi, Mr Danby, Mrs Draper, Mr Edwards, Mrs Gash, Mr Gibbons, Mr Haase, Mr Hatton, Mr Jull, Mrs Moylan, Mr Prosser, Mr Scott, Mr Sercombe, Dr Southcott, Mr Snowdon, Mr CP Thompson, Ms Vamvakou, Mr Wakelin and Mr Wilkie

Current inquiries
Australia’s defence relations with the United States (adopted 26 November 2003; readopted 17 January 2005)
Australia’s relations with the Republic of Korea; and developments on the Korean peninsula (referred 7 April 2005)
Australian Defence Force regional air superiority (referred 14 June 2005)

Reports presented
Expanding Australia’s trade and investment relations with the Gulf States (tabled 7 March 2005)
Australia’s human rights dialogue process (tabled 12 September 2005)
Australia’s free trade agreements with Singapore, Thailand and the United States: progress to date and lessons for the future (tabled 7 November 2005)

Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade Legislation Committee

Portfolios
Foreign Affairs and Trade; Defence (including Veterans’ Affairs)

Members
Senator Johnston (Chair), Senator Hutchins (Deputy Chair), Senators Bishop, Ferguson, Payne and Stott Despoja

Participating members

Reports presented
Annual reports (No. 2 of 2004), September 2004 (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Ferguson, on 1 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Annual reports (No. 1 of 2005), March 2005 (tabled 16 March 2005)
Budget estimates 2005-06, June 2005 (tabled 20 June 2005)
Annual reports (No. 2 of 2005), September 2005 (tabled 8 September 2005)
Annual reports (No. 1 of 2006), March 2006 (tabled 29 March 2006)
* Provisions of the Australian Trade Commission Legislation Amendment Bill 2006 (presented to the Deputy President on 2 May 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7))
* Provisions of the Export Market Development Grants Legislation Amendment Bill 2006 (presented to the Deputy President on 2 May 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7))

Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade References Committee

Members
Senator Hutchins (Chair), Senator Johnston (Deputy Chair), Senators Bishop, Hogg, Joyce and Stott Despoja
Substitute member
Naval shipbuilding in Australia—Senator Bartlett to replace Senator Stott Despoja
Participating members

Current inquiry
Naval shipbuilding in Australia (referred 10 November 2005; reporting date: last sitting day in 2006)

Reports presented
Inquiry into the effectiveness of Australia’s military justice system—Interim report (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator McLucas, on 8 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Matters not disposed of at the end of the 40th Parliament (tabled 6 December 2004)
The effectiveness of Australia’s military justice system (tabled 16 June 2005)
Duties of Australian personnel in Iraq (tabled 18 August 2005)
Mr Chen Yonglin’s request for political asylum (tabled 12 September 2005)
The removal, search for and discovery of Ms Vivian Solon—Interim report (tabled 15 September 2005)
Opportunities and challenges: Australia’s relationship with China (tabled 10 November 2005)
The removal, search for and discovery of Ms Vivian Solon—Final report (tabled 8 December 2005)
* China’s emergence: Implications for Australia (tabled 30 March 2006)

House—Standing Committee

Members
The President (Chair), the Deputy President and Senators Carr, Crossin, Ferris, Lightfoot and Stephens
Intelligence and Security—Joint Statutory Committee
(formerly the Parliamentary Joint Committee on ASIO, ASIS and DSD; name amended 2 December 2005 pursuant to item 39 in Part 4 of Schedule 1 of the Intelligence Services Legislation Amendment Act 2005)

Members
Mr Jull (Chair), Senators Faulkner, Ferguson and Ray and Mr Byrne, Mr Ciobo, Mr Kerr and Mr McArthur

Current inquiries
Review of listings of certain terrorist organisations under the *Criminal Code Act 1995* (statutory responsibility)
Review of administration and expenditure no. 4 – recruitment and training (adopted 15 September 2005)

Reports presented
Review of the listing of six terrorist organisations (tabled 7 March 2005)
Review of administration and expenditure for ASIO, ASIS and DSD (tabled 14 March 2005)
Annual report of committee activities 2004-05 (tabled 14 June 2005)
Review of the listing of Tanzim Qa’idat al-jihad fi Bilad al-Rafidayn (the al-Zarqawi network) as a terrorist organisation (tabled 14 June 2005)
Review of the listing of seven terrorist organisations (tabled 9 August 2005)
Review of the listing of four terrorist organisations (tabled 5 September 2005)
Intelligence Services Legislation Amendment Bill 2005 (tabled 12 September 2005)
* Review of the listing of the Kurdistan Workers’ Party (PKK) (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Brandis, on 26 April 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7))

Legal and Constitutional Legislation Committee

Portfolios
Attorney-General; Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs

Members
Senator Payne (Chair), Senator Crossin (Deputy Chair), Senators Bartlett, Kirk, Mason and Scullion

Substitute member
Matters relating to the Attorney-General’s portfolio—Senator Stott Despoja to replace Senator Bartlett

Participating members
Current inquiries

Provisions of the Corporations (Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander) Bill 2005
(referred 7 September 2005; reporting date: 14 September 2006)

* Provisions of the Law Enforcement Integrity Commissioner Bill 2006, the Law
Enforcement Integrity Commissioner (Consequential Amendments) Bill 2006 and the
Law Enforcement (AFP Professional Standards and Related Measures) Bill 2006
(referred 30 March 2006; reporting date: 11 May 2006)

Reports presented

Annual reports (No. 2 of 2004), September 2004 (presented to the Temporary Chair of
Committees, Senator Ferguson, on 1 September 2004, pursuant to standing
order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)

Marriage Amendment Bill 2004 (presented to the President on 6 September 2004,
pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)

Provisions of the Criminal Code Amendment (Suicide Related Material Offences) Bill
2004 (presented to the President on 6 September 2004, pursuant to standing
order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)

Copyright Legislation Amendment Bill 2004 (tabled 7 December 2004)

Disability Discrimination Amendment (Education Standards) Bill 2004 (tabled
8 December 2004)


Criminal Code Amendment (Trafficking in Persons Offences) Bill 2004 [2005]
(tabled 10 March 2005)


Annual reports (No. 1 of 2005), March 2005 (tabled 16 March 2005)

Provisions of the Migration Litigation Reform Bill 2005 (presented to the Deputy
President on 11 May 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 12 May 2005)

Provisions of the National Security Information Legislation Amendment Bill 2005
(presented to the Deputy President on 11 May 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7);
tabled 12 May 2005)

Provisions of the Criminal Code Amendment (Suicide Related Material Offences) Bill
2005 (tabled 12 May 2005)

Crimes Legislation Amendment (Telecommunications Interception and Other
Measures) Bill 2005 (presented to the Deputy President on 17 June 2005, pursuant to
standing order 38(7); tabled 20 June 2005)

Budget estimates 2005-06, June 2005 (tabled 20 June 2005)

Provisions of the Copyright Amendment (Film Directors’ Rights) Bill 2005 (tabled
10 August 2005)

Provisions of the Law and Justice Legislation Amendment (Serious Drug Offences
and Other Measures) Bill 2005 (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees,
Senator Kirk, on 15 August 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 August
2005)

Annual reports (No. 2 of 2005), September 2005 (tabled 8 September 2005)

Provisions of the Law and Justice Legislation Amendment (Video Link Evidence and
Other Measures) Bill 2005 (presented to the Deputy President on 1 November 2005,
pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 7 November 2005)

Provisions of the Anti-Terrorism Bill (No. 2) 2005 (tabled 28 November 2005)

Defence Legislation Amendment (Aid to Civilian Authorities) Bill 2005 (tabled
7 February 2006)
Provisions of the Family Law Amendment (Shared Parental Responsibility) Bill 2005 (presented to the Deputy President on 24 March 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 27 March 2006)
Annual reports (No. 1 of 2006), March 2006 (tabled 29 March 2006)
* Exposure draft of the Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorism Financing Bill 2005 (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Brandis, on 13 April 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7))
* Provisions of the Customs Legislation Amendment (Border Compliance and Other Measures) Bill 2006—Interim report (presented to the Deputy President on 2 May 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7))
* Provisions of the Federal Magistrates Amendment (Disability and Death Benefits) Bill 2006 (presented to the Deputy President on 2 May 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7))
* Migration Amendment (Employer Sanctions) Bill 2006 (presented to the Deputy President on 2 May 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7))
* Provisions of the Customs Legislation Amendment (Border Compliance and Other Measures) Bill 2006 (presented to the Deputy President on 4 May 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7))

Legal and Constitutional References Committee

Members
Senator Crossin (Chair), Senator Fieravanti-Wells (Deputy Chair), Senators Bartlett, Joyce, Kirk and Ludwig

Participating members

Reports presented
The road to a republic (presented to the President on 31 August 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Inquiry into Australian expatriates—Interim report (presented to the President on 1 October 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Matters not disposed of at the end of the 40th Parliament (tabled 6 December 2004)
They still call Australia home: Inquiry into Australian expatriates (tabled 8 March 2005)
The real Big Brother: Inquiry into the Privacy Act 1988 (tabled 23 June 2005)
Administration and operation of the Migration Act 1958 (Cth)—Interim report (presented to the Deputy President on 21 December 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 7 February 2006)
Administration and operation of the Migration Act 1958 (tabled 2 March 2006)

Library—Standing Committee
Members
The President (Chair) and Senators Allison, Brandis, Hutchins, Nash, Trood and Webber

Lindeberg Grievance—Select Committee
(appointed 1 April 2004; final report tabled 16 November 2004)
Report presented
Report (presented to the Deputy President on 15 November 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)

Mental Health—Select Committee
(appointed 8 March 2005; terms of appointment varied 18 August 2005)
Members
Leader of the Australian Democrats (Chair), Senator Humphries (Deputy Chair) and Senators Forshaw, Moore, Scullion, Troeth and Webber
Reports presented
* A national approach to mental health – from crisis to community—First report (tabled 30 March 2006)
* A national approach to mental health – from crisis to community—Final report (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Brandis, on 28 April 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7))

Migration—Joint Standing Committee
(appointed 18 November 2004)
Members
Mr Randall (Chair), Senator Kirk (Deputy Chair), Senators Bartlett, Eggleston and Parry and Mr L Ferguson, Mrs Irwin, Mr Keenan, Dr Lawrence and Dr Southcott
Current inquiry
Skills recognition, upgrading and licensing (referred 19 April 2005)
Reports presented
Inspections of Baxter Immigration Detention Facility and Port Augusta Residential Housing Project, April 2005 (tabled 22 June 2005)
National Capital and External Territories—Joint Standing Committee
(appointed 18 November 2004)

Members
Senator Lightfoot (Chair), Senator Lundy (Deputy Chair), the Deputy President and Chairman of Committees, the Deputy Speaker, and Senators Carr, Joyce and Stott Despoja and Mrs AL Ellis, Mr Neville, Ms Panopoulos, Mr Snowdon and Mr Secker

Current inquiry
Current and future governance arrangements for the Indian Ocean Territories (referred 11 May 2005; reporting date: last sitting day in June 2006)

Reports presented
Indian Ocean territories: Review of the annual reports of the Department of Transport and Regional Services and the Department of the Environment and Heritage (presented to the President on 31 August 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Difficult choices: Inquiry into the role of the National Capital Authority in determining the extent of redevelopment of the Pierces Creek Settlement in the ACT (presented to the President on 31 August 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Antarctica: Australia’s pristine frontier—Report on the adequacy of funding for Australia’s Antarctic Program (tabled 23 June 2005)
Norfolk Island financial sustainability: The challenge – sink or swim (tabled 1 December 2005)

Native Title and the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Land Account—Joint Statutory Committee
(in accordance with the Extension of Sunset of Parliamentary Joint Committee on Native Title Act 2004, the committee ceased operation on 23 March 2006; name amended 22 February 2005 pursuant to items 208 and 210 in Part 2 of Schedule 1 of the Financial Framework Legislation Amendment Act 2005)

Members
Senator Scullion (Chair), Mr McMullan (Deputy Chair), Senators Crossin, Evans, Johnston and Siewert and Mr Melham, Mr Randall, Mr Slipper and Mr Tollner

Reports presented
Examination of annual reports in fulfilment of the committee’s duties pursuant to s.206(c) of the Native Title Act 1993—
2003-04 (tabled 23 June 2005)
2004-05 (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Brandis, on 21 March 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 27 March 2006)
Report on the operation of Native Title Representative Bodies (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Brandis, on 21 March 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 27 March 2006)
Parliamentary Library—Joint Standing Committee
(appointed 7 December 2005)

Members
Senators Allison, Brandis, Hutchins, Nash, Trood and Webber and Mr Adams, Mr Broadbent, Mr Georgiou, Mr Hatton, Mr BP O’Connor, Mr Wakelin and Mr Anderson

Privileges—Standing Committee

Members
Senator Faulkner (Chair), Senator Ronaldson (Deputy Chair), Senators Humphries, Johnston, Payne, Ray and Sherry

Reports presented
120th report—Possible unauthorised disclosure of private deliberations or draft report of Select Committee on the Free Trade Agreement between Australia and the United States of America (tabled 8 March 2005)
121st report—Possible unauthorised disclosure of draft reports of Community Affairs References Committee (tabled 15 March 2005)
122nd report—Parliamentary privilege – unauthorised disclosure of committee proceedings (tabled 21 June 2005)
123rd report—Possible failure by a senator to comply with the Senate’s resolution relating to registration of interests (tabled 5 October 2005)
124th report—Person referred to in the Senate (Professor David Peetz) (tabled 6 December 2005)
125th report—Parliamentary privilege: Precedents, procedures and practice in the Australian Senate 1966-2005 (presented to the Deputy President on 19 December 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 7 February 2006)
126th report—Person referred to in the Senate (Professor Barbara Pocock) (tabled 27 February 2006)

Procedure—Standing Committee

Members
The Deputy President (Chair), the President, the Leader of the Government in the Senate, the Leader of the Opposition in the Senate and Senators Bartlett, Eggleston, Ellison, Faulkner, Ferguson and Ray

Current inquiry
Adequacy and appropriateness of the Register of Senators’ Interests (referred 20 June 2005)

Reports presented
First report of 2005—Storage of Senate documents; Unauthorised disclosure of committee proceedings (presented to the President on 20 September 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 5 October 2005)
Second report of 2005—Declaration of interests: registration of Senators’ share tradings; Unanswered questions and orders for documents: proposed amendments of standing orders 74(5) and 164; Repeated motions for suspension of standing orders: ruling of the President of 14 September 2005 (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Moore, on 28 October 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 7 November 2005)

Public Accounts and Audit—Joint Statutory Committee

Members
Mr ADH Smith (Chairman), Senators Hogg, Humphries, Moore, Murray, Nash and Watson and Mrs BK Bishop, Mr Broadbent, Mr Emerson, Ms Grierson, Ms JM Kelly, Ms King, Dr Laming, Mr Tanner and Mr Ticehurst

Current inquiries
Certain taxation matters (adopted 7 December 2005)
Financial reporting and equipment acquisition at the Department of Defence and Defence Materiel Organisation (adopted 1 March 2006)

Reports presented
Nomination of a new Commonwealth Auditor-General, pursuant to subsection 8A(7) of the Public Accounts and Audit Committee Act 1951 (statement made, by way of a report, 10 March 2005)
Report 403—Access of Indigenous Australians to law and justice services (tabled 22 June 2005)

Committee document presented

Public Works—Joint Statutory Committee

Members
Mrs Moylan (Chairman), Senators Forshaw, Parry and Troeth and Mr Forrest, Mr Jenkins, Mr BP O’Connor, Mr Ripoll and Mr Wakelin

Reports presented
Development of land at Lee Point, Darwin, for defence and private housing (Fifth report of 2004) (tabled 8 December 2004)
Fit-out of new leased premises for the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet at 1 National Circuit, Barton, ACT (Sixth report of 2004) (tabled 8 December 2004)
Fit-out of new leased premises for the Attorney-General’s Department at 3-5 National Circuit, Barton, ACT (Seventh report of 2004) (tabled 8 December 2004)
New east building for the Australian War Memorial, Canberra, ACT (Eighth report of 2004) (tabled 8 December 2004)
Fit-out of new leased premises for the Department of Industry, Tourism and Resources in Civic, ACT (First report of 2005) (tabled 16 March 2005)
New housing for Defence Housing Authority at McDowall, Brisbane, Queensland (Second report of 2005) (tabled 14 June 2005)
Provision of facilities for Maribyrnong Immigration Detention Centre additional accommodation and related works, Maribyrnong, Victoria (Third report of 2005) (tabled 14 June 2005)
Defence Science and Technology Organisation Ordnance Breakdown Facility, Port Wakefield, South Australia (Fifth report of 2005) (tabled 14 June 2005)
Mid-life upgrade of existing chancery at the Australian High Commission, Singapore (Seventh report of 2005) (tabled 22 June 2005)
Reserve Bank of Australia business resumption site (Tenth report of 2005) (tabled 22 June 2005)
Holsworthy program – Special operations working accommodation and base redevelopment stage 1 (Eleventh report of 2005) (tabled 18 August 2005)
Operational upgrade, Darwin Detention Facility, Berrimah, NT (Thirteenth report of 2005) (tabled 18 August 2005)
Redevelopment of Kokoda Barracks, Canungra, Queensland (Fifteenth report of 2005) (tabled 18 August 2005)
Refurbishment of the Royal Australian Mint, Canberra, ACT (Seventeenth report of 2005) (tabled 12 October 2005)
RAAF Base Amberley redevelopment stage 2, Queensland (Eighteenth report of 2005) (tabled 7 November 2005)
CSIRO minerals laboratory extensions at Waterford, Perth, WA (Twentieth report of 2005) (tabled 9 November 2005)
Fit-out of new leased premises for the Australian Customs Service at 1010 Latrobe Street, Melbourne Docklands (Twenty-second report of 2005) (tabled 7 December 2005)
Construction of Chancery, Phnom Penh, Cambodia (First report of 2006) (tabled 27 February 2006)
Fit-out of an extension to leased premises for IP Australia in Woden, ACT (Fourth report of 2006) (tabled 29 March 2006)
Redevelopment of Post 1945 Conflicts Galleries and Discovery Room for the Australian War Memorial, Canberra, ACT (Fifth report of 2006) (tabled 29 March 2006)

______________________________

Publications—Standing Committee

Members
Senator Watson (Chair), Senators Johnston, Marshall, Nash, Polley, Sterle and Wortley

Reports presented
1st report (tabled 9 December 2004)
2nd report (tabled 17 March 2005)
3rd report (tabled 12 May 2005)
4th report (tabled 23 June 2005)
5th report (tabled 18 August 2005)
6th report (tabled 15 September 2005)
7th report (tabled 13 October 2005)
8th report (tabled 10 November 2005)
9th report (tabled 8 December 2005)
10th report (tabled 2 March 2006)
* 11th report (tabled 30 March 2006)

______________________________

Regulations and Ordinances—Legislative Scrutiny Standing Committee

Members
Senator Watson (Chairman), Senators Bartlett, Carol Brown, Fierravanti-Wells, Mason and Wortley

Report presented

Documents presented
Ministerial correspondence relating to the scrutiny of delegated legislation, February to December 2004 (tabled 9 March 2005)
Ministerial correspondence relating to the scrutiny of delegated legislation, December 2004 to June 2005 (tabled 10 November 2005)
Ministerial correspondence relating to the scrutiny of delegated legislation, May to December 2005 (tabled 2 March 2006)


Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport Legislation Committee

Portfolios
Transport and Regional Services; Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Members
Senator Heffernan (Chair), Senator McEwen (Deputy Chair), Senators Ferris, Milne, Nash and Sterle

Participating members

Current inquiries
The administration by the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry of the citrus canker outbreak (adopted under standing order 25(2)(b), 27 May 2005)
National Animal Welfare Bill 2005 (referred 22 June 2005; reporting date: last sitting day in June 2006)

Reports presented
Annual reports (No. 2 of 2004), including final report on the administration of the Civil Aviation Safety Authority, September 2004 (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Ferguson, on 1 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Provisions of the Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry Legislation Amendment Bill (No. 2) 2004 (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Ferguson, on 2 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Provisions of the National Animal Welfare Bill 2003—Interim report (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Watson, on 8 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004)
Matters not disposed of at the end of the 40th Parliament (tabled 1 December 2004)
Annual reports (No. 1 of 2005), March 2005 (tabled 16 March 2005)
Administration of Biosecurity Australia – Revised draft import risk analysis for bananas from the Philippines (tabled 17 March 2005)
Administration of Biosecurity Australia – Revised draft import risk analysis for apples from New Zealand (tabled 17 March 2005)
Budget estimates 2005-06, June 2005 (tabled 20 June 2005)
Civil Aviation Legislation Amendment (Mutual Recognition with New Zealand) Bill 2005 (tabled 5 September 2005)
Annual reports (No. 2 of 2005), September 2005 (tabled 8 September 2005)

---

Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport References Committee

**Members**

Senator Siewert (Chair), Senator Heffernan (Deputy Chair), Senators McEwen, Nash, O’Brien and Sterle

**Participating members**


**Current inquiries**

* Water policy initiatives (referred 14 September 2005; reporting date: last sitting day in June 2006)*
* Australia’s future oil supply (referred 29 November 2005; reporting date: 15 June 2006)*

**Reports presented**

* Australian forest plantations: A review of Plantations for Australia: The 2020 Vision (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Ferguson, on 2 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004) and corrigendum (presented to the Temporary Chair of Committees, Senator Brandis, on 3 September 2004, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 16 November 2004) and further corrigenda (tabled 8 December 2004)*
* Iraqi wheat debt – repayments for wheat growers (tabled 16 June 2005)*
* The operation of the wine-making industry (tabled 13 October 2005)*

---

Scrafton Evidence—Select Committee

(appointed 30 August 2004; reappointed 18 November 2004; final report tabled 9 December 2004)

**Members**

Senator Collins (Chair), Senator Brandis (Deputy Chair), Senators Bartlett, Faulkner and Ferguson

**Report presented**

Report (tabled 9 December 2004)
Scrutiny of Bills—Legislative Scrutiny Standing Committee

Members
Senator Ray (Chairman), Senator Mason (Deputy Chairman), Senators Barnett, Johnston, McEwen and Murray

Current inquiry
Entry, search and seizure provisions in Commonwealth legislation (referred 25 March 2004; readopted 29 November 2004)

Alert Digests presented
No. 11 of 2004 (tabled 1 December 2004)
No. 12 of 2004 (tabled 8 December 2004)
No. 1 of 2005 (tabled 9 February 2005)
No. 2 of 2005 (tabled 9 March 2005)
No. 3 of 2005 (tabled 16 March 2005)
No. 4 of 2005 (tabled 12 May 2005)
No. 5 of 2005 (presented to the Deputy President on 1 June 2005, pursuant to standing order 38(7); tabled 14 June 2005)
No. 6 of 2005 (tabled 15 June 2005)
No. 7 of 2005 (tabled 22 June 2005)
No. 8 of 2005 (tabled 10 August 2005)
No. 9 of 2005 (tabled 18 August 2005)
No. 10 of 2005 (tabled 7 September 2005)
No. 11 of 2005 (tabled 14 September 2005)
No. 12 of 2005 (tabled 5 October 2005)
No. 13 of 2005 (tabled 9 November 2005)
No. 14 of 2005 (tabled 30 November 2005)
No. 15 of 2005 (tabled 7 December 2005)
No. 1 of 2006 (tabled 8 February 2006)
No. 2 of 2006 (tabled 1 March 2006)
No. 3 of 2006 (tabled 29 March 2006)

Reports presented
Matters not disposed of at the end of the 40th Parliament (tabled 29 November 2004)
Eleventh report of 2004 (tabled 1 December 2004)
Twelfth report of 2004 (tabled 8 December 2004)
First report of 2005 (tabled 9 February 2005)
Third report of 2005 (tabled 16 March 2005)
Fourth report of 2005 (tabled 12 May 2005)
Fifth report of 2005 (tabled 15 June 2005)
Sixth report of 2005 (tabled 22 June 2005)
Seventh report of 2005 (tabled 10 August 2005)
Eighth report of 2005 (tabled 18 August 2005)
Tenth report of 2005: [including: Retrospectivity—Scrutiny of Bills Committee Practice] (tabled 14 September 2005)
Eleventh report of 2005 (tabled 5 October 2005)
Twelfth report of 2005 (tabled 12 October 2005)
Thirteenth report of 2005 (tabled 30 November 2005)
First report of 2006 (tabled 1 March 2006)
Second report of 2006 (tabled 29 March 2006)

Selection of Bills—Standing Committee

Members
The Government Whip (Chair), the Opposition Whip, the Australian Democrats Whip, the Nationals Whip, the Australian Greens Whip and Senators Eggleston, Ellison, Ludwig and Webber

Reports presented
Report no. 12 of 2004 (presented 1 December 2004)
Report no. 13 of 2004 (presented 6 December 2004)
Report no. 14 of 2004 (presented 8 December 2004)
Report no. 1 of 2005 (presented 9 February 2005)
Report no. 3 of 2005 (presented 16 March 2005)
Report no. 4 of 2005 (presented 11 May 2005)
Report no. 5 of 2005 (presented 15 June 2005)
Report no. 6 of 2005 (presented 22 June 2005)
Report no. 7 of 2005 (presented 10 August 2005)
Report no. 8 of 2005 (presented 17 August 2005)
Report no. 9 of 2005 (presented 7 September 2005)
Report no. 10 of 2005 (presented 14 September 2005)
Report no. 11 of 2005 (presented 5 October 2005)
Report no. 12 of 2005 (presented 12 October 2005)
Report no. 13 of 2005 (presented 9 November 2005)
Report no. 15 of 2005 (presented 8 December 2005)
Report no. 1 of 2006 (presented 8 February 2006)
Report no. 2 of 2006 (presented 1 March 2006)

* Report no. 3 of 2006 (presented 30 March 2006)

Senators’ Interests—Standing Committee

Members
Senator Webber (Chair), Senator Lightfoot (Deputy Chair), Senators Allison, Forshaw, Humphries, Kirk, McEwen and Nash

Notifications of alterations of interests
Register of senators’ interests, incorporating a statement of interests and notifications of alterations of interests of senators lodged between 19 June and 6 December 2004 (tabled 8 December 2004)
Register of senators’ interests, incorporating statements of interests and notifications of alterations of interests of senators lodged between 7 December 2004 and 20 June 2005 (tabled 22 June 2005)
Register of senators’ interests, incorporating registrable statements of interests and
notifications of alterations of interests of senators lodged between 21 June and
Register of senators’ interests, incorporating statements of registrable interests and
notifications of alterations of interests of senators lodged between 13 September 2005
and 5 December 2005 (tabled 7 December 2005)

Reports presented
* Report 2/2006: Review of arrangements for registration of senators’ interests
(presented to the Deputy President on 6 April 2006, pursuant to standing order 38(7))

Treaties—Joint Standing Committee
(appointed 18 November 2004)

Members
Dr Southcott (Chair), Senators Bartlett, Carol Brown, Mason, McGauran, Sterle,
Trood and Wortley and Mr Adams, Mr Johnson, Mr Keenan, Mrs May,
Ms Panopoulos, Mr Ripoll, Mr Scott and Mr Wilkie

Reports presented
Report 61—The Australia–United States Free Trade Agreement—Corrigenda (tabled
7 December 2004)
Report 63—Treaties tabled on 7 December 2004 (tabled 7 March 2005)
Report 64—Treaties tabled on 7 December 2004 (2) (tabled 11 May 2005)
Report 65—Treaties tabled on 7 December 2004 (3) and 8 February 2005 (tabled
20 June 2005)
Proposed agreement between Australia and the United States of America (US) relating
to the surrender of US nationals to the International Criminal Court (statement made,
by way of a report, 20 June 2005)
Report 66—Treaties tabled on 7 December 2004 (4), 15 March and 11 May 2005
(tabled 18 August 2005)
Report 68—Treaties tabled on 7 December 2004 (5) and 9 August 2005 (tabled
7 November 2005)
Report 69—Treaties tabled on 13 September and 11 October 2005 (tabled 7 December
2005)
Report 70—Treaty tabled on 9 November 2005 (tabled 7 December 2005)
* Report 72—Treaties tabled on 29 November 2005 (2) (tabled 28 March 2006) and
erratum (tabled 30 March 2005)
SENATE APPOINTMENTS TO STATUTORY AUTHORITIES

Advisory Council on Australian Archives
Senator Faulkner (reappointed 20 June 2005, with effect from 27 June 2005, for a period of 3 years).

Council of the National Library of Australia
Senator Brandis (appointed 14 March 2005 for a period of 3 years).

Parliamentary Retiring Allowances Trust
Senators Faulkner and Watson (appointed 1 July 2005 and 10 February 1994, respectively).

HARRY EVANS
Clerk of the Senate
## MINISTERIAL REPRESENTATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minister</th>
<th>Representing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator the Honourable Nicholas Minchin (Nick)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Finance and Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leader of the Government in the Senate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vice-President of the Executive Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prime Minister</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasurer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Industry, Tourism and Resources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Small Business and Tourism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator the Honourable Helen Coonan</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy Leader of the Government in the Senate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Trade</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Foreign Affairs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Revenue and Assistant Treasurer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister Assisting the Prime Minister for Women’s Issues</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator the Honourable Amanda Vanstone</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Education, Science and Training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Vocational and Technical Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator the Honourable Ian Campbell</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for the Environment and Heritage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Transport and Regional Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Defence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Local Government, Territories and Roads</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Veterans’ Affairs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator the Honourable Christopher Ellison (Chris)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Justice and Customs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manager of Government Business in the Senate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney-General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator the Honourable Charles Kemp (Rod)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for the Arts and Sport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Families, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Community Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Human Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator the Honourable Eric Abetz</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Fisheries, Forestry and Conservation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Employment and Workplace Relations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Workforce Participation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Minister of State</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator the Honourable Santo Santoro</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Ageing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister for Health and Ageing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Parliamentary Secretaries

- **Senator the Honourable Richard Colbeck**
  Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Finance and Administration

- **Senator the Honourable John Macdonald (Sandy)**
  Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Defence

*In those instances where Senators prefer to be known by other than their first name, the preferred name is underlined.*
A GUIDE TO THE NOTICE PAPER

The Notice Paper is issued each sitting day and contains details of current business before the Senate. Its structure is based on four main types of business, as follows:

- **Matters of privilege** take precedence over all other business and are listed at the beginning of the Notice Paper when they arise. They consist of notices of motion which the President has determined warrant such precedence and any orders relating to uncompleted debates on such motions.

- **Business of the Senate** has precedence over government and general business for the day on which it is listed. It includes disallowance motions, orders of the day for the presentation of committee reports, motions to refer matters to standing committees, motions for leave of absence for a senator and motions concerning the qualification of a senator.

- **Government business** is business initiated by a minister. It takes precedence over general business except for a period of 2½ hours each week set aside on Thursdays for general business.

- **General business** is all other business initiated by senators who are not ministers. It takes precedence over government business only as described above.

Within each of these categories, business consists of notices of motion and orders of the day:

- **Notices of motion** are statements of intention that senators intend to move particular motions on the days indicated. They are entered on the Notice Paper in the order given and may be given jointly by two or more senators. Notices of motion are usually considered before orders of the day.

- **Orders of the day** are items of business which the Senate has ordered to be considered on particular days, usually arising from adjourned debates on matters (including legislation) or requirements to present committee reports.

On days other than Thursdays, the Notice Paper records in full current items of business of the Senate and government business, but includes only new items of general business from the previous sitting day. On Thursdays, business relating to the consideration of government documents, committee reports and government responses to committee reports is also published.

Other sections in the Notice Paper are as follows:

- **Orders of the day relating to committee reports and government responses** follows government business and lists orders of the day for adjourned debates on motions to consider or adopt committee reports and government responses which have been presented during the week. These orders may be considered for one hour on Thursdays at the conclusion of general business. New items appear in the following day’s Notice Paper. The section is printed in full on Thursdays.

- **Orders of the day relating to government documents** appears in general business and lists orders of the day for adjourned debates on motions to take note of government documents. Such orders arise from consideration of the government documents presented on a particular day and include consideration of any documents not reached on the day. They are also listed for consideration for one hour on Thursdays during the consideration of general business. New items appear in the following day’s Notice Paper. The section is printed in full on Thursdays.
Business for future consideration lists any notice of motion or order of the day to be considered on a specific day in the future; for example, a committee report ordered to be presented on a specific date, or a notice of motion given for a day other than the next day of sitting.

Bills referred to committees lists all bills or provisions of bills currently being considered by committees.

Questions on notice includes the text of new questions on notice and lists the numbers of unanswered questions.

Orders of the Senate includes orders of short-term duration such as orders for production of documents and those relating to days of sitting for a period of sittings.

Contingent notices of motion are statements of intention by senators that, contingent on a specified occurrence, they may move a motion, usually to suspend standing orders. They are grouped by subject.

Temporary chairs of committees is a daily list of all senators appointed to take the chair in the absence of the President or Deputy President.

Categories of committees is a daily list, categorised by type, of Senate and joint committees. Details of each committee appear in the committee section.

Committees lists all of Senate and joint committees, including membership, current inquiries and reports presented on or since the previous sitting day.

Senate appointments to statutory authorities lists the statutory authorities on which the Senate is represented and details of representation.

Ministerial representation lists Senate ministers and the portfolios they represent.

The ‘full’ Notice Paper

On the first day of each period of sittings a full Notice Paper is printed listing all outstanding business before the Senate, including the full text of all unresolved notices of motion and unanswered questions on notice. This edition is a complete reference to unresolved business from earlier in the session and is useful to keep. All business before the Senate is published daily in the full online version of the Notice Paper, available on ParlInfo and on the Senate’s Internet site at aph.gov.au/senate.

Inquiries concerning the Notice Paper or business listed in it may be directed to the Senate Table Office on (02) 6277 3018.

Printed by authority of the Senate