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Indigenous Education (Targeted Assistance) Amendment Bill 2004

Date Introduced: 23 June 2004
House: House of Representatives
Portfolio: Education, Science and Training
Commencement: Royal Assent

Purpose

The purpose of this Bill is to amend the Indigenous Education (Targeted Assistance) Act 2000 ("the 2000 Act") to provide:

- Continuation of arrangements under the Act for agreements with education providers and other persons or bodies for payments aimed at improving education outcomes for Indigenous Australians and continuing efforts to achieve equity between Indigenous and non-Indigenous Australians.

- Funding for both the Indigenous Education Strategic Initiatives Programme (IESIP) and the Indigenous Education Direct Assistance Programme (IEDA) over the 2005 to 2008 quadrennium.

- Continuation of ‘Away From Base’ payments for ABSTUDY approved courses.

- Strengthened financial and educational accountability arrangements for agreements made under the Act.

The Bill will also repeal the spent transitional provision at section 16 of the Act and repeal the Indigenous Education (Supplementary Assistance) Act 1989.

Warning:

This Digest was prepared for debate. It reflects the legislation as introduced and does not canvass subsequent amendments.

This Digest does not have any official legal status. Other sources should be consulted to determine the subsequent official status of the Bill.
Background

Commonwealth Assistance to Indigenous Education

In the 1970s and 1980s, Commonwealth assistance to Aboriginal education was provided by a number of agencies in a sometimes fragmented and overlapping manner. Various reports recommended the Commonwealth Government could improve Aboriginal participation in education by increased financial assistance and through better coordination of available assistance. In response to such reports, the Hawke Labor Government appointed the 1988 Aboriginal Education Policy Task Force, chaired by Professor Paul Hughes, whose report identified a series of national goals for Aboriginal education. The central goal was ‘to achieve broad equity between Aboriginal people and other Australians in access, participation and outcomes in all forms of education’.

The National Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Education Policy (NATSIEP), a joint initiative of the State, Territory and Commonwealth governments, was implemented by the then Aboriginal Education (Supplementary Assistance) Act 1989. Objectives in Aboriginal education have since been met through supplementary funding to education providers rather than solely through benefits, such as ABSTUDY benefits, paid to individual students. The 1989 Act established triennial funding and enabled longer term planning for Aboriginal education programs aimed at meeting the twenty-one goals of NATSEIP through the Aboriginal Education Strategic Initiatives Programme (AESIP), now the Indigenous Education Strategic Initiatives Programme (IESIP). Under IESIP the Commonwealth provides funding to government and non-government schools, including small independent Indigenous schools. Funding may go to all education sectors from preschool to tertiary. It includes ‘Away From Base’ funding for ABSTUDY students attending compulsory course activities and funding for special projects. Funding is provided through agreements made with education providers.

Since 1990 when AESIP (now IESIP) was introduced, there has been a steady increase in Commonwealth funding for Indigenous education. Factors influencing this increase include indexation and increased enrolments. Debate on Indigenous education should recognize the important context of Indigenous demographics. Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples are a young population with a median age of 20.5 years. Thirty-nine per cent are under fifteen years, compared to twenty per cent for the non-Indigenous population. It is estimated that the Indigenous population is growing at a rate of 5.3 per cent a year and that between 1991 and 1996 the number of Indigenous children under seventeen increased by twelve per cent compared to a two per cent increase for non-Indigenous children.

Since 1996, the commitment to IESIP, in both policy and funding terms, has continued under the coalition Government. Although the Indigenous Education (Supplementary Assistance) Act 1989 was not repealed, the 2000 Act now provides the funding and implements the Government’s policy. The 2000 Act included a new object which stressed the attainment of better literacy and numeracy outcomes and better attendance outcomes.
for Indigenous students.\textsuperscript{9} The emphasis on improved educational outcomes has been a central plank in the Government’s platform of ‘practical reconciliation’ which was introduced in the Prime Minister’s speech at the launch of the National Indigenous Literacy and Numeracy Strategy in March 2000.\textsuperscript{10} The 2000 Act also provided more stringent accountability and reporting provisions.

Implementation of Government Policy

The Bill implements the continuing emphasis on improving educational outcomes for Indigenous Australians and working to achieve equity between Indigenous and non-Indigenous students. The Government’s stated approach is to ‘redirect resources to programmes that have demonstrably improved outcomes, to provide greater weighting of resources towards Indigenous students of greatest disadvantage – those in remote areas, and to improve mainstream service provision for Indigenous students’.\textsuperscript{11} The Bill implements these policies through the agreement making and reporting provisions.

Main Provisions

Schedule 1 Item 3 of the Bill inserts a new section 14A in the 2000 Act to provide appropriations for non-ABSTUDY payments for the period January 2005 to June 2009. For the first time it will provide the funding appropriations to support payments under the Indigenous Education Direct Assistance Programme (IEDA) which currently receives funding under the annual Appropriation Bill No. 1. Bringing IEDA under the Act will ensure programme funding for the quadrennium and align the programme with calendar rather than financial years. It should also continue the improved integrated programme delivery with IESIP which the Department of Education, Science and Training (DEST) has worked towards since 2000.\textsuperscript{12} IEDA funding will now have to meet the same accountability arrangements as other Indigenous education supplementary funding programmes, including the strengthened performance and reporting frameworks provided in the new section 11.

Item 9 inserts a new Division 2 (‘Accountability for section 10 agreements’) in Part 3 of the 2000 Act.

New section 11A provides that the Minister must not make an agreement under section 10 unless parties to agreements make a commitment to the objects of the Act and a commitment to achieve performance targets specified in the agreement. It is expected that performance indicators will relate to literacy and numeracy benchmarks; attendance, retention rates and grade progression ratios; enrolments; employment of Indigenous people in education; involvement of Indigenous people in decision making; professional development and culturally inclusive curricula.\textsuperscript{13}
New section 11B provides that agreements are subject to the reporting conditions specified in new subdivisions B and C of Division 2 and that payments are spent for the purposes specified in the agreement.

New section 11C provides that for each funding year covered by the agreement parties to the agreement must specify whether payments for the funding year have been spent or committed in that year for the purposes specified in the agreement.

New section 11D introduces a condition that parties to the agreement report how the party has advanced, or intends to advance, the objects of the Act from sources other than Australian Government mainstream and Indigenous specific funds. The Government expects that this provision will provide more transparent reporting by providers of their expected and actual expenditure on Indigenous education from their own sources and will ‘ensure that all money provided actually gets to the intended recipients’. As the Minister stresses in his second reading speech: ‘payments under the Act are to supplement, rather than substitute for, the other forms of funding available to advance the education of all Australian students, including Indigenous students’.

New section 11E specifies that parties to the agreement must report on performance against performance indicators and targets. Reporting may be required to show data for different geographical regions. Such a requirement would allow identification of regional differences rather than aggregate State and Territory data which may mask large regional variations. This addresses the concern of the Commonwealth Grants Commission in its Report on Indigenous Funding about the quality of data available at the regional level. Furthermore such data will be crucial in measuring the success of the funding policy which directs more resources to remote areas. In the 2005 to 2008 quadrennium, IESIP Supplementary Recurrent Assistance (SRA) and strategic initiative funding will continue to favour remote areas. SRA per capita rates for students in metropolitan regions will be frozen at 2004 levels and remoteness boundaries will be based on 2001 not 1996 census data. Students classified as being in remote locations will be funded at twice the rate of students in non-remote locations. It is expected that mainstream service provision will increasingly meet the needs of students in metropolitan areas.

New section 11F allows the Minister to intervene if parties are not achieving performance targets. The Minister may specify action to be taken and require a report on the response.

New section 11G requires that parties to the agreement participate in data validation and evaluations of the effectiveness of initiatives covered by the agreement in advancing the objects of the Act. Such evaluations should assist the Government in their intention to redirect resources to programmes that have demonstrably improved outcomes, that follow successful examples of ‘what works’, such as the ‘Scaffolding’ approach to teaching literacy, and that promote systemic change.

Warning:
This Digest was prepared for debate. It reflects the legislation as introduced and does not canvass subsequent amendments. This Digest does not have any official legal status. Other sources should be consulted to determine the subsequent official status of the Bill.
Concluding Comments

The Bill does not refer directly to the new arrangements for IEDA. However significant changes have been made to the programme which will now be brought under the Act. Following the 2003 review of IEDA, the Government will introduce a ‘Whole of School Intervention Strategy’ to replace the Aboriginal Student Support and Parent Awareness (ASSPA) and Vocational and Educational Guidance for Aboriginals Scheme (VEGAS) elements of IEDA. The present formula based funding that incorporates Indigenous enrolment figures and loadings for remoteness will be replaced with submission based funding. School-based parent committees and school councils will now have to compete for funding and meet the proposed reporting and accountability provisions in the Act. Although there are advantages in bringing IEDA under the Act, this change may prove to be an onerous task for small and remote schools and their communities.

The Bill can be viewed in the wider context of the Government’s Indigenous and education policies. In the emphasis on funding to remote areas and the demand for providers to report on mainstream funding it can be seen as part of the ‘mainstreaming’ of Indigenous program delivery and the decision to abolish the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Commission (ATSIC). The Bill’s emphasis on the reporting of educational outcomes is similar to that in the main Schools Assistance (Learning Together-Achievement through Choice and Opportunity) Bill 2004 which provides funding to government and non-government schools. Grantees under that Bill will be required to report against performance measures and to achieve performance targets related to the National Goals for Schooling for all students. Additionally, under provisions in the Schools Assistance (Learning Together-Achievement through Choice and Opportunity) Bill, government and non-government school systems will be required to report annually on how mainstream school funding provided by the Commonwealth is being spent on improving Indigenous education outcomes.

Endnotes

1 Commonwealth funding was available through the Dept of Education and Youth Affairs, Dept of Aboriginal Affairs, Commonwealth Schools Commission, Curriculum Development Centre and the National Aboriginal Education Committee. See: Commonwealth Schools Commission, Funding Priorities in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Education Canberra, July 1984 and House of Representatives Select Committee on Aboriginal Education, Aboriginal Education, Canberra, September 1985, pp. 46–67.

2 See for example: B. H. Watts, Aboriginal Futures, A Review of Research and Developments and Related Policies in the Education of Aborigines, (1982); Commonwealth Schools Commission/National Aboriginal Education Committee, Funding Priorities in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Education (July 1984); House of Representatives Select Committee on


4 An amendment to the Act in 1995, replaced of the word ‘Aboriginal’ with ‘Indigenous’. The 1989 Act will be repealed with passage of the Bill.


7 *National Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Social Survey 2002*, Canberra, ABS, 2004. ABS Cat. 4714.0.
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