

## AUSTRALIA

**HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES**  
**VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS**

No. 13

FRIDAY, 2 AUGUST 1974

- 
- 1 The House met, at ten o'clock a.m., pursuant to adjournment. Mr Speaker (the Honourable J. F. Cope) took the Chair, and read Prayers.
  - 2 **WRIT OF SUMMONS FROM THE HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA—STATEMENT BY MR SPEAKER:**  
Mr Speaker made a statement informing the House that he had, this morning, been served with a writ of summons from the Principal Registrar of the High Court of Australia commanding him to cause an appearance to be entered for him in the High Court of Australia in an action at the suit of Sir Magnus Cameron Cormack and James Joseph Webster. Mr Speaker also informed the House that the Clerk of the House of Representatives had been served with a similar writ of summons.  
*Papers:* Mr Speaker laid upon the Table the following papers:  
Writ of summons from the Principal Registrar of the High Court of Australia to James Francis Cope and others, in an action at the suit of Sir Magnus Cameron Cormack and James Joseph Webster for declarations and injunctions in respect of a proposed joint sitting of members of the Senate and of the House of Representatives.  
Notice of a hearing before the Right Honourable Sir Garfield Barwick, Chief Justice of Australia, on 2 August 1974, of an application on behalf of Sir Magnus Cameron Cormack and James Joseph Webster for certain orders.  
Statement of Claim, dated 1 August 1974.
  - 3 **PETITIONS:** The Clerk announced that the following Members had each lodged petitions for presentation, viz.:  
Mr Garland—from certain citizens of Australia praying that the Government ensure that the publication and availability of pornographic literature and films are restricted and that people are made aware of the dangers to the community of such material.  
Mr McLeay—from certain citizens of Australia praying that the Government take no measures to interfere with the existing national health scheme.  
Mr McLeay—from certain citizens of Australia praying that the Government set aside sufficient funds for research into the production of industrial solar energy.  
Mr Wentworth—from certain citizens of Australia praying that the Government take no measures to interfere with existing television program standards or to permit easier entry into Australia, or production in Australia, of pornographic material.  
Petitions received.
  - 4 **QUESTIONS:** Questions without notice were asked.
  - 5 **PAPERS:** The following papers were presented, by command of His Excellency the Governor-General:  
Electronic components of technological significance—  
Identification of components—Report by Mr M. M. Lusby.

Manufacture of components in Australia—Reports by—

Associate Professor R. M. Huey on behalf of Unisearch Ltd.

Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology on behalf of Technisearch Ltd.

Electronic components industry—Report by Arthur D. Little International Inc., dated April 1974.

Government activity since assuming office—Statements relating to—

- (1) Committees, commissions of inquiry and task forces instituted.
- (2) Reports presented to Parliament on inquiries instituted.
- (3) Reports presented to Parliament on meetings between Australian and State Government Ministers.
- (4) Treaties, etc., presented to Parliament.
- (5) Welfare reforms—Details of social security and repatriation pensions and benefits payable on 2 December 1972 and 2 August 1974.

6 MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE: A Message from the Senate was reported returning the following Bill without amendment:

1 August 1974—Message No. 25—Glebe Lands (Appropriation) 1974.

7 MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE—FINANCIAL CORPORATIONS BILL 1974: The following message from the Senate was reported:

MR SPEAKER,

Message No. 24

The Senate returns to the House of Representatives the Bill for "*An Act relating to Corporations engaged in certain Financial Operations*", and acquaints the House that the Senate has agreed to the Bill with the Amendments indicated by the annexed Schedule, in which Amendments the Senate requests the concurrence of the House of Representatives.

The Senate,  
Canberra, 1 August 1974

JUSTIN O'BYRNE,  
President

Ordered—That the amendments be taken into consideration, in committee of the whole House, forthwith.

---

*In the committee*

SCHEDULE OF THE AMENDMENTS MADE BY THE SENATE

No. 1—Page 2, clause 3, line 3, after "resources", insert "the ensuring of an adequate level of finance for housing".

No. 2—Page 14, clause 14, lines 1 to 5, leave out sub-clause (2), insert the following sub-clause:

"(2) The reference in sub-section (1) to policy in relation to the provision of finance is a reference to general policy with respect to the amount of finance that is provided or the purposes for which, or the direction in which, finance is provided."

No. 3—Page 14, clause 14, lines 12 to 20, leave out sub-clause (4), insert the following sub-clause:

"(4) Regulations made for the purposes of sub-section (1) may authorize the Reserve Bank to make—

- (a) different determinations in relation to registered corporations included in different categories; and
- (b) different determinations in relation to different classes of purposes for which, or different directions in which, finance is provided,

but, except as provided by paragraph (b), shall not authorize the Reserve Bank to make different determinations in relation to registered corporations included in the same category."

No. 4—Page 15, clause 15, after sub-clause (2), insert the following new sub-clause:

“(2A) Notwithstanding sub-section (2), regulations made for the purposes of sub-section (1) shall authorize the making of a determination in relation to a registered corporation being a building society that carries on business in one State or Territory only that is different from determinations made in relation to other registered corporations being building societies that do not carry on business in that State or Territory or do not carry on business only in that State or Territory.”.

On the motion of Mr Crean (Treasurer) the amendments were agreed to, after debate. Resolution to be reported.

---

The House resumed; Mr Scholes reported accordingly.

On the motion of Mr Crean (Treasurer), the amendments were agreed to, after debate,

8 MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE—NATIONAL HEALTH BILL 1974 [No. 2]: The following message from the Senate was reported:

MR SPEAKER,

Message No. 26

The Senate returns to the House of Representatives the Bill for “*An Act to amend the ‘National Health Act 1953–1973’ in relation to Registered Organizations*”, and acquaints the House that the Senate has agreed to the Bill with the Amendments indicated by the annexed Schedule, in which Amendments the Senate requests the concurrence of the House of Representatives.

The Senate,  
Canberra, 1 August 1974

JUSTIN O’BYRNE,  
President

Ordered—That the amendments be taken into consideration, in committee of the whole House, forthwith.

---

*In the committee*

SCHEDULE OF THE AMENDMENTS MADE BY THE SENATE

No. 1—Page 2, clause 4, lines 20–36, leave out sub-clauses (12), (13) and (14), insert the following sub-clauses:

“(12) (a) Where under this section the Minister has refused to approve of a change, whether in whole or in part, the organization may appeal against the decision of the Minister to the Supreme Court of the State or a Territory in which the principal office of the organization is situated.

(b) The Supreme Court of each State is invested with federal jurisdiction and jurisdiction is conferred on the Supreme Court of each Territory to hear and determine appeals under this section.

“(13) If on the hearing of the appeal the Court is satisfied—

(a) that the change insofar as it relates to the benefits provided or to be provided by the organization is or will be beneficial to the contributors; or

(b) that the change insofar as it relates to the contributions payable to the organization is reasonable having regard to the amounts reasonably required for the purposes of the fund, the moneys readily available for such purposes, the rates of contribution in force before the change, the rates of benefits provided or to be provided by the organization, the liabilities of the organization, the level of reserves and the adequacy of such reserves in the light of prevailing economic circumstances,

the Court shall allow the appeal but if the Court is not so satisfied it may either determine what it believes should be the proper benefits or the proper contributions or it may dismiss the appeal.

“(14) The decision of the Court shall be deemed to be the decision of the Minister given under this section.

“(15) If the Court dismisses the appeal it may at the time of the appeal being dismissed or at any time thereafter make such order as to the Court may seem appropriate to ensure that the rules of the organization are complied with.”.

No. 2—Pages 2 and 3, clause 5, leave out the clause.

On the motion of Mr Hayden (Minister for Social Security), the amendments were agreed to, after debate.

Resolution to be reported.

The House resumed; Mr Scholes reported accordingly.

On the motion of Mr Hayden, the House adopted the report.

9 ROADS GRANTS BILL 1974: The House, according to order, resolved itself into a committee of the whole for the further consideration of the Bill.

*In the committee*

Clause 3—

Debate resumed on the clause and on the amendment moved by Mr Nixon, viz.: Page 4, omit sub-clauses(4) and (5).

Mr Nixon rising to address the committee—

*Closure:* Mr Nicholls moved—That the question be now put.

Question—That the question be now put—put and passed.

And the question—That the sub-clauses proposed to be omitted stand part of the clause—being accordingly put—

The committee divided (the Chairman, Mr Scholes, in the Chair)—

AYES, 60

Mr Armitage	Mr Daly	Mr L. K. Johnson	Dr Patterson
Mr Beazley	Mr Davies	Mr L. R. Johnson	Mr Riordan
Mr Bennett	Mr Dawkins	Mr Jones	Mr Sherry
Mr Berinson	Mr Duthie	Mr Keating	Mr Stewart
Mr Bowen	Mr Enderby	Mr Keogh	Mr Thorburn
Mr Bryant	Dr Everingham	Mr Kerin	Mr Uren
Dr J. F. Cairns	Mr FitzPatrick	Dr Klugman	Mr Wallis
Mr C. R. Cameron	Mr Fry	Mr Lamb	Mr Whan
Mrs Child	Mr Fulton	Mr Luchetti	Mr Willis
Mr Clayton	Mr Garrick	Mr McKenzie	Mr Young
Mr Coates	Dr Gun	Mr Martin	
Mr Cohen	Mr Hayden	Mr Mathews	
Mr Collard	Mr Hurford	Mr Morris	
Mr Cope	Mr Innes	Mr Morrison	<i>Tellers:</i>
Mr Crean	Mr Jacobi	Mr Mulder	Mr James
Mr Cross	Dr Jenkins	Mr Oldmeadow	Mr Nicholls

NOES, 47

Mr Adermann	Mr Erwin	Mr Jarman	Mr E. L. Robinson
Mr Bonnett	Mr Fisher	Mr Kelly	Mr Ruddock
Mr Bouchier	Dr Forbes	Mr Lucock	Mr Sinclair
Mr Bungey	Mr Garland	Mr Lusher	Mr Staley
Mr Cadman	Mr Giles	Mr MacKellar	Mr Street
Mr Calder	Mr Graham	Mr McLeay	Mr Sullivan
Mr Chipp	Mr Hewson	Mr McVeigh	Mr Viner
Mr Connolly	Mr Hodges	Mr Macphee	Mr Wentworth
Mr Drummond	Mr Holten	Mr Millar	Mr Wilson
Mr Drury	Mr Howard	Mr Nixon	<i>Tellers:</i>
Dr Edwards	Mr Hunt	Mr O'Keefe	Mr D. M. Cameron
Mr Ellicott	Mr Hyde	Mr Peacock	Mr England

And so it was resolved in the affirmative.

Question—That the clause be agreed to—put.

The committee divided (the Chairman, Mr Scholes, in the Chair)—

AYES, 60

Mr Armitage	Mr Daly	Mr L. K. Johnson	Dr Patterson
Mr Beazley	Mr Davies	Mr L. R. Johnson	Mr Riordan
Mr Bennett	Mr Dawkins	Mr Jones	Mr Sherry
Mr Berinson	Mr Duthie	Mr Keating	Mr Stewart
Mr Bowen	Mr Enderby	Mr Keogh	Mr Thorburn
Mr Bryant	Dr Everingham	Mr Kerin	Mr Uren
Dr J. F. Cairns	Mr FitzPatrick	Dr Klugman	Mr Wallis
Mr C. R. Cameron	Mr Fry	Mr Lamb	Mr Whan
Mrs Child	Mr Fulton	Mr Luchetti	Mr Willis
Mr Clayton	Mr Garrick	Mr McKenzie	Mr Young
Mr Coates	Dr Gun	Mr Martin	
Mr Cohen	Mr Hayden	Mr Mathews	
Mr Collard	Mr Hurford	Mr Morris	
Mr Cope	Mr Innes	Mr Morrison	<i>Tellers:</i>
Mr Crean	Mr Jacobi	Mr Mulder	Mr James
Mr Cross	Dr Jenkins	Mr Oldmeadow	Mr Nicholls

NOES, 47

Mr Adermann	Mr Erwin	Mr Jarman	Mr E. L. Robinson
Mr Bonnett	Mr Fisher	Mr Kelly	Mr Ruddock
Mr Bouchier	Dr Forbes	Mr Lucock	Mr Sinclair
Mr Bungey	Mr Garland	Mr Lusher	Mr Staley
Mr Cadman	Mr Giles	Mr MacKellar	Mr Street
Mr Calder	Mr Graham	Mr McLeay	Mr Sullivan
Mr Chipp	Mr Hewson	Mr McVeigh	Mr Viner
Mr Connolly	Mr Hodges	Mr Macphee	Mr Wentworth
Mr Drummond	Mr Holten	Mr Millar	Mr Wilson
Mr Drury	Mr Howard	Mr Nixon	<i>Tellers:</i>
Dr Edwards	Mr Hunt	Mr O'Keefe	Mr D. M. Cameron
Mr Ellicott	Mr Hyde	Mr Peacock	Mr England

And so it was resolved in the affirmative.

Clause 4—

Mr Nixon moved the following amendment: Page 4, omit sub-clause (1).

Debate continued.

Several Members rising to address the committee—

*Closure:* Mr Nicholls moved—That the question be now put.

Question—That the question be now put—put and passed.

And the question—That the sub-clause proposed to be omitted stand part of the clause—was put accordingly, and passed.

Mr Nixon, by leave, moved the following amendments together:

Page 5, lines 1 and 2, omit “with the concurrence of the Minister of State for Urban and Regional Development and”.

Page 5, lines 9–11, omit “The Minister of State for Urban and Regional Development, with the concurrence of the Minister and after consultation with the appropriate Minister of a State”, substitute “The Minister after consultation with the appropriate Minister of a State”.

Page 5, lines 17–19, omit “The Minister of State for Northern Development, with the concurrence of the Minister and after consultation with the appropriate Minister of the State of Queensland”, substitute “The Minister after consultation with the appropriate Minister of the State of Queensland”.

Page 5, omit sub-clause (6).

Page 5, lines 30 and 31, omit “, with the concurrence of the Minister of State for Urban and Regional Development,”.

Debate continued.

Mr Ruddock rising to address the committee—

*Closure:* Mr Nicholls moved—That the question be now put.

Question—That the question be now put—put and passed.

And the question—That the words and sub-clause proposed to be omitted stand part of the clause—was put accordingly, and passed.

Clause agreed to.

Clause 5 agreed to.

Clause 6 debated.

Mr Ruddock addressing the committee.

*Closure:* Mr Nicholls moved—That the question be now put.

Question—That the question be now put—put and passed.

And the question—That the clause be agreed to—was put accordingly, and passed—

Clauses 7 to 9, by leave, taken together, and agreed to.

Clause 10 debated.

Several Members rising to address the committee—

*Closure:* Mr Daly (Leader of the House) moved—That the question be now put.

Question—That the question be now put—put and passed.

And the question—That the clause be agreed to—was put accordingly, and passed.

Clause 11—

Mr Nixon addressing the committee—

*Closure:* Mr Nicholls moved—That the question be now put.

Question—That the question be now put—put.

The committee divided (the Chairman, Mr Scholes, in the Chair)—

AYES, 54

Mr Armitage	Mr Crean	Dr Jenkins	Mr Mulder
Mr Beazley	Mr Daly	Mr L. K. Johnson	Mr Oldmeadow
Mr Bennett	Mr Davies	Mr L. R. Johnson	Mr Reynolds
Mr Berinson	Mr Dawkins	Mr Jones	Mr Riordan
Mr Bryant	Mr Enderby	Mr Keogh	Mr Sherry
Dr J. F. Cairns	Dr Everingham	Mr Kerin	Mr Stewart
Mr C. R. Cameron	Mr Fry	Dr Klugman	Mr Thorburn
Mrs Child	Mr Fulton	Mr Lamb	Mr Uren
Mr Clayton	Mr Garrick	Mr Luchetti	Mr Wallis
Mr Coates	Dr Gun	Mr McKenzie	Mr Willis
Mr Cohen	Mr Hayden	Mr Martin	
Mr Collard	Mr Hurford	Mr Mathews	<i>Tellers:</i>
Mr Connor	Mr Innes	Mr Morris	Mr James
Mr Cope	Mr Jacobi	Mr Morrison	Mr Nicholls

NOES, 40

Mr Adermann	Mr Erwin	Mr Lusher	Mr Street
Mr Bonnett	Mr Fisher	Mr MacKellar	Mr Sullivan
Mr Bungey	Mr Giles	Mr McLeay	Mr Viner
Mr Cadman	Mr Graham	Mr McVeigh	Mr Wentworth
Mr Calder	Mr Hodges	Mr Millar	Mr Wilson
Mr Chipp	Mr Howard	Mr Nixon	
Mr Connolly	Mr Hunt	Mr O'Keefe	
Mr Drummond	Mr Hyde	Mr Peacock	
Mr Drury	Mr Jarman	Mr Ruddock	<i>Tellers:</i>
Dr Edwards	Mr Kelly	Mr Sinclair	Mr England
Mr Ellicott	Mr Lucock	Mr Staley	Mr Garland

And so it was resolved in the affirmative.

And the question—That the clause be agreed to—was put accordingly, and passed.

Clause 12—

Mr Nixon addressing the committee—

*Closure:* Mr Nicholls moved—That the question be now put.

Question—That the question be now put—put.

The committee divided (the Chairman, Mr Scholes, in the Chair)—

AYES, 61

Mr Armitage	Mr Cross	Mr L. K. Johnson	Dr Patterson
Mr Beazley	Mr Daly	Mr L. R. Johnson	Mr Reynolds
Mr Bennett	Mr Davies	Mr Jones	Mr Riordan
Mr Berinson	Mr Dawkins	Mr Keating	Mr Sherry
Mr Bowen	Mr Duthie	Mr Keogh	Mr Stewart
Mr Bryant	Mr Enderby	Mr Kerin	Mr Thorburn
Dr J. F. Cairns	Dr Everingham	Dr Klugman	Mr Uren
Mr C. R. Cameron	Mr Fry	Mr Lamb	Mr Wallis
Mrs Child	Mr Fulton	Mr Luchetti	Mr Whan
Mr Clayton	Mr Garrick	Mr McKenzie	Mr Willis
Mr Coates	Dr Gun	Mr Martin	Mr Young
Mr Cohen	Mr Hayden	Mr Mathews	
Mr Collard	Mr Hurford	Mr Morris	
Mr Connor	Mr Innes	Mr Morrison	<i>Tellers:</i>
Mr Cope	Mr Jacobi	Mr Mulder	Mr James
Mr Crean	Dr Jenkins	Mr Oldmeadow	Mr Nicholls

NOES, 45

Mr Adermann	Mr Erwin	Mr Kelly	Mr Sinclair
Mr Bonnett	Mr Fisher	Mr Lucock	Mr Staley
Mr Bouchier	Mr Garland	Mr Lusher	Mr Street
Mr Bungey	Mr Giles	Mr MacKellar	Mr Sullivan
Mr Cadman	Mr Graham	Mr McLeay	Mr Viner
Mr Calder	Mr Hewson	Mr McVeigh	Mr Wentworth
Mr Chipp	Mr Hodges	Mr Macphee	Mr Wilson
Mr Connolly	Mr Holten	Mr Millar	
Mr Drummond	Mr Howard	Mr Nixon	
Mr Drury	Mr Hunt	Mr O'Keefe	<i>Tellers:</i>
Dr Edwards	Mr Hyde	Mr Peacock	Mr D. M. Cameron
Mr Ellicott	Mr Jarman	Mr Ruddock	Mr England

And so it was resolved in the affirmative.

And the question—That the clause be agreed to—was put accordingly, and passed.

Remainder of Bill, by leave, taken as a whole.

Mr Nixon moved the following amendment: Clause 15, page 12, omit the clause.

Debate continued.

Question—That the clause proposed to be omitted stand part of the Bill—put and passed.

Remainder of Bill agreed to.

Bill to be reported without amendment.

---

The House resumed; Mr Scholes reported accordingly.

On the motion of Mr Jones (Minister for Transport), the House adopted the report, and, by leave, the Bill was read a third time.

10 NATIONAL ROADS BILL 1974: The order of the day having been read for the resumption of the debate on the question—That the Bill be now read a second time—

Question—put and passed—Bill read a second time.

*Message from the Governor-General:* Message No. 16, dated 17 July 1974, from His Excellency the Governor-General was announced recommending an appropriation of revenue for the purposes of the Bill.

The House resolved itself into a committee of the whole.

---

*In the committee*

Bill, by leave, taken as a whole.

Mr Nixon, by leave, moved the following amendments together:

Clause 4, page 3, line 38, after "Minister may" insert "enter into an agreement with a State,".

Clause 4, page 4, line 1, after "Minister may" insert "enter into an agreement with a State,".

Clause 6, page 5, sub-clause (6), omit paragraph (b).

Clause 6, page 5, lines 39 and 40, omit "or an officer authorized by that Minister".

Clause 15, page 10, omit the clause.

Debate continued.

Amendments negatived.

Bill agreed to.

Bill to be reported without amendment.

The House resumed; Mr Scholes reported accordingly.

On the motion of Mr Jones (Minister for Transport), the House adopted the report, and, by leave, the Bill was read a third time.

- 11 **TRANSPORT (PLANNING AND RESEARCH) BILL 1974:** The order of the day having been read for the resumption of the debate on the question—That the Bill be now read a second time—

Question—put and passed—Bill read a second time.

*Message from the Governor-General:* Message No. 17, dated 17 July 1974, from His Excellency the Governor-General was announced recommending an appropriation of revenue for the purposes of the Bill.

Leave granted for third reading to be moved forthwith.

Mr Jones (Minister for Transport) moved—That the Bill be now read a third time.

Debate ensued.

Question—put and passed—Bill read a third time.

- 12 **PRICES—JOINT COMMITTEE—REFERENCE—IMPORTS AFFECTED BY REVALUATION:** Mr Crean (Treasurer) moved, by leave—

(1) That the following matter be referred to the Joint Committee on Prices: Imports in respect of which evidence is presented to the Committee that the Australian dollar price to consumers or users failed to respond to reductions in landed costs following the revaluation of the Australian dollar in December 1972 or following other relevant currency changes in 1973, with particular reference to those imports having a significant effect on domestic costs and prices.

(2) That a message be sent to the Senate acquainting it of this resolution.

Question—put and passed.

- 13 **SPECIAL ADJOURNMENT:** Mr Daly (Leader of the House) moved—That the House, at its rising, adjourn until a date and hour to be fixed by Mr Speaker, which time of meeting shall be notified by Mr Speaker to each Member by telegram or letter.

Question—put and passed.

- 14 **LEAVE OF ABSENCE TO ALL MEMBERS:** Mr Daly (Leader of the House) moved—That leave of absence be given to every Member of the House of Representatives from the determination of this sitting of the House to the date of its next sitting.

Question—put and passed.



15 ADDRESS IN REPLY TO THE GOVERNOR-GENERAL'S SPEECH: The order of the day having been read for the resumption of the debate on the question—That the following Address in Reply to the Speech of His Excellency the Governor-General be agreed to:

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR EXCELLENCY:

We, the House of Representatives of Australia, in Parliament assembled, desire to express our loyalty to our Most Gracious Sovereign, and to express our thanks for the Speech which His Excellency the Right Honourable Sir Paul Hasluck, G.C.M.G., G.C.V.O., K.St.J., as Governor-General, was pleased to address to Parliament—*And on the amendment moved thereto by Mr Anthony (Leader of the Australian Country Party), viz.*—That the following words be added to the Address: “but the House of Representatives is of the opinion that—

- (1) The Government is unable to handle the economic problems that confront Australia because its policies of:
  - (a) deliberately creating an intolerable rate of inflation;
  - (b) creating unemployment; and
  - (c) applying a credit squeeze with high interest rates, have lead to distressing social and economic dislocation; and
- (2) The Government is to be condemned for its continued confrontation with the State Governments and the undermining of their rights and responsibilities”—

Debate resumed.

Amendment negatived.

Question—That the Address be agreed to—put and passed.

Mr Speaker informed the House that it would be ascertained when it would be convenient for His Excellency the Governor-General to receive the Address in Reply, and honourable Members would be informed accordingly.

16 MESSAGE FROM THE GOVERNOR-GENERAL—ASSENT TO BILL: A message from His Excellency the Governor-General was announced informing the House that His Excellency, in the name of Her Majesty, had assented to the following Bill:

2 August 1974—Message No. 18—Canberra Water Supply (Googong Dam) 1974.

17 ADJOURNMENT: Mr Daly (Leader of the House) moved—That the House do now adjourn. Debate ensued.

Question—put and passed.

And then the House, at four minutes to four o'clock p.m., adjourned until a date and hour to be fixed by Mr Speaker, and to be notified by him to each Member by telegram or letter as determined by resolution of the House at this sitting.

---

MEMBERS PRESENT: All Members were present (at some time during the sitting) except Mr Anthony, Mr Barnard, Mr K. M. Cairns, Dr Cass, Mr Corbett, Mr Fairbairn, Mr Fraser, Mr Gorton, Mr Katter, Mr Killen, Mr King, Mr Lloyd, Mr Lynch, Mr McMahan and Mr I. L. Robinson.

---

N. J. PARKES,  
Clerk of the House of Representatives