

**Question on notice no. 106**

**Portfolio question number: 106**

**2020-21 Additional estimates**

**Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport Committee, Infrastructure,  
Transport, Regional Development and Communications Portfolio**

**Senator Anthony Chisholm:** asked the Airservices Australia on 22 March 2021—

Senator CHISHOLM: Were other options considered for the parallel runway airspace design? Mr Harfield: There most likely would have been, but I'd have to go back and take on notice what considerations were made, and when, to end up with the final model.

**Answer —**

Answer attached.

**Rural & Regional Affairs and Transport Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
Additional Estimates 2020 - 2021  
**Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development and Communications**

**Committee Question Number:** 106  
**Departmental Question Number:** SQ21-000055

**Program:** n/a  
**Division/Agency:** Airservices Australia  
**Topic:** Brisbane parallel runway airspace options  
**Proof Hansard Page:** 64 (22 March 2021)

**Senator Anthony Chisholm asked:**

**Senator CHISHOLM:** Were other options considered for the parallel runway airspace design?  
**Mr Harfield:** There most likely would have been, but I'd have to go back and take on notice what considerations were made, and when, to end up with the final model.

**Answer:**

Airservices considered: point merge; open standard instrument departure (SID); standard arrival route (STAR); and closed SID/STAR options as part of the system design phase for Brisbane New Parallel Runways.