

Question on notice no. 106

Portfolio question number: 106

2020-21 Additional estimates

**Rural and Regional Affairs and Transport Committee, Infrastructure,
Transport, Regional Development and Communications Portfolio**

Senator Anthony Chisholm: asked the Airservices Australia on 22 March 2021—

Senator CHISHOLM: Were other options considered for the parallel runway airspace design? Mr Harfield: There most likely would have been, but I'd have to go back and take on notice what considerations were made, and when, to end up with the final model.

Answer —

Answer attached.

Rural & Regional Affairs and Transport Legislation Committee
ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE
Additional Estimates 2020 - 2021
Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development and Communications

Committee Question Number: 106
Departmental Question Number: SQ21-000055

Program: n/a
Division/Agency: Airservices Australia
Topic: Brisbane parallel runway airspace options
Proof Hansard Page: 64 (22 March 2021)

Senator Anthony Chisholm asked:

Senator CHISHOLM: Were other options considered for the parallel runway airspace design?
Mr Harfield: There most likely would have been, but I'd have to go back and take on notice what considerations were made, and when, to end up with the final model.

Answer:

Airservices considered: point merge; open standard instrument departure (SID); standard arrival route (STAR); and closed SID/STAR options as part of the system design phase for Brisbane New Parallel Runways.