

**Question on notice no. 128**

**Portfolio question number: BE23-129**

**2023-24 Budget estimates**

**Legal and Constitutional Affairs Committee, Attorney-General's Portfolio**

**Senator David Shoebridge:** asked the Federal Court of Australia on 25 May 2023—

Senator SHOEBRIDGE: My third question is: for how long had the other applicants who sought this same position been appointed as legal practitioners, so far as you can answer that based upon the material they provided to you in their applications? Will you take that on notice?

Mr Tredwell: In respect of that question, are you asking us to divulge the personal information of each and every applicant for that position?

Senator SHOEBRIDGE: I am asking you to tell the parliament in a de-identified way the length of time that each of the other applicants had been admitted as practitioners in a list form. Will you take that on notice?

Ms Lagos: In a de-identified way, I think we can do that.

**Answer —**

Please see the attached answer

**SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ON LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS**  
**ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S PORTFOLIO**  
**BUDGET ESTIMATES 2023-2024**

**PA-Federal Court of Australia**

**BE23-129 - Recruitment of Registrar position - other applicants**

**Senator David Shoebridge asked the following question on 25 May 2023:**

Senator SHOEBRIDGE: My third question is: for how long had the other applicants who sought this same position been appointed as legal practitioners, so far as you can answer that based upon the material they provided to you in their applications? Will you take that on notice?

Mr Tredwell: In respect of that question, are you asking us to divulge the personal information of each and every applicant for that position?

Senator SHOEBRIDGE: I am asking you to tell the parliament in a de-identified way the length of time that each of the other applicants had been admitted as practitioners in a list form. Will you take that on notice?

Ms Lagos: In a de-identified way, I think we can do that.

**The response to the senator's question is as follows:**

See below table setting out in a de-identified way the admission date of each of the applicants (to the extent known).

	Date first admitted
1	1/11/2017
2	Not stated
3	6/02/2012
4	21/04/2017
5	19/12/2013
6	3/04/2009
7	12/07/2010
8	Not stated
9	1994
10	Not stated
11	3/07/2009
12	Not stated
13	2015
14	12/1987
15	1997
16	1997
17	12/07/2010
18	1991

19	2/10/2012
20	31/01/2000
21	2002
22	2007
23	6/03/2012
24	21/05/2010
25	05/2006
26	2005
27	1985
28	(Not later than) 2001
29	Not stated
30	2011
31	1985
32	3/02/2014
33	Not stated
34	(Not later than) 1986
35	2015
36	Not stated
37	2007
38	2/02/1999
39	08/2011
40	Not stated
41	Not stated
42	17/12/1999
43	2003
44	06/2006
45	2006
46	Not stated
47	04/2012
48	48 01/2000
49	Not stated
50	2004
51	1998
52	N/A (Mr B)
53	Not stated
54	1994
55	06/1984
56	2007
57	12/2017