

Chief Executive Officer R2-5-C074 Russell Offices CANBERRA ACT 2600 Ph: (02) 6265 3742 FX: (02) 6266 7641

CEO DMO/OUT/2012/78

Mr Rob Oakeshott MP Chair Joint Committee of Public Accounts and Audit Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

Dear Mr Oakeshott,

PRESENTATION OF FINANCIAL DATA FOR THE DEFENCE MATERIEL ORGANISATION MAJOR PROJECTS REPORT

1. At the private briefing to the Joint Committee of Public Accounts and Audit on Wednesday 8 February 2012, the Auditor-General and I undertook to provide you with an agreed position on the financial disclosures section of the Major Projects Report (MPR).

2. The Auditor-General and I have reviewed the proposed formats as presented in our separate papers to the Committee and, having also noted the guidance of the Committee provided on 8 February 2012, are pleased to advise that we have arrived at an agreed format for the financial performance presentation. This format does not differ greatly from that previously presented to the Committee, but establishes the construct of 'out-turned' budgets and recognises the availability of the financial data from the current DMO financial management systems.

3. The agreed format is contained at Attachments A and B. Attachment A covers those projects who received Government Approval prior to July 2010 (when projects were approved in constant dollars). Attachment B addresses the post June 2010 approved projects (when projects were approved in out-turned dollars). I recommend these templates to the Committee and suggest that they be implemented for the 2011-12 MPR (i.e. as at 30 June 2012). I understand that the Auditor-General will also be recommending these templates to the Committee.

4. I therefore respectfully request the Committee's consideration and approval of the templates at Attachment A and B.

5. On a related issue, the Auditor-General and I have agreed that the DMO should provide an assurance statement for each project as to whether there is sufficient budget remaining to deliver the materiel element of capability at the agreed Final Materiel Release (FMR) milestone. In this regard, we have provided a 'Project Assurance Statement' which is intended to provide an overall assessment of the project's budgetary position, obviating the need for the reader of the

PDSS to make their own assessment of a project's budgetary performance (as applies with present arrangements).

6. It is suggested that the 'Project Assurance Statement' will be disclosed within the construct of the MPR Project Data Summary Sheet (PDSS) under Section 1.2 'Current Status – Project Cost'. The agreed format, and 'Project Assurance Statement' contained therein, is provided at Attachment C for the Committees consideration and, if appropriate, approval.

7. I look forward to discussing this proposal with you at the 21 March 2012 Public Hearing.

Yours sincerely,

Warren King CEO DMO

14 March 2012

Attachment:

- A. Agreed Financial Performance Presentation for pre July 2010 projects.
- B. Agreed Financial Performance Presentation for post June 2010 projects.
- C. Agreed 'Project Assurance Statement' for PDSS Section 1.2 Project Cost.

DMO-ANAO AGREED FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE PRESENTATION FOR PRE JULY 2010 PROJECTS

Section 2 – Financial Performance

2.1 Project Budget (out-turned) and Expenditure History

| Date | | Description | \$m | Notes | | | | |
|------------|--|---|--|-------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Project Budget | | | | | | |
| Nov 03 | 1 | Original Approved | 3.1 | 1 | | | | |
| Aug 04 | | Real Variation – Budgetary Adjustments | (0.1) | 2 | | | | |
| Sep 04 | | Real Variation - Scope | 4.8 | 3 | | | | |
| Nov 05 | | Real Variation – Scope | 29.6 | 4 | | | | |
| Jun 07 | | Government Second Pass Approval | 2,920.8 | | | | | |
| Oct 08 | | Real Variation – Transfer | 9.4 | 5 | | | | |
| | | | 2,967.6 | | | | | |
| Jun 12 | | Price Indexation | 428.4 | 6 | | | | |
| Jun 12 | | Exchange Variation | (264.9) | | | | | |
| Jun 12 | | Total Budget | 3,131.1 | | | | | |
| IT IN COME | | Project Expenditure | ALL DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF | | | | | |
| Prior to | Jul 11 | Contract Expenditure - BAE Systems | (952.1) | | | | | |
| | | Other Contract Payments / Internal Expenses | (59.2) | 7 | | | | |
| | | | (1,011.3) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| FY to J | Jun 12 | Contract Expenditure - BAE Systems | (344.3) | | | | | |
| | | Other Contract Payments / Internal Expenses | (10.3) | 7 | | | | |
| | | | (354.6) | | | | | |
| Jun 12 | | Total Expenditure | (1,365.9) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Jun 12 | | Remaining Budget | 1,765.2 | | | | | |
| Notes | | | a start the same first | | | | | |
| 1 | This pr | oject's original budget amount is that prior to achieving S | Second Pass Government appro | val. | | | | |
| 2 | Admini | stration savings harvest. | | | | | | |
| 3 | | und a risk reduction activity for the Project to obtain design data and develop designs to meet Australian Initial requirements. | | | | | | |
| 4 | First Pa | Pass approval. | | | | | | |
| 5 | Transfe | er of funding for technical studies from DSTO. | | | | | | |
| 6 | Up to July 2010, indexation was applied to project budgets on a periodic basis, with the objective of maintaining the real purchasing power of the original budget value approved by Government. The cumulative impact of this approach was \$350m. Post July 2010, all DMO projects have been managed in 'out-turned' dollars which takes into consideration the future value of the dollar. The impact on the project budget as a result of out-turning was a further \$78.4m having been applied to the project until the forecast delivery in accordance with the dates agreed in the Materiel Acquisition Agreement and noted in Section 3.3 of the PDSS. | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Other payments and expenses comprises: Operating Expenditure, Offer Definition, Consultants, Foreign Military Sales, Contractor Support and Minor Capital expenditure not attributable to the Prime contract. | | | | | | | |

2.2 In-year Budget Expenditure Variance

| Estimate \$m | Actual \$m | Variance \$m | Variance Factor | Explanation |
|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| 1 | A Contraction | - a la strange | FMS | |
| | | 2 2 2 3 3 | Overseas Industry | |
| | | 0.6 | Local Industry | |
| | | | Brought Forward | and the second s |
| | L | - and a start of the start of the | Cost Savings | |
| | | | FOREX Variation | |
| and the second second | Contractor March | a Star Desta | Commonwealth Delays | and the second |
| 354.0 | 354.6 | 0.6 | Total Variance | the second second in the second second |

2.3 Details of Project Major Contracts

| Contractor | Signature Price at | | | Type (Price | Form of Contract | - |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------|---|---|-------|
| COMINICION | Date Signature | | 30 JI | | Form of Contract | Notes |
| BAE Systems | Oct 07 | 2,268.1 2,3 | | B7.7 Firm | ASDEFCON | 1 |
| Notes | | and the second second | | | | |
| 1 Contract F | Price at Revision | 35 | | | | |
| Contractor | | Quantities as at | | | | |
| Contractor | Signat | ùre 30 J | un 11 | Scope | | Notes |
| BAE Systems | 2 | | 2 | LHD ships and integra | ID ships and integrated support systems | |
| Major equipment re | ceived and quan | tities to 30 Jun 11 | | A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY A REAL PROPERTY A REAL PROPERTY A REAL PROPERTY A | | |
| Detailed Design Re | | | | tions underway. | | |

DMO-ANAO AGREED FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE PRESENTATION FOR POST JUNE 2010 PROJECTS

Section 2 – Financial Performance

2.1 Project Budget (out-turned) and Expenditure History

| Date | | Description | \$m | Notes | | | | |
|------------|---|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| - 5 - 94 | free atras | Project Budget | | | | | | |
| Nov 03 | | Original Approved | 3.1 | 1 | | | | |
| Aug 04 | | Real Variation – Budgetary Adjustments | (0.1) | 2 | | | | |
| Sep 04 | | Real Variation - Scope | 4.8 | 3 | | | | |
| Nov 05 | | Real Variation - Scope | 29.6 | 4 | | | | |
| Jun 07 | | Government Second Pass Approval | 3,349.2 | 5 | | | | |
| Oct 08 | | Real Variation – Transfer | 9.4 | 6 | | | | |
| | | | 3,396.0 | | | | | |
| Jun 12 | | Exchange Variation | (264.9) | | | | | |
| Jun 12 | | Total Budget | 3,131.1 | | | | | |
| an and and | S. A. M. E. | Project Expenditure | | a superior and the second | | | | |
| Prior to | Jul 11 | Contract Expenditure - BAE Systems | (952.1) | 1 | | | | |
| | | Other Contract Payments / Internal Expenses | (59.2) | 7 | | | | |
| | | | (1,011.3) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| FY to J | un 12 | Contract Expenditure - BAE Systems | (344.3) | | | | | |
| | | Other Contract Payments / Internal Expenses | (10.3) | 7 | | | | |
| | | | (354.6) | | | | | |
| Jun 12 | Contraction of the second second | Total Expenditure | (1,365.9) | | | | | |
| Jun 12 | | Remaining Budget | 1,765.2 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Notes | | and the second second second second second second | | | | | | |
| 1 | This pro | pject's original budget amount is that prior to achieving | Second Pass Government app | proval. | | | | |
| 2 | Adminis | stration savings harvest. | | | | | | |
| 3 | To fund essentia | o fund a risk reduction activity for the Project to obtain design data and develop designs to meet Australian esential requirements. | | | | | | |
| 4 | First Pa | Pass approval. | | | | | | |
| 5 | Government has approved an 'out-turned' budget that recognises the estimated future value of the dollar from the approval date until the forecast delivery date in accordance with the dates agreed in the Materiel Acquisition Agreement and noted in Section 3.3 of the PDSS. | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | Transfer of funding for technical studies from DSTO. | | | | | | |
| 7 | Other payments and expenses comprises: Operating Expenditure, Offer Definition, Consultants, Foreign Military Sales, Contractor Support and Minor Capital expenditure not attributable to the Prime contract. | | | | | | | |

2.2 In-year Budget Expenditure Variance

| Estimate \$m | Actual Sm | Variance \$m | Variance Factor | Explanation |
|--|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| 1. | | and the second second | FMS | |
| | | 0.6 | Overseas Industry | |
| | | | Local Industry | |
| | | | Brought Forward | |
| 8 | | | Cost Savings | |
| | | | FOREX Variation | |
| | | | Commonwealth Delays | |
| 354.0 | 354.6 | 0.6 | Total Variance | |

2.3 Details of Project Major Contracts

| Contractor | Signature Price at | | | Type (Price | Form of Contract | Notes |
|--|---|------------------|---------|--|------------------|---------------------------------------|
| BAE Systems | Oct 07 | 2,268.1 | 2,287.7 | Firm | ASDEFCON | 國家是 國家認識的 |
| Notes | Price at Revision 3 | 25 | | | | ····································· |
| Contractor | SERVICES INTERNETING AND ADDRESS OF THE ADDRESS OF | Duantities as at | | | Scope | |
| BAE Systems | 2 | 2 | LHD sh | LHD ships and integrated support systems | | |
| Mejot equipment of Detailed Design Re | ceived and quant | the to 30 Jun 11 | | A MARKE STATISTICS | | egen an det af ge |

DMO-ANAO AGREED 'PROJECT ASSURANCE STATEMENT' FOR PROJECT DATA SUMMARY SHEET SECTION 1.2 – PROJECT COST

1.2 Current Status

Cost Performance

Noting the budget remaining for completion of the project, together with the estimated future expenditure and current known risks, the DMO considers that there is sufficient budget remaining for this project.

Schedule Performance

Thirteen aircraft have been accepted with six aircraft based with the Army's 5th Aviation Regiment in Townsville, three aircraft based with Navy's 808 Squadron in Nowra and four aircraft with the Army Aviation Training Centre in Oakey. These first thirteen aircraft will require an in-service retrofit (at Contractor expense) to bring them up to the full Phase 2/4/6 capability baseline. The first fully compliant Phase 2/4/6 aircraft are due for delivery in the second half of 2011.

Materiel Capability Performance

Following achievement of In-Service Date (ISD) with agreed partial achievement of the contracted MRH capabilities, there has been significant work by both Industry and the project to define and implement a series of capability block enhancements to bring the MRH90 to contracted standards. This includes a retrofit program, at no additional cost to the Commonwealth, to progressively bring all aircraft up to the contracted standard.