

24 May 2006

The Secretary Public Works Committee Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

**Dear Secretary** 

## SUBMISSION 2 - RICHARD McCREADIE, COMMISSIONER OF POLICE – TASMANIA POLICE

We acknowledge the letter from Commissioner McCreadie and thank him for his comments in support of the proposed redevelopment project.

John Rankin Development Manager AIPM Redevelopment Project



PO Box 168 Manly NSW 1655 Telephone +61 2 9934 4845 Facsimile +61 2 9934 4813 Email john.rankin@afp.gov.au www.afp.gov.au ABN 17 864 931 143

24 May 2006

The Secretary Public Works Committee Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

**Dear Secretary** 

#### SUBMISSION 3 - MR GARRY STEWART 2/8 ADDISON ROAD, MANLY

We thank Mr Stewart for his submission, and make the following comments in relation to the issues raised:

• The AFP is aware of and sensitive to the ecological and heritage issues associated with redevelopment on the AIPM site, and emphasise the points made particularly at pages 21 and 22 of the Statement of Evidence concerning these matters.

John Rankin Development Manager AIPM Redevelopment Project



PO Box 168 Maniy NSW 1655 Telephone +61 2 9934 4845 Facsimile +61 2 9934 4813 Email john.rankin@afp.gov.au www.afp.gov.au ABN 17 864 931 143

24 May 2006

The Secretary Public Works Committee Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

**Dear Secretary** 

# SUBMISSION 4 - K E MORONEY, COMMISSIONER OF POLICE – NEW SOUTH WALES POLICE

We acknowledge the letter from Commissioner Moroney and thank him for his comments in support of the proposed redevelopment project.

John Rankin Development Manager AIPM Redevelopment Project



24 May 2006

The Secretary Public Works Committee Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

**Dear Secretary** 

## SUBMISSION 5 - MALCOLM A HYDE, COMMISSIONER OF POLICE – SOUTH AUSTRALIA POLICE

We acknowledge the letter from Commissioner Hyde and thank him for his comments in support of the proposed redevelopment project.

John Rankin Development Manager AIPM Redevelopment Project



PO Box 168 Manly NSW 1655 Telephone +61 2 9934 4845 Facsimile +61 2 9934 4813 Email john.rankin@afp.gov.au www.afp.gov.au ABN 17 864 931 143

24 May 2006

The Secretary Public Works Committee Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

**Dear Secretary** 

#### SUBMISSION 6 - SYDNEY HARBOUR AND FORESHORES COMMITTEE

We thank the Sydney Harbour and Foreshores Committee for its submission, and make the following comments in relation to the issues raised:

- The AFP is aware of and sensitive to the ecological and heritage issues associated with redevelopment on the AIPM site, and we emphasise the points made particularly at pages 21 and 22 of the Statement of Evidence concerning these matters.
- The proposed redevelopment was the subject of a number of independent specialist ecological and heritage assessments. In addition, an overall Environmental Assessment was formally referred to the Department of Environment and Heritage for its assessment in accordance with the requirements of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999; and
- As the design of the Master Plan is developed, the building form will evolve to balance and integrate the identified heritage value and visual amenity. In this regard it is important to note that the current built form already comprises single and two storey structures, and all of the buildings in the proposed redevelopment will be contained within the existing two storey roof line.

John Rankin Development Manager AIPM Redevelopment Project



PO Box 168 Manly NSW 1655 Telephone +61 2 9934 4845 Facsimile +61 2 9934 4813 Email john.rankin@afp.gov.au www.afp.gov.au ABN 17 864 931 143

24 May 2006

The Secretary Public Works Committee Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

Dear Secretary

#### SUBMISSION 7 - MR SIMON BALDERSTONE 28 CAREY STREET MANLY

We thank Mr Balderstone for his submission, and make the following comments in relation to the issues raised:

- The AFP is aware of and sensitive to the ecological and heritage issues associated with redevelopment on the AIPM site, and emphasise the points made particularly at pages 21 and 22 of the Statement of Evidence concerning these matters.
- The proposed redevelopment was the subject of a number of independent specialist ecological and heritage assessments. In addition, an overall Environmental Assessment was formally referred to the Department of Environment and Heritage for its assessment in accordance with the requirements of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999.
- The objectives of the proposal and need for the work are identified on pages 1 and 2 of the Statement of Evidence. Amongst these objectives is the requirement to expand the functional capacity of the site which is currently limited by the existing facilities. The increase in accommodation will enable an optimum number of participants to be included on each leadership programme and, importantly, for all participants in these programmes to be accommodated on-site.
- The expansion of the AIPM core programmes (to include participants from other jurisdictions and agencies who need to work closely with police), will increasingly constrain future opportunities for the Institute to continue to offset a small amount of its operating costs through the periodic and limited private commercial hire of its facilities.

- As the design of the Master Plan is developed, the building form will evolve to balance and integrate the identified heritage value and visual amenity. In this regard it is important to note that the current built form already comprises single and two storey structures, and all of the buildings in the proposed redevelopment will be contained within the existing two storey roof line.
- Relevant agencies and key community groups and individuals have been involved in the consultation process since the inception of the redevelopment concept in 2002 - 2003. Currently, broader public involvement has been sought through the Department of Environment and Heritage referral process (under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999) and through the Public Works Committee public inquiry process (under the PWC Act 1969).
- An independent specialist consultant undertook an assessment of bushfire requirements for the proposed redevelopment. The resultant package of fire protection and bushfire mitigation measures (including an identified Strategic Fire Management Zone) was developed and agreed in consultation with the NSW Department of Environment and Conservation, Parks and Wildlife Division, and the NSW Rural Fire Service.

John Rankin Development Manager AIPM Redevelopment Project



PO Box 168 Manly NSW 1655 Telephone +61 2 9934 4845 Facsimile +61 2 9934 4813 Email john.rankin@afp.gov.au www.afp.gov.au ABN 17 864 931 143

24 May 2006

The Secretary Public Works Committee Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

**Dear Secretary** 

### SUBMISSION 8 - MS CATHY GRIFFIN 67 STUART STREET MANLY

We thank Ms Griffin for her submission, and make the following comments in relation to the issues raised:

- The objectives of the proposal and need for the work are identified on pages 1 and 2 of the Statement of Evidence. Amongst these objectives is the requirement to expand the functional capacity of the site which is currently limited by the existing facilities. The increase in accommodation will enable an optimum number of participants to be included on each leadership programme and, importantly, for all participants in these programmes to be accommodated on-site.
- The expansion of the AIPM core programmes (to include participants from other jurisdictions and agencies who need to work closely with police), will increasingly constrain future opportunities for the Institute to continue to offset a small amount of its operating costs through the periodic and limited private commercial hire of its facilities.
- The AFP is aware of and sensitive to the ecological and heritage issues associated with redevelopment on the AIPM site, and emphasise the points made particularly at pages 21 and 22 of the Statement of Evidence concerning these matters.
- The proposed redevelopment was the subject of a number of independent specialist ecological and heritage assessments. In addition, an overall Environmental Assessment was formally referred to the Department of Environment and Heritage for its assessment in accordance with the requirements of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999.

- An independent specialist consultant undertook an assessment of bushfire requirements for the proposed redevelopment. The resultant package of fire protection and bushfire mitigation measures (including an identified Strategic Fire Management Zone) was developed and agreed in consultation with the NSW Department of Environment and Conservation, Parks and Wildlife Division, and the NSW Rural Fire Service.
- As the design of the Master Plan is developed, the building form will evolve to balance and integrate the identified heritage values and visual amenity. In this regard it is important to note that the current built form already comprises single and two storey structures, and all of the buildings in the proposed redevelopment will be contained within the existing two storey roof line.
- Relevant agencies and key community groups and individuals have been involved in the consultation process since the inception of the redevelopment concept in 2002 - 2003. Currently, broader public involvement has been sought through the Department of Environment and Heritage referral process (under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999) and through the Public Works Committee public inquiry process (under the PWC Act 1969).

John Rankin Development Manager AIPM Redevelopment Project



24 May 2006

The Secretary Public Works Committee Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

**Dear Secretary** 

## SUBMISSION 9 - C J DAWSON, ACTING COMMISSIONER OF POLICE – WESTERN AUSTRALIA POLICE

We acknowledge the letter from Acting Commissioner Dawson and thank him for his comments in support of the proposed redevelopment project.

John Rankin Development Manager AIPM Redevelopment Project



24 May 2006

The Secretary Public Works Committee Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

**Dear Secretary** 

### SUBMISSION 10 - MS CHARLOTTE SKYES

We thank Ms Sykes for her submission, and make the following comments in relation to the issues raised:

• The AFP is aware of and sensitive to the ecological and heritage issues associated with redevelopment on the AIPM site, and emphasise the points made particularly at pages 21 and 22 of the Statement of Evidence concerning these matters.

John Rankin Development Manager AIPM Redevelopment Project



24 May 2006

The Secretary Public Works Committee Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

Dear Secretary

### SUBMISSION 11 - ROY AND DIANE DEANE 1/43 STUART STREET MANLY

We thank Roy and Diane Deane for their submission, and make the following comments in relation to the issues raised:

- The AFP is aware of and sensitive to the ecological and heritage issues associated with redevelopment on the AIPM site, and emphasise the points made particularly at pages 21 and 22 of the Statement of Evidence concerning these matters.
- As the design of the Master Plan is developed, the building form will evolve to balance and integrate the identified heritage values and visual amenity. In this regard it is important to note that the current built form already comprises single and two storey structures, and all of the buildings in the proposed redevelopment will be contained within the existing two storey roof line.

John Rankin Development Manager AIPM Redevelopment Project



PO Box 168 Manly NSW 1655 Telephone +61 2 9934 4845 Facsimile +61 2 9934 4813 Email john.rankin@afp.gov.au www.afp.gov.au ABN 17 884 931 143

24 May 2006

The Secretary Public Works Committee Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

**Dear Secretary** 

## SUBMISSION 12 - HOWARD BROAD, COMMISSIONER OF POLICE – NEW ZEALAND POLICE

We acknowledge the letter from Commissioner Broad and thank him for his comments in support of the proposed redevelopment project.

John Rankin Development Manager AIPM Redevelopment Project



24 May 2006

The Secretary Public Works Committee Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

**Dear Secretary** 

### SUBMISSION 13 - NORTH HEAD SANCTUARY FOUNDATION INC

We thank the North Head Sanctuary Foundation Inc for its submission, and make the following comments in relation to the issues raised:

- The AFP is aware of and sensitive to the ecological and heritage issues associated with redevelopment on the AIPM site, and emphasise the points made particularly at pages 21 and 22 of the Statement of Evidence concerning these matters.
- The proposed redevelopment was the subject of a number of independent specialist ecological and heritage assessments. In addition, an overall Environmental Assessment was formally referred to the Department of Environment and Heritage for its assessment in accordance with the requirements of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999.
- As the design of the Master Plan is developed, the building form will evolve to balance and integrate the identified heritage values and visual amenity. In this regard it is important to note that the current built form already comprises single and two storey structures, and all of the buildings in the proposed redevelopment will be contained within the existing two storey roof line.
- The objectives of the proposal and need for the work are identified on pages 1 and 2 of the Statement of Evidence. Amongst these objectives is the requirement to expand the functional capacity of the site which is currently limited by the existing facilities. The increase in accommodation will enable an optimum number of participants to be included on each leadership programme and, importantly, for all participants in these programmes to be accommodated on-site.

- The expansion of the AIPM core programmes (to include participants from other jurisdictions and agencies who need to work closely with police), will increasingly constrain future opportunities for the Institute to continue to offset a small amount of its operating costs through the periodic and limited private commercial hire of its facilities.
- An independent specialist consultant undertook an assessment of bushfire requirements for the proposed redevelopment. The resultant package of fire protection and bushfire mitigation measures (including an identified Strategic Fire Management Zone) was developed and agreed in consultation with the NSW Department of Environment and Conservation, Parks and Wildlife Division, and the NSW Rural Fire Service.

John Rankin Development Manager AIPM Redevelopment Project



PO Box 168 Manly NSW 1655 Telephone +61 2 9934 4845 Facsimile +61 2 9934 4813 Email john.rankin@afp.gov.au www.afp.gov.au ABN 1764 91143

24 May 2006

The Secretary Public Works Committee Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

Dear Secretary

#### SUBMISSION 14 - MR DAVID BOLTON 2/11 WILLYAMA AVENUE FAIRLIGHT

We thank the Mr Bolton for his submission, and make the following comments in relation to the issues raised:

- The AFP is aware of and sensitive to the ecological and heritage issues associated with redevelopment on the AIPM site, and emphasise the points made particularly at pages 21 and 22 of the Statement of Evidence concerning these matters.
- The proposed redevelopment was the subject of a number of independent specialist ecological and heritage assessments. In addition, an overall Environmental Assessment was formally referred to the Department of Environment and Heritage for its assessment in accordance with the requirements of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999.
- As the design of the Master Plan is developed, the building form will evolve to balance and integrate the identified heritage values and visual amenity. In this regard it is important to note that the current built form already comprises single and two storey structures, and all of the buildings in the proposed redevelopment will be contained within the existing two storey roof line.

 Relevant agencies and key community groups and individuals have been involved in the consultation process since the inception of the redevelopment concept in 2002 - 2003. Currently, broader public involvement has been sought through the Department of Environment and Heritage referral process (under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999) and through the Public Works Committee public inquiry process (under the PWC Act 1969).

John Rankin Development Manager AIPM Redevelopment Project



24 May 2006

The Secretary Public Works Committee Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

Dear Secretary

#### SUBMISSION 15 - CHRISTINE NIXON, CHIEF COMMISSIONER – VICTORIA POLICE

We acknowledge the letter from Chief Commissioner Nixon and thank her for her comments in support of the proposed redevelopment project.

John Rankin Development Manager AIPM Redevelopment Project



24 May 2006

The Secretary Public Works Committee Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

**Dear Secretary** 

#### SUBMISSION 16 - ROBIN SMITH PO BOX 1070 MANLY

We thank Robin Smith for the submission, and make the following comments in relation to the issues raised:

- The AFP is aware of and sensitive to the ecological and heritage issues associated with redevelopment on the AIPM site, and emphasise the points made particularly at pages 21 and 22 of the Statement of Evidence concerning these matters.
- The proposed redevelopment was the subject of a number of independent specialist ecological and heritage assessments. In addition, an overall Environmental Assessment was formally referred to the Department of Environment and Heritage for its assessment in accordance with the requirements of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999.

John Rankin Development Manager AIPM Redevelopment Project



24 May 2006

PO Box 168 Manly NSW 1655 Telephone +61 2 9934 4845 Facsimile +61 2 9934 4813 Email john.rankin@afp.gov.au www.afp.gov.au ABN 17 864 931 143

The Secretary Public Works Committee Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

Dear Secretary

### SUBMISSION 17 - MR RICHARD HEWITT 1/17 THE CRESCENT MANLY

We thank Mr Hewitt for his submission, and make the following comments in relation to the issues raised:

- The AFP is aware of and sensitive to the ecological and heritage issues associated with redevelopment on the AIPM site, and emphasise the points made particularly at pages 21 and 22 of the Statement of Evidence concerning these matters.
- The objectives of the proposal and need for the work are identified on pages 1 and 2 of the Statement of Evidence. Amongst these objectives is the requirement to expand the functional capacity of the site which is currently limited by the existing facilities. The increase in accommodation will enable an optimum number of participants to be included on each leadership programme and, importantly, for all participants in these programmes to be accommodated on-site.
- The expansion of the AIPM core programmes (to include participants from other jurisdictions and agencies who need to work closely with police), will increasingly constrain future opportunities for the Institute to continue to off-set a small amount of its operating costs through the periodic and limited private commercial hire of its facilities.
- An independent specialist consultant undertook an assessment of bushfire requirements for the proposed redevelopment. The resultant package of fire protection and bushfire mitigation measures (including an identified Strategic Fire Management Zone) was developed and agreed in consultation with the NSW Department of Environment and Conservation, Parks and Wildlife Division, and the NSW Rural Fire Service.

John Rankin Development Manager AIPM Redevelopment Project



24 May 2006

The Secretary Public Works Committee Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

**Dear Secretary** 

#### SUBMISSION 18 - MANLY COUNCIL

We thank Manly Council for its submission, and make the following comments in relation to the issues raised:

- The objectives of the proposal and need for the work are identified on pages 1 and 2 of the Statement of Evidence. Amongst these objectives is the requirement to expand the functional capacity of the site which is currently limited by the existing facilities. The increase in accommodation will enable an optimum number of participants to be included on each leadership programme and, importantly, for all participants in these programmes to be accommodated on-site.
- The expansion of the AIPM core programmes (to include participants from other jurisdictions and agencies who need to work closely with police), will increasingly constrain future opportunities for the Institute to continue to offset a small amount of its operating costs through the periodic and limited private commercial hire of its facilities.
- The AFP is aware of and sensitive to the ecological and heritage issues associated with redevelopment on the AIPM site, and emphasise the points made particularly at pages 21 and 22 of the Statement of Evidence concerning these matters.
- The proposed redevelopment was the subject of a number of independent specialist ecological and heritage assessments. In addition, an overall Environmental Assessment was formally referred to the Department of Environment and Heritage for its assessment in accordance with the requirements of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999.

- As the design of the Master Plan is developed, the building form will evolve to balance and integrate the identified heritage values and visual amenity. In this regard it is important to note that the current built form already comprises single and two storey structures, and all of the buildings in the proposed redevelopment will be contained within the existing two storey roof line.
- An independent specialist consultant undertook an assessment of bushfire requirements for the proposed redevelopment. The resultant package of fire protection and bushfire mitigation measures (including an identified Strategic Fire Management Zone) was developed and agreed in consultation with the NSW Department of Environment and Conservation, Parks and Wildlife Division, and the NSW Rural Fire Service.

John Rankin Development Manager AIPM Redevelopment Project



PO Box 168 Manly NSW 1655 Telephone +61 2 9934 4845 Facsimile +61 2 9934 4813 Email john.rankin@afp.gov.au www.afp.gov.au ABN 17 864 931 143

24 May 2006

The Secretary Public Works Committee Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

**Dear Secretary** 

### SUBMISSION 19 - KEELAH LAM 36 LAUDERDALE AVENUE FAIRLIGHT

We thank Keelah Lam for the submission, and make the following comments in relation to the issues raised:

- As the design of the Master Plan is developed, the building form will evolve to balance and integrate the identified heritage values and visual amenity. In this regard it is important to note that the current built form already comprises single and two storey structures, and all of the buildings in the proposed redevelopment will be contained within the existing two storey roof line.
- The objectives of the proposal and need for the work are identified on pages 1 and 2 of the Statement of Evidence. Amongst these objectives is the requirement to expand the functional capacity of the site which is currently limited by the existing facilities. The increase in accommodation will enable an optimum number of participants to be included on each leadership programme and, importantly, for all participants in these programmes to be accommodated on-site.
- The expansion of the AIPM core programmes (to include participants from other jurisdictions and agencies who need to work closely with police), will increasingly constrain future opportunities for the Institute to continue to offset a small amount of its operating costs through the periodic and limited private commercial hire of its facilities.
- The AFP is aware of and sensitive to the ecological and heritage issues associated with redevelopment on the AIPM site, and emphasise the points made particularly at pages 21 and 22 of the Statement of Evidence concerning these matters.

 An independent specialist consultant undertook an assessment of bushfire requirements for the proposed redevelopment. The resultant package of fire protection and bushfire mitigation measures (including an identified Strategic Fire Management Zone) was developed and agreed in consultation with the NSW Department of Environment and Conservation, Parks and Wildlife Division, and the NSW Rural Fire Service.

John Rankin Development Manager AIPM Redevelopment Project



24 May 2006

The Secretary Public Works Committee Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

**Dear Secretary** 

### SUBMISSION 20 - MS ANGELIKA TREICHLER 6/66 ADDISON ROAD MANLY

We thank Ms Angelika Treichler for her submission, and make the following comments in relation to the issues raised:

- The AFP is aware of and sensitive to the ecological and heritage issues associated with redevelopment on the AIPM site, and emphasise the points made particularly at pages 21 and 22 of the Statement of Evidence concerning these matters.
- The proposed redevelopment was the subject of a number of independent specialist ecological and heritage assessments. In addition, an overall Environmental Assessment was formally referred to the Department of Environment and Heritage for its assessment in accordance with the requirements of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999.
- As the design of the Master Plan is developed, the building form will evolve to balance and integrate the identified heritage values and visual amenity. In this regard it is important to note that the current built form already comprises single and two storey structures, and all of the buildings in the proposed redevelopment will be contained within the existing two storey roof line.

John Rankin Development Manager AIPM Redevelopment Project



24 May 2006

The Secretary Public Works Committee Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

Dear Secretary

#### SUBMISSION 21 - MR DAVID BARR INDEPENDENT MEMBER FOR MANLY

We thank Mr Barr for his submission, and make the following comments in relation to the issues raised:

- The AFP is aware of and sensitive to the ecological and heritage issues associated with redevelopment on the AIPM site, and emphasise the points made particularly at pages 21 and 22 of the Statement of Evidence concerning these matters.
- The proposed redevelopment was the subject of a number of independent specialist ecological and heritage assessments. In addition, an overall Environmental Assessment was formally referred to the Department of Environment and Heritage for its assessment in accordance with the requirements of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999.

John Rankin Development Manager AIPM Redevelopment Project



PO Box 168 Manły NSW 1655 Telephone +61 2 9934 4845 Facsimile +61 2 9934 4813 Email john.rankin@afp.gov.au www.afp.gov.au ABN 17 664 931 143

24 May 2006

The Secretary Public Works Committee Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

**Dear Secretary** 

#### SUBMISSION 22 - ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR ALISON BASHFORD

We thank Associate Professor Alison Bashford for her submission, and make the following comments in relation to the issues raised:

- The proposed redevelopment was the subject of a number of independent specialist ecological and heritage assessments. In addition, an overall Environmental Assessment was formally referred to the Department of Environment and Heritage for its assessment in accordance with the requirements of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999.
- The AFP is aware of and sensitive to the ecological and heritage issues associated with redevelopment on the AIPM site, and emphasise the points made particularly at pages 21 and 22 of the Statement of Evidence concerning these matters.
- The objectives of the proposal and need for the work are identified on pages 1 and 2 of the Statement of Evidence. Amongst these objectives is the requirement to expand the functional capacity of the site which is currently limited by the existing facilities. The increase in accommodation will enable an optimum number of participants to be included on each leadership programme and, importantly, for all participants in these programmes to be accommodated on-site.

 The expansion of the AIPM core programmes (to include participants from other jurisdictions and agencies who need to work closely with police), will increasingly constrain future opportunities for the Institute to continue to offset a small amount of its operating costs through the periodic and limited private commercial hire of its facilities.

John Rankin Development Manager AIPM Redevelopment Project