SUBMISSION 44

From BP Australia Proprietary Limited

Timor Sea Treaty between the Government of Australia and the Government of East Timor

BP Australia is a supplier of petroleum products to entities conducting

operations in the JPDA and to entities making flights into that area

Having perused the draft Treaty and in particular Annex G under Article 13 (b) of this Treaty -Taxation Code for the

Avoidance of Double Taxation and the Prevention of Fiscal Evasion in

Respect of Activities Connected with the Joint Petroleum Development $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Area}}$

, Article 18,

we are unclear as to the intended application of taxes and the place of

this treaty with regard to existing tax laws.

Customs duties are specifically excluded, several taxes are specifically

INCLUDED; as excise is like Customs duty and also is NOTincluded we

believe that it is not intended to be applicable. We suggest that it would

be useful to make an affirmative statement.

It appears that one interpretation could result in a single transaction

bearing :-

90% of the "Sales taxes" that would apply were it a domestic East Timor

transaction

PLUS

10% of the "Sales taxes" that would apply were it a domestic Australian

transaction

In our view such a regime would be totally unworkable from a supplier's

viewpoint.

If the Nations wish to apply such a tax burden; then we suggest that they

do it through a "reverse charge" mechanism under which the JPDA

operator(s) would buy items tax free and calculate and remit the taxes to the Nations.

As a supplier of fuel to aircraft (and potentially Marine craft) that

travel from East Timor and/or Australia to a destination in the JPDA we

seek certainty as to the tax status of that supply. These supplies do not

seem to be addressed by the treaty. It therefore seems reasonable to treat

them a being international flights/voyages - but we need confirmation that

that is the intent and interpretation of the Governments.

As a supplier of bulk fuel for use in the JPDA we see the following potential scenarios

Oil sent from Darwin to the JPDA

Oil sent from Dili / East Timor to the JPDA

Oil sent from Singapore to the JPDA

Our understanding is that as the JPDA is not a part of Australia that GST

does not apply, accordingly Article 18 Indirect taxes could have no

effect unless and until Australia amended the GST Act to make it

potentially taxable. We seek clarity (by way of an explanation of the $\,$

relevant legal basis) on this.

We wonder how Australian Taxes may be deemed to be applicable to an

"import" from Singapore to the JPDA. We seek clarity (by way of an

explanation of the relevant legal basis) on this

Article 18 Indirect taxes
Goods introduced into the JPDA, whether or not

from a

Contracting State, and services provided to a person in the JPDA, may, at

or following introduction, be taxed in both Contracting States in

accordance with applicable Australian goods and services tax law or the

East Timor value added tax or sales tax law as the case may be, but the

taxable amount in relation to such goods and services shall be an amount

equivalent to the framework percentage of the amount that would be the

taxable amount but for this paragraph.

Geoff Coghill

Tax Manager - Indirect Taxes Ph 61 3 9268 4827 Fax 61 3 9268 4090 Email coghilgc@az1.bp.com