	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
From:		10 2 11
Sent:	Wednesday, 9 February 2011 11:59 PM	Date Received: 0-2-1
To:	Committee, SPLA (REPS)	
Subject:	REGULATION OF OUTDOOR ADVERTISING	Secretary:

Greetings,

This is in response to your advertisement in today's "The Australian". the first I have heard of this enquiry. In my opinion:

[1] It is essential, not to regulate outdoor advertising, but to BAN it, except for the instance listed at [2]

[2] Property owners should be allowed to advertise their own product on signs which are clearly limited as to size and placement

[3] All third-party billboards should be banned completely

[4] Why? - because they are ugly, intrusive, unnecessary "litter-on-a-stick" which (as you note) we cannot avoid, unlike

newspaper, radio, TV or magazine advertising where we can flick the page or the switch (and so often do)

[5] The view from a road is public property and not to be appropriated by crass commercial interests[6] Can it be banned? - YES! See Sweden, England, Austria, France etc - even some American states, for goodness

sake, have banned billboards along their interstate highways (Maine, Alaska, Hawaii I believe)

[7] Does self-regulation work? Of course not! What a simple idea! As John Maynard Keynes said, there is no reason to

think people pursuing profits will work for the common good

[8] Will any good come of your enquirer? I doubt it very much. It would take a firm decision, firmly executed, to stop us

being increasingly subjected to ugly billboards, and I don't think the Australian government is capable of taking firm

decisions and executing them firmly. Your enquiry, and this submission, are a waste of time because you will change nothing

1

and our environment will be increasingly uglified by billboards.

How I hope you'll prove me wrong.

Go well, Hugh Dakin